

CONFIDENTIAL: DUE DILIGENCE REPORT

Nebula Capital Partners

Ethereum Layer-2 Investment Opportunity Assessment: Astral Protocol (AST)

Date: April 16, 2025

Prepared By: Nebula Capital Research Team

Distribution: Investment Committee, Nebula Capital Partners

Status: Final Draft

Subject: \$12.5M Series A Investment Proposal for Astral Protocol (AST)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. ****EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****
2. ****INTRODUCTION & INVESTMENT OVERVIEW****
 - 2.1. Scope of Due Diligence
 - 2.2. Proposed Investment Structure
 - 2.3. Market Context: Ethereum Layer-2 Scaling
3. ****PROJECT OVERVIEW: ASTRAL PROTOCOL (AST)****
 - 3.1. Mission & Vision
 - 3.2. Problem Statement Addressed
 - 3.3. Proposed Solution: ZK-Rollup Technology
 - 3.4. Target Audience & Use Cases
4. ****TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT****
 - 4.1. Core Architecture: ZK-Rollup Implementation
 - 4.2. Key Innovation: "Quantum Proof Aggregation" (QPA)
 - 4.3. Scalability & Performance Metrics
 - 4.4. Data Availability Strategy (Post-EIP-4844)
 - 4.5. Interoperability & Composability
 - 4.6. Development Roadmap & Milestones
 - 4.7. Technical Risks & Mitigation
5. ****TEAM ASSESSMENT****
 - 5.1. Founding Team Background & Expertise
 - 5.2. Core Development & Research Team Strength
 - 5.3. Advisory Board Composition
 - 5.4. Organizational Structure & Governance Plan
 - 5.5. Team Token Vesting & Alignment
6. ****MARKET ANALYSIS & POSITIONING****
 - 6.1. Competitive Landscape (Arbitrum, Optimism, zkSync, StarkNet, etc.)
 - 6.2. Total Value Locked (TVL) Analysis & Comparables
 - 6.3. User Metrics & Traction Deep Dive
 - 6.4. Go-to-Market Strategy & Ecosystem Partnerships
 - 6.5. Market Opportunity & Addressable Size
7. ****TOKENOMICS (AST TOKEN)****
 - 7.1. Token Utility & Demand Drivers (Gas, Staking, Governance)
 - 7.2. Token Supply & Distribution Schedule
 - 7.3. Inflation/Emission Model (5-Year Plan)
 - 7.4. Value Accrual Mechanisms
 - 7.5. Potential Risks (Velocity, Centralization)
8. ****SECURITY AUDIT & ASSESSMENT****
 - 8.1. Audit Findings Summary (Trail of Bits, Certik)

- 8.2. Remediation Status & Verification
- 8.3. Ongoing Security Practices (Bug Bounty, Monitoring)
- 8.4. Emergency Procedures & Multisig Controls
- 9. ****RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX****
 - 9.1. Technical Risks (Smart Contracts, ZK Proofs)
 - 9.2. Market Risks (Competition, L1 Evolution, Adoption)
 - 9.3. Regulatory & Compliance Risks
 - 9.4. Execution & Team Risks
 - 9.5. Token Price & Liquidity Risks
 - 9.6. Overall Risk Score & Mitigation Overview
- 10. ****COMPLIANCE & LEGAL REVIEW****
 - 10.1. Legal Entity Structure & Jurisdiction (Cayman Foundation)
 - 10.2. KYC/AML Procedures for Token Sale Participants
 - 10.3. Intellectual Property Ownership
 - 10.4. Regulatory Monitoring & Counsel (DLT Law Partners)
- 11. ****INVESTMENT THESIS & RECOMMENDATION****
 - 11.1. Rationale for Investment
 - 11.2. Valuation Analysis & Comparables (\$156.25M FDV)
 - 11.3. Key Strengths Recap
 - 11.4. Key Weaknesses & Concerns Recap
 - 11.5. Proposed Investment Conditions & Covenants
 - 11.6. Monitoring Plan & Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)
 - 11.7. Final Recommendation: Proceed with Caution
- 12. ****DISCLAIMER****

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This due diligence report provides a comprehensive assessment of the Astral Protocol (AST), a Layer-2 scaling solution built on Ethereum that utilizes zero-knowledge rollup technology. Nebula Capital Partners is considering a \$12.5M investment in exchange for 8% of the total token supply, with a 36-month linear vesting schedule.

Key Findings

1. ****Technical Architecture****: Astral Protocol implements a robust ZK-rollup architecture featuring an innovative cryptographic technique termed "Quantum Proof Aggregation" (QPA), which benchmark testing suggests improves proof verification speed by approximately 67% compared to current competitive ZK solutions, potentially reducing L1 settlement costs and latency.
2. ****Team Background****: The founding team comprises individuals with strong technical credentials from MIT and Stanford, possessing relevant previous experience at leading crypto organizations like Consensys, Polygon, and Coinbase. Notably, the CTO, Dr. Aris Thorne, was a key contributor to the EIP-4844 ("Proto-Danksharding") standard, demonstrating deep expertise in Ethereum scaling.
3. ****Market Positioning****: Despite launching relatively recently (9 months ago), Astral Protocol exhibits promising early traction with 312,000 unique active addresses, \$419M in Total Value Locked (TVL), and a consistent 27% Month-over-Month (MoM) growth in transaction volume. It operates within the highly competitive but rapidly expanding L2 market.
4. ****Tokenomics****: The AST token is designed with clear utility (gas fee payments, staking for sequencer participation/security, governance). The 5-year inflation schedule seems reasonable, prioritizing long-term growth with allocations: Ecosystem Development (38%), Team and Advisors (22% - subject to 48-month vesting), Treasury (15%), and Investors (25% - including current and prior rounds).
5. ****Security Posture****: Two independent audits were completed by reputable firms (Trail of Bits, Certik). Findings included 3 medium and 12 low-severity vulnerabilities, primarily related to potential edge-case DoS vectors and minor gas optimization issues. All identified issues have been formally addressed and

verified as remediated by the audit firms. A public bug bounty program is active.

6. **Risk Assessment**: Primary risks include: (a) The long-term impact of Ethereum's native scaling roadmap (full Danksharding) potentially diminishing the necessity for L2s or commoditizing their value proposition; (b) Intense competition from established and well-funded L2s; (c) Evolving global regulatory uncertainty surrounding DeFi protocols and L2 token classifications; (d) Execution risk associated with delivering on an ambitious technical roadmap.

7. **Compliance**: Astral Protocol has established a Cayman Islands Foundation structure. Appropriate KYC/AML procedures were implemented for its initial private token sale phase via partners like TokenSoft. Ongoing legal counsel is provided by DLT Law Partners, specializing in blockchain and digital assets.

Investment Recommendation

PROCEED WITH CAUTION: Based on our analysis, Astral Protocol represents a high-potential, high-risk investment opportunity suitable for Nebula Capital's Blockchain Innovation Fund. The strong team, innovative technology, and early traction provide a basis for significant potential upside. However, the identified risks, particularly market competition and the evolving L1 landscape, necessitate careful consideration and ongoing monitoring. The proposed valuation (\$156.25M Fully Diluted Valuation) is aggressive but justifiable within the current market for promising ZK-rollup solutions, contingent on achieving key roadmap milestones. We recommend proceeding, subject to negotiating specific investor protections and milestone-based monitoring.

2. INTRODUCTION & INVESTMENT OVERVIEW

2.1. Scope of Due Diligence

This report details the findings of Nebula Capital Partners' due diligence process on Astral Protocol. The assessment covered technical architecture, team capabilities, market positioning, tokenomics, security practices, legal/compliance status, and overall risk profile. Sources included project documentation, code repository reviews (where public), team interviews, independent security audits, on-chain data analysis, and market research.

2.2. Proposed Investment Structure

- * **Investor**: Nebula Capital Partners (Blockchain Innovation Fund II)
- * **Target**: Astral Protocol (via its foundation/entity)
- * **Amount**: \$12.5 Million USD
- * **Instrument**: Purchase of native AST tokens
- * **Token Allocation**: 8% of the total genesis token supply
- * **Implied FDV**: \$156.25 Million (\$12.5M / 0.08)
- * **Vesting**: 36-month linear vesting schedule, starting from Token Generation Event (TGE) or a mutually agreed date. Standard lock-up provisions apply.
- * **Board/Observer Rights**: Negotiable (Seeking one observer seat).

2.3. Market Context: Ethereum Layer-2 Scaling

The Ethereum network continues to face scalability challenges, leading to high transaction fees (gas) and network congestion during peak demand. Layer-2 scaling solutions aim to address this by processing transactions off the main Ethereum chain (Layer-1) while inheriting its security guarantees. Rollups (both Optimistic and Zero-Knowledge) have emerged as the dominant L2 paradigm. ZK-rollups, like Astral Protocol, offer potential advantages in terms of faster finality and capital efficiency compared to Optimistic rollups, although often with higher computational overhead for proof generation. The L2 market is experiencing explosive growth but is also characterized by intense competition and rapid technological iteration.

3. PROJECT OVERVIEW: ASTRAL PROTOCOL (AST)

3.1. Mission & Vision

Astral Protocol's stated mission is to "provide a secure, scalable, and cost-effective execution layer for Ethereum, enabling the next generation of decentralized applications and onboarding the next billion users to Web3." Their vision is to become a leading ZK-rollup solution known for its performance, developer experience, and user-friendliness.

3.2. Problem Statement Addressed

Astral directly tackles Ethereum's scalability bottleneck:

- * **High Gas Fees:** Making many DeFi interactions, NFT mints, and blockchain games economically unviable for average users.
- * **Low Throughput:** Limiting the transaction processing capacity of the base layer.
- * **Network Congestion:** Leading to unpredictable transaction times and user experience issues.

3.3. Proposed Solution: ZK-Rollup Technology

Astral Protocol employs a ZK-rollup architecture. Key characteristics include:

- * **Off-Chain Computation:** Transactions are executed off the main Ethereum chain on Astral's network.
- * **State Batching:** Multiple transactions are bundled together into batches.
- * **Validity Proofs:** A cryptographic zero-knowledge proof (SNARK or STARK variant) is generated for each batch, proving the validity of all transactions within it without revealing the transaction data itself.
- * **On-Chain Verification:** This validity proof is submitted to a smart contract on Ethereum L1. Verifying the proof is computationally cheaper than re-executing all transactions, thus scaling the network.
- * **Data Availability:** Transaction data (or summaries) are typically posted to Ethereum L1 (often leveraging EIP-4844 'blobs') to ensure data availability and allow anyone to reconstruct the L2 state, guaranteeing security.

3.4. Target Audience & Use Cases

Astral is targeting developers and users across key Web3 verticals:

- * **DeFi:** DEXs, lending protocols, yield farming, derivatives.
- * **NFTs:** Marketplaces, minting platforms, PFP projects.
- * **Gaming:** Play-to-Earn (P2E), GameFi, metaverse projects requiring high throughput and low fees.
- * **Enterprise Applications:** Exploring potential use cases requiring verifiable computation and privacy.

4. TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

4.1. Core Architecture: ZK-Rollup Implementation

Astral utilizes a zk-SNARK based rollup system. The core components include:

- * **Sequencer:** Responsible for ordering transactions, executing them, and proposing state updates. Currently centralized but roadmap includes decentralization.
- * **Prover:** Generates the ZK proofs for transaction batches. Computationally intensive; requires specialized hardware or optimized software. Astral runs its own prover infrastructure initially.
- * **Verifier Contract (L1):** Smart contract deployed on Ethereum L1 that verifies the validity proofs submitted by the Prover.
- * **Bridge Contracts (L1/L2):** Facilitate asset transfers between Ethereum and Astral Protocol.

4.2. Key Innovation: "Quantum Proof Aggregation" (QPA)

The primary technical differentiator claimed by Astral is their proprietary "Quantum Proof Aggregation" (QPA) technique (Note: Name is illustrative). This involves a novel method for parallelizing and recursively aggregating proofs *before* final L1 submission. Internal benchmarks and theoretical analysis provided suggest this reduces the computational load on the L1 verifier contract, leading to:

- * **Faster Proof Verification:** ~67% improvement claimed over standard recursive proof methods in their test environment.
- * **Reduced L1 Gas Costs:** Lower verification cost translates to lower overall L2 transaction fees.
- * **Potentially Faster Finality:** Quicker verification contributes to faster confirmation of L2 state on L1.
- * **Nebula Assessment:** While promising, these claims require independent validation post-launch and under real-world load. The complexity of QPA could also introduce novel security risks.

4.3. Scalability & Performance Metrics

- * **Claimed TPS:** Up to 3,000 Transactions Per Second (TPS) in current configuration, with theoretical limits much higher.
- * **Average Transaction Fee:** Targeting sub-\$0.01 fees.
- * **Time to Finality:** Aiming for ~15-30 minutes for L1 finality (dependent on proof generation and L1 confirmation times).
- * **Nebula Assessment:** These metrics are competitive but need to be sustained under heavy, diverse network load. Realized TPS will depend heavily on application complexity and state growth.

4.4. Data Availability Strategy (Post-EIP-4844)

Astral Protocol is designed to leverage EIP-4844 ("Proto-Danksharding") for data availability. Transaction data batches are posted to Ethereum L1 as 'blobs', significantly reducing the cost associated with L1 data storage compared to using CALLDATA. This is crucial for maintaining low fees on the L2. They have fallback mechanisms using CALLDATA if blob space is unavailable or excessively priced.

4.5. Interoperability & Composability

- * **EVM Equivalence:** Astral aims for high EVM equivalence, allowing developers to deploy existing Ethereum smart contracts with minimal modification. Tooling compatibility (Hardhat, Foundry, Remix) is reported as high.
- * **Bridging:** Standard token bridging (ERC-20, ERC-721) is implemented. Generic message passing bridges are on the roadmap for enhanced cross-chain composability.

4.6. Development Roadmap & Milestones

- * **Q3 2025:** Decentralized Prover Network launch (Phase 1). Introduction of AST staking for provers.
- * **Q4 2025:** Sequencer decentralization research paper release & testnet implementation. Expansion of DeFi ecosystem partnerships.
- * **Q1 2026:** Mainnet implementation of decentralized sequencing (Proof-of-Stake based). Enhanced bridging solutions.
- * **Q2 2026:** Exploration of ZK-EVM Type 1/2 compatibility improvements. Potential integration of privacy-preserving features.
- * **Nebula Assessment:** Roadmap is ambitious but technically feasible given team expertise. Execution timelines are aggressive and subject to potential delays. Sequencer decentralization is a critical milestone for long-term security and censorship resistance.

4.7. Technical Risks & Mitigation

- * **ZK Proof Complexity:** Bugs in the underlying cryptographic libraries or proving system are high impact. Mitigation: Use of battle-tested libraries where possible, extensive internal testing, multiple external audits, ongoing formal verification efforts.
- * **Centralized Sequencer Risk:** Current single sequencer is a point of failure and potential censorship. Mitigation: Clear roadmap for decentralization via PoS consensus involving AST staking.
- * **Smart Contract Vulnerabilities:** Bugs in L1/L2 contracts. Mitigation: Rigorous audits, bug bounty program, conservative upgrade process via multi-sig/timelock initially, moving towards on-chain governance.

5. TEAM ASSESSMENT

5.1. Founding Team Background & Expertise

- * **CEO (Eleanor Vance):** Ex-Product Lead at Coinbase Wallet, previously Strategy at Consensys. Background in Economics (Stanford). Strong product vision and industry network.
- * **CTO (Dr. Aris Thorne):** PhD in Cryptography (MIT). Key contributor to EIP-4844 development. Former Research Scientist at the Ethereum Foundation and Senior Engineer at Polygon's ZK division. Deep technical expertise in ZK proofs and blockchain scaling.
- * **COO (Ben Carter):** Ex-Operations Manager at a large CeFi platform. Experience in scaling operations and compliance. MBA background.
- * **Nebula Assessment:** Founding team possesses a complementary skillset covering technical depth, product strategy, and operations. The CTO's EIP-4844 contribution is a significant positive indicator. References checked were consistently positive regarding leadership, vision, and execution capability.

5.2. Core Development & Research Team Strength

The core team consists of ~25 engineers and researchers, many with backgrounds from top tech companies (Google, Meta), blockchain projects (NEAR, Solana contributors), and academia (cryptography post-docs). Strong focus on Rust and Cairo development (relevant for ZK systems).

5.3. Advisory Board Composition

Includes:

- * A prominent Ethereum core developer (non-attributable).
- * A legal expert specializing in digital assets regulation.
- * A successful founder from a prior L2 project.
- * **Nebula Assessment:** Advisors provide relevant technical, legal, and strategic guidance.

5.4. Organizational Structure & Governance Plan

Currently structured around the core team and the Cayman Foundation. Long-term plan involves progressive decentralization of protocol governance using the AST token. Initial governance will focus on parameter changes and treasury grants, eventually expanding to protocol upgrades. A clear framework for proposal submission and voting is being developed.

5.5. Team Token Vesting & Alignment

Team and advisor tokens (22% of total supply) are subject to a 48-month linear vesting schedule with a 12-month cliff post-TGE. This is longer than the investor vesting, demonstrating long-term commitment and aligning incentives.

6. MARKET ANALYSIS & POSITIONING

6.1. Competitive Landscape

The L2 market is crowded and fiercely competitive:

- * **Optimistic Rollups:** Arbitrum, Optimism (Large TVL, established ecosystems, moving towards ZK proofs).
- * **ZK-Rollups:** zkSync, StarkNet (Early movers in ZK space, significant funding, different EVM compatibility approaches), Polygon zkEVM, Scroll, Taiko.
- * **Other L2s/Sidechains:** Polygon PoS, various application-specific chains.
- * **Nebula Assessment:** Astral enters a competitive field but differentiates through its specific ZK implementation (QPA) aiming for performance gains and strong EVM equivalence. Success depends on attracting developers and users quickly, building a unique ecosystem, and delivering on performance claims.

6.2. Total Value Locked (TVL) Analysis & Comparables

- * **Astral TVL:** \$419M (as of April 15, 2025).
- * **Comparables:** Arbitrum (\$15B+), Optimism (\$8B+), zkSync (\$2B+), StarkNet (\$1.5B+). (Note: These are mature platforms).

- * ***Nebula Assessment:** Astral's TVL is respectable for its age but significantly trails market leaders. Growth trajectory is key. The \$419M includes native assets and bridged assets, primarily WETH, USDC, USDT. Early concentration in a few DeFi protocols noted.

6.3. User Metrics & Traction Deep Dive

- * ****Unique Addresses:**** 312,000 (Active defined as ≥ 1 tx in last 30 days). Growth rate ~25% MoM.
- * ****Daily Transactions:**** Averaging ~150k tx/day. Peak >300k tx/day during incentive programs.
- * ****MoM Growth:**** 27% average growth in transaction volume over the last 3 months.
- * ***Nebula Assessment:** Metrics show healthy early adoption and stickiness. The MoM growth is strong but needs to be sustained, especially as initial incentive programs potentially wind down. User acquisition cost needs monitoring.

6.4. Go-to-Market Strategy & Ecosystem Partnerships

- * ****Initial Focus:**** Target DeFi protocols seeking lower fees and faster execution. Key partnerships secured with mid-tier DEXs and lending platforms.
- * ****Developer Grants Program:**** Funded by the Ecosystem Allocation to attract developers to build native applications.
- * ****NFT & Gaming Outreach:**** Actively engaging with NFT marketplaces and GameFi studios highlighting performance benefits.
- * ****Community Building:**** Strong Discord/Twitter presence, developer documentation, workshops.
- * ***Nebula Assessment:** Strategy is sound, focusing on leveraging technical advantages in key verticals. Ecosystem fund allocation (38%) is substantial and crucial for bootstrapping. Success hinges on converting partnerships into active, value-generating applications.

6.5. Market Opportunity & Addressable Size

The total L2 market TVL exceeds \$30B and is rapidly growing. As Ethereum continues to be the dominant smart contract platform, the demand for effective scaling solutions is immense. If Astral can capture even a small percentage (e.g., 5-10%) of the projected future L2 market, the potential return is substantial. The success of ZK-rollups is a key thesis underpinning this investment.

7. TOKENOMICS (AST TOKEN)

7.1. Token Utility & Demand Drivers

The AST token is designed with multiple utilities:

- * ****Gas Fees:**** Used to pay transaction fees on the Astral L2 network. A portion may be burned or distributed to stakers/provers.
- * ****Staking:**** Required for participating in the decentralized sequencer network (once live) and potentially for provers, earning rewards and securing the network.
- * ****Governance:**** Used for voting on protocol upgrades, parameter changes, and treasury allocations.
- * ***Nebula Assessment:** Utilities are standard and sound for an L2 token, creating organic demand linked to network usage and security participation. The specific mechanism for fee distribution (burn vs. reward) needs finalization and assessment for its deflationary/inflationary impact.

7.2. Token Supply & Distribution Schedule

- * ****Total Supply:**** Fixed at 1 Billion AST tokens (TBC, ensure this is confirmed).
- * ****Distribution:****
 - * Ecosystem Development & Incentives: 38% (Grants, liquidity mining, user rewards - subject to governance, multi-year release)
 - * Team & Advisors: 22% (12m cliff, 48m linear vest)
 - * Investors (Seed, Series A, Strategic): 25% (Vesting varies, Nebula's 8% has 36m linear vest)
 - * Foundation Treasury: 15% (Reserved for long-term protocol health, strategic initiatives - subject to governance)
- * ***Nebula Assessment:** Allocation seems balanced, with a significant portion dedicated to ecosystem

growth. Team vesting is appropriately long-term. Investor allocation is reasonable. Treasury provides flexibility.

7.3. Inflation/Emission Model (5-Year Plan)

A defined inflation schedule exists for the initial 5 years, primarily funding staking rewards for sequencers/provers to bootstrap network security. The inflation rate starts higher (~5-8% annually of remaining supply) and decreases over time. Post 5 years, a low perpetual inflation rate (~1-2%) or governance-determined model is planned.

7.4. Value Accrual Mechanisms

- * **Gas Fee Demand:** Increased network activity directly drives demand for AST.
- * **Staking Lock-up:** Staking removes tokens from circulating supply, potentially increasing price pressure if demand outpaces inflation.
- * **Governance Control:** Control over a potentially valuable L2 network provides intrinsic value.
- * **Potential Fee Burn:** If implemented, a fee burn mechanism could introduce deflationary pressure.

7.5. Potential Risks (Velocity, Centralization)

- * **Token Velocity:** If AST is primarily used only for immediate gas payments and not held or staked, high velocity could suppress price appreciation. Staking mechanism is key to mitigate this.
- * **Initial Centralization:** Early token distribution might be concentrated among team, investors, and the foundation. Broad distribution via ecosystem incentives is crucial over time.

8. SECURITY AUDIT & ASSESSMENT

8.1. Audit Findings Summary (Trail of Bits, Certik)

- * **Trail of Bits (Dec 2024):** Focused on core ZK circuits and L1 contracts. Identified 2 Medium, 7 Low vulnerabilities.
 - * Medium: Potential reentrancy in bridge contract under specific edge conditions; Theoretical griefing attack on prover submission.
 - * Low: Gas optimizations, informational findings, minor deviations from best practices.
- * **Certik (Feb 2025):** Focused on L2 node implementation, off-chain components, and EVM equivalence aspects. Identified 1 Medium, 5 Low vulnerabilities.
 - * Medium: Potential DoS vector on sequencer via malformed transaction batches.
 - * Low: Logging improvements, input validation hardening, minor API inconsistencies.
- * **Nebula Assessment:** No critical vulnerabilities were found. The medium findings, while requiring attention, did not represent fundamental flaws in the core ZK logic. The nature of findings is typical for complex blockchain projects.

8.2. Remediation Status & Verification

Astral provided documentation confirming all identified vulnerabilities were addressed. Code changes were reviewed and approved by the respective audit firms in follow-up engagements. Patches are live on the mainnet.

8.3. Ongoing Security Practices

- * **Bug Bounty Program:** Active program hosted on Immunefi with substantial rewards for critical findings.
- * **Continuous Monitoring:** Internal security team monitors network activity, contract interactions, and potential threats.
- * **Formal Verification:** Ongoing efforts to formally verify critical components of the ZK proving system and L1 contracts.
- * **Security Audits:** Commitment to regular audits, especially before major protocol upgrades.

8.4. Emergency Procedures & Multisig Controls

- * ****Upgrade Mechanism:**** Protocol upgrades are currently controlled by a multi-signature wallet (4-of-7) comprising core team members and reputable third parties/advisors. A timelock (e.g., 48 hours) is enforced for major upgrades.
- * ****Emergency Pause:**** Multisig has the ability to pause core bridge contracts in case of critical exploits, pending governance transition.
- * ****Nebula Assessment:**** Current setup provides reasonable security during the initial phase. Transition to on-chain, token-based governance for upgrades is a critical long-term milestone.

9. RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX

Risk Category	Specific Risk	Residual Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation Strategies
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Technical	Smart Contract Bug / Exploit		Medium	High	Audits, Bug Bounty, Formal Verification, Timelocks, Monitoring
	ZK Proof System Flaw		Low	High	Use established libraries, Deep team expertise, Audits, Ongoing research
	Centralized Sequencer Failure/Censorship		Medium	High	Roadmap for decentralization (PoS), Monitoring, Redundancy
	Liveness/Data Availability Issues		Low	Medium	Leverage EIP-4844, Fallback mechanisms, Prover/Sequencer redundancy planning
Market	Intense Competition from other L2s		High	High	Technical differentiation (QPA), Ecosystem incentives, Strong GTM
	Ethereum L1 Scaling Reduces L2 Need		Medium	High	Focus on ZK advantages beyond pure scaling (speed, cost), Adaptability
	Failure to Achieve Significant User/Dev Adoption		Medium	High	Strong team, Ecosystem fund, Developer relations, Focused GTM
	Bear Market / Negative Crypto Sentiment		High	Medium	Sufficient treasury runway, Focus on building, Long-term vision
Regulatory	Unfavorable Token Classification (e.g., Security)		Medium	High	Legal counsel (DLT Law Partners), Careful tokenomics design, Jurisdiction choice
	Crackdown on DeFi / L2 Activities		Medium	Medium	Compliance focus (KYC on sale), Monitoring legal landscape, Decentralization
Execution & Team	Failure to Deliver on Roadmap		Medium	High	Experienced team, Realistic milestones (though ambitious), Agile development
	Loss of Key Personnel (e.g., CTO)		Low	High	Strong core team depth, Knowledge sharing, Appropriate incentives
Token Price & Liquidity	High Token Price Volatility		High	Medium	Standard market risk for crypto assets, Long vesting schedules
	Insufficient Liquidity Post-TGE		Medium	Medium	Market maker partnerships planned, Ecosystem incentives for liquidity provision

****Overall Risk Score:**** High Potential / High Risk.

10. COMPLIANCE & LEGAL REVIEW

10.1. Legal Entity Structure & Jurisdiction

Astral Protocol operates primarily through the ****Astral Foundation****, registered in the ****Cayman Islands****. This jurisdiction is common for crypto projects due to its perceived favorable regulatory stance and tax environment. Supporting development entities may exist elsewhere.

10.2. KYC/AML Procedures for Token Sale Participants

Know Your Customer (KYC) and Anti-Money Laundering (AML) checks were performed on participants in the previous private token sale rounds, facilitated by third-party providers (e.g., TokenSoft). Procedures appear to meet industry standards. Nebula Capital will undergo standard KYC/AML verification.

10.3. Intellectual Property Ownership

Core technology, including the proprietary QPA system, is claimed as IP owned by the foundation or its operating entity. Open-source components are used where appropriate, adhering to licensing terms. Key personnel contracts include IP assignment clauses.

10.4. Regulatory Monitoring & Counsel

The project retains **DLT Law Partners**, a legal firm specializing in digital assets, blockchain technology, and international regulatory landscapes. They provide ongoing counsel regarding token classification, securities law compliance, and operational best practices across relevant jurisdictions.

11. INVESTMENT THESIS & RECOMMENDATION

11.1. Rationale for Investment

Investing in Astral Protocol aligns with Nebula Capital's strategy of backing technically innovative projects addressing critical blockchain infrastructure needs. The core theses are:

- * **L2 Scaling is Essential:** Ethereum's future relies on robust L2 solutions.
- * **ZK-Rollups Offer Long-Term Advantages:** Potential for superior security, finality speed, and capital efficiency over Optimistic Rollups.
- * **Astral's Technical Edge:** The QPA innovation, if validated, offers a significant performance and cost advantage.
- * **Strong Team:** Experienced founders with relevant backgrounds and deep technical expertise (especially the CTO's EIP-4844 involvement).
- * **Early Traction:** Demonstrates product-market fit potential and execution capability.
- * **Market Timing:** While competitive, the L2 market is still nascent, offering room for new leaders to emerge.

11.2. Valuation Analysis & Comparables (\$156.25M FDV)

The proposed \$12.5M for 8% implies a \$156.25M Fully Diluted Valuation (FDV).

- * **Context:** This valuation is aggressive compared to projects with similar TVL/user metrics *today*. However, it falls within the range observed for recent Series A rounds of highly anticipated ZK-rollup projects with strong teams and novel tech, reflecting market expectations of future growth.
- * **Comparables:** Similar stage ZK competitors have recently raised at FDVs ranging from \$100M to \$500M+, depending on tech novelty, team pedigree, and market hype.
- * **Justification:** The valuation prices in successful execution of the near-term roadmap (QPA validation, ecosystem growth) and significant market share capture potential. It represents a high-growth bet.

11.3. Key Strengths Recap

- * Innovative ZK-rollup technology (QPA potential).
- * Highly credible and technically proficient founding team.
- * Promising early user and TVL growth metrics.
- * Clear token utility and balanced tokenomics.
- * Positive security audit outcomes and ongoing commitment.
- * Strategic positioning in the high-growth L2 market.

11.4. Key Weaknesses & Concerns Recap

- * Intense market competition from established L2s.

- * Execution risk on an ambitious roadmap (esp. decentralization).
- * Valuation contingent on future performance, leaving less room for error.
- * Long-term threat from Ethereum L1 native scaling advancements.
- * Regulatory uncertainty surrounding L2s and DeFi.
- * Dependence on continued ecosystem funding and adoption momentum.

11.5. Proposed Investment Conditions & Covenants

- * Standard investor rights (information rights, pro-rata rights).
- * Observer seat on the Foundation board (negotiable).
- * Milestone-based reporting requirements tied to roadmap execution (e.g., QPA performance validation, decentralization progress, key partnership integrations).
- * Confirmation of Team/Advisor lockup and vesting schedules in definitive agreements.
- * Review and approval of final tokenomics details regarding fee distribution/burn mechanisms.

11.6. Monitoring Plan & Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)

Post-investment, Nebula Capital will closely monitor:

- * **Technical Milestones:** QPA performance benchmarks under load, prover/sequencer decentralization progress.
- * **Ecosystem Growth:** TVL growth (vs competitors), daily active users, transaction volume, developer activity (new contracts deployed, grant applications).
- * **Partnership Integration:** Success of key DeFi, NFT, Gaming partnerships launching on Astral.
- * **Token Performance:** AST price, staking participation rates, liquidity depth.
- * **Competitive Landscape:** Developments from Arbitrum, Optimism, zkSync, StarkNet, etc.
- * **Regulatory Developments:** Monitor global regulatory actions impacting L2s/DeFi.

11.7. Final Recommendation: Proceed with Caution

Astral Protocol presents a compelling investment opportunity with the potential for significant returns, driven by its technology, team, and market positioning. However, the investment carries substantial risks inherent in the competitive and rapidly evolving L2 landscape, alongside execution challenges.

Nebula Capital Partners recommends proceeding with the \$12.5M investment for 8% equity (token supply), subject to successful negotiation of the proposed terms and conditions outlined in section 11.5. The "Caution" reflects the need for rigorous ongoing monitoring of technical milestones, market traction, and competitive dynamics post-investment. This investment fits the risk/reward profile of the Blockchain Innovation Fund II.

12. DISCLAIMER

This report has been prepared by Nebula Capital Partners solely for internal informational purposes to evaluate a potential investment in Astral Protocol. The information contained herein is based on sources believed to be reliable, including documentation provided by Astral Protocol, publicly available information, interviews, and independent analysis. However, Nebula Capital Partners makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of this information. Financial projections, estimates, and forward-looking statements are inherently uncertain and based on assumptions that may not materialize. This report does not constitute investment, legal, tax, or other professional advice, nor does it constitute an offer or solicitation to buy or sell any securities or tokens. Any investment decision should be based on independent investigation and consideration of all relevant factors. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Investing in cryptocurrencies and blockchain projects involves significant risks, including the potential loss of principal.

****END OF REPORT****