



# Variables

COMP2322 Programming Language Concepts

Dr Nicholas Gibbins  
(based on slides by Dr Julian Rathke)

# Variables

The original meaning of variable is that of a reference to a memory location whose contents may change

- Now generalised as “a placeholder for a value of some possibly complex type”
- e.g. in functional languages variables can store closures of arbitrary higher-order types like  $((\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow \text{int})$
- This is a semantic concept - not only a memory location

Where variables do refer to explicit memory locations, some languages allow you to obtain the location information

- `&var` in C takes variables to pointers, the address of the variable in virtual memory.
- In Java, you can use the `Unsafe` class (but really shouldn't)

The term **aliasing** refers to two variables pointing to the same memory location.

- This situation is generally wished to be avoided - why?

# Variables

A variable typically has six attributes associated with it:

- A **name**
- An **address** (aka an L-Value, i.e. the left hand side of an assignment)
- A **value** (aka an R-Value, i.e. the right hand side of an assignment)
- A **type**
- An **extent**
- A **scope**

# Names

Names (also referred to as identifiers) are essential in programming languages - we use them to identify the virtual entities that we manipulate in programs

There are ad hoc design choices used in what is acceptable as a name

- Some languages are case sensitive, others not
- Some languages have restricted or fixed length names
- Some enforce lexical rules, e.g. must begin with an alpha, or must contain only alphanumerics, or may not contain certain characters
- Sometimes names can clash with reserved words (keywords) in languages, some languages forbid this

It is not presently clear where a canonical choice for naming schemes would come from, so we are perhaps stuck with these ad hoc choices for a while

# Binding

A **binding** is an association between an entity and some attribute

- e.g. between a variable and its type
- or between a variable and its scope

Does a runtime system need to know about the type of a variable?

- What may happen at runtime that necessitates this?
- Some entities do not need to be bound at runtime
- Some entities must be bound at runtime

It is useful to make a distinction between **static** and **dynamic** binding

# Static vs. dynamic binding

**Static binding** occurs before execution (compile time) and remains unchanged throughout execution

**Dynamic binding** first occurs during execution (runtime) or changes during execution (runtime)

# Allocation and Deallocation

One of a variable's attributes is its **address**

- We refer to the binding of a variable to its address as **allocation**
- In complement, we refer to the unbinding of a variable to its address as **deallocation**

Allocation can be static (initialisation time) or dynamic (during runtime)

Deallocation is largely a dynamic concept

A variable's **extent** is the time between its allocation and deallocation



# Four kinds of variables

## **Static variables** (aka global variables)

- Bound to a memory location at initialisation time
- e.g. Static class variables in Java are static variables

## **Stack-dynamic variables** (aka local variables)

- Memory is allocated from a runtime stack and bound when a declaration is executed and deallocated when the procedure block it is contained in returns.
- e.g. Local variables in a method declaration

# Four kinds of variables

## **Explicit heap-dynamic variables**

- Nameless abstract memory locations that are allocated/deallocated by explicit runtime commands by the programmer.
- e.g. malloc/free in C, new/delete in C++, all objects in Java using new()

## **Implicit heap-dynamic variables**

- Memory in heap is allocated when values are assigned to variables. It is deallocated and reallocated with re-assignment. Error prone and inefficient.
- Used in ALGOL 68, LISP, C and JavaScript (for arrays)

# Static type binding

Two approaches to static type binding:

## **Type declaration**

- Most commonly used approach (used in Algol, Pascal, Ada, Cobol, C/C++, Java)
- A variable is introduced with an explicit type and possibly an initial value

## **Type inference**

- No types in variable declarations; the type is inferred from the usage of the variable or by following a fixed naming scheme
- Primitive type inference (arguably another form of explicit declaration) - e.g. in Fortran I, J, K, L, M and N are Integer types, otherwise Real assumed. In Perl \$p is a number or a string, @p an array, %p a hash
- More sophisticated - Hindley-Milner inference introduced in ML has few annotations and the compiler deduces a most general type for a variable by its usage. The most general type is typically expressed using polymorphism or generics.

# Dynamic type binding

**Dynamic type binding** typically occurs as a variable is assigned to a value at runtime

- A variable's type binding can change during execution simply by assigning to it a value of a different type
- Commonly used in scripting languages such as JavaScript, Lua, Perl, PHP, Python, Ruby
- Efficiency implications (both time and space) due to runtime type checking
- Arguably advantages in readability and coding convenience

# Extent

The **extent** (or lifetime) of a variable refers to the periods of execution time for which it is bound to a particular location storing a meaningful value

Extent is a semantic concept and depends on the execution model

A running program may enter and leave a given extent many times, as in the case of a closure.

# Extent

Different kinds of variables have different extents:

- **Static variables** have an extent of whole program execution
- **Stack-dynamic variables** have an extent of a particular stack frame or procedure call
- **Explicit heap-dynamic variables** have an extent from explicit allocation to explicit deallocation (cf. garbage collection and memory leaks)
- **Implicit heap-dynamic variables** have an extent from implicit allocation to implicit deallocation (values may persist in memory but addresses are freed)

# Scope

The **scope** of a variable is the part of the code in which it can be referenced

Alternatively, it is the part of a program where a variable's name is meaningful

A variable's scope affects its extent. A no-longer referenceable value may be considered as a meaningless value. Garbage collectors are based on this principle.

# Scope

**Local variables** are declared within a program block; the block is the scope of the variable

**Static variables** have whole program scope, except where they are temporarily hidden by a locally scoped variable with the same name

We refer to **lexical scope** where scope is aligned to statically determined areas of source code e.g. a class definition, a code block, or method body

- A lexical concept, not a semantic concept



# Dynamic scope\*

In contrast to lexical scope, some languages support **dynamic scope** for variables.

Dynamic scope is determined at runtime only as it depends on control flow.

Imagine a stack of value bindings for each variable that is updated with the control stack.

A variable is in a dynamic scope if its name is meaningful within the bindings of the current call stack.

# Dynamic scope

Dynamic scope is uncommon in modern programming languages as it flies in the face of referential transparency.

It is however used in Perl and Lisp

Example:

- `y` is lexically scoped and is local to `first`
- `x` is dynamically scoped and is still in scope when calling `second()`
- If `second()` were called not via `first()` then `x` would not be in scope

```
first();

sub first {
    local $x = 1;
    my $y=1;
    second();
}

sub second {
    print "x=", $x, "\n";
    print "y=", $y, "\n";
}
```

# Scope in ECMAScript

```
// global scope  
var a = 1;  
  
function one() {  
    alert(a);  
}  
  
one()
```

outputs '1'

# Scope in ECMAScript

```
// local scope  
function two(a) {  
    alert(a);  
}
```

```
two(2)
```

outputs '2'

# Scope in ECMAScript

```
// local scope again  
function three() {  
    var a = 3;  
    alert(a);  
}
```

```
three()
```

outputs '3'

# Scope in ECMAScript

```
// no block scope in ECMAScript
function four() {
  if (true) {
    var a = 4;
  }
  alert(a);
}
```

four()

outputs '4'

# Scope in ECMAScript

```
function Five() {  
    this.a = 5;  
}  
  
alert(new Five().a);
```

outputs '5'

# Scope in ECMAScript

```
var six = (function() {  
    var a = 6;  
    return function() {  
        // ECMAScript "closure" means I have access to 'a' in here,  
        // because it is defined in the function in which I was  
        // defined.  
        alert(a);  
    };  
})();  
  
six()
```

outputs '6'



# Scope in ECMAScript

```
function Seven() {  
    this.a = 7;  
}  
  
// [object].prototype.property loses to  
// [object].property in the lookup chain. For example...  
  
// Not reached, because 'a' is set in the constructor above.  
Seven.prototype.a = -1;  
  
// Reached, even though 'b' is NOT set in the constructor.  
Seven.prototype.b = 8;  
  
alert(new Seven().a);  
alert(new Seven().b);
```

outputs '7'  
outputs '8'

# Scope in OCaml

Parameters p1, p2 in scope in **whole function body**

```
let add_polynom p1 p2 =  
  let n1 = Array.length p1  
  and n2 = Array.length p2 in  
    let result = Array.create(max n1 n2) 0 in  
    for i = 0 to n1 - 1 do result.(i) <- p1.(i) done;  
    for i = 0 to n2 - 1 do result.(i) <- result.(i) + p2.(i) done;  
    result;
```

Local variables n1, n2 in scope **after 'in'**

# Scope in OCaml

Recursive local variable fact in scope even before 'in'

```
let rec fact n = n * fact (n - 1 ) in  
  fact (10);;
```

Next Lecture:  
Syntax and Grammars