Handbook of Applied Mathematics

Zeyu Wang¹

May 14, 2024

 $^{^{1}{\}rm Email:}$ zeyuwang@zuaa.zju.edu.cn

Contents

\mathbf{C}	ontei	nts		i	
Μ	athe	matica	al Foundation	. 1	
1	Ana	alysis .		. 2	
	1.1	Calcu	ılus	. 2	
		1.1.1	Gauss-Green Theorem (Divergence Theorem)	. 2	
	1.2	Impo	rtant Inequalities	. 2	
		1.2.1	Fundamental inequality	. 2	
		1.2.2	Triangle inequality	. 2	
		1.2.3	Bernoulli inequality	. 2	
		1.2.4	Jensen's inequality	. 2	
		1.2.5	Cauchy–Schwarz inequality	. 3	
		1.2.6	Hölder's inequality	. 3	
		1.2.7	Young's inequality	. 3	
		1.2.8	Minkowski inequality	. 4	
	1.3	Speci	al Functions	. 4	
		1.3.1	Gaussian function	. 4	
		1.3.2	Dirac delta function	. 4	
		1.3.3	Gamma function	. 4	
		1.3.4	Beta Function	. 5	
2	_	Algebra			
	2.1 Linear Space				
			Linear map		
	2.2		ic Space		
			Completeness & Compactness		
			Cover		
			Cantor's intersection Theorem		
			Cluster point		
		ned Space			
	2.4		Product Space		
		2.4.1	Orthonormal system	. 9	
	2.5		ch Space		
•			rt Space		
	2.7	0			
	2.8		ogonal Polynomial		
			Legendre polynomial		
			Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind		
			Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind		
			Laguerre polynomial		
		2.8.5	Hermite polynomial (probability theory form)	12	

3	Ord	Ordinary Differential Equation					
	3.1	General Theory	14				
4	Par	tial Differential Equation	16				
	4.1	Poisson Equation	16				
	4.2	Heat Equation	16				
	4.3	Wave Equation	16				
5	Pro	bability Theory	17				
	5.1	Discrete random varibles	17				
	5.2	Continous random varibles	17				
	5.3	Characteristic functions	17				
	5.4	Probability limit theorems	17				
6	Sto	chastic Process	18				
	6.1	Poisson process	18				
	6.2	Markov chain	18				
7	Stat	tistics	19				
8	Gra	ıph	20				
	8.1	Shortest Path	20				
	8.2	Matching	20				
		Network Flow					
	8.4	Tree	20				
9		mbinatorics					
		Generating function					
		Inclusion–exclusion principle					
	9.3	Special Numbers					
		9.3.1 Catalan number					
		9.3.2 Stirling number					
Sc	ienti	ific Computing					
		terpolation					
		.1 Polynomial Interpolation					
		10.1.1 Lagrange formula					
		10.1.2 Newton formula					
		10.1.3 Neville-Aitken algorithm					
		10.1.4 Hermite interpolation					
		10.1.5 Approximation					
		10.1.6 Error analysis					
	10.	-					
	10.	10.2.1 Cubic spline					
		10.2.2 B-spline					
		10.2.3 Error analysis					
11	Int	·					
11	Integration						
	11.						
		11.1.1 Midpoint rule					
		11.1.2 Trapezoidal rule					
		11.1.0 DIHIPSUH S TUIE	40				

	11.2	Gauss	Formulas	28				
12	Optimization							
	12.1	One-di	mensional Line Search	29				
	12.2	Uncons	strained Optimization	30				
13	Initial Value Problem							
	13.1	Linear	Multistep Method	32				
	13.2	Runge-	-Kutta Method	32				
	13.3	Theore	etical analysis	33				
		13.3.1	Error analysis	33				
		13.3.2	Stability	33				
		13.3.3	Convergence	34				
	13.4	Import	cant Methods	34				
		13.4.1	Forward Euler's method	34				
		13.4.2	Backward Euler's method	35				
		13.4.3	Trapezoidal method	35				
		13.4.4	Midpoint method (Leapfrog method)	35				
		13.4.5	Heun's third-order RK method	35				
		13.4.6	Classical fourth-order RK method	35				
		13.4.7	TR-BDF2 method	36				
14	Number Theory							
	14.1	Prime	Number	37				
		14.1.1	Primality testing	37				
		14.1.2	Sieves	37				
Ma	chine Learning 3							
15	Regr	ession.		39				
	15.1	Linear	Regression	39				
16	Decision Tree							
17								
18	Cluster							
19	Neural Networks 43							

Part 1 Mathematical Foundation

Analysis

1.1 Calculus

1.1.1 Gauss-Green Theorem (Divergence Theorem)

Theorem 1.1. (Gauss-Green Theorem (Divergence Theorem)) For a bounded open set $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that $\partial \Omega \in C^1$ and a function $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = (F_1(\mathbf{x}), ..., F_n(\mathbf{x})) : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) \in C^1(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\partial \Omega} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS(x),$$

where **n** is outward pointing unit normal vector at $\partial\Omega$.

1.2 Important Inequalities

1.2.1 Fundamental inequality

Theorem 1.2. (Fundamental inequality)

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+, \frac{2}{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}} \le \sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a+b}{2} \le \sqrt{\frac{a^2 + b^2}{2}}, \text{ equality holds iff } a = b.$$

1.2.2 Triangle inequality

Theorem 1.3. (Triangle inequality)

$$a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \quad ||a| - |b|| \le |a \pm b| \le |a| + |b|,$$

 $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\|\mathbf{a}\| - \|\mathbf{b}\|| \le \|\mathbf{a} \pm \mathbf{b}\| \le \|\mathbf{a}\| + \|\mathbf{b}\|.$

1.2.3 Bernoulli inequality

Theorem 1.4. (Bernoulli inequality)

$$\begin{split} \forall x \in (-1, +\infty), \forall a \in [1, +\infty), (1+x)^a &\geq 1 + ax, \\ \forall x \in (-1, +\infty), \forall a \in (0, 1), \quad (1+x)^a &\leq 1 + ax, \\ \forall x \in (-1, +\infty), \forall a \in (-1, 0), \quad (1+x)^a &\geq 1 + ax, \\ \forall x_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \{1, ..., n\}, \qquad &\prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i) \geq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \\ \forall y \geq x > 0, \qquad (1+x)^y \geq (1+y)^x. \end{split}$$

1.2.4 Jensen's inequality

Theorem 1.5. (Jensen's inequality) For a real convex function $f(x):[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$, numbers $x_1...,x_n\in[a,b]$ and weights $a_1,...,a_n$, the Jensen's inequality can be start as

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i} \geq f\Bigg(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}\Bigg).$$

And for concave function f,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i f(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i} \leq f\Bigg(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i}\Bigg).$$

Equality holds iff $x_1 = \dots = x_n$ or f is linear on [a, b].

1.2.5 Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

Theorem 1.6. (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)

Discrete form. For real numbers $a_1, ... a_n, b_1, ... b_n \in \mathbb{R}, n \geq 2$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2 \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i \right).$$

Equality holds iff $\frac{a_1}{b_1} = \dots = \frac{a_n}{b_n}$ or $a_i = 0$ or $b_i = 0$.

Inner product form. For a inner product space V with a norm induced by the inner product, $\forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in V \|\mathbf{a}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{b}\| \ge |\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle|.$

Equality holds iff $\exists k \in \mathbb{R}$, s.t. $k\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$ or $\mathbf{a} = k\mathbf{b}$.

Probability form. For random variables X and Y,

$$\sqrt{E(X^2)} \cdot \sqrt{E(Y^2)} \ge |E(XY)|.$$

Equality holds iff $\exists k \in \mathbb{R}$, s.t. kX = Y or X = kY.

Integral form. For integrable functions $f, g \in L^2(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} f^{2}(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} g^{2}(x) dx \ge \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x)g(x) dx \right)^{2}.$$

Equality holds iff $\exists k \in \mathbb{R}$, s.t. kf(x) = g(x) or f(x) = kg(x).

1.2.6 Hölder's inequality

Theorem 1.7. (Hölder's inequality)

Discrete form. For real numbers $a_1, ... a_n, b_1, ... b_n \in \mathbb{R}, n \geq 2$ and $p, q \in [1, +\infty)$ that $\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{q}\right) = 1$,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right).$$

Equality holds iff $\exists c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}, c_1^2 + c_2^2 \neq 0$, s.t. $c_1 a_i^p = c_2 b_i^q$.

Integral form. For functions $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, $g \in L^q(\Omega)$ and $p, q \in [1, +\infty)$ that $\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{q}\right) = 1$,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^p \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |g(x)|^q \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq \int_{\Omega} f(x)g(x) \mathrm{d}x.$$

1.2.7 Young's inequality

Theorem 1.8. (Young's inequality) For $p, q \in [1, +\infty)$ that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$,

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^*, \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q} \ge ab.$$

Equality holds iff $a^p = b^q$.

1.2.8 Minkowski inequality

Theorem 1.9. (Minkowski inequality) For a metric space S,

$$\forall f, g \in L^p(S), p \in [1, +\infty], ||f||_p + ||g||_p \ge ||f + g||_p.$$

For $p \in (1, +\infty)$, equality holds iff $\exists k \geq 0$, s.t. f = kg or kf = g.

1.3 Special Functions

1.3.1 Gaussian function

Definition 1.10. A Gaussian function, or a Gaussian, is a function of the form

$$f(x) = a \exp\Biggl(-\frac{(x-b)^2}{2c^2}\Biggr),$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is the height of the curve's peak, $b \in \mathbb{R}$ is the position of the center of the peak and $c \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is the standard deviation or the Gaussian root mean square width.

Theorem 1.11. The integral of a Gaussian is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} a \exp\left(-\frac{\left(x-b\right)^2}{2c^2}\right) \mathrm{d}x = ac\sqrt{2\pi}.$$

Definition 1.12. A normal distribution or a Gaussian distribution is a continuous probability distribution of the form

$$f_{\mu,\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\Bigl(-\Bigl({(x-\mu)}^2\Bigr)\bigl(2\sigma^2\bigr)\Bigr),$$

where μ is the mean and σ is the standard deviation.

1.3.2 Dirac delta function

Definition 1.13. The **Dirac delta function** centered at \overline{x} is

$$\delta(x-\overline{x})=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}f_{\overline{x},\varepsilon}(x-\overline{x}),$$

where $f_{\overline{x},\varepsilon}$ is a normal distribution with its mean at \overline{x} and its standard deviation as ε .

Theorem 1.14. The Dirac delta function satisfies

$$\delta(x-\overline{x}) = \begin{cases} +\infty, & x=\overline{x} \\ 0, & x \neq \overline{x} \end{cases} \int_{-\infty}^x \delta(x-\overline{x}) \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} 1, & x \geq 0 \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

where $H(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \delta(x - \overline{x}) dx$ is called **Heaviside function** or **step function**.

Theorem 1.15. If $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(x - \overline{x}) f(x) dx = f(\overline{x}).$$

1.3.3 Gamma function

Definition 1.16. The Gamma function defined on $\mathbb C$ is

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} \mathrm{d}t,$$

where Re (z) > 0.

Theorem 1.17. The Gamma function satisfies

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}, \ \Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x),$$

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \Gamma(n) = (n-1)!.$

Theorem 1.18. The Gamma function satisfies

$$\forall x \in (0,1), \Gamma(1-x)\Gamma(x) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi x)},$$

which implies

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

1.3.4 Beta Function

Definition 1.19. For $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the **Beta function** is defined as

$$B(p,q) = \int_0^1 x^{p-1} (1-x)^{q-1} dx.$$

Theorem 1.20. The Beta function satisfies

$$\forall p, q \in \mathbb{R}^+, B(p,q) = B(q,p) = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)}.$$

Theorem 1.21. The Beta function satisfies

$$\begin{split} \forall p > 0, \forall q > 1, B(p,q) &= \frac{q-1}{p+q-1} B(p,q-1), \\ \forall p > 1, \forall q > 0, B(p,q) &= \frac{p-1}{p+q-1} B(p-1,q), \\ \forall p > 1, \forall q > 1, B(p,q) &= \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{(p+q-1)(p+q-2)} B(p-1,q-1). \end{split}$$

Algebra

2.1 Linear Space

Definition 2.1. (Linear Space) A linear space over a field \mathbb{F} is a nonempty set V with a addition and a scalar multiplication that satisfies

- (1) Associativity of addition: $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}$,
- (2) Commutativity of addition: $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in V, (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}),$
- (3) Identity element of addition: $\exists \mathbf{0} \in V, \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{x},$
- (4) Inverse elements of addition: $\forall \mathbf{x} \in V, \exists \mathbf{y} \in V, \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = 0,$
- (5) Compatibility of multiplication: $\forall \mathbf{x} \in V, a, b \in \mathbb{F}, (ab)\mathbf{x} = a(b\mathbf{x}),$
- (6) Identity element of multiplication: $\exists 1 \in \mathbb{F}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in V, 1\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x},$
- (7) Distributivity: $\forall \mathbf{x} \in V, a, b \in \mathbb{F}, (a+b)\mathbf{x} = a\mathbf{x} + b\mathbf{x},$
- (8) Distributivity: $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V, a \in \mathbb{F}, a(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = a\mathbf{x} + b\mathbf{y}$.

Notation 2.2. The **dimension** of a linear space V is written as $\dim(V)$.

Definition 2.3. Denoted by $V_1, ..., V_n$ linear spaces over a field \mathbb{F} , the **product of linear spaces** is defined as

$$V_1\times\cdots\times V_n=\{(\mathbf{v}_1,...,\mathbf{v}_n):\mathbf{v}_1\in V_1,...,\mathbf{v}_n\in V_n\},$$

which is also a linear space over \mathbb{F} .

Definition 2.4. Given a linear space V, a subspace $U \subset V$ and $\mathbf{v} \in V$, the **coset** (or **affine subset**) is defined as

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}} = {\mathbf{w} \in V : \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} \in U}.$$

Definition 2.5. Given a linear space V and a subspace $U \subset V$, the **quotient space** is defined as

$$V/U = \{\mathbf{v} + U : \mathbf{v} \in V\}.$$

2.1.1 Linear map

Definition 2.6. Denoted by V and W the linear spaces over a field \mathbb{F} , a function $f:V\to W$ is called a linear map between V and W if it satisfies

- (1) Additivity: $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V, f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{y});$
- (2) Homogeneity: $\forall \mathbf{x} \in V, \forall k \in \mathbb{F}, f(k\mathbf{x}) = kf(\mathbf{x}).$

Notation 2.7. Denoted by $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ the set of all linear maps between V and W (it also be written as $\mathcal{L}(V)$ if V = W).

Theorem 2.8. For linear space V, W over a field \mathbb{F} and linear maps $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, if we define

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in V, \forall k \in \mathbb{F}, (f+g)(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}) \text{ and } (kf)(\mathbf{x}) = kf(\mathbf{x}),$$

then $\mathcal{L}(V,W)$ is a linear space.

Theorem 2.9. For a linear map $f \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, $f(\mathbf{0}) = f(0\mathbf{v}) = 0f(\mathbf{v}) = 0$.

Theorem 2.10. Given $\mathbf{v}_1, ... \mathbf{v}_n$ the basis of linear space V and $\mathbf{w}_1, ... \mathbf{w}_n$ the basis of linear space W, then there exists the only linear map $f \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ such that

$$\forall i \in \{1, ..., n\}, f(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{w}_i.$$

Definition 2.11. For a linear map $f \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, the **kernal** (or **null space**) of f is defined as $\ker(f) = \{\mathbf{v} \in V : f(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}\},$

where $\ker(f)$ is a subspace of V and the number $\dim(\ker(f))$ is the **nullity** of f which also written as $\operatorname{nullity}(f)$

Definition 2.12. For a linear map $f \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, the **image** of f is defined as $\operatorname{im}(f) = \{ \mathbf{w} \in W : \mathbf{w} = f(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v} \in V \},$

where im(f) is a subspace of W and the number dim(im(f)) is the **dimension** (or **rank**) of f which also written as rank(f)

Theorem 2.13. (Rank–nullity theorem) For a linear map $f \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$, $\dim(\ker(f)) + \dim(\inf(f)) = \dim(V)$.

Definition 2.14. A **isomorphism** is a invertible linear map.

Definition 2.15. Two linear spaces are called **isomorphic** if there exists a invertible linear map between them.

Theorem 2.16. Two linear spaces V, W over a field \mathbb{F} are isomorphic iff $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$.

Theorem 2.17. For a linear space V that $\dim(V) < +\infty$ and a linear map $f \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is invertible;
- (2) f is injective;
- (3) f is surjective.

2.2 Metric Space

Definition 2.18. (Metric) For a nonempty set X, the metric is a function $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies

- (1) Positive definiteness: $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X, d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \geq 0, d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y},$
- (2) Symmetry: $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X, d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}),$
- (3) Triangle inequality: $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in V, d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \ge d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}),$

Definition 2.19. (Metric space) A metric space is a set X provided with a metric.

Notation 2.20. (Neighbourhood) For a metric space X, the neighbourhood of $\mathbf{x} \in X$ with radius $\varepsilon > 0$ is defined as

$$U_X(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) = \{t: d(\mathbf{x},t) < \varepsilon, t \in X\}.$$

Notation 2.21. (Punctured neighbourhood) For a metric space X, the punctured neighbourhood of $\mathbf{x} \in X$ with radius $\varepsilon > 0$ is defined as

$$U_X^{\circ}(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) = U_X(\mathbf{x},\varepsilon) \smallsetminus \{\mathbf{x}\} = \{t: d(\mathbf{x},t) < \varepsilon, t \in X \smallsetminus \{\mathbf{x}\}\}.$$

2.2.1 Completeness & Compactness

Theorem 2.22. (Cauchy's convergence test) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a metric space X is convergent (or said a cauchy sequence) iff

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ s.t. } \forall m, n > N, \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_m\| < \varepsilon.$$

Definition 2.23. (Completeness) A metric space X is complete iff all cauchy sequence of X is convergent in X.

Theorem 2.24. (Supremum and infimum principle) For a nonempty set X, if the upper/lower bound of X exists, then the supremum/infimum of X exists.

Theorem 2.25. (The monotone bounded convergence Theorem) For a bounded sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$, if it is increased, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_n = \sup \{ \mathbf{x}_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

If it is decreased, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_n = \inf\{\mathbf{x}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

2.2.2 Cover

Definition 2.26. (Cover) For a metric space $S \subseteq X$, A cover of S is a set of open sets $\{D_n\}$ satisfies

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in X, \exists D_n, \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{x} \in D_n.$$

Definition 2.27. (Compactness) A metric space X is called **compact** if every open cover of X has a finite subcover.

2.2.3 Cantor's intersection Theorem

Theorem 2.28. (Cantor's intersection Theorem) For a decreasing sequence of nested non-empty compact, closed subsets $S_n \subseteq X, n \in \mathbb{N}$ of a metric space, if $\{S_n\}$ satisfies

$$S_0 \supset S_1, \dots, \supset S_n \supset \dots,$$

then

$$\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k \neq \emptyset.$$

where there is only one point $\mathbf{x} \in \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k$ for a complete metric space.

Corollary 2.29. For decreasing sequence of nested non-empty compact, closed subsets $S_n \in X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ of a complete metric space and $\{\mathbf{x}\} = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} S_k$, then

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N > 0, \text{ s.t. } \forall n > N, X_n \subset U_X(x, \varepsilon).$$

2.2.4 Cluster point

Definition 2.30. (Cluster point) For a metric space $S \subseteq X$, the cluster point of S is the point $\mathbf{x} \in X$ satisfies

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, U_X^{\circ}(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) \cup S \neq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 2.31. For a convergent sequence $\{\mathbf{x}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i \neq j, \mathbf{x}_i \neq \mathbf{x}_j\} \subseteq X$, the point $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_n$ is a cluster point of X.

Theorem 2.32. (Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem) For a metric sapce X and a bounded infinite subset $S \in X$, there exists at least one cluster point of X.

2.3 Normed Space

Definition 2.33. (Norm) For a linear space V over a field \mathbb{F} , the **norm** is a function $\|\cdot\|$: $V \to \mathbb{F}$ that satisfies

- (1) Positive definiteness: $\forall \mathbf{x} \in V, \|\mathbf{x}\| \geq 0, \|\mathbf{x}\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} = 0,$
- (2) Absolute homogeneity: $\forall \mathbf{x} \in V, k \in \mathbb{F}, ||k\mathbf{x}|| = |k| ||\mathbf{x}||,$
- (3) Triangle inequality: $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V, \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\| \ge \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|,$

Definition 2.34. (Normed space) A normed space is a linear space V over the field \mathbb{F} with a norm.

2.4 Inner Product Space

Definition 2.35. (Inner product) For a linear space V over a field \mathbb{F} , the inner product on V is a function $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{F}$ that satisfies

- (1) Positive definiteness: $\forall \mathbf{x} \in V, \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 0, \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} = 0,$
- (2) Conjugate symmetry: $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$,
- (3) Linearity in the first argument: $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in V, a, b \in \mathbb{F}, \langle a\mathbf{x} + b\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = a \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle + b \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \rangle.$

Definition 2.36. (Inner product space) An inner product space is a linear space V over the field \mathbb{F} with an inner product.

Theorem 2.37. Given a inner product space V and the norm defined as $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}$ satisfies $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in V, \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = 2 \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2 \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$.

2.4.1 Orthonormal system

Definition 2.38. A subset W of an inner product space V is called textsforthonormal if

$$\forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in S, \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & u \neq v \\ 1, & u = v. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.39. The **Gram-Schmidt process** takes in a finite or infinite independent list $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, ...)$ and output two other lists $(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, ...)$ and $(\mathbf{u}_1^*, \mathbf{u}_2^*, ...)$ by

$$\mathbf{v}_{n+1} = \mathbf{u}_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \mathbf{u}_{n+1}, \mathbf{u}_k^* \rangle \mathbf{u}_k^*,$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{n+1}^* = \frac{\mathbf{v}_{n+1}}{\|\mathbf{v}_{n+1}\|},$$

with the recursion basis as $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{u}_1$.

Definition 2.40. Let $(\mathbf{u}_1^*, \mathbf{u}_2^*, ...)$ be a finite or infinite orthonormal list. The **orthogonal** expansion or Fourier expansion for an arbitrary \mathbf{w} is the series

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}_{i}^{*} \rangle \mathbf{u}_{i}^{*},$$

where the constants $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}_i^* \rangle$ are known as the **Fourier coefficients** of \mathbf{w} and the term $\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}_i^* \rangle \mathbf{u}_i^*$ is the **projection** of \mathbf{w} on \mathbf{u}_i^* .

Theorem 2.41. (Minimum properties of Fourier expansions) Let $\mathbf{u}_1^*, \mathbf{u}_2^*, \dots$ be an orthonormal system and let \mathbf{w} be arbitrary. Then

$$\forall a_1,...,a_n, \|\mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}_i^* \rangle \mathbf{u}_i^* \| \leq \|\mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{u}_i^* \|,$$

where $\|\mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \mathbf{u}_i^*\|$ is minimized only when $a_i = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}_i^* \rangle$.

Theorem 2.42. (Bessel inequality) Let $\mathbf{u}_1^*, \mathbf{u}_2^*, \dots$ be an orthonormal system and let \mathbf{w} be arbitrary. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{u}_{i}^{*} \rangle| \leq \|\mathbf{w}\|^{2}.$$

2.5 Banach Space

Definition 2.43. (Banach space) A Banach space is a complete normed vector space.

2.6 Hilbert Space

Definition 2.44. (Hilbert space) A Hilbert space is a inner product space that is also ce with respect to the distance function induced by the inner product complete metric space.

2.7 Single Variable Polynomial

Definition 2.45. Denoted by \mathbb{V} a linear space and x the variable, a (single variable) polynomial over \mathbb{V} is defined as

$$p_{n(x)} = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i,$$

where $c_0, ..., c_n \in \mathbb{V}$ are constants that called the **coefficients of the polynomial**.

Definition 2.46. Given a polynomial $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} c_i x^i$ where $c_n \neq 0$, the degree of p(x) is marked as deg(p(x)) = n. In particular, the degree of zero polynomial p(x) = 0 is $deg(0) = -\infty$.

Theorem 2.47. Denoted by $\mathbb{P}_n = \{p : \deg(p) \leq n\}$ the set of polynomials with degree no more than $n \ (n \geq 0)$, and $\mathbb{P} = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_n$ the set contains all polynomials, then \mathbb{P}_n is a linear space and satisfies

$$\{0\} = \mathbb{P}_0 \subset \mathbb{P}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{P}_n \subset \cdots \mathbb{P}$$

Theorem 2.48. (Vieta's formulas) Given a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{P}_n$ with the coefficients being real or complex numbers, denoted by $x_1, ..., x_n$ the complex roots, then

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + \dots + x_n &= -c_{n-1}, \\ \sum\limits_{i=1}^n \sum\limits_{j=i+1}^n x_i x_j &= c_{n-2}, \\ & \dots \\ \prod\limits_{i=1}^n x_i &= (-1)^n c_0, \end{cases}$$

where $c_n = 1$ WLOG.

2.8 Orthogonal Polynomial

Definition 2.49. Given a weight function $\rho(x):[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^+$, satisfies

$$\int_a^b \rho(x) dx > 0, \int_a^b x^k \rho(x) dx > 0 \text{ exists.}$$

The set of **orthogonal polynomials** on [a,b] with the weight function $\rho(x)$ is defined as

$$\{p_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset L_\rho([a,b]) = \left\{f(x): \int_a^b f^2(x) \rho(x) \mathrm{d}x < \infty\right\}.$$

where $\{p_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are calculate from $\{x^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ using the Gram-Schmidt process with the inner product

$$\forall f,g \in L_{\rho}([a,b]), \langle f,g \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} \rho(x)f(x)g(x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

Theorem 2.50. Orthogonal polynomials $p_{n-1}(x), p_n(x), p_{n+1}(x)$ satisfies

$$p_{n+1}(x) = (a_n + b_n x) p_n(x) + c_n p_{n-1}(x).$$

where a_n, b_n, c_n are depends on [a, b] and ρ .

Theorem 2.51. The orthogonal polynomial $p_n(x)$ on [a,b] with the weight function $\rho(x)$ has n roots on (a,b).

2.8.1 Legendre polynomial

Definition 2.52. The **Legendre polynomial** is defined on [-1,1] with the weight function $\rho(x) = 1$.

Theorem 2.53. The Legendre polynomials $\{p_i(x), i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies

$$\int_{-1}^1 p_i(x)p_j(x)\mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{2i+1}, & i=j\\ 0, & i\neq j. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.54. The Legendre polynomial p_{n-1}, p_n, p_{n+1} satisfies

$$p_{n+1}(x) = \frac{2n+1}{n+1} x p_n(x) - \frac{n}{n+1} p_{n-1}(x).$$

Example 2.55. The first three terms of Legendre polynomials is

$$p_0(x)=1, \quad p_1(x)=x, \quad p_2(x)=\frac{3}{2}x^2-\frac{1}{2}.$$

2.8.2 Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind

Definition 2.56. The Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind is defined on [-1,1] with the weight function $\rho(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$.

Theorem 2.57. The Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $\{p_i(x), i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} p_i(x) p_j(x) \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} \pi & i=j=0 \\ \frac{\pi}{2} & i=j\neq 0 \\ 0 & i\neq j. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.58. The Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind p_{n-1}, p_n, p_{n+1} satisfies $p_{n+1}(x) = 2xp_n(x) - p_{n-1}(x)$.

Example 2.59. The first three terms of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind is $p_0(x) = 1$, $p_1(x) = x$, $p_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1$.

2.8.3 Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind

Definition 2.60. The Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind is defined on [-1,1] with the weight function $\rho(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$.

Theorem 2.61. The Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $\{p_i(x), i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies

$$\int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{1-x^2} p_i(x) p_j(x) \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2}, & i=j \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.62. The Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind p_{n-1}, p_n, p_{n+1} satisfies $p_{n+1}(x) = 2xp_n(x) - p_{n-1}(x)$.

Example 2.63. The first three terms of Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind is $p_0(x) = 1$, $p_1(x) = 2x$, $p_2(x) = 4x^2 - 1$.

2.8.4 Laguerre polynomial

Definition 2.64. The **Laguerre polynomial** is defined on $[0, +\infty)$ with the weight function $\rho(x) = x^{\alpha}e^{-x}$.

Theorem 2.65. The Laguerre polynomial $\{p_i(x), i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x^{\alpha} e^{-x} p_i(x) p_j(x) \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!}, & i=j\\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.66. For $\alpha=0$, the Laguerre polynomial p_{n-1},p_n,p_{n+1} satisfies $p_{n+1}(x)=(2n+1-x)p_n(x)-n^2p_{n-1}(x).$

Example 2.67. For $\alpha = 0$, the first three terms of Laguerre polynomial is $p_0(x) = 1$, $p_1(x) = -x + 1$, $p_2(x) = x^2 - 4x + 2$.

2.8.5 Hermite polynomial (probability theory form)

Definition 2.68. The **Hermite polynomial** is defined on $(-\infty, +\infty)$ with the weight function $\rho(x) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$.

Theorem 2.69. The Hermite polynomial $\{p_i(x), i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfies

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} p_i(x) p_j(x) \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} n!, & i=j \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.70. For $\alpha=0$, the Hermite polynomial p_{n-1},p_n,p_{n+1} satisfies $p_{n+1}(x)=xp_n(x)-np_{n-1}(x).$

Example 2.71. For $\alpha=0$, the first three terms of Hermite polynomial is $p_0(x)=1, \quad p_1(x)=x, \quad p_2(x)=x^2-1.$

Ordinary Differential Equation

Definition 3.1. Given a function F, an **explicit ordinary differential equation** of order n takes the form

$$\mathbf{F}\big(\mathbf{u}^{(n-1)},...,\mathbf{u}',\mathbf{u},t\big)=\mathbf{u}^{(n)},$$

an **implicit ordinary differential equation** of order n takes the form

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}^{(n)},...,\mathbf{u}',\mathbf{u},t) = \mathbf{0},$$

Definition 3.2. An ODE is **autonomous** if it does not depend on the variable x.

Definition 3.3. A ODE is **linear** if can be written as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i(t)\mathbf{u}^{(n)} + \mathbf{r}(t) = \mathbf{0},$$

where $A_i(t)$ and r(t) are continuous functions of t.

Definition 3.4. A linear ODE is **homogeneous** if $\mathbf{r}(t) = 0$, and there is always the trivial solution $\mathbf{u} \equiv \mathbf{0}$.

Definition 3.5. An ODE is **separable** if can be written as

$$P_1(x)Q_1(y) = P_2(x)Q_2(y)\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}x}.$$

Definition 3.6. For initial value $(\mathbf{u}_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $T \geq t_0$ and $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \times [t_0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$, the **initial value problem** (IVP) is to find $u(t) \in C^1([t_0, T])$ satisfies

$$\mathbf{u}' = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}, t), \quad \mathbf{u}(t_0) = \mathbf{u}_0.$$

Theorem 3.7. Given an IVP, denoted by $u_0 = u$, u_i , i = 1, ..., n the *i*th derivative of u, then the ODE

$$\mathbf{F}\big(\mathbf{u}^{(n-1)},...,\mathbf{u}',\mathbf{u},t\big) = \mathbf{u}^{(n)}$$

can be written as an IVP,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_0' \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{n-2}' \\ \mathbf{u}_{n-1}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{n-1} \\ \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u}_{n-1},...,\mathbf{u}_1,\mathbf{u}_0,t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

3.1 General Theory

Theorem 3.8. (Peano existence theorem) Given an IVP with an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, if $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u},t) \in C(\Omega)$ and $(\mathbf{u}_0,t_0) \in \Omega$, then there is a local solution $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}: U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the IVP, where U is a neighbourhood of t_0 in \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 3.9. (Picard–Lindelöf theorem) Given an IVP with an open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, if $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u},t): \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is continuous in t and Lipschitz continuous in \mathbf{u} and $(\mathbf{u}_0,t_0) \in \Omega$, then there is a unique local solution $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}: U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies the IVP, where U is a neighbourhood of t_0 in \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 3.10. (Comparison theorem) Given two IVPs

$$\mathbf{u}_1'=\mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{u}_1,t),\quad \mathbf{u}_1(t_0)=\mathbf{u}_0,$$

$$\mathbf{u}_2' = \mathbf{f}_2(\mathbf{u}_2,t), \quad \mathbf{u}_2(t_0) = \mathbf{u}_0,$$

and a open set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, if for all $(\mathbf{u}, t) \in \Omega$, $\mathbf{f}_1(\mathbf{u}, t) < \mathbf{f}_2(\mathbf{u}, t)$, then

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_1(t) > \mathbf{u}_2(t), & t > t_0, (\mathbf{u}_1(t), t), (\mathbf{u}_2(t), t) \in \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u}_1(t) < \mathbf{u}_2(t), & t < t_0, (\mathbf{u}_1(t), t), (\mathbf{u}_2(t), t) \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

Partial Differential Equation

- 4.1 Poisson Equation
- 4.2 Heat Equation
- 4.3 Wave Equation

Probability Theory

- 5.1 Discrete random varibles
- 5.2 Continous random varibles
- 5.3 Characteristic functions
- 5.4 Probability limit theorems

Stochastic Process

- 6.1 Poisson process
- 6.2 Markov chain

Statistics

Graph

- 8.1 Shortest Path
- 8.2 Matching
- 8.3 Network Flow
- **8.4** Tree

Combinatorics

- 9.1 Generating function
- 9.2 Inclusion-exclusion principle
- 9.3 Special Numbers
- 9.3.1 Catalan number
- 9.3.2 Stirling number

Part 2 Scientific Computing

Interpolation

10.1 Polynomial Interpolation

10.1.1 Lagrange formula

Definition 10.1. To interpolate given points $(x_0, f(x_0)), ..., (x_n, f(x_n))$, the Lagrange formula is

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i) l_i(x),$$

where the elementary Lagrange interpolation polynomial (or fundamental polynomial) for pointwise interpolation $l_k(x)$ is

$$l_k(x) = \prod_{i=0}^n \frac{x-x_i}{x_k-x_i}.$$

In particular, for $n = 0, l_0(x) = 1$.

10.1.2 Newton formula

Definition 10.2. The kth divided difference $(k \in \mathbb{N}^+)$ on the table of divided differences

where the divided differences satisfy

$$\begin{split} f[x_0] &= f(x_0), \\ f[x_0,...,x_k] &= \frac{f[x_1,...,x_k] - f\left[x_0,...,x_{\{k-1\}}\right]}{x_k - x_0}. \end{split}$$

Corollary 10.3. Suppose $(i_0,...,i_k)$ is a permutation of (0,...,k). Then

$$f[x_0,...,x_k] = f[x_{i_0},...,x_{i_k}].$$

Theorem 10.4. For distinct points $x_0, ..., x_n$ and x, we have

$$f(x) = f[x_0] + f[x_0, x_1](x - x_0) + \dots + f[x_0, ..., x_n] \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - x_i) + f[x_0, ..., x_n, x] \prod_{i=0}^{n} (x - x_i).$$

Definition 10.5. The Newton formula for interpolating the points $(x_0, f(x_0)), ..., (x_n, f(x_n))$ is

$$p_n(x) = f[x_0] + f[x_0, x_1](x - x_0) + \dots + f[x_0, ..., x_n] \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - x_i).$$

10.1.3 Neville-Aitken algorithm

Definition 10.6. Denote $p_0^{[i]}(x) = f(x_i)$ for i = 0, ..., n. For all k = 0, ..., n - 1 and i = 0, ..., n - k - 1, define

$$p_{k+1}^{[i]}(x) = \frac{(x-x_i)p_k^{[i+1]}(x) - \left(x-x_{x+k+1}\right)p_k^{[i]}(x)}{x_{i+k+1}-x_i}.$$

Then each $p_k^{[i]}(x)$ is the interpolating polynomial for the function f at the points $x_i, ..., x_{\{i+k\}}$. In particular, $p_n^{[0]}(x)$ is the interpolating polynomial of degree n for the function f at the points $x_0, ..., x_n$.

10.1.4 Hermite interpolation

Definition 10.7. Given distinct points $x_0, ..., x_k$ in [a, b], non-negative integers $m_0, ..., m_k$, and a function $f \in C^M[a, b]$ where $M = \max_{i=0,...,k} (m_i)$, the **Hermite interpolation problem** seeks a polynomial p(x) of the lowest degree satisfies

$$\forall i \in \{0,...,k\}, \forall \mu \in \{0,...,m_i\}, p^{(\mu)}(x_i) = f^{(\mu)}(x_i).$$

Definition 10.8. (Generalized divided difference) Let $x_0, ..., x_k$ be k+1 pairwise distinct points with each x_i repeated m_i+1 times; write $N=k+\sum_{i=0}^k m_i$. The Nth divided difference associated with these points is the cofficient of x^N in the polynomial p that uniquely solves the Hermite interpolation problem.

Corollary 10.9. The nth divided difference at n+1 "confluent" (i.e. identical) points is

$$f[x_0, ..., x_0] = \frac{1}{n!} f^{(n)}(x_0),$$

where x_0 is repeated n+1 times on the left-hand side.

10.1.5 Approximation

Definition 10.10. Given condition functions $c_0, ..., c_k : \mathbb{P}_n \to \mathbb{R}^+$, the **Approximation problem** seeks a polynomial $p_n(x)$ of the given degree n satisfies a unconstrained optimization

$$\min_{p_n \in \mathbb{P}_n} \sum_{i=0}^k c_i \Big(p_n^{(m_i)} \Big).$$

where condition function c(p) includes but is not limited to

$$|p^{(m)}(x)|, (p_n^{(m)}(x))^2, \int_a^b |p^{(m)}| dx, \int_a^b (p^{(m)})^2 dx.$$

Example 10.11. For non-negative integers $m_0, ..., m_k$ and condition functions $c_i(p_n) = (p_n^{(m_i)}(x))^2$, denote by

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i$$

the polynomial of the given degree n, then the mth derivative of p_n is

$$p_n^{(m)}(x) = \sum_{i=m}^n \frac{i!}{(i-m)!} c_i x^{i-m}.$$

All above implies the least squares system

$$\begin{cases} p_n^{(m_0)}(x) = \sum_{i=m_0}^n \frac{i!}{(i-m_0)!} c_i x^{i-m_0} = 0, \\ \dots \\ p_n^{(m_k)}(x) = \sum_{i=m_k}^n \frac{i!}{(i-m_k)!} c_i x^{i-m_k} = 0, \end{cases}$$

which can be solved by algorithms such as Householder transformation.

10.1.6 Error analysis

Theorem 10.12. Let $f \in C^n[a,b]$ and suppose that $f^{(n+1)}(x)$ exists at each point of (a,b). Let $p_n(x) \in \mathbb{P}_n$ denote the unique polynomial that coincides with f at $x_0, ..., x_n$. Define

$$R_n(f;x) = f(x) - p_n(x),$$

as the Cauchy remainder of the polynomial interpolation.

If $a \le x_0 < \dots < x_n \le b$, then there exists some $\xi \in (a,b)$ satisfies

$$R_n(f;x) = \frac{f^{\{(n+1)\}}(xi)}{(n+1)!} \prod_{i=0}^n (x-x_i)$$

where the value of ξ depends on $x, x_0, ..., x_n$ and f.

Theorem 10.13. For the Hermite interpolation problem, denote $N = k + \sum_{i=0}^{k} m_i$. Denote by $p_N(x) \in \mathbb{P}_N$ the unique solution of the problem. Suppose $f^{(N+1)}(x)$ exists in (a,b). Then there exists some $\xi \in (a,b)$ satisfies

$$R_N(f;x) = \frac{f^{(N+1)}(\xi)}{(N+1)!} \prod_{i=0}^k (x-x_i)^{m_i+1}.$$

10.2 Spline

Definition 10.14. Given nonnegative integers n, k, and a strictly increasing sequence $a = x_1 < \cdots < x_N = b$, the set of **spline** functions of degree n and smoothness class k relative to the partition $\{x_i\}$ is

$$\mathbb{S}_{n}^{k} = \left\{s: s \in C^{k}[a,b]; \forall i \in \{1,...,N-1\}, s \mid_{[x_{i},x_{i+1}]} \in \mathbb{P}_{n}\right\},$$

where x_i is the **knot** of the spline.

10.2.1 Cubic spline

Definition 10.15. (Boundary conditions of splines) The followings are common boundary conditions of cubic splines.

- The complete cubic spline s satisfies s'(a) = f'(a), s'(b) = f'(b);
- The cubic spline with specified second derivatives s satisfies s''(a) = f''(a), s''(b) = f''(b);
- The natural cubic spline s satisfies s''(a) = s''(b) = 0;
- The not-a-knot cubic spline s satisfies s'''(x) exists at $x = x_2$ and $x = x_{N-1}$.
- The **periodic cubic spline** s satisfies s(a) = s(b), s'(a) = s'(b), s''(a) = s''(b).

$$\begin{split} \textbf{Theorem 10.16.} \ \ &\text{Denote } m_i = s'(x_i), M_i = s''(x_i) \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{S}^2_3, \text{ then} \\ \forall i = 2, 3, ..., N-1, \quad &\lambda_i m_{i-1} + 2 m_i + \mu_i m_i + 1 = 3 \mu_i f\big[x_i, x_{i+1}\big] + 3 \lambda_i f\big[x_{i-1}, x_i\big], \\ \forall i = 2, 3, ..., N-1, \quad &\mu_i M_{i-1} + 2 M_i + \lambda_i m_{i+1} = 6 f\big[x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}\big], \end{split}$$

where

$$\mu_i = \frac{x_i - x_{i-1}}{x_{i+1} - x_{i-1}}, \quad \lambda_i = \frac{x_{i+1} - x_i}{x_{i+1} - x_{i-1}}.$$

In particular, m_i and M_i should be replaced to the derivatives given at the boundary.

Theorem 10.17. Cubic spline $s \in \mathbb{S}_3^2$ from the linear system of $\lambda_i, \mu_i, m_i, M_i$ and the boundary conditions.

10.2.2 B-spline

Definition 10.18. B-splines are defined recursively by

$$B_i^{n+1}(x) = (x-x_{i-1})\big(x_{i+n}-x_{i-1}\big)B_i^n(x) + \frac{x_{i+n+1}-x}{x_{i+n+1}-x_{i-1}}B_{i+1}^n(x),$$

where recursion base is the B-spline of degree zero

$$B_i^0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 10.19. The $\{B_i^n(x)\}$ forms a basis of \mathbb{S}_n^{n-1} .

Definition 10.20. For $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the support of a $B_i^n(x)$ is supp $\{B_i^n(x)\} = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} : B_i^n(x) \neq 0\}} = [x_{i-1}, x_{i+n}].$

Theorem 10.21. (Integrals of B-splines) The average of a B-spline over its support only depends on its degree,

$$\frac{1}{t_{i+n}-t_{i-1}}\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i+n}}B_i^n(x)\mathrm{d}x=\frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Theorem 10.22. (Derivatives of B-splines) For $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} B_i^n(x) = \frac{n B_i^{n-1}(x)}{x_{i+n-1} - x_{i-1}} - \frac{n B_{i+1}^{n-1}(x)}{x_{i+n} - x_i}.$$

For n=1, it holds for all x except x_{i-1}, t_i, t_{i+1} , where the derivative of $B_i^1(x)$ is not defined.

10.2.3 Error analysis

Theorem 10.23. Suppose a function $f \in C^4[a, b]$, is interpolated by a complete cubic spline or a cubic spline with specified second derivatives at its end points. Then

$$\forall m=0,1,2, |f^{(m)}(x)-s^{(m)}(x)| \leq c_m h^{4-m} \max_{x \in [a,b]} |f^{(4)}(x)|,$$

where $c_0 = \frac{1}{16}, c_1 = c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $h = \max_{i=1,\dots,N-1} |x_{i+1} - x_i|$.

Integration

Definition 11.1. A weighted quadrature formula $I_n(f)$ is a linear function

$$I_n(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i),$$

which approximates the integral of a function $f \in C[a, b]$,

$$I(f) = \int_{a}^{b} \rho(x)f(x)\mathrm{d}x,$$

where the weight function $\rho \in [a, b]$ satisfies $\forall x \in (a, b), \ \rho(x) > 0$. The points $\{x_i\}$ at which the integrand f is evaluated are called nodes or abscissas, and the multipliers $\{w_i\}$ are called weights or coefficients.

Definition 11.2. A weighted quadrature formula has (polynomial) **degree of exactness** d_E iff

$$\forall f \in \mathbb{P}_{d_E}, \quad E_n(f) = 0,$$

$$\exists g \in \mathbb{P}_{d_F+1}, \text{ s.t. } E_n(g) \neq 0$$

where \mathbb{P}_d denotes the set of polynomials with degree no more than d.

Theorem 11.3. A weighted quadrature formula $I_n(f)$ satisfies $d_E \leq 2n-1$.

Definition 11.4. The **error** or **remainder** of $I_n(f)$ is

$$E_n(f) = I(f) - I_n(f), \quad$$

where $I_n(f)$ is said to be convergent for C[a,b] iff

$$\forall f \in C[a,b], \lim_{n \to +\infty} E_n(f) = 0.$$

Theorem 11.5. Let $x_1,...,x_n$ be given as distinct nodes of $I_n(f)$. If $d_E \ge n-1$, then its weights can be deduced as

$$\forall k \in \{1,...,n\}, w_k = \int_a^b \rho(x) l_k(x) \mathrm{d}x,$$

where $l_k(x)$ is the elementary Lagrange interpolation polynomial for pointwise interpolation applied to the given nodes.

11.1 Newton-Cotes Formulas

Definition 11.6. A Newton-Cotes formula is a formula based on approximating f(x) by interpolating it on uniformly spaced nodes $x_1, ..., x_n \in [a, b]$.

11.1.1 Midpoint rule

Definition 11.7. The **midpoint rule** is a formula based on approximating f(x) by the constant $f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)$.

For $\rho(x) \equiv 1$, it is simply

$$I_M(f)=(b-a)f\bigg(\frac{a+b}{2}\bigg).$$

Theorem 11.8. For $f \in C^2[a, b]$, with weight functino $\rho \equiv 1$, the error (remainder) of midpoint rule satisfies

$$\exists \xi \in [a,b], \ \text{s.t.} \ E_M(f) = \frac{(b-a)^3}{24} f''(\xi).$$

Corollary 11.9. The midpoint rule has $d_E = 1$.

11.1.2 Trapezoidal rule

Definition 11.10. The **trapezoidal rule** is a formula based on approximating f(x) by the straight line that connects (a, f(a)) and (b, f(b)).

For $\rho(x) \equiv 1$, it is simply

$$I_T(f) = \frac{b-a}{2}(f(a) + f(b)).$$

Theorem 11.11. For $f \in C^2[a, b]$, with weight functino $\rho \equiv 1$, the error (remainder) of trapezoidal rule satisfies

$$\exists \xi \in [a,b], \text{ s.t. } E_T(f) = -\frac{\left(b-a\right)^3}{12}f''(\xi).$$

Corollary 11.12. The trapezoidal rule has $d_E = 1$.

11.1.3 Simpson's rule

Definition 11.13. The **Simpson's rule** is a formula based on approximating f(x) by the quadratic polynomial that goes through the points $(a, f(a)), \left(\frac{a+b}{2}, f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)\right)$ and (b, f(b)). For $\rho(x) \equiv 1$, it is simply

$$I_S(f) = \frac{b-a}{6} \bigg(f(a) + 4f\bigg(\frac{a+b}{2}\bigg) + f(b) \bigg).$$

Theorem 11.14. For $f \in C^4[a, b]$, with weight functino $\rho \equiv 1$, the error (remainder) of Simpson's rule satisfies

$$\exists \xi \in [a,b], \text{ s.t. } E_T(f) = -\frac{(b-a)^5}{2880} f^{(4)}(\xi).$$

Corollary 11.15. The Simpson's rule has $d_E = 3$.

11.2 Gauss Formulas

Theorem 11.16. For an interval [a, b] and a weight function $\rho : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$, the nodes for gauss formula $I_n(f)$ is the root of the *n*th order orthogonal polynomial on [a, b] with the weight function $\rho(x)$.

Theorem 11.17. A Gauss formula $I_n(f)$ has $d_E = 2n - 1$.

Optimization

12.1 One-dimensional Line Search

Definition 12.1. Given a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, a initial point \mathbf{x} and a direction \mathbf{d} , denoted by $\varphi(\alpha) = f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{d})$, a **one-dimensional line search** method solves the problem

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \min_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \varphi(t).$$

Method 12.2. (Success-failure method) For a one-dimensional line search problem, the success-failure method is an inexact one-dimensional line search method to solve the interval $[a, b] \in [0, +\infty)$ that exact solution $\alpha^* \in [a, b]$, where we

- (1) Choose initial value $\alpha_0 \in [0, +\infty)$, $h_0 > 0$, t > 0 (commonly choose t = 2), calculate $\varphi(\alpha_0)$ and let k = 0;
- (2) Let $\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_k + h_k$ and calculate $\varphi(\alpha_{k+1})$, if $\varphi(\alpha_{k+1}) < \varphi(\alpha_k)$, then go to (3), otherwise go to (4);
- (3) Let $h_{k+1} = th_k$, $\alpha = \alpha_k$, k = k + 1, and go to (2);
- (4) If k=0, then let $h_k=-h_k$ and go to (2), otherwise stop and the solution [a,b] satisfies $a=\min\{\alpha,\alpha_k\}, \quad b=\max\{\alpha,\alpha_k\}.$

Definition 12.3. A general form of one-dimensional line search method is the following three steps:

- (1) **Initialization**: given initial point **x** and acceptable error $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$;
- (2) **Iteration**: calculate the direction **d** and step size α that $f(\mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{d}) = \min_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} f(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{d})$ and let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{d}$;
- (3) **Stop condition**: if $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\| \leq \varepsilon$ or $U_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x,\delta)$ includes the exact solution, then the current \mathbf{x} is the solution.

where the iteration step are repeated until \mathbf{x} satisfies the stop condition.

Definition 12.4. Given a method, denoted by $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}$ the sequence of the iteration and \mathbf{x}^* the exact solution, the method is (Q-)linear convergence if

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\|} \in (0,1),$$

the method is (Q-)sublinear convergence if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\|} = 1,$$

the method is (Q-)superlinear convergence if

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}^*\|} = 0.$$

For a superlinear convergence method, the method is r-order linear convergence if

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_{k+1}-\mathbf{x}^*\|}{\|\mathbf{x}_k-\mathbf{x}^*\|^r}\in[0,+\infty),$$

where when r=2 is called (Q-)quadratic convergence.

Remark 12.5. There is another R-convergence for judging a sequence which use another Q-convergence sequence as the boundary of $\{\|\mathbf{x}_k - x^*\|\}$, but is not needed here.

Method 12.6. (Golden section method) Given the initial point \mathbf{x} , an interval [a,b] and $\delta > 0$,

- The iteration step is:
 - (1) Calculate the two testing points $\lambda = a + (1 k)(b a)$ and $\mu = a + k(b a)$ where $k = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$ is the golden ratio;
 - (2) If $\varphi(\lambda) > \varphi(\mu)$, let $a = \lambda$, otherwise let $b = \mu$.
- The stop condition is $b a \le \delta$;
- The solution is $\mathbf{x} + \frac{a+b}{2}\mathbf{d}$.

Theorem 12.7. The golden section method is a **linear convergent** method.

Method 12.8. (Fibonacci method) Given the initial point x, an interval [a, b] and $\delta > 0$,

- The k-th iteration step is:
 - (1) Calculate the two testing points $\lambda = a + \frac{F_k}{F_{k+2}}(b-a)$ and $\mu = a + \frac{F_{k+1}}{F_{k+2}}(b-a)$ where F_k is the k-th fibonacci number and k;
 - (2) If $\varphi(\lambda) > \varphi(\mu)$, let $a = \lambda$, otherwise let $b = \mu$.
- The stop condition is $b a \le \delta$;
- The solution is $\mathbf{x} + \frac{a+b}{2}\mathbf{d}$.

Theorem 12.9. The Fibonacci method is a linear convergent method.

Method 12.10. (Bisection method) Given the initial point x, an interval [a, b] and $\delta > 0$,

- The iteration step is:
 - (1) Calculate the midpoint $m = \frac{a+b}{2}$ and $\varphi(m)$;
 - (2) If $\nabla f(m) \cdot d < 0$, let a = m, otherwise let b = m.
- The stop condition is $b a \le \delta$;
- The solution is $\mathbf{x} + \frac{a+b}{2}\mathbf{d}$.

Theorem 12.11. The bisection method is a linear convergent method.

Method 12.12. (Newton's method) Given the initial point x and $\varepsilon > 0$,

- The iteration step is:
- (1) Calculate $(\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}))^T \cdot \mathbf{d}$ and $(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}))^T \cdot \mathbf{d}$; (2) Let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} \frac{(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}))^T \cdot \mathbf{d}}{(\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}))^T \cdot \mathbf{d}}$; The stop condition is $(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}))^T \cdot \mathbf{d} \leq \varepsilon$;
- The solution is \mathbf{x} .

Theorem 12.13. The Newton's method is a quadratic convergent method.

Unconstrained Optimization

Definition 12.14. Given a convex function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, a unconstrained optimization method solves the problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x})$$

by

- (1) **Initialization**: given initial point **x** and acceptable error $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$;
- (2) **Iteration**: calculate the direction **d** and step size α , then let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{d}$;
- (3) **Stop condition**: if $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\| \leq \varepsilon$ or $U_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\mathbf{x}, \delta)$ includes the exact solution, then the current \mathbf{x} is the solution.

Method 12.15. (Gradient descent method) Given the initial point x and $\varepsilon > 0$,

- The iteration step is:
 - (1) Calculate $\mathbf{d} = -\nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ and step size α by a line search method;
 - (2) Let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{d}$;
- The stop condition is $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\| \le \varepsilon$;
- The solution is \mathbf{x} .

Theorem 12.16. The gradient descent method is a linear convergent method.

Method 12.17. (Quasi-Newton method) Given the initial point \mathbf{x} , $\varepsilon > 0$ and a matrix $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (usually the identity matrix),

- The k-th iteration step is:
 - (1) Calculate $\mathbf{d}_k = -H_k \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)$ and step size α_k by a line search method;
 - (2) Let $\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{d}_k$ and $H_{k+1} = r_k(H_k)$ where the function r_k is a **update** depends on \mathbf{x}_k , \mathbf{x}_{k+1} , $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)$ and $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1})$;
- The stop condition is $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)\| \leq \varepsilon$;
- The solution is \mathbf{x}_k that satisfies the stop condition.

Definition 12.18. Let $\mathbf{s}_k = \mathbf{x}_{k+1} - \mathbf{x}_k$ and $\mathbf{y}_k = \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_{k+1}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_k)$, the **Symmetric Rank-1 update (SR1)** is

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \frac{(\mathbf{s}_k - H_k \mathbf{y}_k)(\mathbf{s}_k - H_k \mathbf{y}_k)^T}{\left(\mathbf{s}_k - H_k \mathbf{y}_k\right)^T \mathbf{y}_k}.$$

The **DFP update** is a rank-2 update defined as

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{H_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T H_k}{\mathbf{y}_k^T H_k \mathbf{y}_K}.$$

The BFGS update is a rank-2 update defined as

$$H_{k+1} = H_k + \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{y}_k^T H_k \mathbf{y}_k}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k}\right) \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_k} - \frac{\mathbf{s}_k \mathbf{y}_k^T H_k + H_k \mathbf{y}_k \mathbf{s}_k^T}{\mathbf{s}_k^T \mathbf{y}_K}.$$

Theorem 12.19. The Quasi-Newton method is a superlinear convergent method.

Method 12.20. (Newton's method) Given the initial point x and $\varepsilon > 0$,

- The iteration step is:
 - (1) Calculate $\mathbf{d} = -(\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}))^{-1} \nabla f(\mathbf{x})$ and step size α by a line search method;
 - (2) Let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \alpha \mathbf{d}$;
- The stop condition is $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\| \leq \varepsilon$;
- The solution is **x**.

Theorem 12.21. The Newton's method is a quadratic convergent method.

Initial Value Problem

Notation 13.1. To numerically solve the IVP, we are given initial condition $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}(t_0)$, and want to compute approximations $\{\mathbf{u}_k, k = 1, 2, ...\}$ such that

$$\mathbf{u}_k \approx \mathbf{u}(t_k),$$

where k is the uniform time step size and $t_n = nk$.

13.1 Linear Multistep Method

Definition 13.2. For solving the IVP, an s-step **linear multistep method** (LMM) has the form

$$\sum_{j=0}^s \alpha_j \mathbf{u}_{n+j} = k \sum_{j=0}^s \beta \mathbf{f} \big(\mathbf{u}_{n+j}, t_{n+j} \big),$$

where $\alpha_s=1$ is assumed WLOG.

Definition 13.3. An LMM is **explicit** if $\beta_s = 0$, otherwise it is **implicit**.

13.2 Runge-Kutta Method

Definition 13.4. An s-stage Runge-Kutta method (RK) is a one-step method of the form

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{y}_i &= \mathbf{f} \Bigg(\mathbf{u}_n + k \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} \mathbf{y}_j, t_n + c_i k \Bigg), \\ \mathbf{u}_{i+1} &= \mathbf{u}_i + k \sum_{j=1}^s b_j \mathbf{y}_j, \end{split}$$

where i = 1, ..., s and $a_{ij}, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 13.5. The textsf{Butcher tableau} is one way to organize the coefficients of an RK method as follows

The matrix $A = \left(a_{ij}\right)_{s \times s}$ is called the RK matrix and $\mathbf{b} = \left(b_1, ..., b_s\right)^T$, $\mathbf{c} = \left(c_1, ..., c_s\right)^T$ are called the RK weights and the RK nodes.

Definition 13.6. An s-stage **collocation method** is a numerical method for solving the IVP, where we

- (1) choose s distinct collocation parameters $c_1, ..., c_s$,
- (2) seek s-degree polynomial p satisfying $\forall i = 1, 2, ..., s$, $\mathbf{p}(t_n) = \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{p}'(t_n + c_i k) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{p}(t_n + c_i k), t_n + c_i k)$,
- (3) set $\mathbf{u}_{n+1} = \mathbf{p}(t_{n+1})$.

Theorem 13.7. The s-stage collocation method is an s-stage IRK method with

$$a_{ij} = \int_0^{c_i} l_j(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau, \quad b_j = \int_0^1 l_j(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau,$$

where i, j = 1, ..., s and $l_k(\tau)$ is the elementary Lagrange interpolation polynomial.

13.3 Theoretical analysis

Definition 13.8. A function $\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is **Lipschitz continuous** in its first variable over some domain

$$\Omega = \{(\mathbf{u}, t) : \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0\| \le a, t \in [0, T]\}$$

iff

$$\exists L \geq 0, \ \text{s.t.} \ \forall (\mathbf{u},t) \in \Omega, \quad \|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u},t) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{v},t) \leq \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}\|.$$

13.3.1 Error analysis

Definition 13.9. The local truncation error τ is the error caused by replacing continuous derivatives with numerical formulas.

Definition 13.10. A numerical formulas is **consistent** if $\lim_{k\to 0} \tau = 0$.

13.3.2 Stability

Definition 13.11. The **region of absolute stability** (RAS) of a numerical method, applied to

$$\mathbf{u}' = \lambda \mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}(t_0),$$

is the region Ω that

$$\forall \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \forall \lambda k \in \Omega, \quad \lim_{n \to +\infty} \mathbf{u}_n = 0.$$

Definition 13.12. The **stability function** of a one-step method is a function $R: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ that satisfies

$$\mathbf{u}_{n+1} = R(z)\mathbf{u}_n$$

for the $\mathbf{u}' = \lambda \mathbf{u}$ where Re $(E(\lambda)) \leq 0$ and $z = k\lambda$.

Definition 13.13. A numerical method is **stable** or **zero stable** iff its application to any IVP with $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}, t)$ Lipschitz continuous in \mathbf{u} and continuous in t yields

$$\forall T > 0, \quad \lim_{k \to 0, Nk = t} \|\mathbf{u}_n\| < \infty.$$

Definition 13.14. A numerical method is $\mathbf{A}(\alpha)$ -statble if the region of absolute stability Ω satisfies

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \pi - \alpha \le \arg(z) \le \pi + \alpha\} \subseteq \Omega.$$

Definition 13.15. A numerical method is **A-statble** if the region of absolute stability Ω satisfies

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } (z) \leq 0\} \subseteq \Omega.$$

Definition 13.16. A one-step method is **L-stable** if it is A-stable, and its stability function satisfies

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} |R(z)| = 0.$$

Definition 13.17. An one-step method is **I-stable** iff its stability function satisfies $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}, |R(y\mathbf{i})| \leq 1.$

Definition 13.18. An one-step method is B-stable (or contractive) if for any contractive ODE system, every pair of its numerical solutions \mathbf{u}_n and \mathbf{v}_n satisfy

$$\forall n\in\mathbb{N}, \|u_{n+1}-v_{n+1}\|\leq \|u_n-v_n\|.$$

Definition 13.19. An RK method is algebraically stable iff the RK weights $b_1, ..., b_s$ are nonnegative, the algebraic stability matrix $M = \left(b_i a_{ij} + b_i a_{ji} - b_i b_j\right)_{s \times s}$ is positive semidefinite.

Theorem 13.20. The order of accuracy of an implicit A-stable LMM satisfies p < 2. An explicit LMM cannot be A-stable.

Theorem 13.21. No ERK method is A-stable.

Theorem 13.22. An RK method is A-stable if and only if it is I-stable and all poles of its stability function R(z) have positive real parts.

Theorem 13.23. If an A-stable RK method with a nonsingular RK matrix A is stiffly accurate, then it is L-stable.

Theorem 13.24. If an A-stable RK method with a nonsingular RK matrix A satisfies

$$\forall i \in \{1, ..., s\}, \quad a_{i1} = b_i,$$

then it is L-stable.

Theorem 13.25. B-stable one-step methods are A-stable.

Theorem 13.26. An algebraically stable RK method is B-stable and A-stable.

13.3.3 Convergence

Definition 13.27. A numerical method is convergent iff its application to any IVP with $f(\mathbf{u},t)$ Lipschitz continuous in ${\bf u}$ and continuous in t yields $\forall T>0, \quad \lim_{k\to 0, nk=T} {\bf u}_n={\bf u}(T).$

$$\forall T > 0, \quad \lim_{h \to 0} \mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{u}(T).$$

Theorem 13.28. A numerical method is convergent iff it is consistent and stable.

13.4 Important Methods

13.4.1 Forward Euler's method

Definition 13.29. The forward Euler's method solves the IVP by

$$\mathbf{u}_{n+1} = \mathbf{u}_n + k\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_n, t_n).$$

Theorem 13.30. The region of absolute stability for forward Euler's method is $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |1+z| \le 1\}.$

13.4.2 Backward Euler's method

Definition 13.31. The backward Euler's method solves the IVP by

$$\mathbf{u}_{n+1} = \mathbf{u}_n + k\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{n+1}, t_{n+1}).$$

Theorem 13.32. The region of absolute stability for backward Euler's method is $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |1-z| \ge 1\}.$

13.4.3 Trapezoidal method

Definition 13.33. The **trapezoidal method** solves the IVP by

$$\mathbf{u}_{n+1} = \mathbf{u}_n + \frac{k}{2} (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_n, t_n) + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{n+1}, t_{n+1})).$$

Theorem 13.34. The region of absolute stability for trapezoidal method is

$$\left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \left| \frac{2+z}{2-z} \right| \ge 1 \right\}.$$

13.4.4 Midpoint method (Leapfrog method)

Definition 13.35. The midpoint method (Leapfrog method) solves the IVP by $\mathbf{u}_{n+1} = \mathbf{u}_{n-1} + 2k\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_n, t_n)$.

Theorem 13.36. The region of absolute stability for midpoint method is

$$\left\{z\in\mathbb{C}:\left|z\pm\sqrt{1+z^2}\right|\leq 1\right\}\stackrel{?}{=}\{0\}.$$

13.4.5 Heun's third-order RK method

Definition 13.37. The Heun's third-order formula is an ERK method of the form

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{y}_1 &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_n, t_n), & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 &= \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{u}_n + \frac{k}{3}\mathbf{y}_1, t_n + \frac{k}{3}\right), & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{y}_3 &= \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{u}_n + \frac{2k}{3}\mathbf{y}_2, t_n + \frac{2k}{3}\right), & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ \mathbf{u}_{n+1} &= \mathbf{u}_n + \frac{k}{4}(\mathbf{y}_1 + 3\mathbf{y}_3). & & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{3}{4} \end{cases}$$

13.4.6 Classical fourth-order RK method

Definition 13.38. The classical fourth-order RK method is an ERK method of the form

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{y}_{1} &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_{n}, t_{n}), \\ \mathbf{y}_{2} &= \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{u}_{n} + \frac{k}{2}\mathbf{y}_{1}, t_{n} + \frac{k}{2}\right), \\ \mathbf{y}_{3} &= \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{u}_{n} + \frac{k}{2}\mathbf{y}_{2}, t_{n} + \frac{k}{2}\right), \\ \mathbf{y}_{4} &= \mathbf{f}\left(\mathbf{u}_{n} + k\mathbf{y}_{3}, t_{n} + k\right), \\ \mathbf{u}_{n+1} &= \mathbf{u}_{n} + \frac{k}{6}(\mathbf{y}_{1} + 2\mathbf{y}_{2} + 2\mathbf{y}_{3} + \mathbf{y}_{4}). \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \frac{1}{2} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \end{vmatrix}$$

13.4.7 TR-BDF2 method

Definition 13.39. The TR-BDF2 method is an one-step method of the form

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_* &= \mathbf{u}_n + \frac{k}{4} \Big(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}_n, t_n) + \mathbf{f} \Big(\mathbf{u}_*, t_n + \frac{k}{2} \Big) \Big), \\ \mathbf{u}_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{3} \big(4 \mathbf{u}_* - \mathbf{u}_n + k \mathbf{f} \big(\mathbf{u}_{n+1}, t_{n+1} \big) \big). \end{cases}$$

Number Theory

14.1 Prime Number

Definition 14.1. A **prime number** (or a **prime**) is a natural number greater than 1 that is not a product of two smaller natural numbers.

Definition 14.2. A **composite number** (or a **composite**) is a natural number greater than 1 that is a product of two smaller natural numbers.

14.1.1 Primality testing

Theorem 14.3. For a integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if it is a product of two natural number a and b that $a \leq b$, then

$$1 \le a \le \sqrt{n} \le b \le n$$
.

Method 14.4. (Trial division) Given a integer n, the trial division method divides n by each integer from 2 up to \sqrt{n} . Any such integer dividing n evenly establishes n as composite, otherwise it is prime.

Theorem 14.5. (Fermat's little theorem) For a prime number p and a number a that gcd(a, p) = 1, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$

Method 14.6. The **Miller-Rabin** algorithm is a method of primality testing, where given a number n, where we

- (1) determine directly for small numbers such as p=2.
- (2) factorize the number $p = u \times 2^t$;
- (3) choose a number a that gcd (a,p)=1, and calculate $a^u,a^{u\times 2},a^{u\times 2^2},...,a^{u\times 2^{t-1}};$
- (4) if $a^u \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, or $\exists a^{u \times k}, k < t$ that $a^{u \times k} \equiv p 1 \pmod{p}$ then p passes the test, otherwise, p is a composite number;
- (5) repeat above steps to eliminate error.

For numbers less than 2^{32} , choose $a \in \{2, 7, 61\}$ is enough, for numbers less than $2^{\{64\}}$, choose $a \in \{2, 325, 9375, 28178, 450775, 9780504, 1795265022\}$ is enough.

14.1.2 Sieves

Method 14.7. (Sieve of Eratosthenes) Given a upper limit n, the sieve of Eratosthenes solves all the prime numbers up to n by marking composite numbers, where we

- (1) create a list of consecutive integers from 2 to n: $\{2, 3, 4, ..., n\}$;
- (2) initially, let p = 2, the smallest prime number;
- (3) enumerate the multiples of p by counting in increments of p from 2p to n, and mark them in the list;
- (4) find the smallest number in the list greater than p that is not marked;
- (5) if there was no such number, the method is terminated and the numbers remaining not marked in the list are all the primes below n, otherwise let p now equal the new number which is the next prime, and repeat from step (3).

Part 3 Machine Learning

Regression

15.1 Linear Regression

Definition 15.1. Given a data set $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i), i \in \{1, ..., m\}\}$ where $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the linear regression seeks $\tilde{\mathbf{w}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\tilde{b} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(\mathbf{x}_i) = \tilde{\mathbf{w}}^T \mathbf{x}_i + \tilde{b} \approx y_i.$$

In general, we choose mean square error to estimate the error between $f(\mathbf{x}_i)$ and y_i , which implies

$$\left(\tilde{\mathbf{w}}, \tilde{b}\right) = \underset{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in \mathbb{R}}{\min} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(f(\mathbf{x}_i) - y_i\right)^2 = \underset{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n, b \in \mathbb{R}}{\min} \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\mathbf{w}^T x + b - y_i\right)^2.$$

Theorem 15.2. Given a data set $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i), i \in \{1, ..., m\}\}$ where $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^T & 1 \\ \vdots & 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_m^T & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix},$$

if X^TX is invertible, the solution of linear regression can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{w} \\ h \end{pmatrix} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y}.$$

Decision Tree

Support Vector Machine

Cluster

Neural Networks