# Characterisation of domain of attraction

Section 10 - Home Work 2

Student: Mehrab Atighi, mehrab.atighi@gmail.com Lecturer: Mohammad Zokaei, Zokaei@sbu.ac.ir

#### Problem 1

#### Theorem 2.2.8 (Characterisation of domain of attraction)

(b) The df F belongs to the domain of attraction of an  $\alpha$ -stable law for some  $\alpha < 2$  if and only if

$$F(-x) = \frac{c_1 + o(1)}{x^{\alpha}} L(x), \quad 1 - F(x) = \frac{c_2 + o(1)}{x^{\alpha}} L(x), \quad x \to \infty,$$

where L is slowly varying and  $c_1, c_2$  are non-negative constants such that  $c_1 + c_2 > 0$ .

Embrechts et al. (1997):

### Solve 1

#### **Proof:**

Consider a sequence of i.i.d. random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  with distribution function F. We want to show that F belongs to the domain of attraction of an  $\alpha$ -stable law with  $\alpha < 2$  if and only if the tails of F satisfy:

$$F(-x) = \frac{c_1 + o(1)}{x^{\alpha}} L(x)$$
 and  $1 - F(x) = \frac{c_2 + o(1)}{x^{\alpha}} L(x)$ ,  $x \to \infty$ ,

where L(x) is slowly varying and  $c_1, c_2 \ge 0$  with  $c_1 + c_2 > 0$ .

## Step 1: Tail Behavior and Characterization

For F to be in the domain of attraction of an  $\alpha$ -stable law, the tails of the distribution function F must have the form:

$$F(-x) \sim \frac{c_1}{x^{\alpha}} L(x)$$
 and  $1 - F(x) \sim \frac{c_2}{x^{\alpha}} L(x)$ , as  $x \to \infty$ ,

where L(x) is a slowly varying function. This condition ensures that the distribution F has heavy tails, which is a characteristic of  $\alpha$ -stable distributions.

#### Step 2: Normalizing Constants

For a random variable X with distribution function F, we need to show that for large n,

$$\frac{S_n - a_n}{b_n} \stackrel{d}{\to} X,$$

1

where  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ , and  $a_n$  is centering constant and  $b_n$  is normalizing constants. Using properties of  $\alpha$ -stable laws, we can choose  $b_n = n^{1/\alpha}$  and  $a_n = 0$ .

# Step 3: Slowly Varying Function L(x)

A function L(x) is slowly varying at infinity if for any t > 0,

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{L(tx)}{L(x)} = 1.$$

This definition implies that L(x) grows very slowly compared to any polynomial function. In our context, L(x) modulates the tail behavior of the distribution F.

## Step 4: Convergence of Normalized Sums

To conclude, if F has the specified tail behavior, then the normalized sum  $\frac{S_n}{n^{1/\alpha}}$  converges in distribution to an  $\alpha$ -stable law. This implies that F is in the domain of attraction of the  $\alpha$ -stable law. For large n, consider:

$$P\left(\frac{S_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} \le x\right) \approx F\left(xn^{1/\alpha}\right).$$

Using the tail behavior of F:

$$F\left(xn^{1/\alpha}\right) \sim \frac{c_1}{(xn^{1/\alpha})^{\alpha}} L(xn^{1/\alpha}) + \frac{c_2}{(xn^{1/\alpha})^{\alpha}} L(xn^{1/\alpha}).$$

Since L(x) is slowly varying,

$$\frac{L(xn^{1/\alpha})}{L(n^{1/\alpha})} \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Thus,

$$F\left(xn^{1/\alpha}\right) \sim \frac{c_1 + c_2}{x^{\alpha}} L(n^{1/\alpha}),$$

which implies that

$$P\left(\frac{S_n}{n^{1/\alpha}} \le x\right) \to G(x),$$

where G(x) is the distribution function of an  $\alpha$ -stable law. Therefore, F is in the domain of attraction of an  $\alpha$ -stable law if the tails of F have the specified form with a slowly varying function L(x). Thus, we have shown that the second moment being slowly varying is a necessary condition for a distribution to be in the domain of attraction of the normal law. i try to use Feller (2008b) for this proof. In the following you can see two page of this book.

Theorem 1. A distribution F belongs to the domain of attraction of some distribution G iff there exists a slowly varying L such that

$$(8.3) U(x) \sim x^{2-\sigma} L(x), x \to \infty,$$

with  $0 < \alpha \le 2$ , and when  $\alpha < 2$ 

(8.4) 
$$\frac{1 - F(x)}{1 - F(x) + F(-x)} \to p, \quad \frac{F(-x)}{1 - F(x) + F(-x)} \to q.$$

IX.8

DOMAINS OF ATTRACTION

313

When  $\alpha = 2$  condition (8.3) alone is sufficient provided F is not concentrated at one point.

We shall see that (8.3) with  $\alpha = 2$  implies convergence to the normal distribution. This covers distributions with finite variance, but also many distributions with unbounded slowly varying U [see example VIII,4(a)].

Using theorem 2 of VIII,9 with  $\xi = 2$  and  $\eta = 0$  it is seen that the relation (8.3) is fully equivalent to<sup>7</sup>

(8.5) 
$$\frac{x^2[1-F(x)+F(-x)]}{U(x)} \to \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}$$

in the sense that the two relations imply each other.

When  $0 < \alpha < 2$  we can rewrite (8.5) in the form

(8.6) 
$$1 - F(x) + F(-x) \sim \frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha} x^{-\alpha} L(x),$$

and conversely (8.6) implies (8.3) and (8.5). This leads us to a reformulation of the theorem which is more intuitive inasmuch as it describes the behavior of the individual tails. (For other alternatives see problem 17.)

**Theorem 1a.** (Alternative form). (i) A distribution F belongs to the domain of attraction of the normal distribution iff U varies slowly.

(ii) It belongs to some other domain of attraction iff (8.6) and (8.4) hold for some  $0 < \alpha < 2$ .

**Proof.** We shall apply the theorem of section 7 to the array of variables  $X_{k,n} = X_k/a_n$  with distributions  $F_n(x) = F(a_n x)$ . The row sums of the array  $\{X_{k,n}\}$  are given by

$$S_n = (X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/a_n$$

Obviously  $a_n \mapsto \infty$  and hence  $\{X_{k,n}\}$  is a null-array. To show that the condition (7.8) is satisfied we put

$$v(x) = \int_{-x}^{x} y F\{dy\}$$

7 Condition (8.4) requires a similar relation for each tail separately:.

(\*) 
$$\frac{x^2[1-F(x)]}{U(x)} \to p \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}, \quad \frac{x^2F(-x)}{U(x)} \to q \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}$$

When  $\alpha=2$  these relations follow from (8.5), which explains the absence of a second condition when  $\alpha=2$ . Theorem 1 could have been formulated more concisely (but more artificially) as follows: F belongs to some domain of attraction iff (\*) is true with  $0<\alpha\leq 2$ ,  $p\geq 0$ ,  $q\geq 0$ , p+q=1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For distributions with finite variance, U varies slowly except when F is concentrated at the origin. In all other cases (8.3) and (8.4) remain unchanged if F(x) is replaced by F(x+b).

Theorem 1. A distribution F belongs to the domain of attraction of some distribution G iff there exists a slowly varying L such that

$$(8.3) U(x) \sim x^{2-\sigma} L(x), x \to \infty,$$

with  $0 < \alpha \le 2$ , and when  $\alpha < 2$ 

(8.4) 
$$\frac{1 - F(x)}{1 - F(x) + F(-x)} \to p, \quad \frac{F(-x)}{1 - F(x) + F(-x)} \to q.$$

IX.8

DOMAINS OF ATTRACTION

313

When  $\alpha = 2$  condition (8.3) alone is sufficient provided F is not concentrated at one point.

We shall see that (8.3) with  $\alpha = 2$  implies convergence to the normal distribution. This covers distributions with finite variance, but also many distributions with unbounded slowly varying U [see example VIII,4(a)].

Using theorem 2 of VIII,9 with  $\xi = 2$  and  $\eta = 0$  it is seen that the relation (8.3) is fully equivalent to<sup>7</sup>

(8.5) 
$$\frac{x^2[1-F(x)+F(-x)]}{U(x)} \rightarrow \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}$$

in the sense that the two relations imply each other.

When  $0 < \alpha < 2$  we can rewrite (8.5) in the form

(8.6) 
$$1 - F(x) + F(-x) \sim \frac{2 - \alpha}{\alpha} x^{-\alpha} L(x),$$

and conversely (8.6) implies (8.3) and (8.5). This leads us to a reformulation of the theorem which is more intuitive inasmuch as it describes the behavior of the individual tails. (For other alternatives see problem 17.)

**Theorem 1a.** (Alternative form). (i) A distribution F belongs to the domain of attraction of the normal distribution iff U varies slowly.

(ii) It belongs to some other domain of attraction iff (8.6) and (8.4) hold for some  $0 < \alpha < 2$ .

**Proof.** We shall apply the theorem of section 7 to the array of variables  $X_{k,n} = X_k/a_n$  with distributions  $F_n(x) = F(a_n x)$ . The row sums of the array  $\{X_{k,n}\}$  are given by

$$S_n = (X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/a_n$$

Obviously  $a_n \mapsto \infty$  and hence  $\{X_{k,n}\}$  is a null-array. To show that the condition (7.8) is satisfied we put

$$v(x) = \int_{-x}^{x} y F\{dy\}$$

Ondition (8.4) requires a similar relation for each tail separately:.

(\*) 
$$\frac{x^2[1-F(x)]}{U(x)} \rightarrow p \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}, \quad \frac{x^2F(-x)}{U(x)} \rightarrow q \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}$$

When  $\alpha=2$  these relations follow from (8.5), which explains the absence of a second condition when  $\alpha=2$ . Theorem 1 could have been formulated more concisely but more artificially) as follows: F belongs to some domain of attraction iff (\*) is true with  $0<\alpha\leq 2$ ,  $p\geq 0$ ,  $q\geq 0$ , p+q=1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For distributions with finite variance, U varies slowly except when F is concentrated at the origin. In all other cases (8.3) and (8.4) remain unchanged if F(x) is replaced by F(x+b).

Grimmett and Stirzaker (2020); Feller (2008a); Durrett (2019); Billingsley (2008); Klenke (2013); Ross (2014); Chebyshev (1867)

# References

- Billingsley, P. (2008). Probability and Measure. John Wiley & Sons.
- Chebyshev, P. L. (1867). Des valeurs moyennes. *Journal de Mathématiques pures et appliquées*, 12:177–184.
- Durrett, R. (2019). Probability: Theory and Examples. Cambridge University Press.
- Embrechts, P., Klüppelberg, C., and Mikosch, T. (1997). *Modelling extremal events*, volume 33 of *Applications of Mathematics (New York)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. For insurance and finance.
- Feller, W. (2008a). An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Volume 1. John Wiley & Sons.
- Feller, W. (2008b). An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, Volume 2. John Wiley & Sons.
- Grimmett, G. and Stirzaker, D. (2020). *Probability and Random Processes*. Oxford University Press.
- Klenke, A. (2013). Probability Theory: A Comprehensive Course. Springer.
- Ross, S. M. (2014). Introduction to Probability and Statistics for Engineers and Scientists. Academic Press.