

TEAM C

PostCardBuddy

Project Experiences

Authors of this document:

Emma Albertz
Caroline Brandberg
Linnéa Claesson
Billy Johansson
Johan Ju
Jacob Mejvik
Carl Rynegardh

Contents

1	Background	1
2	Methods and Techniques	1
2.1	Elicitation	1
2.2	Specification	1
2.3	Validation	1
2.4	Prioritization	1
3	Reflections	1
3.1	Elicitation	1
3.2	Specification	1
3.3	Validation	1
3.4	Prioritization	1
4	Personal Statements	1

1 Background

During the first week, and the work for release one, we have worked to figure out who our stakeholders are and what they would like to see from our system. We have used a lot of different elicitation techniques and tried to keep requirements at a fairly high level, goal- or domain-level.

2 Methods and Techniques

2.1 Elicitation

We techniques we have used have been inspired by "Software Requirements Style and Techniques" chapter 8, written by Soren Lauesen. The figure 8.2, page 338, has been of great help in choosing appropriate elicitation techniques. For release one we used the techniques: Brainstorming, Questionnaires, ??Prototypes??. Focus groups, Similar companies, ask suppliers and stakeholder analysis.

Brainstorming: To come up with different functions we at first used brainstorming writing down the functions we could come up with. During the brainstorming session we also thought about, and extended, the specification and function given from the ??group that first came up with PostCardBuddy??.

Questionnaire: The questionnaire contained functions that we came up with during the brainstorming session. Persons answering where asked to grade functions with grade 0-5, where zero stood for not interesting and five for very interesting. We also added a field for age to see if we could make out a difference in the interest of different functions between ages, stakeholders.

Prototypes: As we are very time constrained, having to come up with requirements in just three weeks, we decided to already create prototypes. Four of us made our own prototypes. As we are early in making requirements it was only about coming up with ideas for the graphical design of the app. In order not to affect each others ideas we designed them in isolation.

Focus group:

Similar companies:

Stakeholder analysis:

2.2 Specification

2.3 Validation

2.4 Prioritization

3 Reflections

3.1 Elicitation

3.2 Specification

3.3 Validation

3.4 Prioritization

4 Personal Statements