

# SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS=REPORT

Customer: Membrana

Date: December 9, 2018

Platform: Ethereum
Language: Solidity

This document may contain confidential information about IT systems and intellectual property of the customer as well as information about potential vulnerabilities and methods of their exploitation.

The report containing confidential information can be used internally by the customer or it can be disclosed publicly after all vulnerabilities fixed – upon decision of customer.

# **Document**

Name	Smart Contract Code Secondary Review and Security Analysis Report for Membrana	
Platform	Ethereum / Solidity	
Link	https://github.com/membrana-io/token	
Commit	414d8cb676f286a31d1f76311a872c1ecbb5b279	
Date	09.12.2018	



# Table of contents

Document2
Table of contents3
Introduction4
Scope4
Executive Summary5
Severity Definitions5
AS-IS overview6
Audit overview8
Conclusion9
Disclaimers10
Appendix A. Automated tools reports11

## Introduction

Hacken OÜ (Consultant) was contracted by Membrana (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of Customer's smart contract and its code review on December 9th, 2018.

# Scope

The scope of the project is **Membrana** smart contracts, which can be found on Github by the link below:

# https://github.com/membrana-io/token

We have scanned this smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that are considered (the full list includes them but is not limited to them):

- Reentrancy
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with (Unexpected) Throw
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Byte array vulnerabilities
- Style guide violation
- Transfer forwards all gas
- ERC20 API violation
- Malicious libraries
- Compiler version not fixed
- Unchecked external call Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference
- Implicit visibility level



# **Executive Summary**

According to the assessment, Customer's smart contracts are well-secured.

Insecure	Poor secured	Secure	Well-secured
		You are here 💂	

Our team performed analysis of code functionality, manual audit and automated checks with Mythril, Slither and remix IDE (see Appendix A pic 1-5). All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed and applicable vulnerabilities are presented in Audit overview section. General overview is presented in AS-IS section and all found issues can be found in Audit overview section.

We found 0 vulnerabilities in smart contracts. We also outline 2 code style issues.

# Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description		
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to tokens lose etc.		
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g. public access to crucial functions		
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to tokens lose		
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused etc. code snippets, that can't have significant impact on execution		
Lowest / Code Style / Best Practice	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.		



6

#### AS-IS overview

#### Token contract overview

**Token** contract implements **IToken** interface and describes custom ERC20 token with next parameters:

- name Membrana
- symbol MBN
- decimals 18

#### Token has 3 modifiers:

- controllerOnly checks whether msg.sender is controller.
- releasedOnly checks whether isReleased is true.
- notReleasedOnly checks whether isReleased is false.

#### Token has 8 functions:

- mint is a public function mints specified amount of tokens to specified address. Has controllerOnly and notReleasedOnly modifiers.
- transfer is a public function transfers specified amount of tokens to specified address. Has releasedOnly modifier.
- transferFrom is a public function transfers specified amount of tokens from one specified address to another. Has releasedOnly modifier.
- approve is a public function approves specified amount of tokens to specified address. Has releasedOnly modifier.



- increaseAllowance is a public function increases amount of allowed tokens for specified address. Has releasedOnly modifier.
- decreaseAllowance is a public function decreases amount of allowed token for specified address. Has releasedOnly modifier.
- release is a public function changes isReleased to true.
   Has controllerOnly and notReleasedOnly modifiers.
- **setController** is a public function changes **controller** to specified address. Has **controllerOnly** modifier.

# Audit overview

#### Critical

No critical severity vulnerabilities were found.

# High

No high severity vulnerabilities were found.

#### Medium

No medium severity vulnerabilities were found.

#### Low

No low severity vulnerabilities were found.

# Lowest / Code style / Best Practice

# Code style

- 1. Comma must be separated from next element by space on line 59.
- 2. Use double quotes for string literals on lines: 3, 6, 7, 24, 25, 30, 35, 59, 104.

9

# Conclusion

Smart contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools. For the contract high level description of functionality was presented in As-is overview section of the report.

Audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.

Overall quality of reviewed contracts is good.



10

## **Disclaimers**

## Hacken Disclaimer

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to: cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report, (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment and functionality (performing the intended functions).

The audit makes no statements or warranties on security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bugfree status or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only - we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure security of smart contracts.

#### Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have own vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee explicit security of the audited smart contracts.



# Appendix A. Automated tools reports

#### Pic 1. Slither automated report:

```
max@Hacken:~/solidity/projects/Membrana/contracts$ slither Token.sol
INFO:Detectors:Different version of Solidity used in Token.sol: ['^0.4.24', '0.4.25']
INFO:Detectors:Old version of Solidity used in Token.sol: ['0.4.25']
INFO:Slither:Token.sol analyzed (8 contracts), 2 result(s) found
max@Hacken:~/solidity/projects/Membrana/contracts$
```

#### Pic 2. Mythril automated report:

## Pic 3. Remix IDE automated report part 1:



## Pic 4. Remix IDE automated report part 2:



#### Pic 5. Remix IDE automated report part 3:



