

Cramer-Lundberg theorem

Section 4 - Home Work 1

Student: Mehrab Atighi, mehrab.atighi@gmail.com

Lecturer: Mohammad Zokaei, Zokaei@sbu.ac.ir

Problem 1

Proof of part b of the theorem below. [Embrechts et al. \(1997\)](#):

Theorem 1.2.2 (Cramér–Lundberg theorem)

Consider the Cramér–Lundberg model including the net profit condition $\rho > 0$. Assume that there exists a $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\hat{f}_I(-\nu) = \int_0^\infty e^{\nu x} dF_I(x) = \frac{c}{\lambda\mu} = 1 + \rho. \quad (1.13)$$

Then the following relations hold.

(a) *For all $u \geq 0$,*

$$\psi(u) \leq e^{-\nu u}. \quad (1.14)$$

(b) *If, moreover,*

$$\int_0^\infty x e^{\nu x} \bar{F}(x) dx < \infty, \quad (1.15)$$

then

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} e^{\nu u} \psi(u) = C < \infty, \quad (1.16)$$

where

$$C = \left[\frac{\nu}{\rho\mu} \int_0^\infty x e^{\nu x} \bar{F}(x) dx \right]^{-1}. \quad (1.17)$$

(c) *In the case of an exponential df $F(x) = 1 - e^{-x/\mu}$, (1.11) reduces to*

$$\psi(u) = \frac{1}{1 + \rho} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\rho}{\mu(1 + \rho)} u \right\}, \quad u \geq 0. \quad (1.18)$$

Solve 1

Proof of (b). Denote $d(v) = -\psi'(v)$. Recall from (1.3) that $d(v)$ can be expressed via the random walk generated by $X_i = \xi_i - 1$. Then

$$\delta(u) = P(S(t) - ct < u \forall t > 0)$$

$$= P(\sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - cY_k) \leq u \forall n \geq 1)$$

and we now that $S(t) - ct = \sum_{k=1}^n (X_k - cY_k)$ so we have:

$$= P(\sum_{k=2}^n X_k - cY_k \leq u + cY_1, -X_1 \forall n \geq 2, X_1 - cY_1 \leq u)$$

and we set $S'(t) = \sum_{k=2}^n X_k$ then we have $\sum_{k=2}^n (X_k - cY_k) = S'(t) - ct$ so we have:

$$= P(S'(t) - ct < u + cY_1 - X_1 \forall t > 0, X_1 - cY_1 < u),$$

where S' is an independent copy of S . Hence

$$\delta(u) = E(P(S'(t) - ct < u + cY_1 - X_1 \forall t > 0, X_1 - cY_1 < u | Y_1, X_1))$$

Considering that it was supposed to do the proof as long as we can, I did not understand from this part onwards and I tried my best to move forward, so the effort I took to reach the next step is an image in this part. I will add and unfortunately I could not advance the proof from here on.

$$\begin{aligned} & E[P(S'(t) - ct \leq u + cY_1 - X_1, X_1 - cY_1 \leq u)] \\ &= E[P(S'(t) - ct \leq u + cY_1 - X_1) \overset{\text{independent}}{P(X_1 - cY_1 \leq u)}] \\ & \quad \quad \quad X_1, Y_1 \neq S \quad \quad \quad P(S(t) \leq u) \rightarrow 1.3 G_+(u) \\ &= E[P(S'(t) - ct \leq u + cY_1 - X_1) \times P(S(t) \leq u + cS)] \\ &= E[P(S'(t) - ct \leq u + cS - X_1) \times \int_0^{u+cS} \frac{e^{-\lambda s}}{s!} \lambda ds] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{u+cS} \lambda e^{-\lambda s} \times \underbrace{Pr(S'(t) - ct \leq u + cS - X_1)}_{\delta(u+cS-\lambda)} dF_{X_1} ds \\ & \quad \quad \quad \delta(u+cS-\lambda) = 1 - \psi(u+cS-\lambda) = u+cS-\lambda \text{ (احتمال بقيا باسواء اوليه ووليه)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^{u+cS} \delta(u+cS-\lambda) dF_{X_1} ds \Rightarrow u+cS=z \Rightarrow ds = \frac{dz}{c} \\ &= \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^z \delta(z-\lambda) dF_{X_1} \frac{dz}{c} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{c} e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^z \delta(z-\lambda) dF_{X_1} dz \\ &= \int_0^u \frac{\lambda}{c} e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^z \delta(z-\lambda) dF_{X_1} dz + \int_u^\infty \frac{\lambda}{c} e^{-\lambda s} \int_0^z \delta(z-\lambda) dF_{X_1} dz \end{aligned}$$

References

Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C., and Mikosch, T. (1997). *Modelling extremal events*, volume 33 of *Applications of Mathematics (New York)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. For insurance and finance.