Chapter 3: Numerical Sequences and Series

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai
Email: plover@gmail.com

Exercise 3.1. *Prove that the convergence of $\{s_n\}$ implies convergence of $\{|s_n|\}$. Is the converse true?*

Proof.

(1) Since $\{s_n\}$ is convergent, there is $s \in \mathbb{R}^1$ with the following property: given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is N such that $|s_n - s| < \varepsilon$ whenever $n \geq N$. So

$$||s_n| - |s|| \leq |s_n - s| < \varepsilon$$

(Exercise 1.13). That is, $\{|s_n|\}$ converges to $|s|$.

(2) The converse is not true by considering $s_n = (-1)^{n+1}$.

$\square$

Exercise 3.2 *Calculate $\lim_{n\to\infty}(\sqrt{n^2 + n} - n)$.*

Proof.

$$\sqrt{n^2 + n} - n = \frac{n}{\sqrt{n^2 + n} + n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} + 1} \to \frac{1}{1+1} = \frac{1}{2}$$

as $n \to \infty$. $\square$

Proof ($\varepsilon - N$ argument). Let $s_n = \sqrt{n^2 + n} - n$. Show that the sequence $\{s_n\}$ converges to $s = \frac{1}{2}$. Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|s_n - s| &= \left|(\sqrt{n^2+n} - n) - \frac{1}{2}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} + 1} - \frac{1}{2}\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{2 - \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} + 1\right)}{2\left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} + 1\right)}\right| = \left|\frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}}}{2\left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} + 1\right)}\right| \\
&= \left|\frac{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)}{2\left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} + 1\right)^2}\right| = \left|\frac{-\frac{1}{n}}{2\left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n}} + 1\right)^2}\right| < \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

wheneven $n \geq N$. $\square$

Exercise 3.3 *If $s_1 = \sqrt{2}$ and*

$$s_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_n}} \ (n = 1, 2, 3, ...),$$

prove that $\{s_n\}$ converges, and that $s_n < 2$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$

The convergence of $\{s_n\}$ implies there is $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $s_n \to s$ where $s = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s}}$ and $\sqrt{2} < s \leq 2$. WolframAlpha shows that

$$s = \frac{1}{3} \left(-1 + \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}(79 - 3\sqrt{249})} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}(79 + 3\sqrt{249})} \right).$$

Proof (Theorem 3.14).

(1) *Show that $\{s_n\}$ is increasing (by mathematical induction).*

   (a) *Show that $s_2 > s_1$. In fact,*

$$s_2 = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_1}} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{\sqrt{2}}} < \sqrt{2} = s_1.$$

   (a) *Show that $s_{n+1} > s_n$ if $s_n > s_{n-1}$.*

$$s_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_n}} > \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_{n-1}}} = s_n.$$

By mathematical induction, $\{s_n\}$ is (strictly) increasing.

(2) *Show that $\{s_n\}$ is bounded (by mathematical induction).*

   (a) *Show that $s_1 \leq 2$. $\sqrt{2} \leq 2$.*

   (a) *Show that $s_{n+1} \leq 2$ if $s_n \leq 2$.*

$$s_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_n}} \leq \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} < 2.$$

By mathematical induction, $\{s_n\}$ is bounded by 2.

Hence, $\{s_n\}$ converges since $\{s_n\}$ is increasing and bounded (Theorem 3.14). $\square$

Exercise 3.4 *Find the upper and lower limits of the sequences $\{s_n\}$ defined by*

$$s_1 = 0; s_{2m} = \frac{s_{2m-1}}{2}; s_{2m+1} = \frac{1}{2} + s_{2m}.$$

Write out the first few terms of $\{s_n\}$:

$$0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{7}{16}, \frac{15}{16}, \dots$$

It suggests us

$$s_{2m+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^m} \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$s_{2m} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^m} \quad (m = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Proof.

(1) *Show that*

$$s_{2m+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2^m} \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$s_{2m} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^m}. \quad (m = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Apply mathematical induction.

(2) The upper limit is 1.

(3) The lower limit is $\frac{1}{2}$.

$\square$

Exercise 3.5 *For any two real sequences $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, prove that*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}(a_n + b_n) \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n + \limsup_{n\to\infty} b_n$$

provided the sum of the right is not of the form $\infty - \infty$.

Proof. Write $\alpha = \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n$ and $\beta = \limsup_{n\to\infty} b_n$.

(1) $\alpha = \infty$ *and* $\beta = \infty$. Nothing to do.

(2) $\alpha = -\infty$ *and* $\beta = -\infty$. Since $\alpha = -\infty < \infty$, there exists M' such that $a_n < M'$ for all n. For any real M, $a_n > M - M'$ for at most a finite number of values of n (Theorem 3.17(a)). Hence $a_n + b_n > M$ for at most a finite number of values of n. Hence $\limsup_{n\to\infty}(a_n + b_n) = -\infty$, or

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}(a_n + b_n) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n + \limsup_{n\to\infty} b_n$$

in this case.

(3) α *and* β *are finite.* (Similar to the argument in Theorem 3.37.) Choose $\alpha' > \alpha$ and $\beta' > \beta$. There is an integer N such that

$$\alpha' \geq a_n \text{ and } \beta' \geq b_n$$

whenever $n \geq N$. Hence

$$a_n + b_n \leq \alpha' + \beta'$$

whenever $n \geq N$. Take lim sup to get Hence

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}(a_n + b_n) \leq \alpha' + \beta'.$$

Since the inequality is true for every $\alpha' > \alpha$ and $\beta' > \beta$, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}(a_n + b_n) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n + \limsup_{n\to\infty} b_n.$$

$\square$

Exercise 3.7 *Prove that the convergence of $\sum a_n$ implies the convergence of*

$$\sum \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n},$$

if $a_n \geq 0$.

Proof (Cauchy's inequatity).

(1) *Show that $\sum \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}$ is bounded.* For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}\right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} a_n\right)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \qquad \text{(Cauchy's inequatity)}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n\right)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\right). \quad \left(\sum a_n, \sum \frac{1}{n^2}: \text{convergent}\right)$$

Thus, $\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}\right)^2$ is bounded, or $\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}$ is bounded.

(2) *Show that $\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}$ is increasing.* It is clear due to $\frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n} \geq 0$.

By Theorem 3.14, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}$ converges. $\square$

Proof (AM-GM inequality). Show that $\sum \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}$ is bounded.

$$\frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(a_n + \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \qquad\qquad \text{(AM-GM inequality)}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} a_n + \sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n^2}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\right). \qquad \left(\sum a_n, \sum \frac{1}{n^2}: \text{convergent}\right)$$

Thus, $\sum_{n=1}^{k} \frac{\sqrt{a_n}}{n}$ is bounded. The rest proof is the same as previous. $\square$

Exercise 3.20 *Suppose $\{p_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in a metric space X, and some subsequence $\{p_{n_i}\}$ converges to a point $p \in X$. Prove that the full sequence $\{p_n\}$ converges to p.*

Proof. Given any $\varepsilon > 0$.

(1) Since $\{p_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, there exists a positive integer N_1 such that
$$d(p_n, p_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ whenever } n, m \geq N_1.$$

(2) Since the subsequence $\{p_{n_i}\}$ converges to a point $p \in X$, there exists a positive integer N_2 such that
$$d(p_{n_i}, p) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ whenever } n_i \geq N_2.$$

(3) Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ be a positive integer. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(p_n, p) &\leq d(p_n, p_{n_i}) + d(p_{n_i}, p) && \text{(Definition 2.15(c))} \\
&< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ whenever } n, n_i \geq N && ((1)(2)) \\
&= \varepsilon \text{ whenever } n \geq N.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the full sequence $\{p_n\}$ converges to p.

$\square$

Exercise 3.21 *Prove the following analogue of Theorem 3.10(b): If $\{E_n\}$ is a sequence of closed and bounded sets in a complete metric space X, if $E_n \supseteq E_{n+1}$, and if*
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(E_n) = 0,$$
then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ consists of exactly one point.

Assume $E_n \neq \varnothing$. It is unnecessary to assume that E_n is bounded since we have the condition that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{diam}(E_n) = 0$.

Note. Every compact metric space is complete, but complete spaces need not be compact. In fact, a metric space is compact if and only if it is complete and totally bounded.

Proof.

(1) Pick $p_n \in E_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

(2) *Show that $\{p_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.* Given any $\varepsilon > 0$. There is a positive integer N such that $\operatorname{diam}(E_n) < \varepsilon$ whenever $n \geq N$. Especially,

$$\operatorname{diam}(E_N) < \varepsilon.$$

As $m, n \geq N$, $p_m \in E_m \subseteq E_N$ and $p_n \in E_n \subseteq E_N$. By the definition of the diameter of E_N,

$$d(p_m, p_n) \leq \operatorname{diam}(E_N) < \varepsilon \text{ whenever } m, n \geq N.$$

(3) Since X is complete, $\{p_n\}$ converges to a point $p \in X$.

(4) *Show that $p \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$.* (Reductio ad absurdum) If there were some n such that $p \notin E_n$. Consider the subsequence

$$p_n, p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, \ldots.$$

Note that all $p_n, p_{n+1}, \ldots$ are in E_n. By (3), it converges to p. Thus p is a limit point of E_n. Since E_n is closed, $p \in E_n$, which is absurd.

(5) *Show that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \{p\}$.* (Reductio ad absurdum) If there were $q \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ with $q \neq p$, then $d(p, q) > 0$ (Definition 2.15(a)). It implies that

$$\operatorname{diam}(E_n) \geq d(p, q) > 0 \text{ for all } n,$$

contrary to $\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{diam}(E_n) = 0$.

$\square$

Exercise 3.22 *Suppose X is a complete metric space, and $\{G_n\}$ is a sequence of dense open subsets of X. Prove Baire's theorem, namely, that $\bigcap_1^{\infty} G_n$ is not empty. (In fact, it is dense in X.) (Hint: Find a shrinking sequence of neighborhoods E_n such that $\overline{E_n} \subseteq G_n$, and apply Exercise 3.21.)*

Exercise 3.23