dy	Events	Total E	Events	Total	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	(common)	(rando
dera S, 2020 rria K,2020	_	175 358		2870 2870		2.41	[1.46; 3.98] [5.39; 8.35]	28.6% 71.4%	
,			141						
mmon effect model		533		5740		5.48	[4.50; 6.67]	100.0%	

0.5 1

2

Control

0.1

Weight

10

4.12 [1.51; 11.25]

Wei

100

**Experimental** 

ndom effects model

erogeneity:  $I^2 = 93\%$ ,  $\tau^2 = 0.4862$ , p < 0.01

ıdy	Events	Total	Events	Total	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	(common)	(rando
hom E, 2018	30	213	158	2289			[1.42; 2.94]		_
tein CM, 2018	148	1194	158	2289		1.80	[1.45; 2.22]	80.1%	74.
mmon effect model		1407		4578		1.84	[1.53; 2.22]	100.0%	
ndom effects model						1.86	[1.54; 2.23]		100.

0.5

Control

Weight

Experimental

ndom effects model terogeneity:  $I^2 = 0\%$ ,  $\tau^2 = 0$ , p = 0.55

dy	Events	Total	Events	Total	Risk Ratio	RR	95%-CI	(common)	(rando
hapiro AE,2012	333	1569	73	326	<del>-    </del>	0.95	[0.76; 1.19]	14.0%	16.
nd D, 2012	424	3627	73	326		0.52	[0.42; 0.65]	15.5%	16.
e-Shipp L, 2018	424	1355	276	1365		1.55	[1.36; 1.77]	31.8%	17.
en K, 2016	134	412	1231	6584		1.74	[1.50; 2.02]	16.8%	17.
acPherson P, 2020	307	1520	47	450		<b>—</b> 1.93	[1.45; 2.58]	8.4%	16.
pollo VS,2018	44	294	993	4685			[0.53; 0.93]		16.

0.5

Control

13736

**Experimental** 

8777

mmon effect model

ndom effects model

erogeneity:  $I^2 = 96\%$ ,  $\tau^2 = 0.2688$ , p < 0.01

Weight

100.0%

1.26 [1.16; 1.36]

1.11 [0.72; 1.69]

Wei

100.