

## Exercise section 16

Mehrab Atighi

5/11/2021

### section 16:

1)

```
library(heplots)

data(RootStock)
data<-as.data.frame(RootStock)
group<-as.factor(data$rootstock)
response<-cbind(RootStock$girth4,RootStock$ext4,RootStock$girth15,RootStock$weight15)
summary(manova(response~group))

##              Df Pillai approx F num Df den Df      Pr(>F)
## group         5 1.3055   4.0697     20   168 1.983e-07 ***
## Residuals    42
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

means<-data.frame(
mu1<-colMeans(data[1:8,2:5]),
mu2<-colMeans(data[9:16,2:5]),
mu3<-colMeans(data[17:24,2:5]),
mu4<-colMeans(data[25:32,2:5]),
mu5<-colMeans(data[33:40,2:5]),
mu6<-colMeans(data[41:48,2:5])
)
means
```

	mu1...colMeans.data.1.8..2.5..	mu2...colMeans.data.9.16..2.5..	mu3...colMeans.data.17.24..2.5..	mu4...colMeans.data.25.32..2.5..	mu5...colMeans.data.33.40..2.5..	mu6...colMeans.data.41.48..2.5..
girth4	1.137500	1.157500	1.107500	1.09750	1.08000	1.036250
ext4	2.977125	3.109125	2.815250	2.87975	2.55725	2.214625
girth15	3.738750	4.515000	4.455000	3.90625	4.31250	3.596250
weight15	0.871125	1.280500	1.391375	1.03900	1.18100	0.735000

حال در بالا میتوانیم بردارهای میانگین را مشاهده بکنیم.

```
colSums(means)
```

```
## mu1....colMeans.data.1.8..2.5.. mu2....colMeans.data.9.16..2.5..  
## 8.724500 10.062125  
## mu3....colMeans.data.17.24..2.5.. mu4....colMeans.data.25.32..2.5..  
## 9.769125 8.922500  
## mu5....colMeans.data.33.40..2.5.. mu6....colMeans.data.41.48..2.5..  
## 9.130750 7.582125
```

با توجه به آخرین خروجی نیز می‌توان مشاهده کرد که جمع میانگین‌های جامعه دوم از همه با اهمیت‌تر هستش.

## 2)

### a)

$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$   $H_1 : \text{At least one of the means is not equal}$

### b)

```
M1y1<-c(5.4,5.2,6.1,4.8,5.0,5.7,6.0,4.0,5.7,5.6,5.8,5.3)  
M1y2<-c(6,6.2,5.9,5,5.7,6.1,6,5,5.4,5.2,6.1,5.9)  
M1y3<-c(6.3,6,6,4.9,5,6,5.8,4,4.9,5.4,5.2,5.8)  
M1y4<-c(6.7,5.8,7,5,6.5,6.6,6,5,5,5.8,6.4,6)  
M2y1<-c(5,4.8,3.9,4,5.6,6,5.2,5.3,5.9,6.1,6.2,5.1)  
M2y2<-c(5.3,4.9,4,5.1,5.4,5.5,4.8,5.1,6.1,6,5.7,4.9)  
M2y3<-c(5.3,4.2,4.4,4.8,5.1,5.7,5.4,5.8,5.7,6.1,5.9,5.3)  
M2y4<-c(6.5,5.6,5.0,5.8,6.2,6.0,6,6.4,6,6.2,6,4.8)  
M3y1<-c(4.8,5.4,4.9,5.7,4.2,6,5.1,4.8,5.3,4.6,4.5,4.4)  
M3y2<-c(5,5,5.1,5.2,4.6,5.3,5.2,4.6,5.4,4.4,4.0,4.2)  
M3y3<-c(6.5,6,5.9,6.4,5.3,5.8,6.2,5.7,6.8,5.7,5,5.6)  
M3y4<-c(7,6.4,6.5,6.4,6.3,6.4,6.5,5.7,6.6,5.6,5.9,5.5)  
  
Method<-factor(rep(c(1:3), each = 48))  
variable<-factor(rep(c(1:12),12))  
response<-c(M1y1,M1y2,M1y3,M1y4,M2y1,M2y2,M2y3,M2y4,M3y1,M3y2,M3y3,M3y4)  
result<-aov(response~Method+variable)  
summary(result)  
  
##           Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)  
## Method      2   1.11   0.5526   1.222 0.2981  
## variable    11   8.07   0.7336   1.622 0.0997 .  
## Residuals  130  58.80   0.4523  
## ---  
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

c)

for y1: method1:

```
response<-c(M1y1,M2y1,M3y1)
group<-factor(rep(1:3,each = 12))
result<-aov(response~group)
summary(result)

##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## group         2  1.051   0.5253   1.293  0.288
## Residuals    33 13.408   0.4063
```

method2:

```
data<-cbind(response,group)
r<-oneway.test(response~group , data = data ,var.equal = TRUE)
r

##
##  One-way analysis of means
##
## data:  response and group
## F = 1.2928, num df = 2, denom df = 33, p-value = 0.288
```

for y2: method1:

```
response<-c(M1y2,M2y2,M3y2)
group<-factor(rep(1:3,each = 12))
result<-aov(response~group)
summary(result)

##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value    Pr(>F)
## group         2  4.605   2.3025   9.378 0.000596 ***
## Residuals    33  8.103   0.2455
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

method2:

```
data<-cbind(response,group)
r<-oneway.test(response~group , data = data ,var.equal = TRUE)
r

##
##  One-way analysis of means
##
## data:  response and group
## F = 9.3777, num df = 2, denom df = 33, p-value = 0.000596
```

for y3: method1:

```
response<-c(M1y3,M2y3,M3y3)
group<-factor(rep(1:3,each = 12))
result<-aov(response~group)
summary(result)

##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## group         2  2.382   1.1911   3.386  0.046 *
## Residuals    33 11.607   0.3517
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

method2:

```
data<-cbind(response,group)
r<-oneway.test(response~group , data = data ,var.equal = TRUE)
r
## One-way analysis of means
##
## data:  response and group
## F = 3.3863, num df = 2, denom df = 33, p-value = 0.04596
```

for y4: method1:

```
response<-c(M1y4,M2y4,M3y4)
group<-factor(rep(1:3,each = 12))
result<-aov(response~group)
summary(result)

##              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
## group         2  0.811   0.4053   1.266  0.295
## Residuals    33 10.566   0.3202
```

method2:

```
data<-cbind(response,group)
r<-oneway.test(response~group , data = data ,var.equal = TRUE)
r
## One-way analysis of means
##
## data:  response and group
## F = 1.2658, num df = 2, denom df = 33, p-value = 0.2954
```

d)

for each test we have  $\alpha = 0.05$  and when we use 4 test total error will be  $4 \times 0.05 = 0.2$  so its better that we use 1 manova our multiple anova test just with 0.05 error.

خطای ما به 0.2 افزایش خواهد یافت. زیرا 4 تا 0.05 از مون شده است.