

## Chapter one: utility theorem

تساوي القيمة: نظرية المنفعة

①

according to the question information we know that the probability of  $x_i$

are: $x \rightarrow$	$x$	400	900	$y \rightarrow$	$y$	100	1600
	$P(x_i)$	$\frac{1}{2} = 0.5$	$\frac{1}{2} = 0.5$		$P(y_i)$	0.6	0.4

5

a) for show that a person prefers  $x$  to  $y$  we should use utility function

So we know that:  $u(w) = \sqrt{w}$  then we have:

$$\text{if we set } w=x \Rightarrow E[u(w)] = E[u(x)] = E[\sqrt{x}] \xrightarrow{\text{discrete} \rightarrow E[X] = \sum x P(x_i)} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \times \sqrt{400}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \times \sqrt{900}\right) = 10$$

$$\Rightarrow E[u(x)] = 10 + 15 = 25$$

$$\text{if we set } w=y \Rightarrow E[u(w)] = E[u(y)] = E[\sqrt{y}] \xrightarrow{\text{discrete} \rightarrow E[Y] = \sum y P(y_i)} = (0.6 \times \sqrt{100}) + (0.4 \times \sqrt{1600})$$

$$\Rightarrow E[u(y)] = 6 + 16 = 22$$

so we have  $E[u(x)] > E[u(y)]$  and it means that a person with utility function will prefer  $x$  to  $y$ .

b) if we want to reject this request we should use a  $w$  in our utility function that's with more expected value than  $x$ . So we have:

$$w \text{ is a number so } E[u(w)] = u(w) *$$

$$E[u(w)] > E[u(x)] \Leftrightarrow u(w) > E[u(x)] \rightarrow \text{from Part a we have this value}$$

$$u(w) = \sqrt{w}$$

$$u(w) > 25 \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{w} > 25 \xrightarrow{\text{Power 2}} w > 25^2$$

25

c) if we want to find a new utility function we have:

for example we set  $u(w) = w$

$$\Rightarrow E[u(x)] = \left(\frac{1}{2} \times 400\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \times 900\right) = 200 + 450 = 650$$

Eiffel

$$E[u(Y)] = 0.6 \times 100 + 0.4 \times 1600 = 60 + 640 = 700$$

$$\text{so } 700 > 650 \Rightarrow E[u(Y)] > E[u(X)]$$

② From 1.10 we have this formula for calculating  $P^+$  (maximum Premium that will be pay).  $E[u(w-X)] = u(w-P^+)$  and according to the question we have:

$X$	0	36
$P(X=x)$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$

so we have: we will set  $w=100$ .

$$u(w) = \log w$$

$\rightarrow X$  is discrete  $\rightarrow E[X] = \sum x P(X=x)$

$$\begin{aligned} E[u(w-X)] &= E[u(100-X)] = E[\log(100-X)] = \left(\frac{1}{2} \times \log(100-0)\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \times \log(100-36)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log 100 + \frac{1}{2} \log 64 = \log 100^{\frac{1}{2}} + \log 64^{\frac{1}{2}} = \log 10 + \log 8 = \log 80 \end{aligned}$$

$$u(w-P^+) = \log(100-P^+) \xrightarrow{A=100-P^+} \log(A) = \log 80 \Rightarrow e^{\log 80} = A$$

$$\Rightarrow 80 = 100 - P^+ \Rightarrow P^+ = 20$$

15

20

for calculating the approximation of  $P^+$  according to the (1.18) we have

$$P^+ \approx \mu + \frac{1}{2} r(w-\mu) \sigma^2 \quad \text{that } E[X] = \mu, \text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2 \text{ and } r(w) = \frac{u''(w)}{u'(w)}$$

$$\text{so we have: } E[X] = \sum x P(X=x) = (0 \times \frac{1}{2}) + (36 \times \frac{1}{2}) = 18$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = E[(X-\mu)^2] = \sum (x-\mu)^2 P(X=x) = \left[\frac{1}{2} (0-18)^2\right] + \left[\frac{1}{2} (36-18)^2\right] = \frac{18^2}{2} + \frac{18^2}{2} = 18^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(X) = 324, \quad u(w) = \log w \Rightarrow u'(w) = \frac{1}{w} \quad \text{and} \quad u''(w) = -\frac{1}{w^2} \Rightarrow r(w) = -\frac{(-\frac{1}{w^2})}{(\frac{1}{w})} = \frac{1}{w}$$

$$\Rightarrow r(w) = \frac{1}{w} \quad \text{so we have:}$$

$$P^+ \approx \mu + \frac{1}{2} r(w-\mu) \sigma^2 = 18 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{100-18}\right) \times 18^2 = 18 + 1.975 = 19.975$$

Eiffel



③ according to the question we know that  $P^- = 19$   $u(w) = \log(w)$

again i will rewrite x probabilities here:

x	0	36
$P(X=x)$	$0.5 \times \frac{1}{2}$	$0.5 \times \frac{1}{2}$

$$u(w) = E[u(w + P^- - X)] \quad , \quad u(w) = \log w \quad \rightarrow x \text{ is discrete} \rightarrow E[X] = \sum x P(X=x)$$

$$\Rightarrow E[u(w + P^- - X)] = E[\log(w + 19 - X)] = \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\log(w + 19 - 0)) \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\log(w + 19 - 36)) \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot \log(w + 19) \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\log(w - 17)) = \frac{1}{2} [\log(w + 19) + \log(w - 17)] = \log w$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \log(w + 19) + \log(w - 17) = 2 \log w \Leftrightarrow \log(w + 19) + \log(w - 17) = \log(w^2) \quad 10$$

exp

$$\Rightarrow (w + 19) \times (w - 17) = w^2 \Rightarrow w^2 - 17w + 19w - (19 \times 17) = w^2$$

$$\Rightarrow w^2 - w^2 + 19w - 17w - (19 \times 17) = 0 \Leftrightarrow 2w = 19 \times 17 \Rightarrow w = \frac{19 \times 17}{2} = 161.5$$

④ according to the (7.7a) and question we have:  $w = 0$   $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{2})$

x	0	1
$P(X=x)$	$\frac{1}{2} = 0.5$	$\frac{1}{2} = 0.5$

$$\text{and } u(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2x}{3} & x \geq \frac{3}{4} \\ 2x + 1 & -1 < x < -\frac{3}{4} \\ 3x + 2 & x \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

20

$$\text{for } x: E[u(w - x)] = u(w - P^+) \xrightarrow{w=0} E[u(-x)] = u(-P^+)$$

$$\text{for } 2x: E[u(w - 2x)] = u(w - P^+) \xrightarrow{w=0} E[u(-2x)] = u(-P^+)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for } x \text{ we have: } E[u(-x)] = u(-P^+) \xrightarrow{x \text{ is discrete} \rightarrow E[X] = \sum x P(X=x)} (0 \times \frac{1}{2}) + (-1 \times \frac{1}{2}) = -\frac{1}{2} = u(-P^+)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for } 2x \text{ we have: } E[u(-2x)] = u(-P^+) \xrightarrow{x \text{ is discrete} \rightarrow E[X] = \sum x P(X=x)} (-2 \times 0 \times \frac{1}{2}) + (-2 \times -1 \times \frac{1}{2}) = -1 = u(-P^+) \quad 25$$

$$\text{if we set } x = -\frac{3}{4} \Rightarrow u(-\frac{3}{4}) = -\frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow P_{[x]}^+ = \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\text{if we set } x = -1 \Rightarrow u(-1) = -1 \Rightarrow P_{[2x]}^+ = -\frac{4}{3} \quad \left. \begin{matrix} \Rightarrow P_{[2x]}^+ = -\frac{4}{3} < P_{[x]}^+ = \frac{3}{4} \\ \Rightarrow P_{[x]}^+ = \frac{3}{4} < P_{[2x]}^+ = -\frac{4}{3} \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow \frac{3}{4} < 1.5$$

so done, ✓

Eiffel

⑤ we should proof that  $P^- = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(M_x(\alpha))$  with  $u(x) = -\alpha e^{-\alpha x}$

$$E[u(w)] = E[u(w + P^- - x)] \Leftrightarrow E[-\alpha e^{-\alpha w}] = E[-\alpha e^{-(w + P^- - x)}] = E[-\alpha e^{-\alpha(w + P^-)} e^{\alpha x}]$$

$$= -\alpha e^{-\alpha(w + P^-)} E[e^{\alpha x}] = -\alpha e^{-\alpha w} \Leftrightarrow -\alpha e^{-\alpha w} e^{-\alpha P^-} E[e^{\alpha x}] = -\alpha e^{-\alpha w}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow e^{-\alpha P^-} + E[e^{\alpha x}] = 1 \xrightarrow{\log} -\alpha P^- + \log(M_x(\alpha)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P^- = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(M_x(\alpha))$$

for  $P^+ = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(M_x(\alpha))$  we have:  $E[u(w - x)] = u(w - P^+)$

10

$$E[u(w - x)] = E[-\alpha e^{-\alpha(w - x)}] = E[-\alpha e^{-\alpha w} e^{\alpha x}] = -\alpha e^{-\alpha w} E[e^{\alpha x}]$$

$$= -\alpha e^{-\alpha w} M_x(\alpha) = u(w - P^+) = -\alpha e^{-\alpha(w - P^+)} \Leftrightarrow -\alpha e^{-\alpha w} M_x(\alpha) = -\alpha e^{-\alpha w} e^{\alpha P^+}$$

$$\Rightarrow M_x(\alpha) = e^{\alpha P^+} \xrightarrow{\log} \log M_x(\alpha) = \alpha P^+ \Rightarrow P^+ = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log M_x(\alpha)$$

⑥ according to the question we know that:  $\alpha = 0.001$ ,  $u(x) = -\alpha e^{-\alpha x}$

20

and  $X \sim N(400, 25000)$ ,  $Y \sim N(420, 2000)$   $P_X^+ > P_Y^+$  find  $\alpha$ ?

$$\text{for } X \Rightarrow \alpha = 0.001, \mu = 400, \sigma^2 = 25000, M_x(\alpha) = e^{\mu\alpha + \frac{\sigma^2\alpha^2}{2}} \Rightarrow \log(M_x(\alpha)) = \mu\alpha + \frac{\sigma^2\alpha^2}{2}$$

$$\text{for } Y \Rightarrow \alpha = 0.001, \mu = 420, \sigma^2 = 2000, M_y(\alpha) = e^{\mu\alpha + \frac{\sigma^2\alpha^2}{2}} \Rightarrow \log(M_y(\alpha)) = \mu\alpha + \frac{\sigma^2\alpha^2}{2}$$

$\Rightarrow$  we know that  $P^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(M_x(\alpha))$  for exponential utility function.

$$P_X^- = \frac{1}{0.001} \left( 400 \times 0.001 + \frac{(25000)(0.001)^2}{2} \right) = 412.5$$

$$P_Y^- = \frac{1}{0.001} \left( 420 \times 0.001 + \frac{(2000)(0.001)^2}{2} \right) = 430$$

$\Rightarrow Y$  is greater than  $X$ .

Eiffel



if want to find  $\alpha$  when  $P_x^- > P_y^-$  we have

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \left( M_x \alpha + \frac{\sigma_x^2 \alpha^2}{2} \right) > \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( M_y \alpha + \frac{\sigma_y^2 \alpha^2}{2} \right) \Leftrightarrow \alpha M_x - \alpha M_y + \frac{\sigma_x^2 \alpha^2}{2} - \frac{\sigma_y^2 \alpha^2}{2} > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha (M_x - M_y) + \alpha^2 \left( \frac{\sigma_x^2 - \sigma_y^2}{2} \right) > 0 \stackrel{"/\alpha}{\Leftrightarrow} \alpha^2 \left( \frac{\sigma_x^2 - \sigma_y^2}{2} \right) > \alpha (M_x - M_y) \quad 5$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha} > \frac{\alpha (M_x - M_y)}{\left( \frac{\sigma_x^2 - \sigma_y^2}{2} \right)} \Leftrightarrow \alpha > \frac{\alpha (M_x - M_y)}{\left( \frac{\sigma_x^2 - \sigma_y^2}{2} \right)}$$

according to the question we know that  $M_x = 400, \sigma_x^2 = 25000, M_y = 450, \sigma_y^2 = 20100$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha > \frac{-(400 - 450)}{\left( \frac{25000 - 20100}{2} \right)} \Rightarrow \alpha > \frac{+20}{2500} \Rightarrow \alpha > +0.008$$

and we know that  $\alpha > 0$  in exponential distribution So  $\Rightarrow \alpha > 0.008$  Done 15

(7) we know that  $P_x^- = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(m_x(\alpha))$  ,  $P_{2x}^- = \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(m_{2x}(\alpha))$   
recursive proof:

$$P_{[2x]}^- > 2P_x^- \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha} \log(m_{2x}(\alpha)) > \frac{2}{\alpha} \log(m_x(\alpha)) \quad 20$$

$$\stackrel{"/\alpha}{\Leftrightarrow} \log(m_{2x}(\alpha)) > 2 \log(m_x(\alpha)) \Leftrightarrow \log(m_{2x}(\alpha)) > \log(m_x(\alpha))^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \log E[e^{2\alpha x}] > \log E[e^{\alpha x}]^2 \stackrel{\text{exp}}{\Leftrightarrow} E[e^{2\alpha x}] > E[e^{\alpha x}]^2 \Leftrightarrow E[Z^2] > E[Z]^2$$

$\Leftrightarrow \text{Var}(Z) > 0$  ✓ But i think we can solve it with

Jensen inequality theorem

— Eiffel —

$$(3) \quad 0 \leq d \leq 1 \quad E[(1-d)_+] = \frac{1}{3} (1-d)^3$$

we know that  $\pi'_x(d) = F_x(d) - 1 = (E[(1-d)_+])' = \left(\frac{1}{3} (1-d)^3\right)'$

$$\Rightarrow \pi'_x(d) = (-1) \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) (3) (1-d)^2 = -(1-d)^2 \Rightarrow F_x(d) = 1 - (1-d)^2$$

$$F'_x(d) = -(-2)(1-d)(-1) = 2-2d = 2(1-d)$$