# $\begin{array}{c} \text{Appendix } S_{-} \\ \text{Estimating Induced Mutation Rate} \end{array}$

### Nomenclature

The parental generation (unmutagenized) is designated as  $M_0$ . Seed from the  $M_0$  is collected, and treated with radiation. The seeds are then grown up into individuals, which are designated as the  $M_1$  generation. Individuals in the  $M_1$  are then carried by single-seed descent to the  $M_2$  generation. For brevity, inbred lines derived from the initial mutagenesis event will be referred to as  $M_{t+1}$ , where t refers to the number of generations of single-seed descent since the mutagenesis event.

Similarly, for genetic transformation and tissue culture (GTTC) lines, individuals are labeled as  $T_t$ . However, in the case of GTTC, the initial transformant is labeled as  $T_0$ . Therefore, t in the case of GTTC refers to the number of generations since transformation, and not 1+generations, as in the case of mutagenesis.

A schematic showing the labeling of generations with relation to the timing of mutagenesis or transformation is shown in the leftmost column of Figure A1.

## Accounting for Spontaneous Mutations

To estimate the rate of induced mutation, we first need to estimate the number of spontaneous mutations that would have accumulated over the course of inbreeding. This is dependent on the per-base pair mutation rate, the size of the genome, and the number of previously-accumulated mutations.

Figure A1 displays expectations for the number of mutations in various generations that are derived from spontaneous mutations since the mutatgenesis or transformation even. The expressions given rely on several assumptions:

- Back-mutation rate  $(\nu)$  is 0
- Each new mutation occurs at a different site (Infinite sites)
- Parental generation is homogenous and homozygous at every site
- Every site mutates with equal probability
- No linkage between sites
- New mutations are inherited with equal probability

Let new mutations arise at a rate  $\mu$  per haploid site per generation in a genome with C haploid sites, and individuals reproduce by self-fertilization. Under this scenario, the

Generation		Heterozygous Mutations	Homozygous Mutations	
_	$M_0$	0		utagenesis or ansformation
$\mathrm{T}_0$	$M_1$	$2\mu C$	0	Event
$T_1$	$M_2$	$\frac{1}{2}(2\mu C) + 2\mu C$	$\frac{1}{4}(2\mu C)$	
$\mathrm{T}_2$	$M_3$	$\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}(2\mu C) + 2\mu C\right] + 2\mu C$	$\frac{1}{4}(2\mu C) + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{2}(2\mu C) + 2\mu C \right]$	
$\mathrm{T}_t$	$\mathrm{M}_t$	$2\mu C \sum_{1}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t-1}$	$\frac{1}{4}2\mu C\sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\sum_{j=1}^{i}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j-1}$	
		$\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Het}_{t-1}) + 2\mu C$	$\operatorname{Hom}_{t-1} + \frac{1}{4}(\operatorname{Het}_{t-1})$	

Figure A1: The expected number of new spontaneous mutations in the heterozygous or homozygous states in the generations following mutagenesis or genetic transformation. New mutations arise in the heterozygous state at a rate of  $2\mu C$  bp<sup>-1</sup>gen<sup>-1</sup>. One half of the heterozygous mutations remain heterozygous in the follow generation, and one quarter become homozygous. The last quarter are lost. See main text for assumptions and details.

parental generation starts off with 0 spontaneous mutations. The next generation is a product of one meiotic event and thus undergoes one iteration of spontaneous mutation. All of the new mutations that arise are heterozygous. The result is that the next generation carries  $2\mu C$  heterozygous mutations and 0 homozygous mutations. When the next generation is produced, there will be not only self-fertilization, but another iteration of spontaneous mutation. One half of the presently heterzygous mutations will remain heterozygous, and one quarter will become homozygous. Adding to the new mutations that arose, the next generation will have  $\frac{1}{2}(2\mu C) + 2\mu C$  heterozygous mutations, and  $\frac{1}{4}(2\mu C)$  homozygous mutations. Recusion expressions and summation expressions are given in the final row of Figure A1.

For estimating the number of specific mutational classes (e.g., C:G mutations), it is necessary to adjust the mutation rate parameter ( $\mu$ ) and the genome size parameter (C) to reflect the mutational target.

## **Induced Mutation Rate**

To acheieve maximum confidence in variant calls, we focused only on identifying homozygous variants in our sample (See main text methods). However, when mutations enter the population (either spontaneously, or through mutagenesis), they enter in the heterozygous stage. Because of this, we need to back-calculate the inferred number of induced heterozygous mutations from the number of observed homozygous mutations, some generations later.

To do this, we apply a scaling factor, since the number of observed homozygous mutations is proportional to the number of induced heterozygous mutations. Self-fertilization reduces the heterozygosity by half each generation. As  $t \to \infty$ , the proportion of observed homozygous mutations will approach  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the induced heterozygous mutations, and this represents an upper bound. Therefore, the scaling factor is  $\left[\frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t+1}\right]^{-1}$ .

To estimate the rate of mutation induction, we used the observed number of homozygous mutations in mutagenized lines, the number of generations they had been maintained by single-seed descent, and the portion of the assayed genome to estimate the number of induced heterozygous mutations. Let S be the number of observed homozygous variants in a line that has been maintained by t generations of single-seed descent, and that C haploid base pairs have been assayed. Further, let  $\mu$  be the haploid per-base pair per-generation rate of spontaneous mutation. Then

$$\frac{S - \frac{1}{4}2\mu C \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{j-1}}{C\left[\frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{t+1}\right]}$$

is the estimated rate of heterozygous mutation induction.

#### Numerical Estimates

For estimating the number of spontaneous mutations in each generation, we used estimates of  $\mu$  from Ossowski et al. 2010. Since the version of the A. thaliana reference assembly was not reported, we used genome size and base content of the TAIR9 assembly (June 2009) to convert reported per-genome mutation rates into per-base pair mutation rates. Our values for the C parameter were based on mapping of short-read sequencing data to the Glycine max V1 reference assembly (Schmutz et al. 2010) and applying alignment filtering critera. See the main text methods for details on sequence data handling.

R scripts to perform the mutation rate estimation are available at [LINK].