1 Derivation RBM negative phase

The 'cost function' of the RBM is given by the Kullback-Leibler divergence,

$$C_{\lambda} = D_{KL}(q||p_{\lambda}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{v}} q(\boldsymbol{v}) \log \left(\frac{q(\boldsymbol{v})}{p_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v})} \right) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{v}} q(\boldsymbol{v}) \log(q(\boldsymbol{v})) - \sum_{\boldsymbol{v}} q(\boldsymbol{v}) \log(p_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v}))$$
(1)

The first term of the last equation is the Shannon entropy of the data distribution and is not dependend on the model paramters λ and will therefore not matter if we take the derivative with respect to these parameters. The second term of the last equation can be rewritten as,

$$-\sum_{\boldsymbol{v}} q(\boldsymbol{v}) \log(p_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v})) = -\langle \log(p_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v})) \rangle_{q(\boldsymbol{v})}, \tag{2}$$

which is the expectation value of the log-likelihood of $p_{\lambda}(\mathbf{v})$ w.r.t. the data distribution $q(\mathbf{v})$. The calculation can be simplified, by just maximizing the negative log-likelihood. Which means nothing else than making the training data the most likeli. The gradient of the likelihood (resp. KL-divergence) of a single training example \mathbf{v} reads:

$$-\nabla_{\lambda} \log(p_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v})) = \nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v}) + \nabla_{\lambda} \log(Z_{\lambda}) = \nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v}) + \frac{1}{Z_{\lambda}} \nabla_{\lambda} \sum_{\boldsymbol{v}',\boldsymbol{h}} e^{-E(\boldsymbol{v}',\boldsymbol{h})} =$$
(3)

$$\nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v}) - \frac{1}{Z_{\lambda}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{v}',\boldsymbol{h}} e^{-E(\boldsymbol{v}',\boldsymbol{h})} \nabla_{\lambda} E(\boldsymbol{v}',\boldsymbol{h}) = \nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v}) - \sum_{\boldsymbol{v}',\boldsymbol{h}} p_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v}',\boldsymbol{h}) \nabla_{\lambda} E(\boldsymbol{v}',\boldsymbol{h})$$

$$(4)$$

Like in normal neural networks we would like to calculate the derivative for all data v. But this is generally not feasible and we calculate it for batches \mathcal{D} of the data distribution q(v). It is very important to note, that the second term of the last equation is already summed over all v'. Therefore this part is already averaged over all possible configurations v and $\frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{D}}$ cancels for this part.

$$-\nabla_{\lambda}\langle\log(p_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v}))\rangle_{q(\boldsymbol{v})} \approx \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{\boldsymbol{v}\in\mathcal{D}} \nabla_{\lambda}\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v}) - \sum_{\boldsymbol{v}',\boldsymbol{h}} p_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v}',\boldsymbol{h})\nabla_{\lambda}E(\boldsymbol{v}',\boldsymbol{h})$$
(5)

In the second term we can again contract the variable h and we get:

$$-\nabla_{\lambda} \langle \log(p_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v})) \rangle_{q(\boldsymbol{v})} \approx \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{D}} \nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v}) - \sum_{\boldsymbol{v}} p_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{v}) \nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{v}) =$$
(6)

$$\langle \nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}(v) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(v)} - \langle \nabla_{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_{\lambda}(v) \rangle_{p_{\lambda}(v)}$$
 (7)