Chapter 1: Rings and Ideals

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai
Email: plover@gmail.com

Exercise 1.1. *Let x be a nilpotent element of A. Show that $1 + x$ is a unit of A. Deduce that the sum of a nilpotent element and a unit is a unit.*

Proof.

(1) Suppose $x^m = 0$ for some odd integer $m \geq 0$. Then

$$1 = 1 + x^m = (1+x)(1 - x + x^2 - \cdots + (-1)^{m-1}x^{m-1}),$$

or $1 + x$ is a unit.

(2) If u is any unit and x is any nilpotent, $u + x = u \cdot (1 + u^{-1}x)$ is a product of two units (using that $u^{-1}x$ is nilpotent and applying (1)) and hence a unit again.

$\square$

Proof (Proposition 1.9).

(1) *The nilradical is a subset of the Jacobson radical.*

    (a) The nilradical $\mathfrak{N}$ of A is the intersection of all the prime ideals of A by Proposition 1.8.

    (b) The Jacobson radical $\mathfrak{J}$ of A is the intersection of all the maximal ideals of A by definition.

(2) By Proposition 1.9, $x \in \mathfrak{J}$ if and only if $1 - xy$ is a unit in A for all $y \in A$. So $1 + x = 1 - (-x) \cdot 1$ is a unit in A since x is a nilpotent and $\mathfrak{J}$ is an ideal.

$\square$

Exercise 1.2. *Let A be a ring and let $A[x]$ be the ring of polynomials in an indeterminate x, with coefficients in A. Let $f = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n \in A[x]$. Prove that*

(i) *f is a unit in $A[x]$ if and only if a_0 is a unit in A and $a_1, ..., a_n$ are nilpotent. (Hint: If $b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_m x^m$ is the inverse of f, prove by induction on r that $a_n^{r+1}b_{m-r} = 0$. Hence show that a_n is nilpotent, and then use Exercise 1.1.)*

(ii) f *is nilpotent if and only if* $a_0, a_1, ..., a_n$ *are nilpotent.*

(iii) f *is a zero-divisor if and only if there exists* $a \neq 0$ *such that* $af = 0$. *(Hint: Choose a polynomial* $g = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_m x^m$ *of least degree* m *such that* $fg = 0$. *Then* $a_n b_m = 0$, *hence* $a_n g = 0$ *(because* $a_n g$ *annihilates* f *and has degree* $< m$). *Now show by induction that* $a_{n-r} g = 0$ $(0 \leq r \leq n)$.)

(iv) f *is said to be primitive if* $(a_0, a_1, ..., a_n) = (1)$. *Prove that if* $f, g \in A[x]$, *then* fg *is primitive if and only if* f *and* g *are primitive.*

Proof of (i).

(1) ($\Longleftarrow$) holds by Exercise 1.1.

(2) ($\Longrightarrow$) There exists the inverse g of f, say $g = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_m x^m$ satisfying $1 = fg$. Clearly, $1 = a_0 b_0$, or a_0 is a unit in A. Also,

$$0 = a_n b_m,$$
$$0 = a_n b_{m-1} + a_{n-1} b_m,$$
$$0 = a_n b_{m-2} + a_{n-1} b_{m-1} + a_{n-2} b_m,$$
$$\cdots$$

A direct computing shows that

$$0 = a_n^1 b_m,$$
$$0 = a_n(a_n b_{m-1} + a_{n-1} b_m)$$
$$= a_n^2 b_{m-1} + a_{n-1} a_n b_m$$
$$= a_n^2 b_{m-1},$$
$$0 = a_n^2(a_n b_{m-2} + a_{n-1} b_{m-1} + a_{n-2} b_m)$$
$$= a_n^3 b_{m-2} + a_{n-1} a_n^2 b_{m-1} + a_{n-2} a_n^2 b_m$$
$$= a_n^3 b_{m-2},$$
$$\cdots$$

So we might have $a_n^{r+1} b_{m-r} = 0$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, ..., m$.

(3) *Show that* $a_n^{r+1} b_{m-r} = 0$ *for* $r = 0, 1, 2, ..., m$ *by induction on* r.

(a) As $r = 0$, $a_n b_m = 0$ by comparing the coefficient of $fg = 1$ at x^{n+m}.

(b) For any $r > 0$, comparing the coefficient of $fg = 1$ at x^{n+m-r},

$$0 = a_n b_{m-r} + a_{n-1} b_{m-r+1} + \cdots + a_{n-r} b_m.$$

Multiplying by a_n^r on the both sides,

$$0 = a_n^{r+1} b_{m-r} + a_{n-1} a_n^r b_{m-r+1} + \cdots + a_{n-r} a_n^r b_m$$
$$= a_n^{r+1} b_{m-r}.$$

by the induction hypothesis.

(4) a_n *is a nilpotent.* Putting $r = m$ in $a_n^{r+1}b_{m-r} = 0$ and get $a_n^{m+1}b_0 = 0$. Notice that b_0 is a unit, $a_n^{m+1} = 0$, or a_n is a nilpotent.

(5) Consider $f - a_n x^n = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$, a polynomial $\in A[x]$ of degree $n-1$. Note that f is a unit and $a_n x^n$ is a nilpotent. By Exercise 1.1, $f - a_n x^n$ is a unit too. Applying the (2)(3)(4) again, a_{n-1} is a nilpotent as $n - 1 > 0$, that is, applying descending induction on n then yields the desired property.

□

Proof of (ii).

(1) ($\Longleftarrow$) holds since the nilradical of any ring is an ideal.

(2) ($\Longrightarrow$) $f^N = 0$ for some $N > 0$. So $0 = f^N = a_n^N x^{nN} + \cdots + a_0^N$. Comparing the coefficient in the leading term x^{nN} leads to $a_n^N = 0$, or a_n is a nilpotent.

(3) Consider $f - a_n x^n = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$, a polynomial $\in A[x]$ of degree $n - 1$. Note that f and $a_n x^n$ are nilpotent. $f - a_n x^n$ is a nilpotent too. Similar to step (5) in the proof of (i), applying descending induction on n then yields the desired property.

□

Proof of (iii).

(1) ($\Longleftarrow$) holds trivially.

(2) ($\Longrightarrow$) Pick a polynomial $g = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_m x^m$ of least degree m such that $fg = 0$. Especially, $a_n b_m = 0$.

(3) Consider

$$a_n g = a_n b_0 + \cdots + a_n b_{m-1}x^{m-1} + a_n b_m x^m$$
$$= a_n b_0 + \cdots + a_n b_{m-1}x^{m-1}$$

(since $a_n b_m = 0$). $a_n g$ is a polynomial over A of having degree strictly less than m. Notice that $f \cdot (a_n g) = a_n \cdot (fg) = 0$. By minimality of m, $a_n g = 0$.

(4) Induction on the degree n of f.

    (a) As $n = 0$, $f = a_0$. There exists $b_m \neq 0$ such that $b_m f = b_m a_0 = 0$ by (2).

    (b) For any zero-divisor f of degree n, there is a polynomial $g = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_m x^m$ of least degree m such that $fg = 0$. By (2)(3),

$$(f - a_n x^n) \cdot g = fg - a_n x^n g$$
$$= 0 - 0$$
$$= 0.$$

3

That is, $f - a_n x^n$ is a zero-divisor of degree $n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $b_m \neq 0$ such that $b_m(f - a_n x^n) = 0$. So $b_m f = b_m(f - a_n x^n) + b_m a_n x^n = 0 + 0 = 0$.

(c) By (a)(b), ($\Longrightarrow$) holds by mathematical induction.

□

Proof of (iv). Note that

(1) $f \notin \mathfrak{m}[x]$ for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of A if and only if f is primitive.

(2) For any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of A, $A/\mathfrak{m}$ is a field (or an integral domain).

(3) $A[x]$ is an integral domain if A is an integral domain.

(4) $A[x]/\mathfrak{m}[x] \cong (A/\mathfrak{m})[x]$ as a ring isomorphism.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
f, g : \text{ primitive} &\Longleftrightarrow f, g \notin \mathfrak{m}[x] \text{ for any maximal ideal } \mathfrak{m}\\
&\Longleftrightarrow f, g \neq 0 \text{ in } (A/\mathfrak{m})[x] \text{ for any maximal ideal } \mathfrak{m}\\
&\Longleftrightarrow fg \neq 0 \text{ in } (A/\mathfrak{m})[x] \text{ for any maximal ideal } \mathfrak{m}\\
&\Longleftrightarrow fg \notin \mathfrak{m}[x] \text{ for any maximal ideal } \mathfrak{m}\\
&\Longleftrightarrow fg : \text{ primitive.}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 1.4. *In the ring $A[x]$, the Jacobson radical is equal to the nilradical.*

Proof.

(1) The nilradical $\mathfrak{N}$ is a subset of the Jacobson radical $\mathfrak{J}$. It suffices to show that $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$.

(2) Given any $f \in \mathfrak{J}$. By Proposition 1.9, $f \in \mathfrak{J}$ if and only if $1 - fy$ is a unit in $A[x]$ for all $y \in A[x]$. Especially, pick $y = x \in A[x]$ and then $1 - xf$ is a unit in $A[x]$.

(3) By Exercise 1.2 (i), all coefficients of f are nilpotent. By Exercise 1.2 (ii), f is nilpotent, or $f \in \mathfrak{N}$.

□

Exercise 1.7. *Let A be a ring in which every element satisfies $x^n = x$ for some $n > 1$ (depending on x). Show that every prime ideal in A is maximal.*

Proof. It suffices to show that *for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in A, $A/\mathfrak{p}$ is a field.*

4

(1) Take any $0 \neq \bar{x} \in A/\mathfrak{p}$, which is represented by $x \in A - \mathfrak{p}$. By assumption there exists $n \geq 2$ such that $x^n = x$. So $\bar{x}^n = \bar{x}$ or $\bar{x}(\bar{x}^{n-1} - 1) = 0$.

(2) Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime, $A/\mathfrak{p}$ is a integral domain. That is, $\bar{x} = 0$ (impossible) or $\bar{x}^{n-1} - 1 = 0$. Write $\bar{x} \cdot \bar{x}^{n-2} = 1$ in $A/\mathfrak{p}$. So $\bar{x}^{n-2}$ is an inverse of $\bar{x} \neq 0$ in $A/\mathfrak{p}$, which implies that $A/\mathfrak{p}$ is a field (since $\bar{x}$ is arbitrary).

(3) $A/\mathfrak{p}$ is a field if and only if $\mathfrak{p}$ is maximal.

$\square$

The prime spectrum of a ring

Exercise 1.15. *Let A be a ring and let X be the set of all prime ideals of A. For each subset E of A, let $V(E)$ denote the set of all prime ideals of A which contain E. Prove that*

(i) *if $\mathfrak{a}$ is the ideal generated by E, then $V(E) = V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(r(\mathfrak{a}))$.*

(ii) *$V(0) = X$, $V(1) = \varnothing$.*

(iii) *if $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ is any family of subsets of A, then*

$$V\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} E_i\right) = \bigcap_{i \in I} V(E_i).$$

(iv) *$V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b})$ for any ideals $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{b}$ of A.*

The results show that the sets $V(E)$ satisfy the axioms for closed sets in a topological space. The resulting topology is called the Zariski topology. The topological space X is called the prime spectrum of A, and is written $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$.

Note that if $E_1 \subseteq E_2$, then $V(E_1) \supseteq V(E_2)$.

Proof of (i).

(1) *Show that $V(E) = V(\mathfrak{a})$.*

    (a) *Show that $V(E) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$. Given any $\mathfrak{p} \in V(E)$, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq E$. For any $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, since $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by E, we can write a as a finite sum $a = \sum \alpha\beta$ where $\alpha \in A$ and $\beta \in E$. Since $E \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, all $\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is an ideal, $a = \sum \alpha\beta \in \mathfrak{p}$. That is, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$, or $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})$.*

    (b) *$V(E) \supseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ since $\mathfrak{a} \supseteq E$.*

(2) *Show that $V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(r(\mathfrak{a}))$.*

5

(a) *Show that $V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq V(r(\mathfrak{a}))$. Given any $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})$,*

$$\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a}) \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$$
$$\Longrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \text{the intersection of the primes ideals } \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$$
$$\Longrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \supseteq r(\mathfrak{a}) \text{ (by Proposition 1.14)}$$
$$\Longrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \in V(r(\mathfrak{a})).$$

(b) $V(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq V(r(\mathfrak{a}))$ since $r(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

□

Proof of (ii).

(1) $V(1) = \varnothing$ since no prime ideal contains 1 by definition.

(2) $V(0) = X$ since 0 is in every ideal (especially in every prime ideal).

□

Proof of (iii).

$$\mathfrak{p} \in V\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} E_i\right) \Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \supseteq E_i \text{ for all } i \in I$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \in V(E_i) \text{ for all } i \in I$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \in \bigcap_{i \in I} V(E_i).$$

□

Lemma. *For any $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$.*

Proof of Lemma.

(1) If $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$. We are done.

(2) If $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{a}$, there exists $a \in \mathfrak{a} - \mathfrak{p}$. So for any $b \in \mathfrak{b}$, $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ since $ab \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal, that is, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$.

By (1)(2), $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$. □

Proof of (iv).

(1) *Show that $V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$.*

(a) $V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$ since $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$.

(b) *Show that $V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) \supseteq V(\mathfrak{ab})$.* Given any $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{ab})$, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{ab}$. By Lemma, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$. Notice that $\mathfrak{a} \supseteq \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \supseteq \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$. In any case, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})$.

(2) *Show that $V(\mathfrak{ab}) = V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b})$.*

(a) *Show that $V(\mathfrak{ab}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b})$.* Given any $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{ab})$, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{ab}$. By Lemma, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})$ or $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{b})$, $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b})$.

(b) *Show that $V(\mathfrak{ab}) \supseteq V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b})$.* Given any $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b})$, $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{a})$ or $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{b})$, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$. Notice that $\mathfrak{a} \supseteq \mathfrak{ab}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \supseteq \mathfrak{ab}$. In any cases, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{ab}$, or $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{ab})$.

$\square$

Exercise 1.17. *For each $f \in A$, let X_f denote the complement of $V(f)$ in $X = Spec(A)$. The sets X_f are open. Show that they form a basis of open sets for the Zariski topology, and that*

(i) $X_f \cap X_g = X_{fg}$.

(ii) $X_f = \varnothing \iff f$ *is nilpotent.*

(iii) $X_f = X \iff f$ *is a unit.*

(iv) $X_f = X_g \iff r((f)) = r((g))$.

(v) *X is quasi-compact (compact), that is, every open covering of X has a finite subcovering.*

(vi) *More generally, each X_f is quasi-compact.*

(vii) *An open subset of X is quasi-compact if and only if it is a finite union of sets X_f.*

The sets X_f are called basic open sets of $X = Spec(A)$.

(Hint: To prove (v), remark that it is enough to consider a covering of X by basic open sets $X_{f_i}(i \in I)$. Show that the f_i generate the unit ideal and hence that there is an equation of the form

$$1 = \sum_{i \in J} g_i f_i \quad (g_i \in A)$$

where J is some finite subset of I. Then the $X_{f_i}(i \in J)$ cover X.)

Proof of basis. It is equivalent to Exercise 1.15 (iii). Given any open set O in X. Write $O = X - V(\mathfrak{a})$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of A. Since

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = V\left(\bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{a}}(f)\right) = \bigcap_{f \in \mathfrak{a}} V(f),$$

we have

$$O = X - V(\mathfrak{a}) = X - \bigcap_{f \in \mathfrak{a}} V(f) = \bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{a}} (X - V(f)) = \bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{a}} X_f,$$

or any open set is a union of basic open sets. $\square$

Proof of (i). $X_f \cap X_g = X_{fg} \iff V(f) \cup V(g) = V(fg)$ holds by Exercise 1.15
(iv). $\square$

Proof of (ii).

$$\begin{aligned}
X_f = \varnothing &\iff V(f) = X \\
&\iff f \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ for all prime ideal } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } A \\
&\iff f \in \mathfrak{N}, \text{the nilradical of } A \text{ (Proposition 1.8)} \\
&\iff f \text{ is nilpotent (Proposition 1.7)}
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proof of (ii)(Using (iv)).

$$\begin{aligned}
X_f = \varnothing &\iff X_f = X_0 && \text{(Exercise 15(ii))} \\
&\iff r(f) = r(0) && \text{((iv))} \\
&\iff f \in r(f) = r(0) \\
&\iff f^m = 0 \text{ for some } m > 0 \\
&\iff f \text{ is nilpotent}
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proof of (iii).

$$\begin{aligned}
X_f = X &\iff V(f) = \varnothing \\
&\iff f \notin \mathfrak{p} \text{ for all prime ideal } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } A \\
&\iff f \text{ is unit (Corollary 1.5)}
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proof of (iii)(Using (iv)).

$$\begin{aligned}
X_f = X &\iff X_f = X_1 && \text{(Exercise 15(ii))} \\
&\iff r(f) = r(1) && \text{((iv))} \\
&\iff f \in r(f) = r(1) \\
&\iff f^m = 1 \text{ for some } m > 0 \\
&\iff f \text{ is unit}
\end{aligned}$$

8

□

Proof of (iv).

(1) *Show that* $X_f \subseteq X_g \iff r((f)) \subseteq r((g))$. Actually,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_f \subseteq X_g &\implies V(f) \supseteq V(g) \\
&\implies \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A) : \mathfrak{p} \supseteq (f)\} \supseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A) : \mathfrak{p} \supseteq (g)\} \\
&\implies \bigcap_{(f) \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \bigcap_{(g) \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(A)} \mathfrak{p} \\
&\overset{1.14}{\implies} r(f) \subseteq r(g) \\
&\implies V(r(f)) \supseteq V(r(g)) \\
&\implies V(f) \supseteq V(g) \\
&\implies X_f \subseteq X_g.
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) By (1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_f \subseteq X_g &\iff r((f)) \subseteq r((g)), \\
X_f \supseteq X_g &\iff r((f)) \supseteq r((g)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
X_f = X_g \iff r((f)) = r((g)).
$$

□

Proof of (v). Notice that it is enough to consider a covering of X by basic open sets $X_{f_i} (i \in I)$.

(1) Since X is covered by $X_{f_i} (i \in I)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_{f_i} &\implies X - V(1) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (X - V(f_i)) \\
&\implies V(1) = \bigcap_{i \in I} V(f_i) \\
&\implies V(1) = V\left(\sum_{i \in I} f_i\right) \\
&\implies r(1) = r\left(\sum_{i \in I} f_i\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $1 \in r(1) = r\left(\sum_{i \in I} f_i\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
1 = 1^m = \sum_{j \in J} g_j f_j
$$

where J is a finite subset of I and $g_j \in A$. That is, $(1) = \sum_{j \in J} f_j$.

9

(2) Hence, $V(1) = V\left(\sum_{j \in J} f_j\right)$. Therefore, X is covered by finite subcovering $\{X_{f_j}\}(j \in J)$.

□

Proof of (v)(Using (vi)). Since $X = X_1$, X is quasi-compact by (vi). □

Proof of (vi). Notice that it is enough to consider a covering of X_f by basic open sets $X_{f_i}(i \in I)$.

(1) Since X_f is covered by $X_{f_i}(i \in I)$,

$$X_f = \bigcup_{i \in I} X_{f_i} \Longrightarrow X - V(f) = \bigcup_{i \in I}(X - V(f_i))$$

$$\Longrightarrow V(f) = \bigcap_{i \in I} V(f_i)$$

$$\Longrightarrow V(f) = V\left(\sum_{i \in I} f_i\right)$$

$$\Longrightarrow r(f) = r\left(\sum_{i \in I} f_i\right).$$

Hence, $f \in r(f) = r\left(\sum_{i \in I} f_i\right)$ can be expressed as

$$f^m = \sum_{j \in J} g_j f_j$$

where J is a finite subset of I and $g_j \in A$. That is, $f^m \in \sum_{j \in J} f_j$.

(2) *Show that $V\left(\sum_{j \in J} f_j\right) = V(f)$.*

   (a) ($\subseteq$) For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \sum_{j \in J} f_j$, $f^m \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ (since $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime). So $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq (f)$, or $V\left(\sum_{j \in J} f_j\right) \subseteq V(f)$.
   (b) ($\supseteq$)

$$\sum_{j \in J} f_j \subseteq \sum_{i \in I} f_i \Longrightarrow V\left(\sum_{j \in J} f_j\right) \supseteq V\left(\sum_{i \in I} f_i\right) = V(f).$$

(3) Therefore, X_f is covered by finite subcovering $\{X_{f_j}\}(j \in J)$.

□

Proof of (vi)(Using (v)). Exercise 3.21 (i) shows that X_f is the spectrum of A_f. By (v), X_f is quasi-compact. □

Proof of (vii).

(1) ($\Longrightarrow$) Given an open subset O. Since X_f form a basis of open sets,

$$O = \bigcup_{f \in \mathfrak{a}} X_f \text{ for some ideal } \mathfrak{a} \text{ of } A$$

Especially, $\{X_f\}_{f \in \mathfrak{a}}$ is an open covering of O. Since O is quasi-compact, there exists a finite subcovering $\{X_f\}_{f \in J}$ of O, where J is a finite subset of $\mathfrak{a}$ (as a set). That is, $O = \bigcup_{f \in J} X_f$ is a finite union of sets X_f.

(2) ($\Longleftarrow$) Since X_f is quasi-compact, any finite union of quasi-compact sets is quasi-compact again.

$\square$