

limit along a continuous variable.

Let  $h: [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function.

Def :- ① The function  $h$  is said to converge to  $d \in \mathbb{R}$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $T_\epsilon \in [a, \infty)$  s.t.

$$|h(t) - d| < \epsilon \text{ for } t \geq T_\epsilon$$

This is denoted by  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = d$ .

② If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) \neq d$ , for some  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

it is said to be convergent as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .  
Otherwise  $h$  is said to be divergent.

③ If for every  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $T_M \in [a, \infty)$  s.t.  $h(t) > M$ ,  $\forall t \geq T_M$ .

Then  $h$  is said to be diverging to  $+\infty$ .

It is denoted by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = +\infty$$

④ If for every  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exist  $T_M \in [a, \infty)$  s.t.  $h(t) \leq M$ ,  $\forall t \geq T_M$ .

Then  $h$  is said to be diverging to  $-\infty$ .

This is denoted by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = -\infty$$

Proposition :- Let  $h: [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function and

$d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = d$ , iff for every sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  in  $[a, \infty)$  diverging to  $+\infty$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(t_n) = d$ .

i.e. The following are equivalent.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = d$$

for every sequence  $\{t_n\}$  in  $[a, \infty)$  diverging to  $\infty$ ,

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(t_n) = d.$$

for every increasing sequence of  $t_n$ 's in  $[a, \infty)$ ,

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{for every } t \in [a, \infty), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(t_n) = d.$$

proof  $1 \Rightarrow 2$ .

Let  $\{t_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $[a, \infty)$ ,  
diverging to  $\infty$ . For every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists T \in [a, \infty)$

As  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = d$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  
 $\exists T \in [a, \infty)$  such that  $|h(t) - d| < \epsilon$  for  $t \geq T$ .

$$\text{p.t. } |h(t_n) - d| < \epsilon \text{ for } n \geq N.$$

As  $\{t_n\}$  diverges to  $\infty$ ,  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  
 $t_n > T$  for  $n > N$

so for  $n > N$ , we have  $t_n > T$  & hence.

$$|h(t_n) - d| < \epsilon.$$

$\Rightarrow h(t_n) \rightarrow d$ . i.e.  $\{h(t_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $d$ .

$2 \Rightarrow 3$  Trivial.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$  Suppose  $h$  doesn't converge to  $d$  as  
 $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we will arrive at a contradiction.  
Then there exist some  $\epsilon > 0$ , s.t.  
 $\textcircled{1}$  fails for all  $T \geq a$ .

In particular,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  considering ~~such~~  
~~that~~ there exist  $t_n > a + n$ , s.t.  $t_{n-1} + 1$

$$|h(t_n) - d| > \epsilon.$$

Take  $t_0 = a$

there exist  $t_n > t_{n-1} + 1$

$$|h(t_n) - d| > \epsilon. \quad t_{n-1} \rightarrow \infty$$

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = +\infty$ , By (3),  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(t_n) = d$

This contradicts \*

Thm:- Let  $h: [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function.  
Then the following are equivalent (TFAE)

1)  $h$  is convergent as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

2) for every sequence  $\{t_n\}$  in  $[a, \infty)$  with

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ ,  $\{h(t_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is convergent.

3) for every sequence  $\{t_n\}$  in  $[a, \infty)$  with

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ ,  $\{h(t_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is Cauchy.

4) for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists T \in [a, \infty)$  s.t.  $|h(t) - h(s)| < \epsilon$  for  $t, s \geq T$ .

Proof 1  $\Rightarrow$  2 done by the previous proposition

2  $\Rightarrow$  3 clear as convergent sequences are Cauchy

3  $\Rightarrow$  4 Suppose this doesn't hold

There exists  $\epsilon > 0$ , s.t. (\*\*) doesn't hold for any  $T$

[Complete the proof] by yourself.

4  $\Rightarrow$  1 Consider any  $\{t_n\}$  in  $[a, \infty)$  s.t.

$t_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by (4),  $\{h(t_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is Cauchy.

So  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(t_n) = d$ , for some  $d \in \mathbb{R}$

from (4)  $\exists T$  s.t.

$|h(t) - h(s)| < \epsilon$  for  $t, s \geq T$  —@

Choose  $m > T$  s.t.  $t_m \geq T$ . Then for

There exists  $M$  s.t.  $|h(t_n) - d| < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq M$  —③

Choose  $n > M$  s.t.  $t_{n_0} > T \Rightarrow a+b$

Take  $\rho = t_{n_0}$

$$|h(t) - h(t_{n_0})| < \epsilon \text{ & } |h(t_{n_0} - d)| < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow |h(t) - d| = |h(t) - h(t_{n_0})| + |h(t_{n_0}) - d|$$

$$\leq \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon.$$

- If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = d$ , Then  $h$  is said to be convergent.
- $h$  is convergent iff it is Cauchy as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  
i.e. for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists T \in [a, \infty)$  s.t.  $|h(t) - h(s)| < \epsilon$   
 $\forall s, t \geq T$ .

Thm:- Let  $h: [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing  
function: Then  $h$  is convergent as  $t \rightarrow \infty$   
iff  $h$  is bounded above, i.e.,  $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$   
s.t.  $h(t) \leq M \quad \forall t$ .  
In such a case  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = \sup \{h(t) : t \in [a, \infty)\}$

Proof:- Suppose  $h$  is bounded above.

Take  $d = \sup \{h(t) : t \in [a, \infty)\}$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , as  $d$  is the supremum.

$\exists t_0 \in [a, \infty)$  s.t.  $d - \epsilon \leq h(t_0) \leq d$ .

As  $h$  is increasing,

$\forall t \geq t_0$

$$d \geq h(t) \geq h(t_0) \geq d - \epsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow |h(t) - d| < \epsilon$$

$$\therefore \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = d.$$

$\Rightarrow$  ip an exercise.

Let  $h: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function.

By definition,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} h(t) = d$  if

for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists T \in [a, b)$  s.t.  
 $|h(t) - d| < \epsilon \quad \forall t \in [T, b)$ .

If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} h(t) = d$  for some  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $h$  is said to converge as  $t \rightarrow b^-$ .

Thm:  $h$  is convergent as  $t \rightarrow b^-$  iff for some  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $T \in [a, b)$  s.t.,  
 $|h(t) - h(b)| < \epsilon \quad \forall t \in [T, b)$ .

Proof is an exercise.

Thm: Let  $h: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing function. Then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} h(t)$  exists iff  $h$  is bounded above and in such a case,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} h(t) = \sup \{h(t) : t \in [a, b)\}.$$

Proof is an exercise.

Thm: ~~his converges~~ Let  $f: [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be function s.t. for every  $t \in [a, \infty)$ ,  $f|_{[a, t]}$  is bounded & Riemann integrable. Define  $h: [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$h(t) = \int_a^t f(x) dx.$$

Then  $f$  is said to be Riemann integrable on  $[a, \infty)$  iff  $h$  is convergent as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

In such a case,

$$\int_a^\infty f(x) dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t).$$

(We may say that  $\int_a^\infty f(x) dx$  is convergent).

The function  $f$  is said to be absolutely Riemann integrable, if  $|f|$  is integrable on  $[a, \infty)$ .

i.e.  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_a^t |f(x)| dx \right\}$  exists.

Thm: Let  $f: [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be absolutely Riemann integrable on  $[a, \infty)$ . Then  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, \infty)$ . (Converse is not true).

Assume that  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, t]$  for every  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, \infty)$ . Converse is not true.

Proof: Define  $m: [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $m(t) = \int_a^t |f(x)| dx$

We have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} m(t)$  exists  $\Leftrightarrow$   $m(t)$  is Cauchy as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

for  $\epsilon > 0 \exists T \in [a, \infty)$  st.

$$\left| \int_p^t |f(x)| dx \right| < \epsilon \text{ for } p, t \geq T.$$

$$\left| \int_a^t f(x) dx - \int_a^p f(x) dx \right| = |m(t) - m(p)|$$

Define  $h: [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $h(t) = \int_a^t f(x) dx$ .

Note for  $p, t \geq T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |h(t) - h(p)| &= \left| \int_p^t f(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_p^t |f(x)| dx \\ &= \left| \int_p^t |f(x)| dx \right| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Example for converse being not true.

Define  $f: [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by.

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \leq x < 2 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 2 \leq x < 3 \\ +\frac{1}{3} & 3 \leq x < 4 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & 4 \leq x < 5 \\ & \vdots \\ (-1)^n \frac{1}{n} & n \leq x < n+1 \end{cases}$$

$$\int_1^\infty f(x) dx = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \dots$$

Now show  $f$  is R.I on  $[1, \infty)$ .

$f$  is not absolutely R.I.

## Metric Spaces

Defn: Let  $X$  be a non-empty set.

A function  $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a metric on  $X$  if the following properties are satisfied.

- i)  $d(x, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in X$  (positivity)
- ii)  $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$  (Definition)
- iii)  $d(y, x) = d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$  (symmetry)
- iv)  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$   
(triangle inequality)

example (Euclidean space)  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$$

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{(y_1 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - x_2)^2 + \dots + (y_n - x_n)^2}$$

{show that this satisfy triangle inequality}

(use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)

example  $X = M_n(\mathbb{R}) = \{ A = [a_{ij}], 1 \leq i, j \leq n : a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \}$

$$d(A, B) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (b_{ij} - a_{ij})^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad \begin{cases} A = [a_{ij}] \\ B = [b_{ij}] \end{cases}$$

example  $X$  - nonempty set.

~~defn~~  $d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$

Usual metric  
 $|x-y|$

This is known as discrete metric

Every non empty set can be made a metric space. On a same set, we can have several different metrics.

example  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$   $x_i = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$   
 $y_i = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

$$d_1(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^n |y_j - x_j|.$$

$$\textcircled{1} d_1(x, y) > 0.$$

$$\textcircled{2} d_1(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n |y_j - x_j| = 0 \Rightarrow y_j = x_j \forall j.$$

~~If  $y_j = x_j + j \Rightarrow d_1(x, y) = j$~~  Check other as exercise.  
 it is a metric space.

$$d_p(x, y) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

example  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$   $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$

$$y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

$$d_0(x, y) = \max \{ |y_j - x_j| : 1 \leq j \leq n \}$$

Proof that this is a metric space.

Defn. Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, let  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Let  $a \in X$ :

Then  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  said to converge to  $a$ ,

if  $\{d(x_n, a)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to zero.

Uniqueness of limit:- Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Suppose a seqn  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  in  $X$  converges to  $a \in X$ , & to  $b \in X$ . Then  $a = b$ .

Proof - for  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  
 $d(x_n, a) < \epsilon$  for  $n > N_1$

choose  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$d(x_n, b) < \epsilon$  for  $n > N_2$

Take  ~~$N_1 + N_2$~~   $m = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$

Then  $d(x_m, a) < \epsilon$  &  $d(x_m, b) < \epsilon$ .

We get

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, b) &\leq d(a, x_m) + d(x_m, b) \quad \{\Delta \text{ ineq}\} \\ &= d(x_m, a) + d(x_m, b) \quad \{\text{pyrm}\} \\ &\leq \epsilon + \epsilon \\ &= 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$$0 < d(a, b) \leq 2\epsilon + \epsilon > 0 \Rightarrow d(a, b) = 0 \Rightarrow a = b \quad \{\text{definitely}\}$$

Thm Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Suppose  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence in  $X$  converging to  $a \in X$ . Then every subsequence of  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $a$ .

Proof - Suppose  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$  is a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$

As  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $a$ ,  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$

Then  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converges to  $a$ .

Hence  $\{d(x_{n_k}, a)\}$  converges to 0. {by real convergence}

$\Rightarrow \{x_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 1}$  converges to  $a$ .

Thus, we have proved that if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  and  $a \in X$  such that  $d(x_n, a) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $a$ .

Thm :- Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Suppose  
 $Y$  is a non empty subset of  $X$ . Then  
 $(Y, k)$  is a metric space where  

$$k = d|_{Y \times Y}$$
  
i.e.  $k(x, y) = d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in Y.$

Proof :- Trivial (as a ~~weak~~ exercise).

Def :- A metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent.

Thm :- Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Suppose  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence in  $X$  converging to  $a \in X$ . Then  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is Cauchy, i.e., for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N.$$

Proof :- As  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $a$ .

$\{d(x_n, a)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to 0.

Now if  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is not Cauchy then  $\exists \epsilon > 0 \quad \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. for  $\forall m, n \geq N$

$$d(x_n, a) > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad d(x_m, a) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Then  $\forall m, n \geq N \quad d(x_m, x_n) \leq d(x_m, a) + d(a, x_n)$   
 $< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$   
 $= \epsilon.$

g.  $[0, +\infty]$  with usual metric is complete.

$\mathbb{Q}$  with " " is not complete.

$\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  with " " is not complete.

$\mathbb{Z}$  with " " is complete.

Consider discrete metric space  $(X, d_0)$

$$d_0(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  in  $X$  is cauchy.

Take  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $\exists N$  s.t.

$$d(x_m, x_n) < \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } m, n \geq N,$$

As  $d_0$  is discrete metric

$$d_0(x_m, x_n) < \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow d_0(x_m, x_n) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_m = x_n$$

dropped condition (b) for  $m, n \geq N$ ,  
so sequence  $x_n$  is eventually constant  
and Take  $a = x_N$ . Then  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq N}$  converges to  $a$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Every discrete metric space is complete.

Thm :- Every discrete metric space is complete.

### Open balls

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Then for  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , the open ball of radius  $r$ , centered at  $x$  is defined as

$$B_r(x) = \{y \in X : d(y, x) < r\}.$$

example  $X = \mathbb{R}$ : usual metric.

fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , & take  $r > 0$ .

$$\text{Then } B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : |y - x| < r\}.$$

$$= (x - r, x + r)$$

$X = \mathbb{R}^2$ : usual metric  $x = (x_1, x_2)$

$$B_r(x) = \{(y_1, y_2) : d((y_1, y_2), (x_1, x_2)) < r\}$$

$$= \{(y_1, y_2) : \sqrt{(y_1 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - x_2)^2} < r\}$$

where,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\text{eg. } X = \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ and } d(x, y) = |y_1 - x_1| + |y_2 - x_2|$$

$$x = (x_1, x_2)$$

$$y = (y_1, y_2)$$

$$B_r(0) = \{(y_1, y_2) : |y_1| + |y_2| < r\}, \quad r = 1$$

$$\text{eg. } (X, d_0) \quad d_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y \\ 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

Take  $x \in X$

$$B_{d_0}(x) = \{x\} \text{ if } 0 < x \leq 1,$$

$$B_{d_0}(x) = \emptyset \text{ if } 1 < x < \infty$$

Remark :- A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  in  $(X, d)$  converges to  $a \in X$ , iff for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $x_n \in B_\epsilon(a)$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

$$d(x_n, a) < \epsilon \iff x_n \in B_\epsilon(a).$$

open set

defn Let  $A$  be a subset of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $A$  is said to be open if for any  $x \in A$ , there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq A.$$

Ex:  $(0, 1)$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$[0, 1]$ ,  $\{\phi\}$  if <sup>singleton</sup> not open in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Ex:  $\{z : |z| < \frac{1}{2}\}$  is open in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Thm :- Let  $(X, d)$  is a metric space.

Then

- ①  $\emptyset$  &  $X$  are open
- ② Arbitrary union of open sets is open
- ③ finite intersections of open sets is open.

Proof :-

- ① For  $x \in X$ , we can take any  $\epsilon > 0$ .  
Then by def'  $B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq X$   
 $\therefore X$  is open
- ②  $\emptyset$  is open as there doesn't exist any  $x$  in  $\emptyset$ . The condition is vacuously satisfied.

- ③ Suppose  $A \subseteq X$  &  $B \subseteq X$  are open. We want to prove  $A \cup B$  is open.

Consider  $x \in A \cup B$ .

Suppose  $x \in A$ . Then as  $A$  is

open,  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t.

$B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq A$ .

$\therefore B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq A \cup B$ .

Similarly if  $x \in B$ .  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t.

$B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq B$

$\therefore B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq A \cup B$ .

$\therefore$  we are done.

By induction, our claim is

Suppose  $I$  is a non-empty set &  $A_i$  is an open subset of  $X$  for  $i \in I$ .

( $I$  is called indexing set).

Consider  $B = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$

$x \in B$  iff  $x \in A_i$  for some  $i \in I$

Take  $x \in B$ . Then  $x \in A_i$  for some  $i \in I$ .  
Since  $A_i$  is open.

$\exists \varepsilon > 0$  s.t.

$$B_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq A_i$$

$$\Rightarrow B_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq B$$

$\therefore B$  is open.

Suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\&$ ,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are  
open

$$\text{Take } C = \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j$$

Consider  $x \in C$ ,  $\&$ ,  $x \in A_j \forall j = 1, 2, \dots$

As  $A_j$  is open, there exists  $\varepsilon_j > 0$  s.t.

$$B_{\varepsilon_j}(x) \subseteq A_j$$

$$\text{Take } \varepsilon = \min \{ \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n \} > 0$$

Then.  $B_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq B_{\varepsilon_j}(x) \subseteq A_j \forall j$

$$\Rightarrow B_\varepsilon(x) \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j$$

Their  $\bigcap_{j=1}^n A_j$  is open.

Take  $x = R$

$$A_j = (-y_j, y_j)$$

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j = \{0\} \rightarrow \text{not open}$$

$$B_j = (0, 2 + \frac{1}{j})$$

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j = (0, 2] \text{ is not open}$$

Def<sup>n</sup> A subset  $B$  of  $X$  is said to be closed if  
 $B^c$  is open.

Thm :- Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space

Then

- ①  $\emptyset$  &  $X$  are closed.
- ② Arbitrary intersection of closed set is closed.
- ③ Finite union of closed set is closed.

Proof is an exercise.

Def<sup>n</sup> :- Let  $(X, d), (Y, k)$  be metric space.

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function

Suppose  $a \in X$ . Then  $f$  is said to be continuous at  $a$ , if for every

$\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $x \in B_\delta(a)$ .

implies  $f(x) \in B_\epsilon(f(a)) \forall x \in B_\delta(a)$ .

$\subseteq Y$

$\subseteq X$

Thm

A subset  $A$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is open iff it is a union of open ball.

~~If  $A$  is non-empty~~

Proof :- Let  $A \subseteq X$  be open. If  $A = \emptyset$ , then

it is an empty union of open balls.

If  $A$  is non-empty, for  $x \in A$ , choose  $\epsilon_x$  s.t.  $B_{\epsilon_x} \subseteq A$ . This can be done as

$A$  is open. Now

$$A = \bigcup_{x \in A} B_{\epsilon_x}(x)$$

Any  $x \in A$ , is in  $B_{\epsilon_x}(x)$ . Hence

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} B_{\epsilon_x}(x).$$

Also  $B_{\delta_x}(x) \subseteq A$ ,  $\forall x \in A$ .  
 Hence  $\bigcup_{x \in A} B_{\delta_x}(x) \subseteq A$ .

Conversely, if  $A$  is a union of open balls then it is open, as every open ball is open (exercise c), and arbitrary union of open sets are open.

Thm:- Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in a metric space  $(X, d)$ , converging to a point  $a \in X$ . Suppose  $A$  is an open set containing  $a$ . Then there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $x_n \in A$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

Proof:- We have  $a \in A$  and  $A$  is open.  
 So, there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B_\epsilon(a) \subseteq A$ .  
 As  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, a) = 0$ .  
 So, there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  
 $d(x_n, a) < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq N$ .

$\Rightarrow x_n \in B_\epsilon(a)$   
 $\text{and } B_\epsilon(a) \subseteq A$   
 $\Rightarrow x_n \in A$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

Thm:- Let  $B \subseteq X$  be a non-empty closed subset of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Suppose  $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of elements in  $B$  converging to a point  $b \in X$ . Then  $b \in B$ .

Proof:- Take  $A = B^c$ . Suppose  $b \in A$ .  
 $A$  is open. Then by previous thm, there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $y_n \in A$  for  $n \geq N$ .  
 This is a contradiction, as we have assumed  $y_n \in B = A^c$ ,  $\forall n$ .

$(X, d)$ ,  $(Y, \rho)$  be metric spaces.  
 Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function.  
 Fix  $a \in X$ .  
 Then  $f$  is said to be continuous at  $a$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $f(x) \in B_\epsilon(f(a))$  for all  $x \in B_\delta(a) \subseteq X$ .

Defn:  $d(x, a) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho(f(x), f(a)) < \epsilon$

Defn: If  $f$  is continuous at every  $a \in X$ , then  $f$  is said to be a continuous function.

Thm: Let  $(X, d)$ ,  $(Y, \rho)$  be metric spaces. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a function. Then  $f$  is continuous iff  $f^{-1}(C)$  is open for every open subset  $C$  of  $Y$ .

$$f^{-1}(C) = \{x \in X : f(x) \in C\}$$

Proof: We have to prove that  $f$  is continuous iff inverse images of open sets are open.

Suppose  $f$  is continuous &  $C \subseteq Y$  is open. If  $C \neq \emptyset$  then  $f^{-1}(C) = f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  which is open. So consider  $C \neq \emptyset$ . We want to prove that  $A = f^{-1}(C)$  is open. Take  $a \in A$ . Then as  $A = f^{-1}(C)$ ,  $f(a) \in C$ . As  $C$  is open, there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  p.t.  $B_\epsilon(f(a)) \subseteq C$ .

Then there exists  $\delta > 0$ , p.t.  $x \in B_\delta(a) \Rightarrow f(x) \in B_\epsilon(f(a)) \subseteq C$ .

$$x \in B_\delta(a) \Rightarrow f(x) \in B_\epsilon(f(a)) \subseteq C.$$

$$\text{i.e. } x \in B_\delta(a) \Rightarrow f(x) \in C.$$

$$x \in B_\delta(a) \Rightarrow x \in f^{-1}(U)$$

in other words  $B_\delta(x) \subseteq f^{-1}(U) = A$ .

for  $a \in A$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  s.t.

$$B_\delta(a) \subseteq A$$

$\delta = \delta_0$ ,  $A$  is open,

Converse is an exercise

### Pointwise Convergence

Def:- Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and let  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of real valued function on  $X$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Then  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is said to converge to  $f$  pointwise if  $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f(x)$   $\forall x \in X$ .

example-1  $X = \{1, 2\}$

$$f_n(j) = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } j = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 2 \end{cases}$$

Define  $f$  by  $f(1) = 1$  &  $f(2) = 0$ .

Then  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is converging to  $f$  pointwise.

example-2 Take  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $g_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $g_n(x) = \frac{x}{n}$ ,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Define  $g$  by  $g(x) = 0$   $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $\{g_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges pointwise to  $g$ .

Each  $g_n$  is unbounded. But the limit  $g$  is a bounded function.

example-3 Take  $X = [0, 1]$ . Define  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

by  $f_n(x) = x^n$ .

for  $x=0$   $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0$ ,

for  $x=1$   $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 1$ ,

for  $0 < x < 1$ , Claim  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n = 0$

$$\frac{1}{x} = 1 + \delta \quad \text{for some } \delta > 0$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^n = (1+\delta)^n = (1+n\delta + \dots) > 1+n\delta$$

$$x^n < \frac{1}{1+n\delta} = \frac{1}{n(1+\frac{\delta}{n})} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{1+\frac{\delta}{n}}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow x^n \rightarrow 0,$$

for  $\epsilon > 0$   $\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|x^n| < \epsilon.$$

Take  $N > \log_x \frac{1}{\epsilon}$

$$n \log x < \log \epsilon$$

$$\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon.$$

$$n < \frac{\log \epsilon}{\log x}$$

$$n > N > \frac{1}{\log_x \epsilon}, \quad n > N$$

$$n < \log_x \epsilon$$

$$n > -\log_x \epsilon$$

$$\frac{1}{n} > \log_x \epsilon$$

(Q)  $a_n > 0$ ;  $a_{n+1} > a_n$ ; for every natural no.  $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$\exists a_k$  s.t.  $p \mid a_k$ ;  $a_n \mid a_{n+1}$ ;  $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$

Given  $b_n = \frac{1}{a_n}$

1) Proof that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  converges.

2) ~~Proof~~ Check whether  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  is rational or irrational.

Q1 If  $f$  is R.I., then  $f$  should have atleast one point  
continuity

### pointwise Convergence

for every  $x \in X$  &  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N = N_{x, \epsilon}$

p.t.

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

e.g.  $X = [0, 1]$  ;  $f_n(x) = x^n \nrightarrow x \in [0, 1]$ .

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 1 & \end{cases}$$

### Uniform Convergence

Def. :- Let  $X$  be a non-empty set, let  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $f$  be real valued functions on  $X$ . Then  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is said to be converge to  $f$  uniformly on  $X$  if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $N = N_\epsilon$

p.t.

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq N \quad \forall x \in X.$$

e.g.  $X = [0, 1]$   $g_n(x) = \frac{x}{n} \nrightarrow 0 \leq x \leq 1$

$$g(x) = 0 \quad \forall 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

$\{g_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $g$  uniformly

Thm: Uniform Convergence implies pointwise.

Suppose  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $X$ .

Take  $\epsilon = 1$ . Then  $\exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  p.t.

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < 1 \quad \forall n \geq N_0 \quad \forall x \in X$$

for  $n \geq N_0$ , take

$$\alpha_n = \sup \{ |f_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in X \}.$$

$$\alpha_n \leq 1 \quad \forall n \geq N_0.$$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for } n < N_0 \\ \sup \{ |f_n(x) - f(x)| \} \end{array} \right\}$   
could be infinity

Theorem: If  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  uniformly then  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to 0.

Proof :- for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $N = N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \text{ for } n > N \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Hence  $\sup \{|f_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in X\} \leq \epsilon$   
for  $n > N$

Therefore  $\alpha_n \leq \epsilon$  for  $n \geq N$ .

Thm :- Suppose  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $f$  are real valued functions on a set  $X$ . Suppose there exists  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$\alpha_n = \sup \{|f_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in X\} \text{ is finite for } n > N_0$$

for  $n > N_0$  &  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ ,

Then  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  uniformly.

Proof :- for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $N > N_0$ , s.t.

$$|\alpha_n| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } n > N$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \alpha_n < \epsilon \quad \text{for } n > N. \quad \text{by def of } \alpha_n$$

Hence for  $n > N$

$$\sup \{|f_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in X\} \leq \epsilon.$$

Therefore  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall x \in X \text{ & } n > N$ .

Defn:- Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of real valued functions on a non-empty set  $X$ . Then  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is said to be uniformly Cauchy if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $N = N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N$$

$\forall x \in X$ .

Thm :- A sequence of real valued functions on a non-empty set  $X$  is uniformly convergent iff it is uniformly Cauchy.

pf :- Suppose  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  uniformly. Then for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N = N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for all } n \geq N \text{ and } x \in X.$$

Hence for  $x \in X$ ,  $m, n \geq N$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_m(x) - f_n(x)| &\leq |f_m(x) - f(x)| + |f_n(x) - f(x)| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$\Leftarrow$  So,  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly Cauchy.

$\Leftarrow$  Now suppose  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly Cauchy

for  $x \in X$ , we see that  $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is Cauchy

Hence it is convergent. Define  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$$

Exercise :- Show that  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  uniformly.

Def :- Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be bounded if  $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$M \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } |f(x)| \leq M \quad \forall x \in X.$$

A sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of real valued functions on  $X$  is said to be uniformly bounded if there exists  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$|f_n(x)| \leq K \quad \forall x \in X \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Thm: Suppose  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  uniformly.  
 Suppose  $f$  is bounded. Then there exist  $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  
 $\{f_n\}_{n \geq N_0}$  is uniformly bounded.

Proof: If  $f$  is bounded, there exist  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  
 $|f(x)| \leq M \quad \forall x \in X.$

Take  $\epsilon = 1$ . As  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$   
 uniformly,  $\exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  
 $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < 1 \quad \forall x \in X \quad \& n \geq N_0$

Then for  $n \geq N_0$  &  $x \in X$ .

$$|f_n(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |f(x)| \\ \leq 1 + M$$

$\Rightarrow \{f_n\}_{n \geq N_0}$  is uniformly bounded.

Thm: Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a non-empty set. Let  
 $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $f$  be real valued functions  
 on  $X$ . Suppose  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  uniformly.  
 Fix  $c \in X$ .

Suppose  $f$  is continuous at  $c$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$   
 Then  $f$  is continuous at  $c$ .

Proof: Consider any  $\epsilon > 0$ . As  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges  
 to  $f$  uniformly, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  
 $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}, \quad \forall n \geq N, x \in X.$

Consider  $f_N$ . It is continuous at  $c$ .

$\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.

$$|f_N(x) - f_N(c)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

for  $x$  satisfying  $|x - c| < \delta$   
 $\forall x \in X$ .

Now, for  $x \in X$ , with  $|x - c| < \delta$

$$|f(x) - f(c)| \leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| + |f_n(x) - f_n(c)| + |f_n(c) - f(c)|.$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

$$= \epsilon.$$

Hence  $f$  is continuous at  $c$ .

Corollary - Let  $X$  be a non empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  & let  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $f$  be real valued functions on  $X$ . Suppose  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  uniformly &  $f_n$  is continuous for every  $n$ . Then  $f$  is continuous.

eg.  $X = [0, 1]$   $f_n(x) = x^n$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

$f_n(x)$  converges pointwise &  $f_n$  is continuous also  $f$  is not continuous.

$\Rightarrow f_n$  doesn't converge uniformly.

eg.  $X = [0, 1]$   $f_n(x) = x^n$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$

$$f(x) = 0 \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

then  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  pointwise,  $f$  is continuous, but the convergence is not uniform.

eg.  $X = [0, 1]$

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} (n+1)x & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \\ \frac{1}{n+1} & \frac{1}{n+1} < x < \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$



$$f_n(x) = 0 \text{ for } n > \frac{1}{|x|}$$

$\Rightarrow f_n$  converges pointwise to 0.

$f_n$  &  $f_0$  are continuous but  $f_n$  convergence is not uniform.

Take  $\epsilon < 1$ , then  $\forall n \quad f_n\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right) = 1 > \epsilon$   
i.e. convergence is not uniform.

Fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a < b$ .

Take  $C_R([a, b]) =$  The set of real valued continuous function on  $[a, b]$ .

If  $f \in C_R[a, b]$  then  $f$  is bounded & uniformly continuous.

$C_R[a, b]$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

for  $f, g \in C_R[a, b]$ , take

$$d(f, g) = \sup \{|f(x) - g(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}$$

Claim 1:  $d$  is metric on  $C_R[a, b]$ .

① As  $f, g$  is continuous, it is bounded.

Hence  $d(f, g) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

② Clearly  $d(f, g) \geq 0 \quad \forall f, g$ .

③  $d(f, g) = 0$  then  $|f(x) - g(x)| = 0 \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$

Hence  $f(x) - g(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = g$

Conversely if  $f = g \Rightarrow d(f, g) = 0$

④ Symmetry,  $d(g, f) = d(f, g)$  (clear)

### ⑤ $\triangle$ inequality

Suppose  $f, g, h \in C_R[a, b]$ .

for any  $x \in [a, b]$

$$|f(x) - g(x)| \leq |f(x) - h(x)| + |h(x) - g(x)|$$

$$\sup |f(x) - g(x)| \leq \sup (|f(x) - h(x)| + |h(x) - g(x)|)$$

$$\leq \sup |f(x) - h(x)| + \sup |h(x) - g(x)|$$

$$\Rightarrow d(f, g) \leq d(f, h) + d(h, g).$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup |f(x) - g(x)| \leq d(f, h) + d(h, g),$$

$$\Rightarrow d(f, g) \leq d(f, h) + d(h, g).$$

Theorem:-  $C_R[a, b]$  is a complete metric space.

Proof:- is exercise of  $\Rightarrow$  part.

Suppose  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is Cauchy sequence

in  $C_R[a, b]$ .

for  $\epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t.}$

$$d(f_m, f_n) < \epsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N.$$

$$\text{That is } \sup_{x \in X} |f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \epsilon \quad \forall m, n \geq N.$$

So,  $\{f_n\}$  is uniformly Cauchy. So

$\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly

to some function  $f$ .

Unit or uniform convergence of continuous function implies that the limit function is continuous.

Q.E.D.  $f \in C_R[a, b]$ .

Note  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  iff  $d(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$

$$d(f_n, f) = \sup |f_n(x) - f(x)| = \epsilon_n$$

$\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $f$  in this metric iff  $\{f_n\}$  converge to  $f$  uniformly.

Dini's theorem: Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence (monotonic) of functions in  $C_R[a, b]$  converging to a function  $f \in C_R[a, b]$  pointwise. Then the convergence is monotonic.

Proof: Suppose it is a decreasing sequence,

$$f_1 \geq f_2 \geq \dots \geq f_n \geq f_{n+1} \dots$$

$\{f_n\}$  converging to  $f$  pointwise, with  $f$  continuous.

$$\text{Take } g_n = f_n - f$$

Then  $g_n$  is continuous for every  $n$  &

$$g_1 \geq g_2 \geq \dots \geq g_n \geq g_{n+1}.$$

$$g_n > 0 \text{ for all } n$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$$

$$d(g_n, 0) = \sup \{g_n(x) : x \in [a, b]\}$$

$$\text{Take } M_n = d(g_n, 0)$$

We want to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n = 0$$

$$\text{Take } \delta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n, \text{ assume that } \delta > 0.$$

We want to arrive at a contradiction.

We have

$$\sup\{g_n(x) : x \in [a, b]\} \geq \delta$$

for all  $n$ .

As it attains its supremum, we get  $x_0 \in [a, b]$

$$\text{p.t. } g_{n_k}(x_0) \geq \delta.$$

As  $x_n \in [a, b]$ , &  $n$  has no boundary, there is a convergent subsequence, say  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

$$\text{Take } y = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}$$

$$g_{n_1}(x_{n_1}) \geq \delta \text{ & } g_{n_2}(x_{n_2}) \geq \delta$$

$$g_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) \geq \delta + k$$

We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$$

$$\text{In particular, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(y) = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = y \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$g_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) \geq \delta + k \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

from (1),  $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $|g_{n_k}(y)| < \frac{\delta}{2}$  for  $k > N$

This explains that  $g_{n_k}(y) < \frac{\delta}{2}$  for  $k > N$

$$\Rightarrow g_{n_k}(y) \leq g_k(y) < \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{for } k > N.$$

$\swarrow$  as  $n_k \geq k$   
 $\searrow$   $g_{n_k}$  is decreasing.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad g_{n_k}(y) < \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{for } k > N.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{but } g_{n_k}(x_{n_k}) \geq \delta \quad \text{for all } k.$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{& } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = y.$$

$$h_k(y) < \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{and } k \geq N \quad , \quad h_k = g_{n_k}$$

$$h_k(y_k) > \delta \quad , \quad y_k = x_{n_k}$$

$y_k \rightarrow y$ . i.e.  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k = y$ .

$$h_k(y_k) \geq \delta \quad \forall k$$

for  $\ell \geq k$

$$h_\ell(y_\ell) \geq h_k(y_\ell) \geq \delta$$

$$h_\ell(y_\ell) \geq \delta \quad \text{for } \ell \leq k$$

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} h_\ell(y_\ell) \geq \delta \Rightarrow h_\ell(y) \geq \delta \quad \forall k$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k(y) \geq \delta.$$

$$D = D(B) \text{ and}$$

$$D = B \text{ and}$$

$$D = Y \text{ and } B \subset C(Y, B)$$

Now if  $\beta^*(B) \neq B$ , then  $B \subset D$  and

each of  $\beta^*(B) \setminus B$  both will be with

$$\text{Hence } \beta^*(B) \setminus B \subset (B) \times B \subset$$

$$\text{Hence } \beta^*(B) \times B \subset (B) \times B \quad \text{①}$$

$$\text{And if } B \subset C(Y, B) \text{ and } \text{①}$$

$$B = B \times B \text{ with } \beta^*(B)$$

Weierstrass Approximation theorem

Thm: Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a < b$ . Let  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then there exists a sequence of polynomials converging to  $f$  uniformly in  $[a, b]$ .

Proof: first we consider  $[0, 1]$ .

Suppose  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function.

for  $n \geq 0$ , the Bernstein polynomial of  $f$  is defined as

$$B_n(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}.$$

Claim:  $\{B_n(f)\}_{n \geq 0}$  converges to  $f$  uniformly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Suppose  $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, x)$

$X$  is a random variable taking values in  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$P(X=k) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \quad E(x) &= nx \quad V(x) = nx(1-x) \\ E(x^2) &= V(x) + E(x)^2, \quad K(x) = E(x^2) - E(x)^2 \\ &= nx(1-x) + n^2 x^2 \end{aligned}$$

② Chebychev's inequality:

for  $\delta > 0$

$$P(|X - E(x)| \geq \delta) \leq \frac{V(x)}{\delta^2}$$

$$P(|X - nx| \geq \delta) \leq \frac{nx(1-x)}{\delta^2}.$$

$$\text{Take } q_0(x) = 1, \quad q_1(x) = x, \quad q_2(x) = x^2 \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

$$B_n(g_0)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n 1 \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} = 1$$

$\{B_n(g_0)\}$  converges to  $g_0$

$$B_n(g_1)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

converges to  $E(x) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n x = x$

$$B_n(g_1) = g_1$$

$$B_n(g_2)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{x}{n}\right)^2 \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

$$(x-1) = \frac{1}{n^2} E(x^2) = (x)(g_1 \circ g_1)$$

$$\text{Therefore } (x-1) = \frac{1}{n^2} [n x (1-x) + n^2 x^2]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} [x - x^2 + n x^2]$$

$$B_n(g_2)(x) = \frac{1}{n} x + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) x^2$$

Clearly  $B_n(g_2)$  converges to  $g_2$ .

Proof - ~~of claim~~ of claim

Choose  $M > 0$  s.t.  $|f(x)| \leq M$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$

$f$  is uniformly continuous, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $\delta > 0$  s.t.

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for } x, y \in [0, 1] \text{ with } |x-y| < \delta$$

Now, for  $x \in [0, 1]$

$$f(x) - B_n(f)(x)$$

$$B_n(f)(x) - f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

$$- f(x) \cdot \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |B_n(f)(x) - f(x)| &= \sum_{k=0}^n (f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^n |f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)| \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{k: |k/n - x| < \delta}} |f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)| \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k: |k/n - x| \geq \delta}} |f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)| \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$T \sum_{\substack{k: |k/n - x| < \delta}} |f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)| \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} + \sum_{\substack{k: |k/n - x| \geq \delta}} |f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)| \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

$$\sum_{\substack{k: |k/n - x| < \delta}} |f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)| \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

$$P_2 \sum_{\substack{k: |k/n - x| \geq \delta}} |f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x)| \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \leq 2M \sum_{\substack{k: |k/n - x| \geq \delta}} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

$$= 2M \sum_{\substack{k: |k/n - x| \geq \delta}} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

$$= 2M P(|X - \mathbb{E}(X)| \geq \delta)$$

$$\leq 2M \frac{V(x)}{n^2 \delta^2} = 2M \frac{np(1-x)}{n^2 \delta^2}$$

$$= \frac{2M \alpha (1-\alpha)}{n \delta^2}$$

Choose  $N$  large enough  $\frac{M}{2N\delta^2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

Then choose for  $n > N$ ,  $|T_n - \epsilon| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

so for  $n > N$

$$|B_n(f)(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

This proves the claim.

### Power Series

Suppose  $a_0, a_1, \dots$  a series of the form  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$ , where  $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$

and  $x$  is a real variable is called power series.

Domain of convergence.

$$D(\{a_k\}) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k \text{ converges}\}$$

$D(\{a_k\})$  is always non empty as  $0 \in D(\{a_k\})$ .

example 1

$a_k = \frac{1}{k+1}$ . We have the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k. \text{ If } |x| < 1, \text{ then } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k \text{ converges.}$$

$$x = 1, D(\{a_k\}) = (-1, 1)$$

example 2

$a_k = 0$  for  $k \geq 10$ . Then  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  is

convergent for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

so,  $D = \mathbb{R}$ .

example-3

$$a_k = k^k$$

for  $x \neq 0$ , consider  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^k x^k$

Take  $x > 0$ . Consider  $(kx)^k$ . Then  $(kx)^k > 1$  for  $k > \frac{1}{x}$ .

Suppose  $x < 0$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (kx)^k \neq 0$

so,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^k x^k$  is not convergent for  $x \neq 0$ .

$$\mathcal{D}(\{k^k\}) = \{0\}$$

Abel's lemma

Consider a power series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$

Suppose  $k \neq 0$  and  $\{a_k t^k : k \geq 0\}$  is bounded

Then  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  is absolutely convergent for  $|x| < |t|$ .

Proof:-

Consider any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|x| < |t|$

Then  $x = ct$  for some  $c \in (-1, 1)$

Suppose  $|a_k t^k| \leq M$

$$\text{Now } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k x^k| = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k (ct)^k|$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k t^k| |c|^k$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M |c|^k = M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |c|^k < \infty$$

so,  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  is absolutely convergent.

Thm :- Let  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  be a power series. Then either  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| x^k$  is absolutely convergent for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  or there exist  $R > 0$  s.t.  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| x^k$  is absolutely convergent for  $|x| < R$  &  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| x^k$  is not convergent for  $|x| > R$ .

Proof :- Suppose  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^k$  is convergent.

Then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k t^k = 0$ . In particular

$\{a_k t^k\}_{k \geq 0}$  is bounded.

Then by Abel's lemma

$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$  is absolutely convergent

for  $|x| < t$ .

Take  $R = \sup \{t : \sum_k a_k t^k \text{ is convergent}\}$ .

$R$  is known as the radius of convergence.

$R \in [0, \infty)$ .

example  $a_k = \frac{1}{k^2}$   $R = 1$

$D = [-1, 1]$ .

example 2  $a_k = \frac{1}{k}$ ,  $R = 1$

$D = [-1, 1]$ .

example 3  $a_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$   $R = 1$

$$\mathcal{D} = (-1, 1].$$

formula for radius of convergence

$$\frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k|^{1/k}, \text{ (more later)}$$

[If  $\limsup$  is zero,  $R$  is taken to be  $\infty$ ].

$R = \infty$  if  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k|^{1/k} = 0$  and

$R = 0$  if  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k|^{1/k} = \infty$ .

Take  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k, x \in (-R, R)$ .

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k.$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x).$$

Do we have uniform convergence?

$$f(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots = \frac{1}{1-x}, x \in (-1, 1)$$

$$f_n(x) = 1 + x^2 + \dots + x^n$$

$|f(x) - f_n(x)| < \epsilon \rightarrow$  can't hold for any  $x$ .

No uniform convergence in  $(-1, 1)$ .

Fix  $x$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ . Consider  $|x| < r$ .

$$|f(x) - f_n(x)| = \left| \frac{1}{1-x} - \frac{1-x^{n+1}}{1-x} \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{x^{n+1}}{1-x} \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-x} \stackrel{x \rightarrow 1^-}{\rightarrow} \infty$$

So,  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $[-r, r]$ .

### General Case

Assume  $R > 0$ .

Consider  $0 < r_1 < R$ .

Consider  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $f$  on  $[-r_1, r_1]$ .

Use the proof of Abel's Lemma to see that  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $[-r_1, r_1]$ .

Integrate & differentiate term wise

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a_k x^{k+1}}{k+1}, \quad h_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n k a_k x^{k-1}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} 0, \\ 0, \frac{a_0}{1}, \frac{a_1}{2}, \\ 0, 1, 2 \end{matrix} \right\}, \quad \left\{ a_1, 2a_2, 3a_3, \dots \right\}$$

$$\text{Power series: } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k-1}}{k} x^k \quad \text{and: } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) a_{k+1} x^k$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \left| \frac{a_{k-1}}{k} \right| \right)^{1/k} = \frac{1}{R}$$

Fix any  $r$  such that  $0 < r < R$ .

Here  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$ .

$$\{g_n\} \rightarrow g \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x) - g_n(x) = 0$$

By theorems proved before  $g$  is the integral of  $f$ ,  $g' = f$ .

differentiation or integration is permitted & we have power series converging uniformly, for both.

assume  $R \neq 0$ . Define,  $f: (-R, R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , by  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$

$$\text{for } x \in (-R, R)$$

for  $x \in (-R, R)$ , choose  $a, b$ .

$$0 \leq |x| < a < R$$

on  $[-a, a]$ , the series is uniformly convergent.

On  $[-a, a]$ , we can do term-wise differen-

ce,  $f$  is differentiable at every  $x \in (-R, R)$ .

In fact  $f$  is infinitely differentiable on  $(-R, R)$  by the same argument.

$$a_0 = f(0)$$

$$a_1 = f'(0)$$

$$\frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} = a_k \quad \{ \text{by induction} \}$$

$$\therefore f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k$$

Def:- A function  $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be real analytic if for every  $c \in (a, b)$ , there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $f|_{(c-\epsilon, c+\epsilon)}$  has a power series expansion.

example -  $f: (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}$

$$0 < c < 1$$

$$(-1, 1) \ni x \mapsto \frac{1}{1-x}$$

Theorem 7 If  $f$  and  $g$  are integrable, then product  $fg$  belongs is also integrable.

Theorem 8 Integration by parts

Let  $F, G$  be differentiable on  $[a, b]$  and let  $f := F'$  and  $g = G'$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are integrable. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b fg &= G \int_a^b f - \int_a^b g \left( \int_a^b f \right) \\ &= GF \Big|_a^b - \int_a^b Fg.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 9 Taylor's theorem with remainder

Suppose that  $f', \dots, f^n, f^{n+1}$  exist on  $[a, b]$  and that  $f^{n+1}$  is integrable. Then we have,  $t \in [a, b] \setminus \{b\}$ ,

$$f(b) = f(a) + \frac{f'(a)}{1!} (b-a) + \dots + \frac{f^n(a)}{n!} (b-a)^n + R_n$$

where  $R_n$  is the remainder given by

$$R_n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^b f^{n+1}(t) \cdot (b-t)^n dt.$$