

IS893: Advanced Software Security

8. Logic and Constraint Languages

Kihong Heo



Constraint Solving in Program Analysis

- Various uses of logical constraints in program analysis
 - Verification condition: software verification
 - Path reachability: fuzzing, symbolic execution
 - Exploitable input: automated exploit generation
 - Etc

Example

```
int arr[size];
for (int i = 0; i < size - 1; i++) {
    arr[i] = 0;
}
assert(i < size);
arr[i] = 1;
```

```
array = random_array();
quicksort(array);

for(i = 0; i < size - 1; i++)
    assert(arr[i] <= array[i + 1]);
```

```
if (a < b) {
    flag = 0;
} else {
    flag = 1;
}

if (a < b) {
    // Is this branch reachable if flag = 1?
}
```

```
// Does any exploitable input exist?
str = input();
int arr[10];
strcpy(arr, str);
```

Common Constraint Languages

- Commonly used languages in the community
 - SAT
 - SMT
 - Horn clause
 - Constrained Horn clause (CHC)
- Different expressiveness and efficiency

All languages supported by Z3

Propositional Logic: Syntax

- Atom: truth symbols (“true” and “false”) and propositional variables
- Literal: atom α or its negation $\neg\alpha$
- Formula: literal or application of a logical connective to formulae F, F_1, F_2

$$\neg F$$

$$F_1 \wedge F_2$$

$$F_1 \vee F_2$$

$$F_1 \implies F_2$$

$$F_1 \iff F_2$$

Propositional Logic: Semantics

- Interpretation: assignment to every propositional variable exactly one truth value

$$I : \{p \mapsto \text{true}, q \mapsto \text{false}, \dots\}$$

- Formula F + Interpretation $I =$ Truth value
- We write $I \models F$ if F evaluates to true under I
- We write $I \not\models F$ if F evaluates to false under I
- Example: $\{p \mapsto \text{true}, q \mapsto \text{false}\} \models (p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \vee \neg q)$

The SAT Problem

- Boolean satisfiability problem
- “Given a **propositional** formula, decide whether it is satisfiable”
 - If satisfiable, there exists an satisfying assignment to the variables
 - NP-complete
- Example:

$$(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee r) \wedge (p \vee \neg r \vee q)$$

Satisfiable when $p = \text{false}$, $q = \text{true}$, $r = \text{true}$

$$p \wedge \neg p$$

Unsatisfiable

First-order Logic

- Logical symbols
 - Quantifiers (\forall and \exists), logical connectives, variables, equality symbol
- Non-logical symbols
 - Predicates (relation): *greaterThan*, *isFemale*, etc
 - Functions: *fatherOf*, *plus*, etc
 - Constants: “Kihong”, 1, etc (special case of a function with arity 0)
- Example: $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z} . p(x) \wedge f(x) > 0 \implies q(x)$

Terms

- Variables: any variable is a term
- Functions: any expression $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a term if f is a function symbol and t_i is a term
- Example:
 - $x, 1, f(f(x), f(f(f(x))))$

First-order Formula

- Predicates: $P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a formula if P is a predicate symbol and t_i is a term
- Equality: $t_1 = t_2$ is a formula if t_1 and t_2 are terms
- Negation: $\neg\varphi$ is a formula if φ is a formula
- Connectives: $\varphi \oplus \psi$ is a formula if φ and ψ are formulas, and \oplus is a connectives
- Quantifiers: $\forall x . \varphi$ and $\exists x . \varphi$ are formulas if φ is a formula and x is a variable
- Example:
 - $P(f(0), 1, 2)$ is a formula but, $P(P(1, 2), 2)$ is NOT a formula

The SMT Problem

- Satisfiability Modulo Theories
- “Given a **first-order** formula, decide whether it is satisfiable”
- Higher level reasoning than the Boolean level by theories
- Complexity: depending on the underlying theories

$$\boxed{x + 2 = y} \implies \boxed{f} \boxed{read} \boxed{write}(a, x, \boxed{3}, \boxed{y - 2}) = \boxed{f} \boxed{y - x + 1}$$

Arithmetic

Array

Uninterpreted
Functions

Theories

- Signature + Axiom
 - Signature: a set of non-logical symbols (predicates, constants, functions)
 - Axiom: a set of true statements
 - E.g., linear arithmetic theory

Signature: $(0, 1, +, -, \leq)$

Axioms: $\forall a, b. a + b = b + a$
 $\forall a, b, c. (a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$

Common Theories

- Equality with uninterpreted function (EUF): $x = y \implies f(x) = f(y)$
- Arrays: two axioms with two interpreted function *read* and *write*
 $read(write(A, i, d), i) = d$ and $read(write(A, i, d), j) = read(A, j)$ for $i \neq j$
- Bit-vectors (integers or floating-point)
- Linear arithmetic
- Inductive datatypes
- Etc

Horn Clause

- Clause: a disjunction of literals (e.g., $p \vee \neg q \vee \neg r$)
- Horn clause: a clause with at most one positive
 - E.g., $\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r$ which is equivalent to $p \wedge q \implies r$
- Horn clause logic: basis of logic programming languages such as Prolog and Datalog

Horn Clause Satisfiability

- Propositional Horn clause (HORNSAT): linear time
- First-order Horn clause (e.g., Prolog): undecidable
- First-order Horn clause w/o function symbols (e.g., Datalog): EXPTIME

Constrained Horn Clause (CHC)

- A first-order logic formula,

$$\underbrace{\varphi \wedge}_{\text{Constraint}} \underbrace{p_1(X_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n(X_n)}_{\text{Datalog rule}} \implies h(X)$$

- φ : a constraint in a background theory (e.g., linear)

Conclusion

- Constraint solving: check satisfiability of logical constraints
 - Constraints on program properties: PL, SE, Security, etc
 - Constraints on general facts: symbolic AI, knowledge discovery
- Various constraint languages with different expressive power