Compilation Project - Part 1: PetitGo

Megi Dervishi

December 8, 2019

1 Organisation

The project is written in Ocaml using also Ocamllex and Menhir. The github page for the project can be seen in this link. The project is composed by the below files

main Execution of the program

go ast Declaratation of the abstract syntax used during parsing

go parser, go lexer Parsing and lexing

go typer Typing functions

Makefile

The project contains as well the folder tests and inside of it the automatic testing script tester.sh.

1.1 Compiling the project

There are three options that the program could use before compiling:

- -v Mode verbeux.
- -parse-only L'éxécution s'arrête après le parsage.
- -type-only L'éxécution s'arrête après le typage.

In order to test a particular function type it (copy/paste) in the file test.go and run make. To run the automatic tests then execute

make tests

2 Implementation

2.1 Abstrac Syntactic tree

As previously mentioned the abstract syntax is defined in the file go_ast.ml following the rules explained in the project. In particular below there are the representation of the expression and instruction types,

```
and constant =
| Eint64
          of int64
| Estring of string
| Ebool
           of bool
| Econst
           of constant
| ENil
and expr =
           of constant
| Econst
| Eident
           of string
| Emethod of expr loc * ident loc
| Eprint
           of expr loc list
| Ecall
           of ident loc * expr loc list
| Eunop
           of unop * expr loc
           of binop * expr loc * expr loc
| Ebinop
and instr =
  Inop
| Iexpr
          of expr loc
| Iasgn
          of expr loc * expr loc
| Iblock of instruction list
| Idecl
          of ident loc list * ty loc * expr loc
| Ireturn of expr loc
| Ifor
          of expr loc * instr
| Iif
          of expr loc * instr * instr
```

Furthermore to handle the localisation of types I introduced 'a loc which is helps in keeping track of the position of the particular type.

```
type tuple = Lexing.position * Lexing.position
type 'a loc = 'a * tuple
```

2.2 Lexer and Parser

The lexer and parser were also implemented as on the rules described in the subject report. In the lexer there are two hash-tables one of which implements the tokens that require a semicolon after their calling and the other that do not. Then I use the reference bool semicolon which is true if semicolon is required and the function check_semicolon which updates the pointer after an empty

line. In the parser there are certain helpful functions (blabla) which were implemented to option types i.e. supporting None as part of their syntax.

2.3 Typing

Certainly the typer was more challenging than the parser and lexer. The typer has the following main/representative types:

```
type typ =
| Tint
| Tbool
| Tstring
| Tstruct of ident
| Tstar of typ
| Tnone
| Tvoid (* used for "_" *)

type gotype =
| Tsimpl of typ
| Tmany of gotype
```

The environment stores the struct, functions and variables of the program in the following way.

```
type tstruct = (typ Smap.t ) Smap.t
type tfunct = (gotype * gotype) Smap.t
type tvars = typ Smap.t
type typenv = { structs: tstruct; funct : tfunct; vars : tvars }
```

The most important typer functions are the following:

```
1) type_expr: typenv -> 'a * 'b -> gotype * 'c * 'b * bool
2) type_instruction : 'a -> 'b -> 'c -> 'a * 'd * bool * bool
3) add_functions_to_env : typenv -> 'a -> typenv
4) add_struct_to_env: typenv -> '_a -> typenv
5) type_prog: '_a list -> typenv * '_b lis
```

For type_expr if the bool is true then the expression was a left value. For type_instruction there are two bool types. The first one is the return_bool which is true if there was a return in the instructions and the second one is print_bool which is true if there was a Print in the instructions.

Improvements

Well the first improvement from this moment is to make a fully functioning Typer which passes all the tests. Furthermore at this moment I am mostly using the exception of Typing_error. My goal is create a much more eloquent

error handling. Consequently also would like to create better printing functions for the error messages which are more precise in localising/visualizing where the error is to the user. For example:

```
1:
2: func f() { var x = &3 }
```

Conclusion

Overall the project was an interesting challenge which sometimes was a bit difficult as I have learned to code in <code>Ocaml</code> only recently and do not posses yet all the "programming tricks". My parser passed all the automatic tests which I am very happy about. The Typer was definely more difficult especially since I had many small errors with the <code>loc</code> which were not allowing me to compile the Typer. Nonetheless I will continue working on it and improving as mentioned above.