

Advanced Algorithms Assignment 1

Anthony Medico

Question 1

a) Optimal Substructure

- Consider the fastest way possible to get from the start through stop 1 at step i ($s[i][1]$)
- If $i = 1$, there is only one solution: $e[1] + a[1][1]$
- For step $i = 2, \dots, n$, there are k possibilities (number of stops at each step) at each step:
 - The previous destination was one of the k stops at step $i - 1$
 - Total time = time required to get from start through one of the k stops at previous step,
+ travel time from that stop to stop 1 at step i ($s[i][1]$),
+ time to perform the task at $s[i][1]$
- Similarly for stop 2, ..., k
- So, if the fastest way to get from the start through stop 1 at step i ($s[i][1]$), is through $s[i-1][j]$, where $j = 1, \dots, k$, then we must have taken the fastest route from the start through $s[i-1][j]$
- If there was another faster way, we would have calculated and recorded it when calculating fastest times at step $i - 1$
- Similarly for stop 2, ..., k
- Therefore the optimal solution to the overall problem must contain within optimal solutions to subproblems, and exhibits optimal substructure

b) Recursive Definition

- Let $\text{min_times}[i][j]$ denote the minimum time to get to stop $s[i][j]$
- Let f^* denote the minimum overall time to travel from the starting location to the final destination:
 - $f^* = \min(\text{min_times}[n][j] + x[j])$, where $j = 1, \dots, k$
- Recursive definition for values of $\text{min_times}[i][j]$:
- $$\text{min_times}[i][j] = \begin{cases} e[j] + a[1][j] & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \min(\text{min_times}[j'][i-1] + t[i-1][j'][j] + a[i][j]) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 - where j' is the stop at step $i - 1$ ($1 \dots k$)

c) Dynamic Programming Algorithm

```
# Ranges are inclusive at both endpoints.
# This pseudo code is not using 0-indexing, but the
# corresponding program does and handles it
# appropriately.

# mt = minimum times
# bmt = best minimum time
# bps = best previous stop
# cmt = current minimum time
# ps = previous stops (to get overall path)

# DP Algorithm
for j = 1 to k
    mt[j][1] = e[j] + a[1][j]

for i = 2 to n
    for j = 1 to k
        bmt = infinity
        bps = 0
        for j' = 1 to k
            cmt = mt[j'][i-1] + t[i-1][j'][j] + a[i][j]
            if cmt < bmt
                bmt = cmt
                bps = j'
        mt[j][i] = bmt
        ps[j][i] = bps

f_star = infinity
s_star = 0

for j = 1 to k
    cmt = mt[j][n-1] + x[j]
    if cmt < f_star
        f_star = cmt
        s_star = j

# To construct route
stops.push(s_star)
j = s_star

for i = n to 2
    j = ps[j][i]
    stops.push(j)

for i = 1 to n
    print "Step {i}: stop {stops.pop()}"
```

Question 2

a) Optimal Substructure

- If $(t_1 \dots t_k)(t_{k+1} \dots t_n)$ is an optimal shift split point, then the split points within $(t_1 \dots t_k)$ and $(t_{k+1} \dots t_n)$ must be optimal too.
- If not, then there is an alternate set of split points that would produce a lower overall cost.
- The two subproblems also do not affect each other in any way.
- If either alternate set of split points did produce a lower cost, then you could just substitute them in to get a resulting lower overall cost, which is a contraction.
- Therefore, the subproblems must also be optimal.

b) Recursive Definition

- Let $m[i][j]$ be the minimum cost of assigning tasks $t_i \dots t_j$
- The cost of the cheapest overall solution is $m[1][n]$
- If $i = j$: $m[i][j] = 1000$
- If $i < j$: $m[i][j] = \min(m[i][k] + m[k+1][j], c[i][j])$ (for some k)
 - where $c[i][j]$ is the cost of scheduling tasks $i \dots j$ in one shift
 - $c[i][j] = \text{infinity}$ if they don't all fit in 1 shift
- Find the best value of k , which represents an optimal split point
- If $c[i][j]$ is the best overall k , then there is no split point (it is instead optimal to have all tasks within 1 shift)

c) Dynamic Programming Algorithm

```
# DP algorithm

for i = 1 to n
    m[i][j] = 1000

for t = 2 to n
    for i = 1 to (n-t+1)
        j = i + t - 1
        m[i][j] = infinity
        for k = i to j-1
            q = min(m[i][k] + m[k+1][j], c[i][j]) # see cost function below

            split_point = 0
            if m[i][k] + m[k+1][j] < c[i][j]
                split_point = k

        if q < m[i][j]
            m[i][j] = q
            s[i][j] = split_point
```

```

# Cost function to populate c[i][j]
# Calculates cost from task i to task j
# Returns cost if the tasks fit in 1 shift, or infinity otherwise

# num_gaps = number of gaps required
# req_time = total required time for all tasks including minimum gap of 1
# base_gap_time = splitting total gap time evenly (remainder not included)

function cost(i, j, tasks, M) => int
    total_task_time = 0
    for task_num = i to j
        total_task_time += tasks[task_num]

    num_gaps = j - i
    req_time = total_task_time + num_gaps

    if req_time > M
        return infinity

    total_gap_time = M - total_task_time
    base_gap_time = floor(total_gap_time / num_gaps)

    for i = 1 to num_gaps
        gaps[i] = base_gap

    remainder_to_add = total_gap_time % num_gaps
    for i = 1 to remainder_to_add
        gaps[i] += 1

    cost = 0
    foreach k in gaps
        cost += (k - 1)2

    return cost

```

Question 3

a) Greedy Choice Definition

- At each step i , choose the stop with the minimal task time.
- This problem has the greedy choice property because travel times to and from a step are the same for any chosen stop at that step, and therefore has no effect on your choice of which stop.
- Since the travel times add the same constant amount for any chosen overall path, then we can ignore all travel times when looking for an optimal solution.
- This leaves us with the sum of task times across the entire race.
- If you make the greedy choice defined above at each step (which doesn't rely on any other steps), you will get the optimal solution.
- Choosing any other task instead can only add time to the overall race, making it not optimal anymore.

b) Represented as a Matroid

- S contains all stops across the entire race (i.e. $s[i][j]$ for all i and j).
- A is in I iff A contains no more than 1 stop for a given step.
 - Examples:
 - A can contain any single stop
 - If $|A| > 1$, there cannot be more than 1 stop for a given step.
 - A may contain stops (that satisfy the above property) that are not connected, such a set containing only 1 stop from step 1 and 1 stop from step 3.
 - Hereditary:
 - With the above property, I will also contain all subsets of A .
 - Exchange property:
 - Also satisfied with above property
 - If a string of stops $B = \{A, B, C, D\}$ is in I , then so is $\{A, B, C\}$, and if $A = \{A, B\}$, then with the exchange property, $A \cup \{C\} = \{A, B, C\}$
 - Maximal Independent Subset:
 - A subset of size n containing exactly one stop from each step
- $w(s)$ is the task time associated with that stop (from $a[i][j]$)
 - $w'(s) = w_0 - w(s)$, where $w_0 > w(s)$ for every s
 - $w'(A) = n \cdot w_0 - w(A)$ for any maximal independent subset A
 - n is total number of steps

The general greedy algorithm for matroids will produce an optimal answer for this problem. When S is sorted into non-increasing order using $w'(s)$, they end up sorted in non-decreasing order of task time. Since I contains subset combinations where there is no more than 1 stop for a given step, then $\{x\}$ won't be unioned with A if there is already a stop in A at the same step as $\{x\}$. Since we iterate through all stops, this proves that we will get a valid solution. To prove the solution is optimal, the ordering

of S will ensure that the tasks with the smallest times are checked first, so the first task we come across for a given step will be the smallest time task for that step, and after that no more tasks from that step can be chosen (the subset won't be in I).