REVIEW DOCUMENT								
Start Date: 04.05.2020)							
Project Name: Rocket	Number of Pages: 89							
Product Name: Online reservation and management system			Product Definition: An online management tool that members of a sports center can set their sports routine and managers can manage the facility					
Roles:	Review L	eader:	İmge Özbay					
	Reviewers	3:	1. Övül Cebe			3.		
			2. Tina Afshar			4.		
Review Materials: Total Number of Error	rs:	 Risk List (I Project Plate Work Item. Next Iterat Test Cases 	Nodel (U) de Requirements (S) R) un (P) s: List (W) ion's Plan (N)					
Assessment Status: ACCEPTED as it is x with rework			REJECTED review again			ain		
ERROR TYPES								
Error Type Number Error Type Definition			Number of Errors Found			r of Errors Found		
1 Spelling (grammar, punc			tuation, etc) -					
2 Inconsistency with the st			andards -					
3 Uncertain								
4 Incorrect			7					
5	-							
6	4							

7	External Inconsistency	-
8	Incomplete/Insufficient/Missing	5
9	Other	2
	TOTAL	18
Importance Types	Importance Type	Number of Errors Found
	Little	11
	Medium	7
	Important	-
	TOTAL	18

No	Document Name	Section	Page	Line/ No	Error Type	Importance	Explanation
1	Iteration Plan 3	Key milestones	3	-	6	L	Iteration 4 plan did not mentioned in this part
2	Iteration Plan 3	Work item assignment	4	-	6	L	Last four rows status is mentioned as started so it should be stated as completed.
3	Iteration Plan 4	Key milestones	3	1	6	L	Iteration 5 plan did not mentioned in this part
4	Iteration Plan 4	Table of content	2	-	9	L	It doesn't have a great reading style.
5	Project Plan	Project organizatio n	5	-	8	М	Quality team members are missing.
6	Project Plan	Project Milestones	8	-	6	М	Prepare iteration plan 5 should be added to construction

7	Risk List	Items	2	-	4	L	Type is not business for second one, it is more related to project management
8	Risk list	Items	2	-	4	М	Type is not business for third one, it is more related to project management
9	System wide Requireme nts Specificati on	System qualities	6	•	8	М	Explanations of quality of system could be more precise for example section 4.1.3 Consistency(easy to understand?)
10	Work Items list	Items	2	-	8	М	Work item list should contain all of the work items in the project, not only for current iteration
11	Arch. Notebook	Architectur al goals and philosophy	3	-	8	М	Expected lifetime of the system and technologies changes over time is not mentioned since these will have a
12	Arch. Notebook	Architectur al goals and philosophy	3	-	8	М	Hardware dependency were not mentioned either
13	Architectu re Notebook	Decision , constraints and justification s	4	-	9	L	Appropriateness should be explained more precisely.
14	Test cases	UC1 -Scenario 1	-	-	4	L	This test case's pass/fail criteria should be Pass. Expected and actual results are same, so this scenario passes the test.
15	Test cases	UC1 -Scenario 2	-	-	4	L	This test case's pass/fail criteria should be Pass.
16	Test cases	UC1 -Scenario 4	-	-	4	L	This test case's pass/fail criteria should be Pass.

17	Test cases	UC2 -Scenario 1	-	-	4	L	After pushing Sign in button, main page opens. There is no "Email and password is correct" message.
18	Test cases	UC2 -Scenario 2	-	-	4	L	This test case's pass/fail criteria should be Pass.