R Notebook

Code ▼

Hide

```
#Loading and cleaning the data
attach(breast.cancer.wisconsin)

#Missing Value check and Solution
breast.cancer.wisconsin$V7 <- replace(breast.cancer.wisconsin$V7, breast.cancer.wisconsin$V7
== "?", NA)
breast.cancer.wisconsin$V7 <- as.integer(breast.cancer.wisconsin$V7)

breast_cancer_wisconsin<-na.omit(breast.cancer.wisconsin)</pre>
```

Hide

```
#Descriptive Dataset's Info
#Class Label- 2 - Benign and 4- Malignant
names(breast_cancer_wisconsin)<-c('ID', 'C_Thickness','UCSize', 'UCShape', 'M_Adhesion', 'Sin
gle_ECSize', 'Bare_Nuclei', 'Bland_Chromatin', 'Normal_Nucleoli', 'Mitoses', 'Class_Label')
#Encondings of Class Label
breast_cancer_wisconsin$Class_Label<-ifelse(breast_cancer_wisconsin$Class_Label== 2, 0, 1)
breast_cancer_wisconsin$Class_Label</pre>
```

```
 \begin{smallmatrix} [67] \end{smallmatrix} 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 \\ \end{smallmatrix}
[133] 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0
[166] 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0
[232] 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0
[265] 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1
[397] 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0
[463] 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0
[562] 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1
[595] 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0
[628] 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0
[661] 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1
```

Hide

```
#Divide the data into training(80%) and testing(20%)
breast_cancer_wisconsin$Class_Label <- factor(breast_cancer_wisconsin$Class_Label)</pre>
#a) Divide the data into training and testing sets
library(caret)
set.seed(1) # for reproducibility
# Create a vector of row indices
rows <- 1:nrow(breast_cancer_wisconsin)</pre>
# Randomly sample 80% of the row indices for the training set
training_rows <- sample(rows, floor(0.8 * length(rows)))</pre>
# The remaining rows are for the testing set
testing_rows <- setdiff(rows, training_rows)</pre>
training_data <- breast_cancer_wisconsin[training_rows, ]</pre>
testing data <- breast cancer wisconsin[-training rows, ]
# Create X.train and X.test data frames that exclude the class label
X.train <- training_data[, -which(names(training_data) == "Class_Label")]</pre>
X.test <- testing_data[, -which(names(testing_data) == "Class_Label")]</pre>
Y.train <- training_data$Class_Label
Y.test <- testing_data$Class_Label
#b) Division Verification in number of Examples
cat("Number of examples in training data:", nrow(training_data), "\n")
Number of examples in training data: 546
                                                                                              Hide
cat("Number of examples in testing data:", nrow(testing_data), "\n")
Number of examples in testing data: 137
                                                                                              Hide
library(e1071)
#1- svm(): build an SVM
# kernel="linear": support vector classifier
# cost argument: allows specifying the cost of a violation to the margin
# Small cost value => wider margin
# scale=FALSE: not scale each feature to have mean zero or standard deviation one
svmfit_a.linear=svm(Class_Label~., data=training_data, kernel="linear")
                                                                                              Hide
# Basic information about the SV classifier
summary(svmfit_a.linear)
```

```
Call:
svm(formula = Class_Label ~ ., data = training_data, kernel = "linear")
Parameters:
  SVM-Type: C-classification
SVM-Kernel: linear
       cost: 1
Number of Support Vectors: 37
 (18 19)
Number of Classes: 2
Levels:
 0 1
                                                                                            Hide
# Testing the model on the test set
ypred_a.linear=predict(svmfit_a.linear,testing_data)
table(predict=ypred_a.linear, truth=Y.test)
       truth
predict 0 1
      0 94 2
      1 3 38
                                                                                            Hide
#a) model a performance
test_a_linear_Accuracy<-mean(ypred_a.linear==Y.test)</pre>
cat("Test_a Accuracy:", test_a_linear_Accuracy, "\n")
Test_a Accuracy: 0.9635036
                                                                                            Hide
#b) tune(): perform cross-validation
set.seed(1)
tune.out.linear=tune(svm,Class Label~.,data=training data,kernel="linear",
              ranges=list(cost=c(0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1,5,10,100)))
                                                                                            Hide
# CV error for each model
summary(tune.out.linear)
```

Parameter tuning of 'svm':

- sampling method: 10-fold cross validation

- best parameters:

cost <dbl>
0.01
1 row

1 1000

- best performance: 0.02558923

- Detailed performance results:

dispersio	error	cost
<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>	<dbl></dbl>
0.0286778	0.04757576	1e-03
0.02135564	0.02558923	1e-02
0.0287489	0.02740741	1e-01
0.0245170	0.02925926	1e+00
0.0259720	0.02925926	5e+00
0.0259720	0.02925926	1e+01
0.0295928	0.03296296	1e+02

NA

Hide

Obtain the best model automatically
bestmod.linear=tune.out.linear\$best.model
summary(bestmod.linear)

```
Call:
best.tune(METHOD = svm, train.x = Class_Label ~ ., data = training_data,
    ranges = list(cost = c(0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 5, 10, 100)),
    kernel = "linear")
Parameters:
  SVM-Type: C-classification
SVM-Kernel: linear
       cost: 0.01
Number of Support Vectors: 91
(45 46)
Number of Classes: 2
Levels:
 0 1
                                                                                           Hide
# predict(): predict the class label on a set of test observations, at any given
# value of the cost parameter
ypred_b.linear=predict(bestmod.linear, testing_data)
table(predict=ypred_b.linear, truth=Y.test)
      truth
predict 0 1
     0 94 3
      1 3 37
                                                                                           Hide
#model b performance
test_b_linear_Accuracy<-mean(ypred_b.linear==Y.test)</pre>
cat("Test_b Accuracy:", test_b_linear_Accuracy, "\n")
Test_b Accuracy: 0.9562044
                                                                                           Hide
#c)Comparison between linear a and b: Looking at model A and B performance on the test data,
both had similar accuracies on the test data, with the model using cost 1 (defaut) achieved a
slightly higher accuracy than the model using cost 0.01.
                                                                                           Hide
```

svmfit_a.radial=svm(Class_Label~., data=training_data, kernel="radial")

kernel="radial": support vector classifier

#2- svm(): build an SVM

```
Hide
# Basic information about the SV classifier
summary(svmfit_a.radial)
Call:
svm(formula = Class_Label ~ ., data = training_data, kernel = "radial")
Parameters:
  SVM-Type: C-classification
SVM-Kernel: radial
       cost: 1
Number of Support Vectors: 77
 (29 48)
Number of Classes: 2
Levels:
0 1
                                                                                           Hide
# Testing the model on the test set
ypred_a.radial=predict(svmfit_a.radial,testing_data)
table(predict=ypred_a.radial, truth=Y.test)
      truth
predict 0 1
     0 94 3
      1 3 37
                                                                                           Hide
#a) model a performance
test a radial Accuracy<-mean(ypred a.radial==Y.test)</pre>
cat("Test_a Accuracy:", test_a_radial_Accuracy, "\n")
Test_a Accuracy: 0.9562044
                                                                                           Hide
```

Hide

CV error for each model
summary(tune.out.radial)

Parameter tuning of 'svm':

- sampling method: 10-fold cross validation

- best parameters:

				cost <dbl></dbl>
				1
1 row				

- best performance: 0.03289562
- Detailed performance results:

cost <dbl></dbl>	error <dbl></dbl>	dispersion <dbl></dbl>
1e-03	0.36437710	0.07304989
1e-02	0.04033670	0.03435216
1e-01	0.03481481	0.03919767
1e+00	0.03289562	0.03520985
5e+00	0.04393939	0.03365179
1e+01	0.04764310	0.03247922
1e+02	0.05134680	0.03323825
7 rows		

NA

Hide

Obtain the best model automatically
bestmod.radial=tune.out.radial\$best.model
summary(bestmod.radial)

```
Call:
best.tune(METHOD = svm, train.x = Class_Label ~ ., data = training_data,
    ranges = list(cost = c(0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 5, 10, 100)),
    kernel = "radial")

Parameters:
    SVM-Type: C-classification
SVM-Kernel: radial
    cost: 1

Number of Support Vectors: 77

( 29 48 )

Number of Classes: 2

Levels:
    0 1
```

Hide

```
# predict(): predict the class label on a set of test observations, at any given
# value of the cost parameter
ypred_b.radial=predict(bestmod.radial, testing_data)
table(predict=ypred_b.radial, truth=Y.test)
```

```
truth
predict 0 1
0 94 3
1 3 37
```

Hide

```
#model b performance
test_b_radial_Accuracy<-mean(ypred_b.radial==Y.test)
cat("Test_b Accuracy:", test_b_radial_Accuracy, "\n")</pre>
```

```
Test_b Accuracy: 0.9562044
```

Hide

#c)Looking at model A and B performance on the test data, both had equal accuracies on the te st data, with the model A using cost 1 (defaut)and model B using cost 1.

Hide

```
# 3- ROC linear vs Radial
library(ROCR)

#a)Function to plot a ROC curve given a vector containing a numerical score for
# each observation, pred, and a vector containing the class label for each
# observation, truth
rocplot=function(pred, truth, ...){
   predob = prediction(pred, truth, label.ordering = c(1,0))
   perf = performance(predob , "tpr", "fpr")
   plot(perf,...)}

# Performance on test data
fitted1=attributes(predict(svmfit_a.linear,testing_data,decision.values=T))$decision.values
rocplot(fitted1,Y.test, main="Test Data")
fitted2=attributes(predict(bestmod.radial,testing_data,decision.values=T))$decision.values
rocplot(fitted2,Y.test,add=T,col="red")
```



Hide

#b) Comparison performance between linear and radial

#overall looking at the true positive rate, the Linear svm perform better compared to radial kernel although radial only misclassified one less compared to linear.