

# Solution Overview

## Adaptive Filter Used: Recursive Least Squares (RLS)

- RLS is an adaptive filter that minimizes mean square error using an exponentially weighted least squares criterion.
- Well-suited for noise cancellation in dynamic environments due to its fast convergence and precision.

| Property            | Advantage                                   | Disadvantage                         |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Convergence         | Fast, near-instantaneous adaptation         | Computationally expensive            |
| Tracking Ability    | Performs well in time-varying noise         | High sensitivity to numerical errors |
| Memory Requirements | Uses inverse correlation matrix for updates | Consumes more memory than LMS        |

## Processing Pipeline & Implementation Details

- The cost function:

$$J(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda^{n-k} e^2(k)$$

Where  $e(n)$  = estimated true signal and  $\lambda$  is the *forgetting factor*.

- The weights are updated every 32,768 samples.

## Update Algorithm

- $x(n), d(n), w(n)$  are  $n^{th}$  sequence component of noisy signal, true signal and weights of the filter resp.
- $P(k) = R_{xx}^{-1}(k)$  is the inverse-correlation matrix (to be initialized)
- Define  $X(n)$  as the column vector containing the last buffered samples of  $x(n)$ .
- The algorithm is then as follows:

$$\text{Gain vector: } g(n) = \frac{P(n-1)X(n)}{\lambda + X^T(n)P(n-1)X(n)}$$

$$\text{Noise Estimate: } \hat{v}(n) = X^T(n)w(n-1)$$

$$\text{True Signal Estimate: } e(n) = d(n) - \hat{v}(n)$$

$$\text{Weight Update: } w(n) = w(n-1) + g(n)e(n)$$

$$\text{Inverse-Correlation Matrix Update: } \frac{1}{\lambda} (P(n-1) - g(n)X^T(n)P(n-1))$$

## Computational Complexity (per iteration)

| Step                              | Complexity |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Gain Vector                       | $O(N^2)$   |
| Noise Estimate                    | $O(N)$     |
| True Signal Estimate              | $O(1)$     |
| Weight Update                     | $O(N)$     |
| Inverse-Correlation Matrix Update | $O(N^2)$   |

# Results

Performance Metrics for Full Suppression:

Pre-Cancellation SNR: -16.77 dB

Post-Cancellation SNR: 33.19 dB

## Design Trade-offs & Justifications

| Parameter                       | Choice            | Justification   |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Update Rate (number of samples) | 32768             | Best performance (highest SNR for <i>full_suppression</i> ) |
| Filter Order                    | 16                | Balances latency vs. performance                            |
| Forgetting Factor               | 0.99999           | Balance between filter performance vs. no. of past errors   |
| Initialization $P(0)$           | $\frac{I}{0.001}$ | Prevents numerical instability                              |

## Partial Suppression Approach under Consideration

- Continuously apply a notch filter across the *external\_noise* that removes the tonal frequency from reference.
- The algorithm will thus never learn to adapt to the tone and it will be retained in the output.

## References

1. Paulo S. R. Diniz, "Adaptive Filtering: Algorithms and Practical Implementation" (Chapter 5)
2. Ali, F., Rawat, P., & Malvia, S. (2017). Comparative analysis and survey of LMS and RLS adaptive algorithms. International Journal of Computer Applications, 161(3), 26–29. <https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2017913136>
3. S. Haykin, "Adaptive Filter Theory", 3rd Edition, Prentice Hall, N.J., 1996 (Chapter 13)
4. M. H. Hayes, "Statistical Digital Signal Processing and Modeling", John Wiley & Sons, 1996 (Chapter 9)