

Galaxy Zoo Hubble: the evolution of red disk galaxies since $z = 1$

Melanie A. Galloway¹, Steven P. Bamford³, Melanie Beck¹, Hugh Dickinson¹, Lucy F. Fortson¹, Chris J. Lintott⁴, Karen L. Masters^{5,6}, John I. Phillips¹, Claudia Scarlata¹, B.D. Simmons^{4,7*}, Kyle W. Willett¹

¹*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, 116 Church St. SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kentucky, 505 Rose St., Lexington, KY 40506, USA*

³*School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK*

⁴*Oxford Astrophysics, University of Oxford, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford, OX1 3RH, UK*

⁵*Institute for Cosmology and Gravitation, University of Portsmouth, Dennis Sciama Building, Burnaby Road, Portsmouth, PO1 3FX, UK*

⁶*SEPnet, South East Physics Network, UK*

⁷*Center for Astrophysics and Space Sciences, Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, CA 92093, USA*

20 October 2017

ABSTRACT

We study the evolution of the passive disk population from $z = 1$ to $z = 0.3$ in a sample of 20,000 galaxies from the COSMOS field and morphologically classified by the Galaxy Zoo: Hubble project. We find the fraction of disks occupying the red sequence, as well as the fraction of disk galaxies that are red, to decrease for the most massive galaxies ($\log(M/M_\odot) > 11$) but increase for lower masses. Our observations are consistent with a physical scenario in which more massive galaxies are more likely to enter a red disk phase, and more massive red disks are more likely to morphologically transform into ellipticals than their less massive counterparts. This paper also introduces a new method for using artificially-redshifted galaxies to quantify the redshift-bias in Galaxy Zoo classifications in order to accurately measure the fraction of disk galaxies as a function of redshift.

1 INTRODUCTION

Passive, red disks are an unconventional class of galaxies. They do not adhere to the standard bimodality of the colour-morphology relationship, whereby most galaxies tend to exist in one of two populations: blue, late-type disks exhibiting active star formation, and red, early-type ellipticals showing little to no signs of recent star formation (Strateva et al. 2001; Baldry et al. 2004; Correa et al. 2017). The division between the two populations is particularly apparent when represented visually on a colour-magnitude or colour colour diagram. Galaxies tend to populate in two distinct regions: the “red sequence” in the upper band, which contains predominantly early-type galaxies, and the “blue cloud” in the lower, containing mostly late-type spirals. This relationship has been shown to hold for $\sim > 85\%$ of galaxies out to $z \sim 1$ (Bell et al. 2004; Cirasuolo et al. 2007; Mignoli et al. 2009) and possibly beyond (Giallongo et al. 2005; van Dokkum et al. 2006; Franzetti et al. 2007; Cassata et al. 2008).

The relatively tight correlation between galaxy colour (which traces the stellar content) and morphology (which traces dynamical history) suggests an evolutionary link between the two. In the simplest interpretation, it could be deduced that galaxies tend to begin their lives as young, star-forming disks, until some mechanism (secular or exter-

nal) causes star-formation to cease while the galaxy simultaneously undergoes a morphological transformation from disk to spheroidal. The growing evidence for a significant population of galaxies which breaks this relationship, however, insists on more nuanced interpretations of this model.

The passive disk population has been a matter of interest for understanding the mechanisms driving the evolutionary link between colour and morphology since their initial discovery. In one of the earliest documented reports of this class, van den Bergh (1976) identified a set of spirals in the Virgo cluster which were forming stars “much less vigorously” than the other galaxies of the same type, which were dubbed “anemic spirals”. Analysis of this population suggested the possibility of “gentle” quenching mechanisms which could shut off star-formation without disrupting the morphology (in contrast to violent processes such as mergers, which are capable of destroying the disk (Bell et al. 2004; Negroponte & White 1983; De Lucia et al. 2006; Springel et al. 2005)). The low gas content in the anemic spirals suggested that subtle environmental factors played a role in stripping the gas required to continue star-formation, a process commonly known now as ram-pressure stripping (Gunn & Gott 1972; Steinhauser et al. 2016).

Other studies have since investigated other possible mechanisms could lead to the formation of passive disks,

and how significant of a contribution this population makes to understanding the full picture of galaxy evolution. Environment is believed to play a strong role in their formation; many studies for instance find passive spirals preferentially in high-density environments (Dressler et al. 1999; Poggianti et al. 1999; Goto et al. 2003; Deng et al. 2009; Hughes & Cortese 2009). Moran et al. (2006) model the star-formation histories of passive spirals at $z \sim 0.4$ and find them to be consistent with models for spirals affected by gas-starvation (Larson, R.B., Tinsley, B.M. and Caldwell 1980; Quilis et al. 2000; Bekki et al. 2002). Environment plays a significant factor in this scenario, whereby the interaction of the galaxy with the intra-cluster medium halts the accretion of gas onto the galaxy, inhibiting star-formation and causing a quench without disrupting the morphology significantly. Their results did not argue that starvation was the only mechanism responsible for building up the population of disks in the red sequence, but do conclude that passive disks are indeed an important transition population.

Masters et al. (2010) is one of the few studies which finds no strong correlation of passive disks with environment, but do not rule out environment playing a significant contribution in their creation. They also find strong evidence for quenching via completely secular processes, given by their sample of passive disks being more massive and having a higher bar fraction than their star-forming counterparts. Massive galaxies are more likely to have been assembling for very long times, allowing sufficient time to use up all of their gas, without environment being a direct factor. This option could explain the observed correlations with density and passivity, given that higher-density regions were more likely to have been assembled at earlier times. Secondly, Masters et al. (2010) observed a significantly higher bar fraction in passive spirals (67%) than star-forming spirals (27%). Bars are known for their ability to efficiently drive gas to the centers of galaxies via a redistribution of angular momentum throughout the disk (Sellwood & Wilkinson 1993; Shlosman et al. 1989; Ann & Thakur 2005), which could increase central star-formation (Hawarden et al. 1986; Ho et al. 1997) or feed the central supermassive black hole (Athanasoula 1992; Friedli & Benz 1993). The excess of bars in passive disks then suggests that bars were responsible for quickly using up the gas in the galaxy, resulting in subsequent quenching.

Passive disks have thus far been proposed as both a final stage of galactic evolution, driven by secular and external processes capable of exhausting gas required for star-formation, and as a transition phase of galaxies toward a final evolution to red spheroidal, driven by processes which quench and morphologically transform on different timescales, or multiple separate processes acting independently. Understanding the significance of the passive disk population is therefore unquestionably an important key to understanding galaxy evolution as a whole. Bundy et al. (2010) investigates this subject by measuring the different morphological contributions to the red sequence since $z = 1$, and estimate as high as 60% of all galaxies go through a passive disk phase. It was not quantified which of these further evolve to spheroidal and which stay disks for the remainder of their lifetimes, but the decaying contribution of passive disks to $z = 0.3$ was evidence that some fraction of these did indeed transform to elliptical.

This paper will investigate the evolution of the passive disk population from $z = 1$ to $z = 0.3$ using galaxies identified in the COSMOS field with morphological classifications from Galaxy Zoo: Hubble. We will measure the fraction of disks which are passive and the fraction of the red sequence occupied by disks as functions of mass and redshift, as well as the fractional contribution of red disks, blue disks, red ellipticals, and blue ellipticals to the total population. We will assess the mass-dependence of the significance of the red disk population, and discuss the likelihoods of the red disk phase being a transitory stage or an end-point of a typical galaxy’s evolutionary path.

Section 2 will describe our methods for selecting disk galaxies and separating the sample into active / passive populations using a colour-colour diagnostic. In Section 3 we describe a new method of correcting for redshift bias in the detection of disk galaxies with Galaxy Zoo classifications using an artificially-redshifted set of images. In Section 4 we present our results of the fraction of disk galaxies which are red, and the fraction of red sequence galaxies that are disks, as functions of mass and redshift. We compare our findings with results from the literature and discuss their implications in Section 5. Our main conclusions are outlined in Section 6. We adopt a Λ CDM cosmology throughout this paper of $\Omega_m = 0.31$ and $H_0 = 68 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}$ (Planck Collaboration et al. 2015).

2 DATA

The parent sample of galaxies in this paper is drawn from the Galaxy Zoo: Hubble (GZH) catalog (Willett et al. 2016), which provides morphological classifications for galaxies sourced from the HST Legacy Surveys. From the main catalog we select galaxies with imaging from the Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS, Scoville et al. (2007)) in the redshift range $0.2 < z < 1$. Rest frame NUV-r and r-J colours are taken from the UltraVISTA catalog (McCracken et al. 2012; Ilbert et al. 2013).

2.1 Sample Selection

We identify a mass-limited sample of 20,811 disk and elliptical galaxies using the morphological classifications provided by GZH. Figure 1 shows the mass/redshift distribution of the parent blue cloud and red sequence galaxies, where the dashed lines indicate the limits $0.2 < z < 1.0$ and $10.15 < \log(M/M_\odot) < 11.15$ used for this study. Mergers and irregulars are excluded from the analysis by applying cuts of $f_{\text{irregular}} > 0.3$ and $f_{\text{merger}} > 0.5$ for galaxies which have at least 20 “yes” votes for the question, “Is there anything odd?”. Last, we apply an inclination limit using $f_{\text{not edge-on}} > 0.3$ and $N_{\text{not edge-on}} > 10$. Before applying this cut, it was observed that the red sequence region of the sample was dominated by highly-inclined galaxies, shown in Figure 2. Given that galaxy colour should be independent of the angle in which it is observed, it is clear that the inclined galaxy colours are strongly affected by dust-reddening. While we are not using dust-corrected colours in our colour-colour separation, inclination has shown to have an affect on colours even in those which dust-corrected has been attempted (Morselli et al. 2016; Devour & Bell 2017).




Figure 1. [apologies this is not an aesthetically pleasing plot yet... comments on making it so are welcome.] The box enclosed by the dotted lines displays our mass-limited sample, defined as $0.2 < z < 1$ and $10.15 < \log(M/M_\odot) < 11.15$. Red sequence and blue cloud galaxies are plotted separately to illustrate the difference in limiting magnitudes for galaxies whose fluxes are dominated by I-band vs. V-band light respectively. It can be seen here that the mass cut of at least $\log(M/M_\odot) > 10.15$ is effective for ensuring detection of all red galaxies out to $z = 1$. Only random subset of points are displayed for clarity.




Figure 2. The effect of reddening for highly inclined galaxies. On the left panel is the distribution of $f_{\text{edge-on,no}}$, which is the fraction of Galaxy Zoo users who voted “no” in response to the question “Could this be a galaxy viewed edge-on?”. This vote correlates with inclination angle, such that low values represent highly inclined galaxies, and high values represent face-on galaxies. The bins are colored such that darker blue bins have a higher fraction of highly inclined galaxies, and white bins have high fractions of face-on galaxies. There is an obvious bias towards redder colours for galaxies with high inclination angles (low votes for $f_{\text{edge-on,no}}$). We therefore implement a cut of $f_{\text{edge-on,no}} > 0.3$ to ensure that observed red colours are an indicator of a lack of star-formation, and not dust-reddening.

By limiting the sample to face-on galaxies, this bias should be removed (right panel of Figure 2).

To classify the galaxies as quiescent or star-forming, a method similar to that described by Ilbert et al. (2013) (hereafter I13) was used, which implements a rest-frame $\text{NUV}-r$ versus $r-J$ diagnostic. Here are some reasons these colours are great ($\text{NUV}-r$): (Arnouts et al. 2007; Salim et al. 2005; Wyder et al. 2007), (Martin et al. 2007)

The demarcation line to separate the quiescent and active populations at $z = 1$ is adopted from I13, which defines

the quiescent galaxies as those which satisfy: $M_{\text{NUV}} - M_r > 3(M_r - M_J) + 1$ and $M_{\text{NUV}} - M_r > 3.1$. I13 applies this criteria to all galaxies in a range of $0.2 < z < 3$, although it performs best at separating the two populations in the redshift bin $0.7 < z < 1.2$, where $> 98\%$ of galaxies identified as quiescent exhibited star formation rates less than $\log(\text{SFR}) = -11$ (see Figure 3 of I13). Therefore this work uses the I13 separation criteria at $z = 1$, and computes the evolution of the demarcation lines as a function of redshift to $z = 0$.

The evolution of $r - J$ and $\text{NUV} - r$ colours was measured using a stellar population synthesis model from Bruzual & Charlot (2003). An instantaneous-burst model (ssp) was chosen from the Padova1994 track to represent the colour evolution of a passively evolving galaxy, with a metallicity $Z = 0.008 = .4Z_\odot$, which is the typical metallicity of passive galaxies with mass $9 < \log(M_*/M_\odot) < 10$ (Peng et al. (2015), Figure 2a), chosen to correspond to the median mass of the sample ($\log(M_*/M_\odot) = 9.7$). A linear fit was generated for each colour within the range $0 < z < 2$, and the slopes for each were used to redefine the demarcation lines in five redshift bins: one with central value $z = 0.007$ (used to classify the SDSS ferengi2 sample), and four with central values $z = [0.30, 0.50, 0.70, 0.90]$ with widths $\Delta z = 0.2$. The quiescent galaxies are thus defined in these bins as those that satisfy:

$$M_{\text{NUV}} - M_r > 3.1 + a_1(z) \quad (1)$$

$$M_{\text{NUV}} - M_r > 3(M_r - M_J + a_2(z)) + a_1(z) + 1 \quad (2)$$

where $a_1(z) = [0.54, 0.38, 0.27, 0.16, 0.05]$ and $a_2(z) = [0.19, 0.14, 0.10, 0.06, 0.02]$.

We note that the evolution of the demarcation lines from $z = 1$ to $z \sim 0$ is very minimal, and our final results do not change if we perform the separation using static lines.

In describing our methods for separating active and passive populations using a colour-colour separation technique, we have used terminology such that blue/red have been used to describe colours explicitly, while active/passive have been used to describe ongoing/quenched star-formation. The remainder of this paper will assume that the colour cuts described in this section adequately separated the active and passive populations, and for convenience the terms red/blue will be used interchangeably with passive/active.

3 CORRECTING FOR INCOMPLETENESS IN DISK DETECTION

In this work, we study the growth of the red sequence population by evaluating the fraction of passive disks as a function of redshift, $N_{\text{red disks}}/(N_{\text{red disks}} + N_{\text{blue disks}})$, as well as the fraction of disks occupying the red sequence, $N_{\text{red disks}}/(N_{\text{red disks}} + N_{\text{red ellipticals}})$. To accurately measure these fractions, the number of disks and ellipticals populating each redshift interval must be known with confidence. To identify disk galaxies in our sample, we set a cut of $f_{\text{features}} \geq 0.3$, such that galaxies meeting this criteria are considered to have distinguishable features or disk structure (additional cuts are also placed to eliminate clumpy, highly

inclined, and merging galaxies; see Section 2.1), and galaxies which do not are considered elliptical. However, it is known that distinguishing disk structure from spheroidal becomes increasingly challenging at high redshifts (for both experts and novice classifiers alike), where features are less resolved and more difficult to identify. Willett et al. (2016) show using a set of artificially-redshifted simulated galaxy images classified in Galaxy Zoo that vote fractions for the same galaxy can be drastically different measured at $z = 1$ from $z = 0$, often enough to change its morphological classification (we will show the same in Section 3.1). Therefore it is predicted that applying a f_{features} cut to identify disks will increasingly underestimate their true number at increasing redshift intervals. A set of artificially redshifted images was used to quantify and correct for this incompleteness in disk and elliptical detection, described in the next section.

3.1 FERENG12 set of artificially redshifted galaxy images

FERENG12 is a set of simulated galaxy images created using the FERENG1 code (Barden et al. 2008). These were created from a parent sample of 936 nearby ($z < 0.01$) SDSS galaxies, all of which had been previously classified in Galaxy Zoo 2 and were cross-matched in 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006) for J magnitudes and GALEX (Martin et al. 2005) for NUV magnitudes, which were necessary to create a colour-colour separation using a method as similar as possible to that of the COSMOS sample. An evolution factor of $e = -1$ was applied, which brightens each galaxy linearly with redshift: $M' = M + ez$, where M' is the corrected magnitude. This correction is performed to mimic the known physical increase of galaxy magnitude with redshift (Lilly et al. 1998; Loveday et al. 2011), and the value $e = -1$ was chosen based on an analysis of spectra template models provided by Brinchmann et al. (2004), which showed that typical galaxies tend to evolve in brightness by one magnitude per redshift. Each galaxy was artificially redshifted 8 times from $z = 0.3$ to $z = 1$ in intervals of $\Delta z = 0.1$ and processed to mimic *HST* imaging parameters, giving a total of 7,488 images (3 examples are shown in Figure 4). The set was then classified in Galaxy Zoo using the same decision tree as used for Galaxy Zoo Hubble. 134 highly inclined disk galaxies were removed from the sample by excluding any with $N_{\text{edge-on}} > 20$ and $f_{\text{not edge-on}} \geq 0.6$, using the vote fraction associated with the real galaxy image measured in GZ2. This cut was shown in Galloway et al. (2015) to correlate well with inclination angle $\cos(a/b) < 67^\circ$. This was to exclude those which may be mis-classified due to dust-reddening. Using the NUV-J-R selection method described in section 2.1, the remaining sample was divided into a set of red sequence galaxies (259 per redshift bin) and blue cloud (543 per each redshift bin) (see Figure 3).

3.2 Measuring the completeness in disk and elliptical detection, ξ

The FERENG12 set was used to measure the incompleteness in disk and elliptical detection, from which correction factors ξ_{disk} and $\xi_{\text{elliptical}}$ were derived. These are defined as the number of disks/ellipticals detected divided by the




Figure 3. Separation of the quiescent population (red sequence) and active population (blue cloud) of the FERENG12 sample. The gray shaded region represents the R-J limit of the sample; since FERENG12 is a subset of GZ2, for which a limit of $r < 17$ was implemented, and the magnitude limit of 2MASS is $J < 15.91$, the FERENG12 sample is limited to $R - J < 1.1$.

true number of disks/ellipticals expected to exist in a given redshift interval: $\xi_{\text{disk}}(z) = N_{\text{disks detected}}/N_{\text{disks true}}$, and $\xi_{\text{elliptical}}(z) = N_{\text{ellipticals detected}}/N_{\text{ellipticals true}}$

Acknowledging that the completeness in disk detection may depend on galaxy colour, the corrected fraction of passive disks can then be calculated as:

$$f_{R|D} = \frac{N_{RD} \times \xi_{\text{red disks}}^{-1}}{N_{RD} \times \xi_{\text{red disks}}^{-1} + N_{BD} \times \xi_{\text{blue disks}}^{-1}} \quad (3)$$

If there is no colour bias in disk detection, $\xi_{\text{red disks}} = \xi_{\text{blue disks}}$, and this term cancels out, leaving the fraction unchanged. If there is a bias, however, the ξ terms do not cancel, and the incompleteness in disk detection could have a large effect on the red disk fraction. Therefore a careful measurement of ξ is estimated for both red and blue disk galaxies using the FERENG12 set of simulated images.

The completeness values $\xi_{\text{red disks}}(z)$ and $\xi_{\text{blue disks}}(z)$ were computed in varying bins of redshift for the red sequence and blue cloud galaxies separately. An example calculation of $\xi_{\text{blue disks}}$ in the $z = 0.7$ bin is shown in Figure 5. Each point represents a FERENG12 galaxy, where the y-axis indicates the value of f_{features} measured in the image redshifted to $z = 0.7$, and the x-axis indicates the value of f_{features} measured in the same galaxy redshifted to $z = 0.3$. Disk galaxies are identified as those for which $f_{\text{features}} \geq 0.3$. Since, on average, f_{features} decreases for the same galaxy as it is viewed at higher redshifts, the number of galaxies meeting this threshold is generally fewer at higher redshifts than lower redshifts. This is indicated by the dotted lines: galaxies to the right of the vertical dashed line at $f_{\text{features}, z=0.3} = 0.3$ are identified as disks at $z = 0.3$; their sum is considered the “true” number of disks, N_{true} . Similarly, the galaxies above the horizontal line at $f_{\text{features}, z=0.7} = 0.3$ are identified as disks at $z = 0.7$; their sum is the “detected” number of disks at $z = 0.7$, or $N_{\text{disks detected}}$. As obvious in the figure, $N_{\text{disks detected}}$ is in general much lower than $N_{\text{disks true}}$, emphasizing the increasing difficulty in detecting features at




Figure 4. Example images of three galaxies artificially redshifted with the FERENGI code. The left image in each row is a real SDSS gri-composite image; the four to the right are images generated by FERENGI at varying redshifts, processed to mimic *HST/COSMOS* imaging. The f_{features} vote fraction for each simulated image is given; this value tends to decrease for each galaxy as it is processed to be viewed at higher redshifts.

higher redshifts. Their ratio is the completeness ξ ; in this example $\xi_{\text{blue disks}}(z = 0.7) = 0.61$, meaning only 61% of disks were detected at this redshift.

It was hypothesized that the completeness in disk detection may be a function of other parameters in addition to redshift. At fixed redshift, for example, it is reasonable to guess that features could be easier to detect galaxies that have higher mass, radius, or surface brightness. To test whether these parameters also impact the number of disks detected, the completeness was measured in fixed redshift bins as a function of surface brightness, effective radius, and mass. The surface brightness was calculated as $\mu = m + 2.5 * \log_{10}(2 * (b/a) * \pi R_e^2)$, using SExtractor outputs MAG_AUTO, b/a and R_e measured in the I_{814W} band images. The effective radius used was the 50% FLUX_RADIUS converted in to kpc, and the masses used were the MEDIAN values in the MPA-JHU DR7 catalog (Kauffmann et al. 2003).

Figure 6 shows completeness as a function of redshift and surface brightness, for the red sequence and blue cloud disk galaxies. 8 redshift bins were further divided into bins of surface brightness with varying widths, where the sizes were chosen to satisfy that $N_{\text{disks detected}} + N_{\text{disks true}} \geq 10$ in each bin. This was chosen as a compromise between having a sufficient number of galaxies in each bin to compute the completeness fraction $\xi_{\text{disk}} = N_{\text{disks detected}}/N_{\text{disks true}}$, and to have enough bins of surface brightness to measure a trend with confidence of completeness as a function of μ .

Visual inspection of the data did not suggest any relationship between the two. To be sure, the data were fit to a linear function in each redshift bin. For each fit, a p-value representing a hypothesis test whose null hypothesis is that the slope is zero was computed. Only one reached the criteria $p < 0.05$, but with a low R^2 value of 0.28 which is not considered large enough to represent a good fit. This process was repeated using effective radius and mass as parameters, and for the elliptical galaxies in the computation of $\xi_{\text{elliptical}}$, with the same results. Therefore only redshift was used as a parameter which impacted completeness values with confidence.

The completeness values $\xi_{\text{red disks}}$ and $\xi_{\text{blue disks}}$ were then measured as a function of redshift for the red sequence and blue cloud FERENGI2 galaxies; results are shown in Figure 7. No significant difference was detected for the two functions, which is apparent from the overlapping $1 - \sigma$ errors on the plot. The process was then repeated for the elliptical galaxies; as with the disk sample, no dependence on completeness $\xi_{\text{elliptical}}$ with variables other than redshift was found. Therefore ξ_{disk} and $\xi_{\text{elliptical}}$ were computed for all galaxies in bins of redshift between 0.3 and 1.0 with widths $\Delta z = 0.1$; from here linear relationships for ξ_{disk} and $\xi_{\text{elliptical}}$ as functions of redshift were derived: $\xi_{\text{disk}}(z) = -0.97 \pm 0.04(z) + 1.29 \pm 0.02$, $\xi_{\text{elliptical}}(z) = 0.32 \pm 0.02(z) + 0.90 \pm 0.01$. These corrections were used to calculate the fractional contribution of each morphologi-




Figure 5. Example calculation of completeness ξ_{disk} at redshift $z = 0.7$. Points represent FERENG12 images classified in Galaxy Zoo. The y-axis corresponds to the value of $f_{features}$ measured at the galaxy redshifted to $z = 0.7$, and the x-axis corresponds to the value of $f_{features}$ measured at the galaxy redshifted to $z = 0.3$. On average, the $f_{features}$ is lower at the higher redshift, indicating users on average have more difficulty identifying features in images at higher redshifts. The dotted lines correspond to $f_{features} = 0.3$, the threshold above which a galaxy is considered to have a disk. Galaxies to the right of the vertical dashed line were identified as disks at the lowest redshift $z = 0.3$, the total number defined as $N_{disks\ true}$, the true number of disks. Galaxies above the horizontal dash line were identified as disks at the higher redshift $z = 0.7$, the total number defined as $N_{disks\ detected}$. The ratio $\xi_{disk} = N_{disks\ detected}/N_{disks\ true}$ is the completeness value; in this example, only 61% of disks were detected at $z = 0.7$.




Figure 6. Completeness ξ_{disk} as a function of redshift and surface brightness for red sequence (left) and blue cloud galaxies (right). In each redshift bin, galaxies were binned by surface brightness in varying widths such that $N_{disks\ detected} + N_{disks\ true} \geq 10$ in each bin. The completeness values ξ_{disk} and $\xi_{elliptical}$ (not displayed) were computed in each z, μ bin, represented by the colours. Darker colours represent a completeness of 1, such that all disks were detected, while fainter colours represent a completeness near 0, representing a failure to detect disks. ξ_{disk} tends to decrease with redshift, but no correlation of ξ_{disk} with surface brightness is observed at fixed redshift.




Figure 7. Left: Completeness ξ_{disk} (top) and $\xi_{elliptical}$ (bottom) as a function of redshift for red sequence and blue cloud FERENG12 galaxies separately. All show a clear dependence on ξ with redshift, but there is no strong difference in completeness for the red and blue populations. **Right:** Completeness ξ_{disk} (top) and $\xi_{elliptical}$ (bottom) as a function of redshift for all FERENG12 galaxies (red and blue combined). The equation representing the linear fit for each is displayed.

cal/activity type (Figure 8), and the fraction of disks on the red sequence (Figure 9):

$$f_{D|R} = \frac{N_{RD} \times \xi_{disk}^{-1}}{N_{RD} \times \xi_{disk}^{-1} + N_{RE} \times \xi_{elliptical}^{-1}} \quad (4)$$

4 RESULTS

In this section we present our results of the evolution of star-forming and quenched disc and elliptical galaxies from $z = 1$ to $z = 0.2$ in a sample of 2x,xxx COSMOS galaxies morphologically classified in GZH. We show the evolution of each of the four types of populations as functions of redshift in four bins of stellar mass (Figure 8), and the fractions of red disks galaxies with respect to all disks, and to all red sequence galaxies (Figure 9).

In Figure 8 we plot the fractional contribution of blue/star-forming disks, blue/star-forming ellipticals, red/passive disks, and red/passive ellipticals vs. redshift in four stellar mass bins. For all masses, blue disks are the plurality population at each redshift. The red disk population is most abundant in the highest mass bin, and at fixed redshift their contribution decreases with mass. Red ellipticals tend to significantly outnumber red disks except in the highest mass/redshift bin, where they are in almost equal quantity. Blue ellipticals represent an insignificant fraction for galaxies with mass $\log(M/M_{\odot}) > 10.7$, but begin to outnumber the red disk population at lower masses.

Red disk galaxies are presumed to form primarily from blue disks galaxies which have quenched without undergoing a morphological transformation. If this is true, and if the resulting quenched disks do not continue in their evolution, one would expect a “pile up” of red disks as time progresses,




Figure 8. Evolution of four types of galaxy populations since $z = 1$: blue disks (blue open stars), red disks (red closed stars), blue ellipticals (blue open circles), and red ellipticals (red closed circles). Each point represents the fraction of the indicated type with respect to the total population, such that all points in a given redshift, mass bin sum to 1. Errors on each fraction are indicated by the shaded regions, and are propagations of \sqrt{N} counting errors and the errors associated with the functional fits to the correction terms ξ_{disk} and $\xi_{\text{elliptical}}$ (Section 3.2).




Figure 9. Left: Passive disk fraction ($N_{\text{red disks}}/(N_{\text{red disks}} + N_{\text{blue disks}})$) vs redshift in four mass bins. **Right:** Fraction of disks on the red sequence ($N_{\text{red disks}}/(N_{\text{red disks}} + N_{\text{red ellipticals}})$) vs redshift in four mass bins. Errors on each fraction are indicated by the shaded regions, and are propagations of \sqrt{N} counting errors and the errors associated with the functional fits to the correction terms ξ_{disk} and $\xi_{\text{elliptical}}$ (Section 3.2).

resulting in an increasing fraction from right to left. This trend is observed in the two lowest mass bins, however there is no large change in fraction in the $M \sim 10.85$ bin, and even a small decrease in the highest mass bin. If we assume that red disks are continuously produced from blue disks, even at a small rate, then a constant or decreasing fraction can only be explained if their numbers are simultaneously being depleted, presumably by a morphological transformation to red elliptical.

The evolution of the red disk population is more apparent in Figure 9. On the left is the ratio of red disks to all disks ($f_{R|D} = N_{\text{red disks}} / (N_{\text{red disks}} + N_{\text{blue disks}})$), and the right shows the fraction of disks on the red sequence ($f_{D|R} = N_{\text{red disks}} / (N_{\text{red disks}} + N_{\text{red ellipticals}})$). In both fractions, there is a clear mass-dependence with the trends exhibited as functions of redshift. $f_{R|D}$ is constant for $M > 10.7$, and increases for the lower mass bins. An increase in $f_{R|D}$ could be driven by the increase of red disks or a depletion of blue disks; Figure 8 shows the increase may be driven more from the latter at $z \sim 1$, and the former at lower redshift. On the right, we find a decrease in $f_{D|R}$ for all masses in the interval from $z \sim 1$ to $z \sim 0.8$. Figure 8 shows that this is mainly driven by the increase in red ellipticals during this time, which is consistent with higher merger rates at this epoch (Molina et al. 2016). From $z \sim 0.8$ to $z \sim 0.3$, $f_{D|R}$ continues to decrease for galaxies $\log(M/M_{\odot}) > 11$, is constant for galaxies $\log(M/M_{\odot}) \sim 10.85$, and increases for the lower mass bins. From Figure 8, we confirm that the increase present in the low mass galaxies is driven more by increases in the proportion of red disks, and not from a depletion of red ellipticals. The increase of $f_{R|D}$ and $f_{D|R}$ with redshift observed at low masses, coupled with the constant or decreasing trends for high masses, suggests that low mass red disk galaxies may be more likely to remain as such, while more massive red disk galaxies are more likely to further evolve via a morphological transformation.

The downward trend we observe in $f_{D|R}$ for massive galaxies is in agreement with Bundy et al. (2010) (hereafter B10) who perform a similar analysis of the morphological makeup of the red sequence. As suggested previously, a downward trend of $f_{D|R}$ represents either a depletion of the total pool of red disks (via a transformation to elliptical), but could also be an indication of an increase in the pool of red ellipticals (which could result from blue or red disks transforming morphology). In contrast, an upward trend is only possible via a pile-up of red disks, which is what we observe for the lower mass bins, and is in disagreement with B10. At the lowest redshift bin ($z \sim 0.3$), we measure similar absolute fractions of disks occupying the red sequence for all masses. However, B10 find their contribution to increase at higher lookback time to $z = 1$, while we find a decreasing contribution.

The fact that our results agree for the highest mass at all redshifts, but only at the lowest redshift for lower masses, suggests the differences may be attributed in biases in morphological classification. B10 identifies early and late-type disk galaxies using ZEST (Scarlata et al. 2007) morphologies, which they acknowledge are biased towards disk classification for faint apparent magnitudes, which tend to be attributed to the lowest mass, highest redshift objects. This bias could influence their observed increase in red sequence




Figure 10. Cartoon representing three common evolutionary pathways of star-forming disk galaxies. Path A represents an active star-forming galaxy which quenches without destroying the disk, becoming a red disk. Path B represents a red disk morphologically transition to red elliptical. Path C represents a blue disks simultaneously quenching and morphologically transforming to become a red elliptical.

disks toward $z = 1$ for low masses. On the opposite end, GZ classifications tend to be biased towards elliptical morphologies at fainter magnitudes. We attempted to quantify and correct for this effect as described in Section 3.1, but if our correction was under-estimated, that may have driven the decreasing abundance of disk galaxies observed at increasing redshift for low masses. However, it has been shown in the local Universe that red disk galaxies tend to be more massive, as in Masters et al. (2011). If this is true at all epochs, we would not expect such a significant contribution of red disks for low mass galaxies as found in B10.

5 DISCUSSION

We have examined the evolution of red disk galaxies since $z = 1$ in Figures 8 and 9. The different trends observed in the abundance of red disks for different bins of mass are consistent with a physical scenario in which 1) more massive galaxies undergo morphological transformations to elliptical at a higher rate than their less massive counterparts (given by the decrease/increase of $f_{D|R}$ from $z = 1$ to $z = 0.3$ for high/low mass bins), and 2) more massive galaxies are more likely to enter a red disk phase to begin with (given by the higher proportion of red disks in the high mass bin of Figure 8).

5.1 Morphological transformation of red disks

Our first point addresses whether the red disk form is a final stage in a galaxy's evolution, or whether it is a transitory phase in its ultimate path from star-forming disk to

quenched elliptical. Figure 10 is a simple schematic of dominant quenching pathways for typical galaxies. If all galaxies adhered to the standard colour-morphology relationship, we could conclude that all galaxies follow Path C: in this channel, whatever mechanism causes a galaxy to quench simultaneously invokes a morphological transformation. The existence of red disk galaxies insists that Path A is also a viable channel, in which a star-forming disk quenches without any significant structural disruption. If these were the only two channels, we would expect pile ups of red disks as the Universe evolves. We observe such pile ups for low-mass red disk galaxies, but not for more massive galaxies; this suggests that Path B, the depletion of red disks via a later morphological transformation, is necessary to deplete the pool and counteract the pile up effect.

It has been long suggested that major-mergers are the dominant mechanism for transforming the majority disk-like galaxies to elliptical (Toomre 1977; Schweizer 1982; Schweizer et al. 1990), with multiple minor-mergers being the second-most dominant (Bundy et al. 2009; Hopkins et al. 2010a). Studies using observations of close pairs have shown the galaxy merger rate increases with mass, both in the local Universe (Xu et al. 2004; Patton & Atfield 2008; Domingue et al. 2009; Robotham et al. 2014; Casteels et al. 2014) and out to $z \sim 1$ (Xu et al. 2012; Bundy et al. 2009), which agrees with predictions from both empirical models and dynamical simulations (Hopkins et al. 2010b,a; Maller et al. 2006). Casteels et al. (2014) find the merger rate is as much as three times higher for massive ($\log(M/M_\odot) \sim 11.25$) than lower mass ($\log(M/M_\odot) \sim 8.25$) galaxies, a similar mass range probed in our study. The increasing merger rate with mass may explain why massive red disk galaxies do not tend to stay in this phase long before merging, while low mass galaxies which become red disks remain stable in that phase.

5.2 The formation of red disks

Our results suggest that red disks represent a prolonged or final stage in the evolution of low mass galaxies, while high mass red disks are unlikely to remain in such a phase, due to an increased merger rate for higher mass galaxies. Next we address our second point: what mechanisms can cause a galaxy to quench without transforming morphologically (Path A, Figure 10), and why is this channel more common in massive galaxies?

5.2.1 Secular quenching of blue disks

[note: Might get rid of this first paragraph, it's lacking references required for the argument to hold up. Help/suggestions requested.]

Perhaps the most straight-forward mechanism by which a disk could quench without changing morphology is a purely secular process, in which an isolated galaxy's gas supply is simply used up from continued star-formation. It is rare, however, for a galaxy to exist in complete isolation long enough for this scenario to occur. The time-scale for complete consumption of gas with no environmental disruptions can be estimated from the amount of gas in a typical galaxy and the rate it is consumed through star-formation: $\tau \sim M_{\text{gas}}/\dot{M}_{\text{gas}}$, typical estimates giving several Gyr for

total depletion (Larson, R.B., Tinsley, B.M. and Caldwell 1980; Kaviraj et al. 2011; Hatton et al. 2003; Schawinski et al. 2014). Most galaxies will have experience some kind of environmental interaction within this time [need to find reference of average interaction time / average number of mergers for this argument to hold.]. [this source] suggests this many galaxies experience this many mergers in this time. Further, the fraction of galaxies in the field is quite low - Tully & Brent (1987) find the fraction of true isolated galaxies is less than 1% in the local Universe.

Since environmental interactions tend to impact the morphology of a galaxy, a simple check would be a comparison of features of disks in the red sequence and blue cloud. B10 using the ZEST classification scheme find the bulk of red sequence disks are early-type, while the late-type disks are found primarily in the blue cloud. The Galaxy Zoo classifications do not offer a comparable method for distinguishing late and early type galaxies, but we can use the bulge prominence information as a proxy. We note that a complete analysis of bulge prominence cannot be done here, as there has been no proper redshift debiasing of this vote fraction, and a thorough investigation will be saved for future work. As a preliminary check, we compare the bulge prominence of red sequence and blue cloud disk galaxies for a low-redshift ($z < 0.4$) sample, where any biases should be minimal.

In GZH, users who have classified a galaxy as featured and not edge-on are instructed to vote on the prominence of the bulge by choosing one of four responses: “no bulge,” “just noticeable”, “obvious”, and “dominant”. For this analysis we will compare the sum of the vote fractions $f_{\text{obvious}} + f_{\text{dominant}}$ for red sequence and blue cloud disk galaxies, shown in Figure 11. We find a clear difference in distributions, such that the red sequence disks tend to have more prominent bulges. While we present the results of the $z < 0.4$ sample to minimize potential redshift bias, we note that the result holds when extended to all redshifts. This supports the conclusions of B10, given that early-type classifications are correlated with dominant bulges. This also agrees with Masters et al. (2010), who also find larger bulge prominences in red sequence disks for a local Universe sample using Galaxy Zoo 2 classifications.

Given the timescales required for pure secular quenching, compared with the typical merger rate and the low average number of field galaxies, and the apparent morphological differences between the blue cloud and red disk population, it seems unlikely that this option contributes to a significant portion of the red disk population.

5.2.2 Mass quenching and AGN feedback

In some cases the mass of a galaxy might cause (rather than correlate with) the formation of a red disk, via mass quenching (Kormendy & Kennicutt 2004; Peng et al. 2010; Schawinski et al. 2014; Smethurst et al. 2017). For most galaxies, star-formation is enabled via cold streams of accreting gas Dekel & Birnboim (2006). Simulations (Birnboim & Dekel 2003; Cattaneo et al. 2006) show that as a galaxy halo reaches a critical mass $M_{\text{halo}} > 10^{12} M_\odot$, virial shocks heat the gas, resulting in a cutoff of the galaxy's gas reservoir, inhibiting star-formation.

Dilution of gas in massive galaxies due to such shock-induced heating can cause it to become more vulnerable to




Figure 11. Comparison of bulge prominence of blue cloud disks and red sequence disks, using GZH vote fraction $f_{\text{obvious}} + f_{\text{dominant}}$ as indicator of the observed relative size of the bulge. Only galaxies $z < 0.4$ are shown to minimize potential effects of redshift bias in these vote fractions, which have not been corrected for in this paper (although the result does hold out to $z \sim 1$). Red disks tend to have more prominent bulges than blue cloud disks, and almost zero red sequence disks have extremely low bulge prominences ($f_{\text{obvious}} + f_{\text{dominant}} < 0.3$).

AGN feedback (Dekel & Birnboim 2006), whereby accretion onto the galaxy’s supermassive black hole generates strong outflows of energetic material and hard radiation. These AGN-driven winds may then terminate star-formation by heating the gas or expelling it completely from the galaxy, causing a quench. Such a process is not likely to induce a morphological transformation, and therefore could be a valid mechanism for creating a quenched disk. This effect was first proposed in an effort to accurately model the local-Universe luminosity function. Only models which incorporated AGN feedback to suppress star-formation in the most massive galaxies could reproduce the sharp break observed in the number density of highly luminous galaxies (Benson et al. 2003; Di Matteo et al. 2005; Bower et al. 2006; Croton et al. 2006; Somerville et al. 2008). Smethurst et al. (2016) found strong evidence for rapid and recent quenching through an analysis of star formation histories of a large population of AGN hosts. Although they did not explore whether this effect was mass-dependent, it nevertheless suggests AGN-feedback does play a strong role in the quenching of galaxies. Further, since AGN tend to be observed in more massive galaxies (Schawinski et al. 2007; Lee et al. 2012; Oh et al. 2012; Alonso et al. 2013; Galloway et al. 2015), it is reasonable to suggest AGN-induced quenching may be a significant contributor to the formation of massive red disks.

5.2.3 Disk regrowth in mergers

It is believed that ellipticals are the products of mergers, however recent evidence has shown that mergers do not always produce ellipticals. Simulations have shown cases of rotationally supported disks surviving or reforming after a major merger event. This tends to be possible under con-

ditions such that the progenitor disks are gas-dominated (Governato et al. 2009; Springel & Hernquist 2005); for Robertson et al. (2006) disks were only formed if the gas-fractions exceeded $f_{\text{gas}} > 0.5$. Under these conditions, it would be more likely to form low-mass disks, given that gas fraction tends to anti-correlated with stellar mass (Kannappan 2004; Bell & De Jong 2000). Perhaps this mechanism could explain some portion of the low-mass red disk population. However, regrown disks in these simulations tend to arise as star-forming. If the merger-disk regrowth event enhances star-formation briefly, perhaps the remaining gas is used up in a shorter time-scale, leading to a low-mass red disk. Sparre & Springel (2017) find that although most of the new disks were star-forming, some were immediately quenched in the simulations which incorporated particularly strong AGN feedback. Since AGN are found more commonly in high mass galaxies, this scenario could account for some fraction of the high-mass red disk population.

5.3 Looking forward: developing a model to reproduce observations

Through observations of the evolution of the red disk population since $z = 1$, we have deduced that massive galaxies are more likely to both enter a red disk phase and subsequently exit the stage via a morphological transformation, while low mass disks which enter a passive stage are more likely to remain in that phase than continue their evolution. To quantify and verify this interpretation would require further work, such as a semi-analytical model which could reproduce these observations given parameters describing the rate of occurrences of the different evolutionary pathways shown in Figure 10. A complete model is beyond the scope of this work, but an example of a simple toy-model approach is given in the appendix. Our simple model was not able to constrain values for all parameters implemented, but it did detect a mass-dependence on the rate at which blue disks transform to red disks, which agrees with our interpretations thus far.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the influence of the passive disk population by measuring the relative abundances of blue disks, red disks, blue ellipticals, and red ellipticals since $z = 1$ using morphological classifications from Galaxy Zoo: Hubble and rest-frame colours from UltraVISTA. Using data from artificially-redshifted FERENGI2 images to quantify the known redshift bias in the GZ classifications, we implemented a correction to the incompleteness in the number of disks and ellipticals detected as a function of redshift. The relative numbers were measured in terms of the fraction of disk galaxies that are red $f_{R|D}$ and the fraction of disk galaxies on the red sequence $f_{D|R}$. Our main conclusions are as follows:

- $f_{R|D}$ and $f_{D|R}$ decrease from $z = 1$ to $z = 0.3$ for massive galaxies, and increase for the least massive galaxies.
- Low mass galaxies which experience a passive disk phase are more likely than massive galaxies to remain disks,

while massive galaxies are more likely to continue their evolution by transforming to passive ellipticals. To quantify and validate this result would require a the implementation of a semi-analytic model.

The data in this paper are the result of the efforts of the Galaxy Zoo Hubble volunteers, without whom none of this work would be possible. Their efforts are individually acknowledged at authors.galaxyzoo.org. Please contact the author(s) to request access to research materials discussed in this paper.

MG, CS, MB, and LF gratefully acknowledge support from the US National Science Foundation Grant AST1413610.

This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation.

This project made heavy use of the Astropy packages in Python (Robitaille et al. 2013), the *seaborn* plotting package (Waskom et al. 2015), and the Tool for OPERations on Catalogues And Tables (TOPCAT), which can be found at www.starlink.ac.uk/topcat/ (Taylor 2005).

Funding for the SDSS and SDSS-II has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, the Max Planck Society, and the Higher Education Funding Council for England. The SDSS website is <http://www.sdss.org/>.

The SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions. The Participating Institutions are the American Museum of Natural History, Astrophysical Institute Potsdam, University of Basel, University of Cambridge, Case Western Reserve University, University of Chicago, Drexel University, Fermilab, the Institute for Advanced Study, the Japan Participation Group, Johns Hopkins University, the Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics, the Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, the Korean Scientist Group, the Chinese Academy of Sciences (LAMOST), Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy (MPIA), the Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics (MPA), New Mexico State University, Ohio State University, University of Pittsburgh, University of Portsmouth, Princeton University, the United States Naval Observatory and the University of Washington.

REFERENCES

- Alonso S., Coldwell G., Lambas D. G., 2013, 10
 Ann H. B., Thakur P., 2005, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 620, 197
 Arnouts S. et al., 2007, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 476, 137
 Athanassoula E., 1992, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 259, 328
 Baldry I. K., Glazebrook K., Brinkmann J., Ivezić Ž., Lupton R. H., Nichol R. C., Szalay A. S., 2004, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 600, 681
 Barden M., Jahnke K., Häußler B., 2008, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 175, 105
 Bekki K., Couch W. J., Shioya Y., 2002, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 577, 651
 Bell E. F., De Jong R. S., 2000, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 312, 497
 Bell E. F. et al., 2004, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 608, 752
 Benson A., Bower R., Frenk C., Lacey C., Baugh C., Cole S., 2003, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 599, 38
 Birnboim Y., Dekel A., 2003, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 345, 349
 Bower R. G., Benson A. J., Malbon R., Helly J. C., Frenk C. S., Baugh C. M., Cole S., Lacey C. G., 2006, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 370, 645
 Brinchmann J., Charlot S., White S. D. M., Tremonti C., Kauffmann G., Heckman T., Brinkmann J., 2004, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 351, 1151
 Bruzual & Charlot, 2003, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 344, 1000
 Bundy K., Fukugita M., Ellis R. S., Targett T. A., Belli S., Kodama T., 2009, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 697, 1369
 Bundy K. et al., 2010, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 719, 1969
 Cassata P. et al., 2008, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 483, L39
 Casteels K. R. V. et al., 2014, *MNRAS*, 445, 1157
 Cattaneo A., Dekel A., Devriendt J., Guiderdoni B., Blaizot J., 2006, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 370, 1651
 Cirasuolo M. et al., 2007, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 380, 585
 Correa C. A., Schaye J., Clauwens B., Bower R. G., Crain R. A., Schaller M., Theuns T., Thob A. C. R., 2017
 Croton D. J. et al., 2006, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 365, 11
 De Lucia G., Springel V., White S. D. M., Croton D., Kauffmann G., 2006, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 366, 499
 Dekel A., Birnboim Y., 2006, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 368, 2
 Deng X.-F., He J.-Z., Wu P., Ding Y.-P., 2009, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 699, 948
 Devour B., Bell E., 2017, 5
 Di Matteo T., Springel V., Hernquist L., 2005, *Nature*, 433, 604
 Domingue D. L., Xu C. K., Jarrett T. H., Cheng Y., 2009, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 695, 1559
 Dressler A., Smail I., Poggianti B. M., Butcher H., Couch W. J., Ellis R. S., Oemler, Jr. A., 1999, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 122, 51
 Franzetti P. et al., 2007, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 465, 711
 Friedli D., Benz W., 1993, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 268
 Galloway M. A. et al., 2015, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 448, 3442
 Giallongo E., Salimbeni S., Menci N., Zamorani G., Fontana A., Dickinson M., Cristiani S., Pozzetti L., 2005, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 622, 116
 Goto T. et al., 2003, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan*, 55, 757
 Governato F. et al., 2009, *Monthly Notices of the Royal*

- Astronomical Society, 398, 312
- Gunn J., Gott J., 1972, *apj*, 176, 1
- Hatton S., Devriendt J. E. G., Ninin S., Bouchet F. R., Guiderdoni B., Vibert D., 2003, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 343, 75
- Hawarden T. G., Mountain C. M., Leggett S. K., Puxley P. J., 1986, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 221, 41P
- Ho L. C., Filippenko A. V., Sargent W. L. W., 1997
- Hopkins P. F. et al., 2010a, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 715, 202
- Hopkins P. F. et al., 2010b, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 724, 915
- Hughes T. M., Cortese L., 2009, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters*, 396, L41
- Ichikawa A., Matsuoka Y., 2017, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 843, L7
- Ilbert O. et al., 2013, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 556, A55
- Kannappan S. J., 2004, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 611, 89
- Kauffmann G. et al., 2003, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 341, 54
- Kaviraj S., Schawinski K., Silk J., Shabala S. S., 2011, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 415, 3798
- Kormendy J., Kennicutt R. C., 2004, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 42, 603
- Larson R. B., Tinsley B. M. and Caldwell C., 1980, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 237, 692
- Lee G.-H., Woo J.-H., Lee M. G., Hwang H. S., Lee J. C., Sohn J., Lee J. H., 2012, 13
- Lilly S. et al., 1998, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 500, 75
- Loveday J. et al., 2011
- Maller A. H., Katz N., Kereš D., Davé R., Weinberg D. H., 2006
- Martin D. C. et al., 2005, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 619, L1
- Martin D. C. et al., 2007, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 173, 342
- Masters K. L. et al., 2010, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 405, 783
- Masters K. L. et al., 2011, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 411, 2026
- McCracken H. J. et al., 2012, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 544, A156
- Mignoli M. et al., 2009, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 493, 39
- Molina J., Ibar E., Swinbank A. M., Sobral D., Best P. N., Smail I., Escala A., Cirasuolo M., 2016, *MNRAS*, 000, 1
- Moran S. M., Ellis R. S., Treu T., Salim S., Rich R. M., Smith G. P., Kneib J.-P., 2006, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 641, L97
- Morselli L., Renzini A., Popesso P., Erfanianfar G., 2016, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 462, 2355
- Negroponte J., White S. D. M., 1983, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 205, 1009
- Oh S., Oh K., Yi S. K., 2012, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 198, 4
- Patton D. R., Atfield J. E., 2008, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 685, 235
- Peng Y., Maiolino R., Cochrane R., 2015, *Nature*, 521, 192
- Peng Y.-j. et al., 2010, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 721, 193
- Planck Collaboration et al., 2015
- Poggianti B. M., Smail I., Dressler A., Couch W. J., Barger A. J., Butcher H., Ellis R. S., Oemler, Jr. A., 1999, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 518, 576
- Quilis V., Moore B., Bower R., 2000, *Science*, 288, 1617
- Robertson B., Bullock J. S., Cox T. J., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., Springel V., Yoshida N., 2006, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 645, 986
- Robitaille T. P. et al., 2013, *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 558, A33
- Robotham A. S. G. et al., 2014, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 444, 3986
- Salim S. et al., 2005, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 619, L39
- Scarlata C. et al., 2007, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 172, 406
- Schawinski K., Thomas D., Sarzi M., Maraston C., Kaviraj S., Joo S.-J., Yi S. K., Silk J., 2007, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 382, 1415
- Schawinski K. et al., 2014, 21
- Schweizer F., 1982, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 252, 455
- Schweizer F., Seitzer P., Faber S. M., Burstein D., Dalle Ore C. M., Gonzalez J. J., 1990, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 364, L33
- Scoville N. et al., 2007, in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, Vol. 943, AIP, pp. 221–228
- Sellwood J. A., Wilkinson A., 1993, *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 56, 173
- Shlosman I., Frank J., Begelman M. C., 1989, *Nature*, 338, 45
- Skrutskie M. F. et al., 2006, *The Astronomical Journal*, 131, 1163
- Smethurst R. J., Lintott C. J., Bamford S. P., Hart R. E., Kruk S. J., Masters K. L., Nichol R. C., Simmons B. D., 2017
- Smethurst R. J. et al., 2016, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 463, 2986
- Somerville R. S., Hopkins P. F., Cox T. J., Robertson B. E., Hernquist L., 2008, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 391, 481
- Sparre M., Springel V., 2017, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 470, 3946
- Springel V., Di Matteo T., Hernquist L., 2005, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 620, L79
- Springel V., Hernquist L., 2005, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 622, L9
- Steinhauser D., Schindler S., Springel V., 2016
- Strateva I. et al., 2001, *The Astronomical Journal*, 122, 1861
- Taylor M. B., 2005, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 347, p. 29
- Toomre A., 1977, *Evolution of Galaxies and Stellar Populations*
- Tully R. B., Brent R., 1987, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 321, 280
- van den Bergh S., 1976, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 206, 883
- van Dokkum P. G. et al., 2006, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 638, L59
- Waskom M. et al., 2015
- Willett K. W. et al., 2016, 32
- Wyder T. K. et al., 2007
- Xu C. K., Sun Y. C., He X. T., 2004, *The Astrophysical*

Journal, 603, L73

Xu C. K., Zhao Y., Scoville N., Capak P., Drory N., Gao
Y., 2012, The Astrophysical Journal, 747, 85

APPENDIX A: TOY-MODEL APPROACH TO CONSTRAIN RATES OF DIFFERENT EVOLUTIONARY PATHWAYS

Our observations of the fractional evolution of different morphological and activity types of galaxies since $z = 1$ are well-suited for the implementation of a model to constrain the frequencies of different evolutionary pathways. Here we present our preliminary attempt at a toy model used to reproduce our observations, given different sets of input parameters. This is intended to be a suggested starting point for the further development of a sophisticated, semi-analytic model to describe and constrain potential rates of quenching and morphological evolution.

We implement a simple toy model to track the change in $f_{R|D}$ and $f_{D|R}$, given a range of parameters representing the quenching and morphological transformation rates for galaxies at fixed stellar mass. We begin by considering the rate of change in the number of blue disks (dN_{BD}/dt), red disks (dN_{RD}/dt), and red ellipticals (dN_{RE}/dt). In a given mass bin, the change in numbers for each population will depend on several parameters, illustrated visually in Figure 10.

A0.1 Blue Disks

First, galaxies in a blue bin may transition into a red disk bin via a quenching process that does not destroy its disk; we define this rate as $r_{BD \rightarrow RD}$, representing the fraction of blue galaxies to transition to red disks per Gyr (path A in Figure 10). Blue galaxies may also exit a bin via a quenching process which *does* destroy the disk; this fraction per Gyr we define as $r_{BD \rightarrow RE}$ (path C in Figure 10).

The number of galaxies in a blue disk bin will also change due to star formation, which brings active galaxies from a lower mass bin into the current mass bin. To account for this term we use the formalism outlined by Peng et al. (2010), in which this rate of change is given by $(\alpha + \beta)sSFR$. Here $\alpha = d\phi_{blue}/dm$ is the derivative of the mass function for blue galaxies, which equates to $\alpha = (1 + \alpha_s) - m/M^*$ for a mass function described by the Schechter (1976) function. We use best-fit parameters for blue galaxies measured by Ichikawa & Matsuoka (2017), which give $\alpha_s = -1.4$ and $M^* = 10.28$ ($\log(M/M_\odot)$). Following the method of Peng et al. (2010), we let $\beta = 0$, both for simplicity, and because their conclusions found not to be strongly dependent on β . Last, the specific star-formation rate is given by $sSFR(t) = 2.5(\frac{t}{3.5 \text{ Gyr}})^{-2.2} \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$ (Peng et al. 2010).

Accounting for all sources and sinks of blue disks entering or exiting a bin of given mass, the rate of change of blue disks can be written fully as:

$$\left. \frac{dN_{BD}}{dt} \right|_m = \left(-r_{BD \rightarrow RD} - r_{BD \rightarrow RE} - \alpha(m)sSFR(t) \right) N_{BD} \quad (\text{A1})$$

A0.2 Red Disks

Galaxies exiting a blue bin as they quenched without disrupting their disks enter the pool of red disks, increasing N_{RD} for a given mass bin. Red disks also may undergo a morphological transformation, depleting the pool of red

disks as they enter the red elliptical bin (path B in Figure 10). The fraction of galaxies to undergo this pathway per Gyr is denoted as $r_{RD \rightarrow RE}$. Combining these factors gives the expression:

$$\left. \frac{dN_{RD}}{dt} \right|_m = +r_{BD \rightarrow RD}N_{BD} - r_{RD \rightarrow RE}N_{RD} \quad (\text{A2})$$

A0.3 Red Ellipticals

In this simple model, it is assumed that red, passive ellipticals are the final state in a typical galaxy's evolution. Therefore N_{RE} will always be increasing from the transformation from blue disks and red disks to red ellipticals ($r_{BD \rightarrow RE}$, $r_{RD \rightarrow RE}$). However, the number of red ellipticals in a single mass bin may still decrease due to ellipticals at the given mass merging to enter a bin of red ellipticals at a higher mass. Similarly, their number can increase as ellipticals from a lower mass bin merge to enter the current mass bin. A complete, semi-analytic model would consider this full range of possibilities and couple the resulting equations appropriately amongst all mass bins. For the purposes of this simple model, we opted to represent the total, net rate of change of the number of red ellipticals as a single parameter, κ_{RE} , which we note may be positive or negative, depending on whether more ellipticals are entering or leaving the given mass bin.

$$\left. \frac{dN_{RE}}{dt} \right|_m = \kappa_{RE}N_{RE} \quad (\text{A3})$$

We exclude the contribution from blue ellipticals from the model for maximum simplicity, and because they represent only a small fraction of the total population at most masses. A complete version of the model would include this population. We initialize our model using the observed relative numbers of blue disks, red disks, and red ellipticals measured at $z = 1$, then use the model to compute their evolution to $z = 0.3$ using a range of values for each of the four parameters in four mass bins. For $r_{BD \rightarrow RD}$, $r_{BD \rightarrow RE}$, and $r_{RD \rightarrow RE}$, we test 25 values between 0 and 1, and 25 values between -1 and 1 for κ_{RE} . We note that a complete model would explore time-varying rates, but for the purposes of simplicity in our toy-model we only experiment with static parameters. For each mass bin, the model was implemented for each permutation of the four rate parameters. The success of each run was evaluated using a χ^2 metric; these results are shown for each mass bin in the corner-plot in Figure A1. The bins are weighted by $1/\chi^2$, such that white regions represent the rate parameters that yield the lowest χ^2 , and black representing the largest.

We find a strong mass dependence on the fraction of blue galaxies to quench to red disks ($r_{BD \rightarrow RD}$), or Path A in Figure 10. Our observations of $f_{R|D}$ and $f_{D|R}$ are most closely reproduced when $r_{BD \rightarrow RD} = [0.05, 0.07, 0.1, 0.2] \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$ for masses $\log(M/M_\odot) = [10.25, 10.55, 10.85, 11.0]$. These values for $r_{BD \rightarrow RD}$ correspond to the peaks of the 1-D histograms shown in Figure A1. This increase of $r_{BD \rightarrow RD}$ with mass could suggest either: 1) more massive galaxies are more likely to undergo quenching processes which do not destroy their disks, or 2) less massive galaxies simply quench less frequently overall, via any pathway.




Figure A1. Results of the grid-search for the best-fit rate parameters $r_{BD \rightarrow RD}$, $r_{BD \rightarrow RE}$, $r_{RD \rightarrow RE}$, and κ_{RE} for four mass bins. The units for all rate parameters is Gyr^{-1} . 25 equally-spaced values were tested between (0,1) for each parameter, with the exception of κ_{RE} which was tested for 25 values between (-1,1); these are represented by the 25 bins on each axis. Each bin is weighted by $1/\chi^2$, such that white regions correspond to parameters which produced the lowest χ^2 , and black representing the highest. There is a strong result in the dependence of $r_{BD \rightarrow RD}$ with mass, such that the fraction of blue disks which transition to red disks (ie, quench without disrupting the disk), increases for more massive galaxies. The other parameters are less constrained by this model; therefore a more complex semi-analytic model will be necessary for obtaining the precise values of these rates, and is the subject of future work.

Analysis of the next parameter in the low mass bin, $r_{BD \rightarrow RE}$, suggests that the former is more likely, given the peak of $r_{BD \rightarrow RE}$ at $> 0.9 \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$. The high rate of low-mass blue disks quenching to red ellipticals is evidence that they do not quench any less frequently than high mass galaxies, and the increase of $r_{BD \rightarrow RD}$ with mass is indeed consistent with quenching processes less likely to destroy the disk of massive galaxies. However, this result is not nearly as constrained, given the broad distribution of likelihoods for this parameter. $r_{BD \rightarrow RE}$ is even less constrained for all higher masses. The degeneracies evident in this rate and

$r_{RD \rightarrow RE}$ make it clear that our model is not sufficient to constrain the relative frequencies of the processes involved in quenching and morphological transformations; a more sophisticated model with the adjustments we have described thus far would be necessary to paint the full picture.