

# Wiener Attack + Factoring $n$ given $d$

October 7, 2023

## 1 Introduction

Honestly looking at this again months after completing the challenge I'm not sure I solved it in the intended way - did it simply require a common modulus attack (as in the "twin" challenge)? Anyways, if so I really took the scenic route here, mounting Wiener's attack AND factoring  $n$  given the secret exponent  $d$ .

What we have given ("chall.py", "ciphers", "key1.pem", "key2.pem") is a message, split in two, and each of the two parts encrypted using one of the keys. The two keys share the same modulus  $n$ , but have different values for  $e$ . So regarding my remark above, unsure if a common modulus attack would have been possible since the two keys were used to encrypt different messages rather than the same. I used Wiener's attack to break "key1.pem" (see the additional document "wiener.tex"/"wiener.pdf" for details), and then factored  $n$  using the private exponent  $d_1$ .

## 2 Theorem of Secret Parameters: Break RSA using $d$

The *Theorem of Secret Parameters* states that given one entry of the private key  $(p, q, \phi(n), d)$ , and the public key, we can efficiently compute the full private key.

We know that by construction,  $e \cdot d - 1 = x \cdot \phi(n)$  ( $d$  is the multiplicative inverse of  $e$  modulo  $\phi(n)$ ).

Begin with the observation that since  $p, q$  are odd,  $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$  is a product of two even numbers and thus itself even. We can thus write

$$\begin{aligned} e \cdot d - 1 &= x \cdot \phi(n) \\ &= 2^s \cdot k \end{aligned}$$

I.e., we have decomposed  $e \cdot d - 1$  into  $s$ , its so-called multiplicity of two (the power of two in its prime factorization) and  $k$ , its odd part.

Now we will repeat the following procedure until we have found a factor of  $n$ :

1. Pick a random value  $0 < a < n$ .
2. Check if  $\gcd(a, n) > 1$ . Since  $n = pq$ , the only greatest common divisor any number can share with  $n$  is  $\in \{1, p, q, n\}$ . We have picked  $a < n$ , so if  $\gcd(a, n) > 1$ , it has to be  $\in \{p, q\}$  and we are done.
3. Otherwise, check for each  $i = 0, \dots, s - 1$  if  $\gcd((a^k)^{2^i} - 1, n) \neq \{1, n\}$ . If so, we have found a factor of  $n$  and can exit.

Amazingly, the success probability for each iteration of this procedure is  $\frac{1}{2}$ ! How come? We begin with the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(a, n) = 1 &\implies (a^k)^{2^s} = a^{x \cdot \phi(n)} = (a^{\phi(n)})^x \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ &\implies (a^k)^{2^s} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ &\implies \gcd((a^k)^{2^s} - 1, n) = n \end{aligned}$$

We have here used Fermat's Little Theorem. This congruence also holds modulo  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $n$ 's co-prime factors (by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, I think). Now, enter group theory. In RSA, we operate in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , where the asterisk means we are only interested in elements that have a multiplicative inverse. By CRT,  $\mathbb{Z}_n^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ .

$\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_q^*$  are subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . We know that the order of  $a^k$ ,  $o(a^k)$ , defined as  $(a^k)^{o(a^k)} \equiv 1$ , is equal to  $2^s$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . In  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_q^*$ , the order of  $a^k$  may be smaller (or for sure is?). Luckily, by Lagrange's theorem, the order of  $a^k$  in either of the two subgroups has to divide its order in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ .

Let  $g, h$  be generators of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*, \mathbb{Z}_q^*$  respectively. Then  $a^k \cong (g^y, h^z)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ . Let  $o(g^y) = 2^{l_1}$  and  $o(h^z) = 2^{l_2}$ . If  $l_1 \neq l_2$ , we have a success. Assume without loss of generality  $l_1 < l_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (a^k)^{2^{l_1}} &\equiv 1 \pmod{p} \\ (a^k)^{2^{l_2}} &\equiv j \pmod{q}, j \neq 1 \\ p &| (a^k)^{2^{l_1}} - 1 \\ q &\nmid p | (a^k)^{2^{l_1}} - 1 \\ \implies \gcd((a^k)^{2^{l_1}} - 1, n) &= p \end{aligned}$$