Simple Linear Regression Models

Raj Jain
Washington University in Saint Louis
Saint Louis, MO 63130
Jain@cse.wustl.edu

These slides are available on-line at:

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-08/

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M



- 1. Definition of a Good Model
- 2. Estimation of Model parameters
- 3. Allocation of Variation
- 4. Standard deviation of Errors
- 5. Confidence Intervals for Regression Parameters
- 6. Confidence Intervals for Predictions
- 7. Visual Tests for verifying Regression Assumption

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M


Simple Linear Regression Models

- Regression Model: Predict a response for a given set of predictor variables.
- □ Response Variable: Estimated variable
- □ Predictor Variables: Variables used to predict the response. predictors or factors
- □ Linear Regression Models: Response is a linear function of predictors.
- □ Simple Linear Regression Models:
 Only one predictor

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Definition of a Good Model



Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Good Model (Cont)

- Regression models attempt to minimize the distance measured vertically between the observation point and the model line (or curve).
- □ The length of the line segment is called residual, modeling error, or simply error.
- □ The negative and positive errors should cancel out
 ⇒ Zero overall error
 Many lines will satisfy this criterion.

Good Model (Cont)

□ Choose the line that minimizes the sum of squares of the errors.

$$\hat{y} = b_0 + b_1 x$$

where, \hat{y} is the predicted response when the predictor variable is x. The parameter b_0 and b_1 are fixed regression parameters to be determined from the data.

□ Given *n* observation pairs $\{(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}$, the estimated response \hat{y}_i for the ith observation is:

$$\hat{y}_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i$$

□ The error is:

$$e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Good Model (Cont)

□ The best linear model minimizes the sum of squared errors (SSE):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - b_0 - b_1 x_i)^2$$

subject to the constraint that the mean error is zero:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - b_0 - b_1 x_i) = 0$$

☐ This is equivalent to minimizing the variance of errors (see Exercise).

Estimation of Model Parameters

■ Regression parameters that give minimum error variance are:

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum xy - n\bar{x}\bar{y}}{\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad b_0 = \bar{y} - b_1\bar{x}$$

□ where,

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \qquad \bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$$

$$\sum xy = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i \qquad \sum x^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Example 14.1

- □ The number of disk I/O's and processor times of seven programs were measured as: (14, 2), (16, 5), (27, 7), (42, 9), (39, 10), (50, 13), (83, 20)
- □ For this data: n=7, $\Sigma xy=3375$, $\Sigma x=271$, $\Sigma x^2=13,855$, $\Sigma y=66$, $\Sigma y^2=828$, $\bar{x}=38.71$, $\bar{y}=9.43$. Therefore,

$$b_1 = \frac{\sum xy - n\bar{x}\bar{y}}{\sum x^2 - n(\bar{x})^2} = \frac{3375 - 7 \times 38.71 \times 9.43}{13,855 - 7 \times (38.71)^2} = 0.2438$$

$$b_0 = \bar{y} - b_1\bar{x} = 9.43 - 0.2438 \times 38.71 = -0.0083$$


□ The desired linear model is:

CPU time = -0.0083 + 0.2438(Number of Disk I/O's)

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M





Example 14. (Cont)

Error Computation

| Disk I/O's | CPU Time | Estimate | Error | Error^2 |
|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| x_i | y_i | $\hat{y}_i = b_0 + b_1 x_i$ | $e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$ | e_i^2 |
| 14 | 2 | 3.4043 | -1.4043 | 1.9721 |
| 16 | 5 | 3.8918 | 1.1082 | 1.2281 |
| 27 | 7 | 6.5731 | 0.4269 | 0.1822 |
| 42 | 9 | 10.2295 | -1.2295 | 1.5116 |
| 39 | 10 | 9.4982 | 0.5018 | 0.2518 |
| 50 | 13 | 12.1795 | 0.8205 | 0.6732 |
| 83 | 20 | 20.2235 | -0.2235 | 0.0500 |
| Σ 271 | 66 | 66.0000 | 0.00 | 5.8690 |

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Derivation of Regression Parameters

□ The error in the ith observation is:

$$e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i = y_i - (b_0 + b_1 x_i)$$

□ For a sample of n observations, the mean error is:

$$\bar{e} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} e_{i} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \{y_{i} - (b_{0} + b_{1}x_{i})\}$$

$$= \bar{y} - b_{0} - b_{1}\bar{x}$$

□ Setting mean error to zero, we obtain:

$$b_0 = \bar{y} - b_1 \bar{x}$$

□ Substituting b0 in the error expression, we get:

$$e_i = y_i - \bar{y} + b_1 \bar{x} - b_1 x_i = (y_i - \bar{y}) - b_1 (x_i - \bar{x})$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Derivation of Regression Parameters (Cont)

☐ The sum of squared errors SSE is:

SSE =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^2$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ (y_i - \bar{y})^2 + 2b_1 (y_i - \bar{y}) (x_i - \bar{x}) + b_1^2 (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right\}$
= $\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2 - 2b_1 \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y}) (x_i - \bar{x})$
+ $b_1^2 \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$
= $s_y^2 - 2b_1 s_{xy}^2 + b_1^2 s_x^2$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Derivation (Cont)

 \square Differentiating this equation with respect to b_1 and equating the result to zero:

$$\frac{d(SSE)}{db_1} = -2s_{xy}^2 + 2b_1s_x^2 = 0$$

□ That is,

$$b_1 = \frac{s_{xy}^2}{s_x^2} = \frac{\sum xy - n\bar{x}\bar{y}}{\sum x^2 - n(\bar{x})^2}$$

Allocation of Variation

□ Error variance without Regression = Variance of the response

Error =
$$\epsilon_i$$
 = Observed Response - Predicted Response
= $y_i - \bar{y}$

and

Variance of Errors without regression
$$= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$
$$= \text{Variance of y}$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Allocation of Variation (Cont)

□ The sum of squared errors without regression would be:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

□ This is called **total sum of squares** or (SST). It is a measure of y's variability and is called **variation** of y. SST can be computed as follows:

SST =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^2\right) - n\bar{y}^2 = SSY - SSO$$

■ Where, SSY is the sum of squares of y (or Σ y²). SSO is the sum of squares of \bar{y} and is equal to $n\bar{y}^2$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Allocation of Variation (Cont)

□ The difference between SST and SSE is the sum of squares explained by the regression. It is called SSR:

$$SSR = SST - SSE$$

or

$$SST = SSR + SSE$$

□ The fraction of the variation that is explained determines the goodness of the regression and is called the coefficient of determination, R²:

$$R^2 = \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{SST}} = \frac{\text{SST} - \text{SSE}}{\text{SST}}$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Allocation of Variation (Cont)

□ The higher the value of R^2 , the better the regression. $R^2=1 \Rightarrow \text{Perfect fit } R^2=0 \Rightarrow \text{No fit}$

Sample Correlation
$$(x, y) = R_{xy} = \frac{s_{xy}^2}{s_x s_y}$$

- \Box Coefficient of Determination = {Correlation Coefficient (x,y)}²
- Shortcut formula for SSE:

$$SSE = \Sigma y^2 - b_0 \Sigma y - b_1 \Sigma xy$$

Example 14.2

□ For the disk I/O-CPU time data of Example 14.1:

SSE =
$$\Sigma y^2 - b_0 \Sigma y - b_1 \Sigma xy$$

= $828 + 0.0083 \times 66 - 0.2438 \times 3375 = 5.87$
SST = $SSY - SSO = \Sigma y^2 - n(\bar{y})^2$
= $828 - 7 \times (9.43)^2 = 205.71$
SSR = $SST - SSE = 205.71 - 5.87 = 199.84$
 $R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} = \frac{199.84}{205.71} = 0.9715$

□ The regression explains 97% of CPU time's variation.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Standard Deviation of Errors

□ Since errors are obtained after calculating two regression parameters from the data, errors have n-2 degrees of freedom

$$s_e = \sqrt{\frac{\text{SSE}}{n-2}}$$

- \square SSE/(n-2) is called **mean squared errors** or (MSE).
- □ Standard deviation of errors = square root of MSE.
- \square SSY has *n* degrees of freedom since it is obtained from *n* independent observations without estimating any parameters.
- $lue{SS0}$ has just one degree of freedom since it can be computed simply from \bar{y}
- $lue{}$ SST has n-1 degrees of freedom, since one parameter \bar{y} must be calculated from the data before SST can be computed.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Standard Deviation of Errors (Cont)

- □ SSR, which is the difference between SST and SSE, has the remaining one degree of freedom.
- Overall,

$$SST = SSY - SS0 = SSR + SSE$$

$$n-1 = n - 1 = 1 + (n-2)$$

■ Notice that the degrees of freedom add just the way the sums of squares do.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Example 14.3

□ For the disk I/O-CPU data of Example 14.1, the degrees of freedom of the sums are:

$$SS:$$
 $SST = SSY - SS0 = SSR + SSE$
 $205.71 = 828 - 622.29 = 199.84 + 5.87$
 $DF:$ $6 = 7 - 1 = 1 + 5$

□ The mean squared error is:

$$MSE = \frac{SSE}{DF \text{ for Errors}} = \frac{5.87}{5} = 1.17$$

☐ The standard deviation of errors is:

$$s_e = \sqrt{\text{MSE}} = \sqrt{1.17} = 1.08$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Confidence Intervals for Regression Params

- Regression coefficients b_0 and b_1 are estimates from a single sample of size $n \Rightarrow \text{Random}$
 - \Rightarrow Using another sample, the estimates may be different. If β_0 and β_1 are true parameters of the population. That is,

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$$

□ Computed coefficients b_0 and b_1 are estimates of β_0 and β_1 , respectively.

$$s_{b_0} = s_e \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$s_{b_1} = \frac{s_e}{\left[\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2 \right]^{1/2}}$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Confidence Intervals (Cont)

The $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence intervals for b_0 and b_1 can be be computed using $t_{[1-\alpha/2; n-2]}$ --- the $1-\alpha/2$ quantile of a t variate with n-2 degrees of freedom. The confidence intervals are:

$$b_0 \mp t s_{b_0}$$

And

$$b_1 \mp ts_{b_1}$$

If a confidence interval includes zero, then the regression parameter cannot be considered different from zero at the at $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence level.

Example 14.4

- □ For the disk I/O and CPU data of Example 14.1, we have n=7, \bar{x} =38.71, Σx^2 =13,855, and s_e=1.0834.
- \square Standard deviations of b_0 and b_1 are:

$$s_{b_0} = s_e \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\Sigma x^2 - n\bar{x}^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$= 1.0834 \left[\frac{1}{7} + \frac{(38.71)^2}{13,855 - 7 \times 38.71 \times 38.71} \right]^{1/2} = 0.8311$$

$$s_{b_1} = \frac{5e}{\left[\Sigma x^2 - n\bar{x}^2\right]^{1/2}}$$

$$= \frac{1.0834}{\left[13,855 - 7 \times 38.71 \times 38.71\right]^{1/2}} = 0.0187$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Example 14.4 (Cont)

- □ From Appendix Table A.4, the 0.95-quantile of a *t*-variate with 5 degrees of freedom is 2.015.
 - \Rightarrow 90% confidence interval for b₀ is:

$$-0.0083 \mp (2.015)(0.8311) = -0.0083 \mp 1.6747$$

= $(-1.6830, 1.6663)$

- Since, the confidence interval includes zero, the hypothesis that this parameter is zero cannot be rejected at 0.10 significance level. \Rightarrow b₀ is essentially zero.
- □ 90% Confidence Interval for b_1 is: $0.2438 \mp (2.015)(0.0187) = 0.2438 \mp 0.0376$ = (0.2061, 0.2814)
- \square Since the confidence interval does not include zero, the slope b_1 is significantly different from zero at this confidence level.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M


Case Study 14.1: Remote Procedure Call

| UN | IX | ARGUS | | |
|-------|------|-------|------|--|
| Data | Time | Data | Time | |
| Bytes | | Bytes | | |
| 64 | 26.4 | 92 | 32.8 | |
| 64 | 26.4 | 92 | 34.2 | |
| 64 | 26.4 | 92 | 32.4 | |
| 64 | 26.2 | 92 | 34.4 | |
| 234 | 33.8 | 348 | 41.4 | |
| 590 | 41.6 | 604 | 51.2 | |
| 846 | 50.0 | 860 | 76.0 | |
| 1060 | 48.4 | 1074 | 80.8 | |
| 1082 | 49.0 | 1074 | 79.8 | |
| 1088 | 42.0 | 1088 | 58.6 | |
| 1088 | 41.8 | 1088 | 57.6 | |
| 1088 | 41.8 | 1088 | 59.8 | |
| 1088 | 42.0 | 1088 | 57.4 | |


Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

UNIX:



□ ARGUS:



Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

□ Best linear models are:

```
Time on UNIX = 0.030 (Data size in bytes) + 24
Time on ARGUS = 0.034 (Data size in bytes) + 30
```

□ The regressions explain 81% and 75% of the variation, respectively.

Does ARGUS takes larger time per byte as well as a larger set up time per call than UNIX?

| Т | T- | N 1 | Г٦ | r = r | 7 | |
|---|----|------------|----|-------|---|---|
| | 1 | | | | (| • |
| • | Ι. | LÌ | | L.Z | 7 | ٠ |

| <u> </u> | | | |
|------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|
| Para- | | Std. | Confidence |
| meter | Mean | Dev. | Interval |
| $\overline{b_0}$ | 26.898 | 2.005 | (23.2968, 30.4988) |
| b_1 | 0.017 | 0.003 | (0.0128, 0.0219) |

ARGUS:

| | <u> </u> | | |
|------------------|----------|-------|------------------|
| Para- | | Std. | Confidence |
| meter | Mean | Dev. | Interval |
| $\overline{b_0}$ | 31.068 | 4.711 | |
| b_1 | 0.034 | 0.006 | (0.0231, 0.0443) |

- □ Intervals for intercepts overlap while those of the slopes do not.
 - ⇒ Set up times are not significantly different in the two systems while the per byte times (slopes) are different.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Confidence Intervals for Predictions

$$\hat{y}_p = b_0 + b_1 x_p$$

□ This is only the mean value of the predicted response. Standard deviation of the mean of a future sample of m observations is:

$$s_{\hat{y}_{mp}} = s_e \left[\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_p - \bar{x})^2}{\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

 \square m =1 \Rightarrow Standard deviation of a single future observation:

$$s_{\hat{y}_{1p}} = s_e \left[1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_p - \bar{x})^2}{\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

CI for Predictions (Cont)


 \square m = ∞ \Rightarrow Standard deviation of the mean of a large number of future observations at x_p :

$$s_{\hat{y}_p} = s_e \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_p - \bar{x})^2}{\sum x^2 - n\bar{x}^2} \right]^{1/2}$$

□ $100(1-\alpha)$ % confidence interval for the mean can be constructed using a t quantile read at n-2 degrees of freedom.

CI for Predictions (Cont)

□ Goodness of the prediction decreases as we move away from the center.



Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Example 14.5

□ Using the disk I/O and CPU time data of Example 14.1, let us estimate the CPU time for a program with 100 disk I/O's.

CPU time = -0.0083 + 0.2438(Number of disk I/O's)

□ For a program with 100 disk I/O's, the mean CPU time is:

CPU time
$$= -0.0083 + 0.2438(100) = 24.3674$$

Standard deviation of errors $s_e = 1.0834$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Example 14.5 (Cont)

■ The standard deviation of the predicted mean of a large number of observations is:

$$s_{\hat{y}_p} = 1.0834 \left[\frac{1}{7} + \frac{(100 - 38.71)^2}{13,855 - 7(38.71)^2} \right]^{1/2} = 1.2159$$

- □ From Table A.4, the 0.95-quantile of the t-variate with 5 degrees of freedom is 2.015.
 - \Rightarrow 90% CI for the predicted mean

$$= 24.3674 \mp (2.015)(1.2159)$$

$$= (21.9174, 26.8174)$$

Example 14.5 (Cont)

□ CPU time of a single future program with 100 disk I/O's:

$$s_{\hat{y}_{1p}} = 1.0834 \left[1 + \frac{(100 - 38.71)^2}{13,855 - 7(38.71)^2} \right]^{1/2} = 1.6286$$

□ 90% CI for a single prediction:

$$= 24.3674 \mp (2.015)(1.6286)$$

$$= (21.0858, 27.6489)$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M


Visual Tests for Regression Assumptions

Regression assumptions:

- 1. The true relationship between the response variable *y* and the predictor variable *x* is linear.
- 2. The predictor variable *x* is non-stochastic and it is measured without any error.
- 3. The model errors are statistically independent.
- 4. The errors are normally distributed with zero mean and a constant standard deviation.


1. Linear Relationship: Visual Test

 \square Scatter plot of y versus $x \Rightarrow$ Linear or nonlinear relationship



2. Independent Errors: Visual Test

1. Scatter plot of ε_i versus the predicted response \hat{y}_i




□ All tests for independence simply try to find dependence.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Independent Errors (Cont)

2. Plot the residuals as a function of the experiment number




Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M


3. Normally Distributed Errors: Test

□ Prepare a normal quantile-quantile plot of errors.
 Linear ⇒ the assumption is satisfied.



4. Constant Standard Deviation of Errors

☐ Also known as **homoscedasticity**




□ Trend ⇒ Try curvilinear regression or transformation

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Example 14.6

For the disk I/O and CPU time data of Example 14.1




- 1. Relationship is linear
- 2. No trend in residuals \Rightarrow Seem independent
- 3. Linear normal quantile-quantile plot ⇒ Larger deviations at lower values but all values are small

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Example 14.7: RPC Performance



- 1. Larger errors at larger responses
- 2. Normality of errors is questionable

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M



- **Terminology**: Simple Linear Regression model, Sums of Squares, Mean Squares, degrees of freedom, percent of variation explained, Coefficient of determination, correlation coefficient
- Regression parameters as well as the predicted responses have confidence intervals
- ☐ It is important to verify assumptions of linearity, error independence, error normality ⇒ Visual tests

Washington University in St. Louis

CSF567M

Exercise 14.7

□ The time to encrypt a *k* byte record using an encryption technique is shown in the following table. Fit a linear regression model to this data. Use visual tests to verify the regression assumptions.

| Record | Observations | | |
|--------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Size | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 128 | 386 | 375 | 393 |
| 256 | 850 | 805 | 824 |
| 384 | 1544 | 1644 | 1553 |
| 512 | 3035 | 3123 | 3235 |
| 640 | 6650 | 6839 | 6768 |
| 768 | $13,\!887$ | $14,\!567$ | $13,\!456$ |
| 896 | $28,\!059$ | $27,\!439$ | $27,\!659$ |
| 1024 | 50,916 | $52,\!129$ | 51,360 |

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Exercise 2.1

□ From published literature, select an article or a report that presents results of a performance evaluation study. Make a list of good and bad points of the study. What would you do different, if you were asked to repeat the study?

Homework 14

- □ Read Chapter 14
- □ Submit answers to exercise 14.7
- □ Submit answer to exercise 2.1

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M