problem7-2

Mehrab Atighi

11/19/2021

problem

Personal Loan Acceptance. Universal Bank is a relatively young bank growing rapidly in terms of overall customer acquisition. The majority of these customers are liability customers (depositors) with varying sizes of relationship with the bank. The customer base of asset customers (borrowers) is quite small, and the bank is interested in expanding this base rapidly to bring in more loan business. In particular, it wants to explore ways of converting its liability customers to personal loan customers (while retaining them as depositors).

A campaign that the bank ran last year for liability customers showed a healthy conversion rate of over 9% success. This has encouraged the retail marketing department to devise smarter campaigns with better target marketing. The goal is to use k-NN to predict whether a new customer will accept a loan offer. This will serve as the basis for the design of a new campaign.

The file UniversalBank.csv contains data on 5000 customers. The data include customer demographic information (age, income, etc.), the customer's relationship with the bank (mortgage, securities account, etc.), and the customer response to the last personal loan campaign (Personal Loan). Among these 5000 customers, only 480 (= 9.6%) accepted the personal loan that was offered to them in the earlier campaign. Partition the data into training (60%) and validation (40%) sets.

problem

- Consider the following customer: Age = 40, Experience = 10, Income = 84, Family = 2, CCAvg = 2, Education_1 = 0, Education_2 = 1, Education_3 = 0, Mortgage = 0, Securities Account = 0, CD Account = 0, Online = 1, and Credit Card = 1. Perform a k-NN classification with all predictors except ID and ZIP code using k = 1. Remember to transform categorical predictors with more than two categories into dummy variables first. Specify the success class as 1 (loan acceptance), and use the default cutoff value of 0.5. How would this customer be classified?
- What is a choice of k that balances between overfitting and ignoring the predictor information?
- Show the confusion matrix for the validation data that results from using the best k.

problem

- Consider the following customer: Age = 40, Experience = 10, Income = 84, Family = 2, CCAvg = 2, Education_1 = 0, Education_2 = 1, Education_3 = 0, Mortgage = 0, Securities Account = 0, CD Account = 0, Online = 1 and Credit Card = 1. Classify the customer using the best k.
- Repartition the data, this time into training, validation, and test sets (50%: 30%: 20%). Apply the k-NN method with the k chosen above. Compare the confusion matrix of the test set with that of the training and validation sets. Comment on the differences and their reason.

4

```
At the first we want to introduction our dataset in R.
Data <- read.csv("F:/lessons/Data mining/Data/UniversalBank.csv")
dim(Data)
## [1] 5000
              14
head(Data,4)
     ID Age Experience Income ZIP.Code Family CCAvg Education Mortgage
         25
                            49
                                  91107
                                                  1.6
     2 45
                                                  1.5
                     19
                            34
                                  90089
                                                                        0
     3 39
                    15
                          11
                                  94720
                                                  1.0
                                                                        0
                           100
                                  94112
                                                  2.7
     Personal.Loan Securities.Account CD.Account Online CreditCard
## 1
## 2
                 0
## 3
```

Now we want to transform Educatin variable to tree dummy variables, and we names them :

 ${\sf Education_1} \ , \ {\sf Education_2} \ , \ {\sf Educatioin_3} \ .$

```
data=Data[,-c(1,5)]
data$Education 1=c(1:nrow(data))
data$Education_2=c(1:nrow(data))
data$Education_3=c(1:nrow(data))
for(i in 1:nrow(data)){
  if(data$Education[i]==1){
    data$Education 1[i] = 1
  }else(data$Education_1[i] = 0)
  if(data$Education[i]==2){
    data$Education 2[i] = 1
  }else(data$Education_2[i] = 0)
  if (data$Education[i]==3) {
    data$Education 3[i] = 1
  }else(data$Education_3[i] = 0)
data$Education=c()
```

Now we want to see new data heads and dimantion. head(data)

```
Age Experience Income Family CCAvg Mortgage Personal.Loan Securities.Account
     25
                       49
                                   1.6
     45
                19
                       34
                                   1.5
     39
                15
                      11
                               1 1.0
     35
                      100
                               1 2.7
     35
                     45
                               4 1.0
                                   0.4
## 6 37
                13
                       29
                                            155
    CD.Account Online CreditCard Education_1 Education_2 Education_3
## 1
                    0
## 2
## 5
             0
## 6
dim(data)
```

[1] 5000 14 summary(data)

##	Age	Experience	Income	Family
##	Min. :23.00	Min. :-3.0	Min. : 8.00	Min. :1.000
##	1st Qu.:35.00	1st Qu.:10.0	1st Qu.: 39.00	1st Qu.:1.000
##	Median :45.00	Median:20.0	Median : 64.00	Median :2.000
##	Mean :45.34	Mean :20.1	Mean : 73.77	Mean :2.396
##	3rd Qu.:55.00	3rd Qu.:30.0	3rd Qu.: 98.00	3rd Qu.:3.000
##	Max. :67.00	Max. :43.0	Max. :224.00	Max. :4.000
##	CCAvg	Mortgage	Personal.Loan	Securities.Account
##	Min. : 0.000	Min. : 0.0	Min. :0.000	Min. :0.0000
##	1st Qu.: 0.700	1st Qu.: 0.0	1st Qu.:0.000	1st Qu.:0.0000

we want to select the train and test subsets of dataset.

we are going to work with normalized data so we should make normal data frame, and using preProcess function to normalizing variables.

```
train normal <- train data
test normal <- test data
data_normal<-data
new.df=data.frame(Age = 40, Experience = 10, Income = 84,
                  Family = 2 , CCAvg = 2, Education_1= 0 ,Education_2= 1,
                  Education 3=0, Mortgage = 0, Securities.Account = 0,
                  CD.Account = 0 , Online = 1 , CreditCard =1)
#install.packages("caret")
library(caret)
## Loading required package: ggplot2
## Loading required package: lattice
norm.values<-preProcess(train data[,-7] , method = c("center" , "scale"))
train normal <- predict (norm. values , train data[.-7])
test normal <- predict (norm. values , test data[,-7])
data_normal<-predict(norm.values , data[,-7])
new.norm.df <-predict(norm.values, new.df)
n2=sample(c(0,1), nrow(train normal),
         prob = c(0.6, 0.4) , replace = TRUE
train_normal_2=train_normal[which(n2==0),]
train test normal=train normal[which(n2==1).]
```

```
Now we want to select K value, we should work with all of data
#h)
memory.limit(size = 9999999999999)
## [1] 1e+13
library(caret); library(e1071)
accuracy data=data.frame(k = seq(1,nrow(train normal 2),1), accuracy=rep(0,nrow(train normal 2)))
system.time(
 for(i in 1:nrow(accuracy_data)){
    knn.predict<-FNN::knn(train =train_normal_2[,-7] , test = train_test_normal[,-7] ,
                          cl = train normal 2[.7] ,k=i)
    accuracy data[i . 2] = confusionMatrix(data = knn.predict[1:nrow(train test normal)].
                                            factor(train_test_normal[,7]) ,)$overall[1]
 })
##
      user system elapsed
## 6608.91 52.50 6793.08
head(accuracy_data)
    k accuracy
## 1 1 0.8358714
## 2 2 0.8942470
## 3 3 0.8824027
## 4 4 0.8959391
## 5 5 0.8959391
## 6 6 0.8967851
(best = which.max(accuracy_data$accuracy))
## [1] 15
```

Accuracy ## 0.940482

```
#d)
library(FNN)
new.df=data.frame(Age = 40, Experience = 10, Income = 84,
                  Family = 2 , CCAvg = 2, Education 1= 0 ,
                  Education_2= 1,Education_3=0,Mortgage = 0,
                  Securities.Account = 0 ,CD.Account = 0 ,
                  Online = 1 , CreditCard =1)
nn<-knn(train = train_normal , test = new.df,</pre>
        cl =train_data[,7] ,k=best)
r=row.names(train data)[attr(nn, 'nn.index')]
train data[r,7]
```

[1] 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1

```
#e)
n=sample(c(0,1,2) , nrow(data) ,prob = c(0.5 , 0.3 ,0.2) ,replace = TRU
train_data_2=data[which(n==0),]
valid_data=data[which(n==1),]
test_data_2=data[which(n==2),]

norm.values<-preProcess(train_data_2[,-7] , method = c("center" , "scal
train_normal_2<-predict(norm.values , train_data_2[,-7])
test_normal_2<-predict(norm.values , test_data_2[,-7])
valid_normal<-predict(norm.values , valid_data[,-7])
data_normal_2<-predict(norm.values , data[,-7])</pre>
```

```
#for test data:
knn.predict<-FNN::knn(train = train normal 2[.-7] .test = test normal 2[.-7] .
                      cl = train data 2[.7] .k=best)
confusionMatrix(data = knn.predict[1:nrow(test_data_2)],
                factor(test data 2[.7]) )$overall[1]
## Accuracy
## 0.9478958
#for valid data:
knn.predict<-FNN::knn(train =train normal 2[.-7] .test = valid normal[.-7] .
                      cl = train_data_2[,7] ,k=best)
confusionMatrix(data = knn.predict[1:nrow(valid_normal)],
                factor(valid data[.7]) )$overall[1]
## Accuracy
## 0.9423979
#for train data:
knn.predict<-FNN::knn(train =train_normal_2[,-7] ,test = train_normal_2[,-7] ,
                      cl = train_data_2[,7] ,k=best)
confusionMatrix(data = knn.predict[1:nrow(train_normal_2)],
                factor(train data 2[.7]) )$overall[1]
   Accuracy
## 0.9517736
```