

程序验证方法 研究生课程

Chapter 10 (10.1-10.4) Nondeterministic Programs

朱惠彪
华东师范大学 软件工程学院

10.1 Syntax

Expanding the grammar for **while programs** by adding for each $n \geq 1$ the following production rules:

- **if command or alternative command**

$S ::= \text{if } B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \square \dots \square B_n \rightarrow S_n \text{ fi,}$

- **do command or repetitive command**

$S ::= \text{do } B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \square \dots \square B_n \rightarrow S_n \text{ od.}$

Also written as

$\text{if } \square_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ fi and do } \square_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ od.}$

Guarded Command

Guard

Execution

- if $B_1 \rightarrow S_1 \square \dots \square B_n \rightarrow S_n$ fi
 - ♦ If **more than one** guard B_i evaluates to **true**, any of the corresponding statements S_i may be executed next.
 - ♦ If **all** guards evaluate to **false**, the alternative command will signal a **failure**.

10.2 Semantics

(xx) $\langle \text{if } \Box_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ fi}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle S_i, \sigma \rangle$

where $\sigma \models B_i$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

(xxi) $\langle \text{if } \Box_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ fi}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle E, \text{fail} \rangle$

where $\sigma \models \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \neg B_i$

(xxii) $\langle \text{do } \Box_{i=1}^n \rightarrow S_i \text{ od}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle S_i; \text{do } \Box_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ od}, \sigma \rangle$

where $\sigma \models B_i$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

(xxiii) $\langle \text{do } \Box_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ od}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle E, \sigma \rangle$

where $\sigma \models \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \neg B_i$.



Here **fail** is an exceptional state.

$M[[S]]$

- Partial correctness semantics:

$$M[[S]](\sigma) = \{\tau \mid \langle S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle E, \tau \rangle\},$$

- Total correctness semantics:

$$M_{tot}[[S]](\sigma) = M[[S]](\sigma)$$

$$\cup \{\perp \mid S \text{ can diverge from } \sigma\}$$

$$\cup \{\text{fail} \mid S \text{ can fail from } \sigma\}.$$



Chapter 3.7

Properties of Semantics

- Lemma 10.1. (Bounded Nondeterminism)

Bounded

Let S be a nondeterministic program and σ a proper state. Then $M_{tot}[[S]](\sigma)$ is either **finite** or it contains \perp .

- Lemma 10.2. (Correspondence)

(i) $M_{tot}[[\text{if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \text{ fi}]] = M_{tot}[[\text{if } B \rightarrow S_1 \square \neg B \rightarrow S_2 \text{ fi}]],$

(ii) $M_{tot}[[\text{while } B \text{ do } S \text{ od}]] = M_{tot}[[\text{do } B \rightarrow S \text{ od}]].$



$$\text{if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \text{ fi} \equiv \text{if } B \rightarrow S_1 \square \neg B \rightarrow S_2 \text{ fi}$$

$$\text{while } B \text{ do } S \text{ od} \equiv \text{do } B \rightarrow S \text{ od}.$$

Syntactic Approximation of a loop

Let Ω be a nondeterministic program such that $M[[\Omega]](\sigma) = \emptyset$ holds for all proper states σ .

kth syntactic approximation of a loop $\text{do } B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ od}$:

$$(\text{do } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ od})^0 = \Omega$$

$$(\text{do } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ od})^{k+1} = \text{if } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i ; (\text{do } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ od})^k \\ \bigwedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \neg B_i \rightarrow \text{skip}$$

fi.

$N[[S]]$

Let N stand for M or M_{tot} . We extend N to deal with the error states \perp and fail by

$$M[[S]](\perp) = M[[S]](\text{fail}) = \emptyset$$

and

$$M_{tot}[[S]](\perp) = \{\perp\} \text{ and } M_{tot}[[S]](\text{fail}) = \{\text{fail}\}$$

and to deal with sets $X \subseteq \Sigma \cup \{\perp\} \cup \{\text{fail}\}$ by

$$N[[S]](X) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in X} N[[S]](\sigma).$$

Lemma 10.3

Lemma 10.3. (Input/Output)

(i) $N[[S]]$ is **monotonic**; that is, $X \subseteq Y \subseteq \mathcal{S} \cup \{\perp\}$ implies $N[[S]](X) \subseteq N[[S]](Y)$.

(ii) $N[[S_1; S_2]](X) = N[[S_2]](N[[S_1]](X))$.

(iii) $M[(S_1; S_2); S_3](X) = N[[S_1; (S_2; S_3)]](X)$.

(iv) $M[[\text{if } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ fi}]](X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n M[[S_i]](X \cap [[B_i]])$.

(v) if $X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n [[B_i]]$ then

$$M_{tot} [[\text{if } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ fi}]](X) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n M_{tot} [[S_i]](X \cap [[B_i]]).$$

(vi) $M[[\text{do } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ od}]] = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} M[[\text{(do } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ od)}^k]]$.


10.4 Verification

Partial Correctness

- RULE 30: ALTERNATIVE COMMAND

$$\frac{\{p \wedge B_i\} S_i \{q\}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ fi } \{q\}}$$

- RULE 31: REPETITIVE COMMAND

Invariant 

$$\frac{\{p \wedge B_i\} S_i \{p\}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}{\{p\} \text{ do } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ od } \{p \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \neg B_i\}}$$

- RULE 4: CONDITIONAL

$$\frac{\{p \wedge B\} S_1 \{q\}, \{p \wedge \neg B\} S_2 \{q\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \text{ fi } \{q\}}$$

- RULE 5: LOOP

$$\frac{\{p \wedge B\} S \{p\}}{\{p\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ od } \{p \wedge \neg B\}}$$

Proof System

- PROOF SYSTEM PN :

This system consists of the group of axioms and rules 1, 2, 3, 6, 30, 31 and A2–A6.

AXIOM 1: SKIP

$$\{p\} \text{ skip } \{p\}$$

AXIOM 2: ASSIGNMENT

$$\{p[u := t]\} u := t \{p\}$$

RULE 3: COMPOSITION

$$\frac{\{p\} S_1 \{r\}, \{r\} S_2 \{q\}}{\{p\} S_1; S_2 \{q\}}$$

RULE 6: CONSEQUENCE

$$\frac{p \rightarrow p_1, \{p_1\} S \{q_1\}, q_1 \rightarrow q}{\{p\} S \{q\}}$$

Total Correctness

We have to show absence of **failures** and absence of **divergence**.

- RULE 32: ALTERNATIVE COMMAND II**

$$\frac{p \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^n B_i \quad \{p \wedge B_i\} S_i \{q\}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ fi } \{q\}}$$

Failures arise **only if none** of the guards in an alternative command evaluates to true

- RULE 33: REPETITIVE COMMAND II**

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \{p \wedge B_i\} S_i \{p\}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \{p \wedge B_i \wedge t = z\} S_i \{t < z\}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ p \rightarrow t \geq 0 \end{array}}{\{p\} \text{ do } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow S_i \text{ od } \{p \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \neg B_i\}}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \{p \wedge B\} S \{p\}, \\ \{p \wedge B \wedge t = z\} S \{t < z\}, \\ p \rightarrow t \geq 0 \end{array}}{\{p\} \text{ while } B \text{ do } S \text{ od } \{p \wedge \neg B\}}$$

PROOF SYSTEM

- **PROOF SYSTEM TN :**

This system consists of the group of axioms and rules 1, 2, 3, 6, 32, 33 and A3–A6.

Proof Outline for Total Correctness

- (xiii)

$$\frac{p \rightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^n B_i \quad \{p \wedge B_i\} S_i^* \{q\}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}{\{p\} \text{ if } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow \{p \wedge B_i\} S_i^* \{q\} \text{ fi } \{q\}}$$

- (xiv)

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \{p \wedge B_i\} S_i^* \{p\}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \{p \wedge B_i \wedge t = z\} S_i^{**} \{t < z\}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ p \rightarrow t \geq 0 \end{array}}{\{\text{inv: } p\} \{\text{bd: } t\} \text{ do } \bigwedge_{i=1}^n B_i \rightarrow \{p \wedge B_i\} S_i^* \{p\} \text{ od } \{p \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \neg B_i\}}$$

Example 10.1

Proof outline for total correctness of the program GCD (mentioned in the beginning of Section 10.3):

$$\{x = x_0 \wedge y = y_0 \wedge x_0 > 0 \wedge y_0 > 0\}$$
$$\{\text{inv} : p\} \{\text{bd} : t\}$$
$$\text{do } x > y \rightarrow \{p \wedge x > y\}$$
$$x := x - y$$
$$\square x < y \rightarrow \{p \wedge x < y\}$$
$$y := y - x$$
$$\text{od}$$
$$\{p \wedge \neg(x > y) \wedge \neg(x < y)\}$$
$$\{x = y \wedge y = \text{gcd}(x_0, y_0)\}.$$

The greatest common divisor of

Invariant:

$$p \equiv \text{gcd}(x, y) = \text{gcd}(x_0, y_0) \wedge x > 0 \wedge y > 0$$

Bound function:

$$t \equiv x + y.$$

Example:

If $x=16$ and $y=5$, then

$$x > y \rightarrow x=11 \quad y=5;$$
$$x=6 \quad y=5;$$
$$x=1 \quad y=5;$$
$$x < y \rightarrow x=1 \quad y=4;$$
$$x=1 \quad y=3;$$
$$x=1 \quad y=2;$$
$$x=1 \quad y=1;$$


When exiting from loop, 'x=y' is satisfied!

Soundness

Theorem 10.1. (Soundness of PN and TN)

- (i) The proof system PN is sound for partial correctness of nondeterministic programs.
- (ii) The proof system TN is sound for total correctness of nondeterministic programs.

Thank you