

Day 30 Assignment

Name: Mehul Anjikhane

Email: mehulanjikhane13@gmail.com

Task 1: Write a set of JUnit tests for a given class with simple mathematical operations(add, subtract, multiply, divide) using the basic @Test annotation.

Calculator Class:

```
package assignments;

public class Calculator {
    public int add(int a, int b) {
        return a + b;
    }

    public int subtract(int a, int b) {
        return a - b;
    }

    public int multiply(int a, int b) {
        return a * b;
    }

    public int divide(int a, int b) {
        if (b == 0) {
            throw new IllegalArgumentException("Division by zero is not
allowed");
        }
        return a / b;
    }
}
```

CalculatorTest Class:

```
package assignments;

import org.junit.Test;
import static org.junit.Assert.*;

public class CalculatorTest {

    private Calculator calculator = new Calculator();

    @Test
    public void testAdd() {
        assertEquals(5, calculator.add(2, 3));
    }

    @Test
    public void testSubtract() {
        assertEquals(1, calculator.subtract(3, 2));
    }
}
```

```

    }

    @Test
    public void testMultiply() {
        assertEquals(6, calculator.multiply(2, 3));
    }

    @Test(expected = IllegalArgumentException.class)
    public void testDivideByZero() {
        calculator.divide(3, 0);
    }

    @Test
    public void testDivide() {
        assertEquals(2, calculator.divide(6, 3));
    }
}

```

Task 2: Extend the above JUnit tests to use @Before, @After, @BeforeClass, and @AfterClass annotations to manage test setup and teardown.

CalculatorTest Class:

```

package assignments;

import static org.junit.Assert.assertEquals;
import org.junit.*;

public class CalculatorTest {

    private static Calculator calculator;

    @BeforeClass
    public static void setUpBeforeClass() throws Exception {
        System.out.println("Executed before all test cases");
        calculator = new Calculator();
    }

    @AfterClass
    public static void tearDownAfterClass() throws Exception {
        System.out.println("Executed after all test cases");
        calculator = null;
    }

    @Before
    public void setUp() throws Exception {
        System.out.println("Executed before each test case");
    }

    @After
    public void tearDown() throws Exception {
        System.out.println("Executed after each test case");
    }
}

```

```

@Test
public void testAdd() {
    assertEquals(5, calculator.add(2, 3));
}

@Test
public void testSubtract() {
    assertEquals(1, calculator.subtract(3, 2));
}

@Test
public void testMultiply() {
    assertEquals(6, calculator.multiply(2, 3));
}

@Test(expected = IllegalArgumentException.class)
public void testDivideByZero() {
    calculator.divide(3, 0);
}

@Test
public void testDivide() {
    assertEquals(2, calculator.divide(6, 3));
}
}

```

Output:

```

Executed before all test cases
Executed before each test case
Executed after each test case
Executed before each test case
Executed after each test case
Executed before each test case
Executed after each test case
Executed before each test case
Executed after each test case
Executed before each test case
Executed after each test case
Executed after all test cases

```

Task 3: Create test cases with assertEquals, assertTrue, and assertFalse to validate the correctness of a custom String utility class.

StringUtil Class:

```

package assignments;

public class StringUtil {
    public static boolean isEmpty(String str) {
        return str == null || str.isEmpty();
    }

    public static boolean isPalindrome(String str) {
        if (str == null) {

```

```

        return false;
    }
    String reversed = new StringBuilder(str).reverse().toString();
    return str.equals(reversed);
}

    public static String reverse(String str) {
        if (str == null) {
            return null;
        }
        return new StringBuilder(str).reverse().toString();
    }
}

```

StringUtilTest Class:

```

package assignments;

import org.junit.Test;
import static org.junit.Assert.*;

public class StringUtilTest {

    @Test
    public void testIsNullOrEmpty() {
        assertTrue(StringUtil.isNullOrEmpty(null));
        assertTrue(StringUtil.isNullOrEmpty(""));
        assertFalse(StringUtil.isNullOrEmpty("abc"));
    }

    @Test
    public void testIsPalindrome() {
        assertTrue(StringUtil.isPalindrome("madam"));
        assertFalse(StringUtil.isPalindrome("hello"));
        assertFalse(StringUtil.isPalindrome(null));
    }

    @Test
    public void testReverse() {
        assertEquals("cba", StringUtil.reverse("abc"));
        assertEquals("", StringUtil.reverse(""));
        assertNull(StringUtil.reverse(null));
    }
}

```

Task 4: Research and present a comparison of different garbage collection algorithms (Serial, Parallel, CMS, G1, ZGC) in Java.

GC	Characteristics	Advantages	Disadvantages	Use Case
Serial GC	Single-threaded, uses Mark-Copy for Young Generation and Mark-Sweep-Compact for Old Generation	Low overhead, simple implementation	Long stop-the-world (STW) pauses, not suitable for applications requiring high responsiveness	Small applications or environments where pause times are not critical
Parallel GC	Multi-threaded, uses Mark-Copy for Young Generation and Mark-Sweep-Compact for Old Generation	Reduces pause times by utilizing multiple threads	Still involves lengthy STW pauses for larger heaps	Applications where throughput is more important than low latency (e.g., batch processing)
CMS GC	Performs most work concurrently with application threads	Lower pause times compared to Serial and Parallel GC, suitable for applications requiring better responsiveness	Higher CPU usage, potential fragmentation issues, occasional full GC pauses	Interactive applications where response time is critical
G1 GC	Divides heap into regions, prioritizes regions with the most garbage, designed for large heaps	Concurrent, compacting collector, predictable pause times	More complex tuning compared to simpler GCs	Applications with large heaps requiring predictable, low-latency GC
ZGC	Designed for very large heaps, aims to keep pause times below 10ms	Handles very large heaps efficiently, extremely low pause times	Higher CPU usage, complexity	Real-time systems, applications requiring minimal pause times regardless of heap size