

TEAM C

---

# PostCardBuddy

## Project Experiences

---

*Authors of this document:*

Emma Albertz  
Caroline Brandberg  
Linnéa Claesson  
Billy Johansson  
Johan Ju  
Jacob Mejvik  
Carl Rynegardh

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Methods and Techniques</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	Elicitation . . . . .	1
2.2	Specification . . . . .	1
2.3	Validation . . . . .	1
2.4	Prioritization . . . . .	1
<b>3</b>	<b>Reflections</b>	<b>1</b>
3.1	Elicitation . . . . .	1
3.2	Specification . . . . .	1
3.3	Validation . . . . .	1
3.4	Prioritization . . . . .	1
<b>4</b>	<b>Personal Statements</b>	<b>1</b>

# 1 Background

During the first week, and the work for release one, we have worked to figure out who our stakeholders are and what they would like to see from our system. We have used a lot of different elicitation techniques and tried to keep requirements at a fairly high level, goal- or domain-level.

## 2 Methods and Techniques

### 2.1 Elicitation

We techniques we have used have been inspired by "Software Requirements Style and Techniques" chapter 8, written by Soren Lauesen. The figure 8.2, page 338, has been of great help in choosing appropriate elicitation techniques. For release one we used the techniques: Brainstorming, Questionnaires, ??Prototypes??. Focus groups, Similar companies, ask suppliers and stakeholder analysis.

Brainstorming: To come up with different functions we at first used brainstorming writing down the functions we could come up with. During the brainstorming session we also thought about, and extended, the specification and function given from the ??group that first came up with PostCardBuddy??.

Questionnaire: The questionnaire contained functions that we came up with during the brainstorming session. Persons answering where asked to grade functions with grade 0-5, where zero stood for not interesting and five for very interesting. We also added a field for age to see if we could make out a difference in the interest of different functions between ages, stakeholders.

Prototypes: As we are very time constrained, having to come up with requirements in just three weeks, we decided to already create prototypes. Four of us made our own prototypes. As we are early in making requirements it was only about coming up with ideas for the graphical design of the app. In order not to affect each others ideas we designed them in isolation. Prototypes is a suitable technique for our product because of that we are specifying an application for end users. It helps the elicitation process by having uses say "Can I do that here" when they test the prototype.

Focus group:

Similar companies:

Stakeholder analysis:

### 2.2 Specification

### 2.3 Validation

Prototypes: The prototype gives our customer a unique opportunity to validate how our product match their expectations. We are trying to contentiously adapt the prototype to our customers needs and new features so that it becomes a good reflection on where the project is going.

## **2.4 Prioritization**

# **3 Reflections**

## **3.1 Elicitation**

Prototypes: We found an easy to use program for constructing prototypes that have worked very well for us. When we made many individual prototypes we discoverer that it also worked as practical brainstorming where we found features in the prototypes.

## **3.2 Specification**

## **3.3 Validation**

## **3.4 Prioritization**

# **4 Personal Statements**