

Digital Self-Ownership

Paul Trevithick, The Mee Foundation

Created March 27, 2023. Revised March 28, 2023

Abstract

Digital self-ownership is a set of individual rights that give people ownership over their online personal information. These rights are related to, but different from property rights involved in the ownership of personal property. We show how these rights be implemented by through a combination of user agents and contract law.

1 Ownership as rights

Ownership of property is a system of rights. Consider a spoon that you own. Your rights to use the spoon, give it away, sell it, destroy it, and so on, are not intrinsic to the spoon—they exist because they are recognized by law.

John Locke argued that property law should be applied to people. He is commonly regarded as the originator of “self-ownership”—the idea that humans have a property right in their person. In 1689 he wrote, “Every man has a property in his own person: this nobody has any right to but himself.”[3]. This idea was taken up by the American revolutionaries, and was instrumental in justifying their passion to be self-sovereign citizens instead of subjects of King George. Locke considered these to be innate human rights, but it is worth noting that they were later formalized in the U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights.

2 Digital Self-Ownership

Today, a few hundred years later, the self-ownership that Locke argued was “self-evident” does not hold in the digital realm. Despite some advances in privacy law, we have little control over our digital selves. Much of our human information (e.g., preferences, interests, affiliations, friends, medical records, location data) is collected by corporations involved in surveillance capitalism[5]. It is held, bought, sold, and leveraged for the corporation’s economic advantage, not ours. The resulting loss of privacy and lack of a sense of ownership of our personal data is well-known. Although we’re not quite ready to go to war over the

idea, we believe that owning our digital selves is vitally important to civil society and the survival of democracy in a digital age.

Digital Self-Ownership (DSO) is an extension of Locke’s self-ownership that covers people’s digital selves, i.e. their personal information. DSO proposes a set of digital personal rights which establish people’s power and control over their personal information. In much the same way that private property gives individuals power over their own belongings, DSO is about increasing the power of individuals relative the power of digital service providers.

We acknowledge that many argue that the concept of ownership and property should not be applied to data in general¹, and thus not to personal data specifically. For example, Prewitt[4] argues that “data cannot be owned, but must be governed.” However, by following the tradition of treating ownership and property as a system of rights, we a set of rights can be designed appropriate for the characteristics of personal data.

The specific set of DSO rights differ by two primary storage location domains. The first set is for *user-held*[2] personal data. The second set is for what we call *app-held* personal data.

2.1 DSO for User-held Data

User-held personal information is data about the individual that is created (authored) by them or captured by them using a sensor, and “held” (stored) on their personal device such as a phone, personal datastore, or personal data cloud.

The following is a bundle of rights that taken together give the user ownership over their user-held data:

- **Assert.** The right to assert information about themselves.
- **Access, Update, Delete.** The right to access, update and/or delete their data.
- **Process.** The right to process their data. This includes leveraging it with local applications, algorithms, and “personal AI.”
- **Share.** The right to share data with others without a license. Note that exercising this right undermines ownership, often entirely.

¹For other arguments against using the concept of ownership and propertization of personal data (and data in general) see RadicalxChange.org’s (<https://radicalxchange.org>) Data Freedom Act (<https://www.radicalxchange.org/media/papers/data-freedom-act.pdf>), which is “...informed by a model of social, overlapping claims to data. This view of data, which challenges more familiar notions of individual data ownership, is echoed by top researchers in the fields of data privacy, security, and network economics.” See also the Technium Data Manifesto <https://kk.org/thetechnium/data-manifesto/> whose first tenet reads, “Data cannot be owned. By anybody.”

- **License.** The right to transfer a copy of the data to a data custodian’s app, whereupon that copy, now being *app-held*, affords the user the rights described below.

2.2 DSO for App-held Data

App-held data is personal information about an individual that is held (stored) by a digital service provider’s mobile app, desktop app, website or webservice with which the individual directly interacts. We call these first-party providers, data custodians.

We define app-held personal information as data that the data custodian has collected through interactions between the individual as a user of the data custodian’s app, or through observations made by the app or associated sensors, or through data generated by the app as part of these interactions, but excluding data inferred about the individual as part of these interactions.

In all cases these rights must be implemented through the mechanism of direct digital communication between the data custodian and a user agent. This user agent is the individual’s representative in a digital connection with the data custodian.

Below is the minimum bundle of rights that gives the user ownership over their app-held personal data:

- **Opt-in.** The right to require opt-in consent to all collection, transfer, disclosure, retention and use and notification of the organizational or business purpose behind each.
- **Access, Update, Delete.** The right, to access update, and delete app-held data held by the data custodian.

3 Related Work

Jurcys et al.[2] defined the term (user-held) data and is believed to be the first to describe how self-ownership applies to it. This is closely related to the discussion of user-held data rights above. Van Alstyne et al.[1]’s proposed ’in-situ’ concept is closely related to the app-held data rights described above.

4 Implementation

The rights outlined can be made available to individuals using a combination of legal and technical means.

Since the user is in total control of user-held data, the user *is* the law in this case, so other law is required. However, for app-held data this situation is more complicated.

Since privacy laws do not provide and enforce the rights we’ve described ² for app-held data, we can instead rely on contract law. A contract can be executed between a trusted intermediary that operates on behalf of the individual on the one hand, and the first-party, acting as a data custodian on the other. We call this contract a *Human Information License*.

On the technical side, a user agent (which by definition represents the user) can consume APIs exposed by the data custodian to implement the access, reading, updating and deleting functions we have described.

We now touch on a third domain of personal data, namely, third-party-held personal data. This is data collected and held by third-parties with which the user doesn’t directly interact and about whom they are often not aware. These third-parties are obsoleted by the combination of agents and DSO-respecting first-parties.

5 Conclusion

We have described a system of rights to give individuals ownership over their personal information online. These can be implemented through a combination of contractual and technical means.

References

- [1] Marshall W Van Alstyne, Georgios Petropoulos, Geoffrey Parker, and Bertin Martens. ‘in situ’ data rights. *Communications of the ACM*, 64:34–35, 2021. URL: <https://cacm.acm.org/magazines/2021/12/256948-in-situ-data-rights/fulltext>.
- [2] Paulius Jurcys, Christopher Donewald, Mark Fenwick, Markus Lampinen, Vytautas Nekrošius, and Andrius Smaliukas. Ownership of user-held data: Why property law is the right approach. *JOLT*, 2021. URL: <https://jolt.law.harvard.edu/digest/ownership-of-user-held-data-why-property-law-is-the-right-approach>.
- [3] John Locke. *Second treatise of government: An essay concerning the true original, extent and end of civil government*. John Wiley and Sons, 2014.
- [4] Matt Prewitt. A view of the future of our data: Welcome to the era of data coalitions. *Noema Magazine*, 2 2021. URL: <https://www.noemamag.com/a-view-of-the-future-of-our-data/>.
- [5] Shoshana Zuboff. *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*. Profile Books, 2019.

²The situation is in reality even more stark. Putting aside the rights we propose here, individual data ownership rights are not recognized in any jurisdiction.