

Peer review 1 of Olle Lauri Boström

By Mikael Melander

Background

The background is described well and in a way that is easy to understand. It gives the reader a good understanding of the reasons and the purpose for the new technology that is the target of research for the project.

You also base the claims upon references that support the background description.

Related work

Contains no relevant text, just the dummy text that came with the document template?

Problem formulation

I think that the problem is well explained. You mention that there is a lot of people interested in the solution presented and that you are supposed to examine the claims mentioned about the solution. It shows that it's relevant.

You explain how the project will be conducted and what you expect as the outcome.

Although you mention a bit of the scope within the problem formulation, information you bring up in the scope section of the report as well.

Motivation

Your motivation is relevant and builds upon the earlier parts.

Although your motivation refers to related work as an example, but related work is as mentioned above not containing any information. It would help support some of the claims about the lack of previous research.

Towards the end of the motivation section some of the sentences are structured a bit weird.

"...This is could to be of interest..."

".... when consider using..."

Maybe an explanation for OpenCV should be mentioned since it's used a lot?

Scope

Your scope is based on a reference that explain what parts of web services that should benefit from the new implementation. You explain in a good way how you came to the conclusion of what to test and not, as well as your reasons for doing so. The scope you have selected seems relevant.

Target group

The target group is well explained and motivated. It's wide enough to support the reason to conduct the project.

References

The structure and the references is correctly used.

Overall

The report has small errors and maybe some extra explanation of some of the words and techniques could be used. The missing part of related work also needs to be included. But overall it's well written and has clear goals of why it should be conducted as well as a good idée of how to do it.