

Notes on SSE for Rydberg

Isaac De Vlugt

March 5, 2020

1 Introduction

This is motivated by our need to make an SSE for the Rydberg hamiltonian.

$$H = -\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^x - h \sum_{i=1}^n n_i - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} V_{ij} n_i n_j$$

Here, n_i is simply an occupation number (i.e. either 0 or 1). We can therefore trivially map this to spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ with $n_i = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i^z + \mathbb{1})$. The hamiltonian then becomes the following.

$$\begin{aligned} H &= -\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^x - \frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\sigma_i^z + \mathbb{1}) - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} V_{ij} (\sigma_i^z + \mathbb{1}) (\sigma_j^z + \mathbb{1}) \\ &= -\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^x - \frac{h}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\sigma_i^z + \mathbb{1}) - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} V_{ij} (\sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z + \sigma_i^z + \sigma_j^z + \mathbb{1}) \end{aligned}$$

This is extremely similar to a LTFIM (general J_{ij} for all pairs, assuming $J_{ij} > 0 \quad \forall \quad i, j$ as in the Rydberg case since $V_{ij} = \frac{C}{R_{ij}^6}$).

$$H = -\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^x - h \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^z + \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_{ij} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z \quad \text{LTFIM}$$

In the SSE formalism, we can decompose the Rydberg hamiltonian similarly to how we would for a regular TFIM as follows. Note that we will ignore the shift of $Nh/2$ in the Rydberg hamiltonian. To calculate observables, this can simply be added back after the fact.

$$\begin{aligned} H_{0,0} &= \mathbb{1} \\ H_{-1,a} &= \Omega \sigma_i^x \\ H_{0,a} &= \frac{h}{2} (\sigma_i^z + \mathbb{1}) \\ H_{1,a} &= V_{ij} (\sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z + \sigma_i^z + \sigma_j^z + \mathbb{1}) \end{aligned}$$

Also note that in the vanilla TFIM SSE formalism, the decomposition of the hamiltonian had $H_{0,a} = \Omega$ instead, which was convenient simply for cluster forming / flipping in the off-diagonal update procedure since the only non-zero matrix element of $H_{0,a}$ and $H_{-1,a}$ are both equal to Ω . In the Rydberg hamiltonian, their only non-zero matrix element is $2h$ and Ω , respectively. It's not obvious to me how to make these matrix elements equal to each other... I think it's not possible unless some other decomposition of the hamiltonian is thought of... Even then I'm not sure that it is possible.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \uparrow | H_{-1,a} | \downarrow \rangle &= \langle \downarrow | H_{-1,a} | \uparrow \rangle = \Omega \\ \langle \uparrow | H_{0,a} | \uparrow \rangle &= h \\ \langle \uparrow \uparrow | H_{1,a} | \uparrow \uparrow \rangle &= 4V_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

All other matrix elements are zero. Notice that there are two less non-zero matrix elements than in the regular TFIM SSE formalism. So, the importance sampling of operators should be an easier task.

2 Diagonal Updates (Finite T)

The diagonal update proceeds similarly to that for the regular TFIM. Traverse the list of all M operators in the propagator sequence, ignore off-diagonal operators (still only the $H_{-1,a}$ operator), and perform this MH procedure.

1. If a diagonal operator is encountered ($H_{0,a}$ or $H_{1,a}$), remove it with probability

$$P = \min \left(\frac{M - n + 1}{\beta [Nh + 4 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} V_{ij}]}, 1 \right)$$

2. If the null operator, $H_{0,0}$, is encountered, insert a diagonal operator with the following procedure.

- Decide whether or not to insert a diagonal operator with the probability

$$P = \min \left(\frac{\beta [Nh + 4 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} V_{ij}]}{M - n}, 1 \right).$$

- If it was decided to insert a diagonal operator, choose the operator to insert with probabilities

$$\begin{aligned}P_{H_{0,a}} &= \frac{Nh}{Nh + 4 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} V_{ij}}, \\ P_{H_{1,a}} &= \frac{4 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} V_{ij}}{Nh + 4 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} V_{ij}}.\end{aligned}$$

- If a site operator is chosen (i.e. it was decided to insert $H_{0,a}$), then choose a random site (probability $1/N$) to put the operator. If the chosen site is not \uparrow , reject the insertion altogether.

If a bond operator is chosen ($H_{1,a}$), then choose a random bond (k, l) to put the operator with probability

$$P = \frac{V_{kl}}{\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} V_{ij}}.$$

If the chosen bond has spins that are anti-parallel or both spin-down, reject the insertion altogether.

3 Off-Diagonal Updates and Forming Loops

Loop formation rules should follow the TFIM SSE loop formation rules. We can perform off-diagonal updates in the same way, as well (I think!): form loops, flip them with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ (vanilla SW procedure). Since the applicability of the SW procedure to a MC simulation only cares about if we can write the partition function as a sum of weighted cluster configurations (exactly what SSE is!) that are independent of each other (i.e. clusters don't "overlap"), then flipping said clusters with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ (or, really, any probability if you're feeling fancy) is totally fine.