## Chapter 10: Integration of Differential Forms

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai Email: plover@gmail.com

**Exercise 10.1.** Let H be a compact convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , with nonempty interior. Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}(H)$ , put  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  in the complement of H, and define  $\int_H f$  as in Definition 10.3. Prove that  $\int_H f$  is independent of the order in which the k integrations are carried out. (Hint: Approximate f by functions that are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and whose supports are in H, as was done in Example 10.4.)

Proof (Example 10.4).

(1) Since H is compact, H is closed and bounded (Theorem 2.41). So there is a k-cell

$$I^k = (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_k, b_k) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$$

such that  $I^k\supseteq H$ . Extend f to a function on  $I^k$  be setting  $f(\mathbf{x})=0$  off H, and define

$$\int_{H} f = \int_{I^{k}} f.$$

Since f may be discontinuous on  $I^k$ , the existence of the integral  $\int_{I^k} f$  needs proof.

(2) Define a function  $\rho(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^1$  by

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \rho_{\mathrm{bdd}(H)}(\mathbf{x}) = \inf_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathrm{bdd}(H)} |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}| & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in H, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \notin H. \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that  $\rho(\mathbf{x})$  is well-defined, that is,  $\mathrm{bdd}(H) \neq \varnothing$ . (Reductio ad absurdum) If  $\mathrm{bdd}(H) = \varnothing$ , then for every  $\mathbf{x} \in H$ , there is an open neighborhood contained in H, that is, H is open. So H is closed and open, or  $H = \varnothing$  or  $H = \mathbb{R}^k$ , contrary to  $\mathrm{int}(H) \neq \varnothing$  (assumption) and the boundedness of H.
- (b) By the similar argument in Exercise 4.20(b),  $\rho(\mathbf{x})$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .
- (3) Also, define a function  $\varphi_{\delta}(t): \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^1$  for some  $\delta \in (0,1)$  by

$$\varphi_{\delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \ge \delta, \\ \frac{t}{\delta} & \text{if } \delta \ge t \ge 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0. \end{cases}$$

(4) Finally define

$$F_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_{\delta}(\rho(\mathbf{x})) f(\mathbf{x}) \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in I^k).$$

We prove that  $F_{\delta}$  is continuous on  $I^k$ .

(a) By construction,  $F_{\delta}$  is continuous on  $\operatorname{int}(H) \bigcup \operatorname{int}(I^k - H)$ . So it suffices to show that  $F_{\delta}$  is continuous on

$$I^k - \left( \operatorname{int}(H) \bigcup \operatorname{int}(I^k - H) \right) = \operatorname{bdd}(H).$$

(b) Given  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \text{bdd}(H)$ . Since  $\varphi_{\delta}$  and  $\rho$  are continuous,  $\varphi_{\delta} \circ \rho$  is continuous (Theorem 4.7). Besides, since f is continuous on a compact set H and f = 0 off H, f is bounded on  $I^k$  (Theorem 4.14). Note that  $\varphi_{\delta}(\rho(\mathbf{x}_0)) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}_0} F_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}_0} \varphi_{\delta}(\rho(\mathbf{x})) f(\mathbf{x}) = 0 = F_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}_0).$$

- (5) Summary:
  - (a) f is bounded on  $I^k$ .
  - (b)  $F_{\delta} = f$  off H for all  $\delta$ .
  - (c) As  $\delta \to 0$ ,  $F_{\delta}$  converges to f on  $\operatorname{int}(H)$  and converges uniformly on every compact subset  $K \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H)$ .
- (6) Given an integer  $1 \leq m \leq k$ . Write  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}', x_m, \mathbf{x}'')$  where  $\mathbf{x}' = (x_1, \dots, x_{m-1})$  and  $\mathbf{x}'' = (x_{m+1}, \dots, x_k)$  in the sense of Theorem 10.38. (When  $m = 1, \mathbf{x}'$  is absent; when  $m = k, \mathbf{x}''$  is absent.) Let  $H_m$  be the projection of H on  $\mathbb{R}^m$  in the sense of Theorem 10.38, say

$$H_m = \{ (\mathbf{x}', x_m) : (\mathbf{x}', x_m, \mathbf{x}'') \in H \text{ for some } \mathbf{x}'' \}.$$

Moreover, we apply the same definition for the projection  $I^m$  of  $I^k$  In particular,  $I^m = (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_m, b_m) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ . Note that  $H_m$  is convex and the interior of a convex set is convex.

- (a) Take  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \operatorname{int}(H_m)$  and  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ . Consider  $\mathbf{c} = \lambda \mathbf{a} + (1 \lambda) \mathbf{b}$ . Since  $H_m$  is convex,  $\mathbf{c} \in H_m$ .
- (b) Also, there are open neighborhoods  $B(\mathbf{a}; r_{\mathbf{a}}), B(\mathbf{b}; r_{\mathbf{b}}) \subseteq H_m$ . Therefore,  $B(\mathbf{c}; r_{\mathbf{c}})$  with  $r_{\mathbf{c}} = \lambda r_{\mathbf{a}} + (1 \lambda)r_{\mathbf{b}})$  is an open neighborhood contained in  $H_m$ .
- (c) In fact, for any  $\mathbf{p}_c \in B(\mathbf{c}; r_{\mathbf{c}})$ , there exists

$$\mathbf{p}_a = \mathbf{a} + \frac{r_{\mathbf{a}}}{r_{\mathbf{c}}} (\mathbf{p}_c - \mathbf{c}) \in B(\mathbf{a}; r_{\mathbf{a}}) \subseteq H_m,$$

$$\mathbf{p}_b = \mathbf{b} + \frac{r_{\mathbf{b}}}{r_{\mathbf{c}}} (\mathbf{p}_c - \mathbf{c}) \in B(\mathbf{b}; r_{\mathbf{b}}) \subseteq H_m$$

such that  $\mathbf{p}_c = \lambda \mathbf{p}_a + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{p}_b \in H_m$  by the convexity of  $H_m$ .

- (7) Show that (5) also holds for  $I^{k-1}$ ,  $H_{k-1}$ ,  $f_{k-1}$  and  $F_{k-1}$ , that is,
  - (a)  $f_{k-1}$  is bounded on  $I^{k-1}$ .

- (b)  $F_{\delta,k-1} = f_{k-1}$  off  $H_{k-1}$  for all  $\delta$ .
- (c) As  $\delta \to 0$ ,  $F_{\delta,k-1}$  converges to  $f_{k-1}$  on  $\operatorname{int}(H_{k-1})$  and converges uniformly on every compact subset  $K \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H_{k-1})$ .
- (a)(b) are trivial. For (c), it suffices to show that for every

$$\mathbf{y} = (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \text{int}(H_{k-1}),$$

there is an open neighborhood  $B(\mathbf{y})$  satisfying  $\overline{B(\mathbf{y})} \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H_{k-1})$  such that  $F_{\delta,k-1}$  converges to  $f_{k-1}$  uniformly on  $B(\mathbf{y})$ .

- (8) Now we prove (7) as follows:
  - (a) Define

$$S_k = \{x_k : (\mathbf{y}, x_k) \in H\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^1.$$

 $S_k$  is an interval since H is convex. Besides,  $S_k$  is compact since H is compact. Therefore,  $S_k$  is a closed interval of finite length.

(b) Define  $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{y}, x_k)$  and

$$T_k = \{x_k : F_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}) \neq f(\mathbf{x})\}$$

$$= \{x_k : \varphi_{\delta}(\rho(\mathbf{x})) \neq 1 \text{ and } f(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\}$$

$$= \{x_k : 0 \leq \rho(\mathbf{x}) < \delta \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \in H\}$$

$$= \{x_k : 0 \leq \rho_{\text{bdd}(H)}(\mathbf{x}) < \delta \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \in H\}$$

as a subset of  $S_k$ . If  $x_k \in T_k$  is an interior point of  $S_k$ , then clearly  $\mathbf{x}$  is an interior point of H, or  $\rho_{\mathrm{bdd}(H)}(\mathbf{x}) > 0$  since  $\rho_{\mathrm{bdd}(H)}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathrm{bdd}(H)$  (Exercise 4.20(a)).

(c) Write  $S_k = [\alpha_k, \beta_k]$  and consider smaller subsets

$$S_{k,\varepsilon} = [\alpha_k + \varepsilon, \beta_k - \varepsilon] \subseteq \operatorname{int}(S_k),$$
  

$$T_{k,\varepsilon} = \{x_k \in S_{k,\varepsilon} : 0 < \rho_{\operatorname{bdd}(H)}(\mathbf{x}) < \delta \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \in H\}$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define an uniformly continuous  $\mu(x_k) : S_{k,\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}^1$  by

$$\mu(x_k) = \rho_{\mathrm{bdd}(H)}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Note that  $\mu(x_k) > 0$  for all  $x_k \in S_{k,\varepsilon}$  since  $S_{k,\varepsilon} \cap \operatorname{bdd}(H) = \emptyset$  by construction.

(d) Show that  $\mu(x_k)$  is concave on  $S_{k,\varepsilon}$ . Given any  $a, b \in S_{k,\varepsilon}$  and  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ .  $\mu(a) = \rho_{\mathrm{bdd}(H)}(\mathbf{a}) > 0$  and  $\mu(b) = \rho_{\mathrm{bdd}(H)}(\mathbf{b}) > 0$ .  $(\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{y}, a)$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{y}, b)$ .) Since  $\mathrm{bdd}(H)$  is compact, there exist corresponding  $\mathbf{p}_a, \mathbf{p}_b \in \mathrm{bdd}(H)$  such that

$$\mu(a) = |\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{p}_a| > 0,$$
  
$$\mu(b) = |\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{p}_b| > 0.$$

That is,

$$B(\mathbf{a}; \mu(a)) \subseteq H,$$
  
 $B(\mathbf{b}; \mu(b)) \subseteq H.$ 

By (6)(b), we have

$$B(\lambda \mathbf{a} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{b}; \lambda \mu(a) + (1 - \lambda)\mu(b)) \subseteq H.$$

So

$$\mu(\lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b) = \rho_{\mathrm{bdd}(H)}(\lambda \mathbf{a} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{b})$$
  
 
$$\geq \lambda \mu(a) + (1 - \lambda)\mu(b)$$

by the definition of bdd(H). Therefore,  $\mu(x_k)$  is concave on  $S_{k,\varepsilon}$ .

(e) By (d),

$$\mu(x_k) \ge \underbrace{\min\{\mu(\alpha_k + \varepsilon), \mu(\beta_k - \varepsilon)\}}_{\text{say } c_{\varepsilon} > 0}$$

for all  $x_k \in S_{k,\varepsilon}$ . Thus in (b) we have

$$\begin{split} \delta &> \rho_{\mathrm{bdd}(H)}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \mu(x_k) \\ &\geq \underbrace{\frac{c_{\varepsilon}}{\beta_k - \alpha_k + 1}}_{\mathrm{say } C_{\varepsilon} > 0} \cdot \min\{x_k - (\alpha_k + \varepsilon), (\beta_k - \varepsilon) - x_k\}, \end{split}$$

or

$$\min\{x_k - (\alpha_k + \varepsilon), (\beta_k - \varepsilon) - x_k\} < C_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\delta.$$

(f) By (b)(e), the length of  $T_k$  is

$$|T_k| \le 2(\varepsilon + C_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\delta).$$

Thus we have

$$|F_{\delta,k-1}(\mathbf{y}) - f_{k-1}(\mathbf{y})| \le 2(\varepsilon + C_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\delta)||f||$$

for any fixed  $\mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{int}(H_{k-1})$ . Hence, as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  and then  $\delta \to 0$ , we have  $F_{\delta,k-1}$  converges to  $f_{k-1}$  pointwise on  $\operatorname{int}(H_{k-1})$ , and uniformly on any open neighborhood  $B(\mathbf{y})$  of  $\mathbf{y}$  satisfying  $\overline{B(\mathbf{y})} \subseteq \operatorname{int}(H_{k-1})$ .

(9) Continue this process described in (7) from k-1 to 1. Note that  $H_1$  is a closed interval of finite length (by (8)(a)). So (5) and Theorem 6.12(d) implies that

$$\int_{a_1}^{b_1} F_{\delta,1}(x_1) dx_1 \to \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f(x_1) dx_1$$

uniformly. Since  $F_{\delta} \in \mathcal{C}(I^k)$ ,  $\int F_{\delta}$  is unaffected by any change in this order. Hence it is also true of  $\int_{I^k} f = \int_H f$ .

**Exercise 10.2.** For  $i = 1, 2, 3, ..., let \varphi_i \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}^1)$  have support in  $(2^{-i}, 2^{1-i})$ , such that  $\int \varphi_i = 1$ . Put

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \varphi_i(y)$$

Then f has compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , f is continuous except at (0,0), and

$$\int dy \int f(x,y)dx = 0 \qquad but \qquad \int dx \int f(x,y)dy = 1.$$

Observe that f is unbounded in every neighborhood of (0,0).

Proof.

- (1) If  $f, g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  are two functions, then
  - (a)  $supp(fg) \subseteq supp(f) \cap supp(g)$ .
  - (b)  $supp(f+g) \subseteq supp(f) \cup supp(g)$ .
- (2) Note that f(x,y) is well-defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  since only finitely many terms are nonzero for each fixed point  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  (by (1)). Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup([\varphi_{i}(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)]\varphi_{i}(y)) \\ &\subseteq \{(x,y) : x \in \operatorname{supp}(\varphi_{i}) \cup \operatorname{supp}(\varphi_{i+1}), y \in \operatorname{supp}(\varphi_{i})\} \\ &\subseteq \{(x,y) : x \in (2^{-i}, 2^{-i+1}) \cup (2^{-i-1}, 2^{-i}), y \in (2^{-i}, 2^{-i+1})\} \\ &\subseteq \{(x,y) : x \in (0,1), y \in (0,1)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$  So  $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq (0, 1)^2$ , or  $\operatorname{supp}(f)$  is bounded. As  $\operatorname{supp}(f)$  is closed (by definition),  $\operatorname{supp}(f)$  is compact (Theorem 2.41).

- (3) Show that f(x,y) is not continuous at (0,0).
  - (a) Note that f(0,0) = 0 since  $(0,0) \notin \operatorname{supp}(f) \subseteq (0,1)^2$ . It suffices to show that there exists a sequence  $\{(t_n,t_n)\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $(t_n,t_n) \neq (0,0)$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}(t_n,t_n) = (0,0)$  but  $\lim_{n\to\infty}f(t_n,t_n)$  does not converge to 0 (Theorem 4.2).
  - (b) For any  $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ ,

$$1 = \int \varphi_n = \int_{2^{-n}}^{2^{-n+1}} \varphi(t)dt \le 2^{-n} \sup_{t \in [2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}]} \varphi(t),$$

or  $\sup_{t\in[2^{-n},2^{-n+1}]}\varphi(t)\geq 2^n$ . By the continuity of  $\varphi_n$ , there exists  $t_n\in[2^{-n},2^{-n+1}]$  such that  $\varphi_n(t_n)\geq 2^n$  (Theorem 4.16).

(c) We construct  $\{(t_n, t_n)\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by (b) for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$  Clearly,  $(t_n, t_n) \neq (0, 0)$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (t_n, t_n) = (0, 0)$ . However,

$$f(t_n, t_n) = [\varphi_n(t_n) - \varphi_{n+1}(t_n)]\varphi_n(t_n) = \varphi_n(t_n)^2 \ge 2^{2n}$$

does not converge to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ .

(4) Show that f(x,y) is continuous at  $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_0, y_0) \neq (0,0)$ . Consider an open neighborhood  $B(\mathbf{x}_0; r)$  of  $\mathbf{x}_0$  with  $r = \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_0\|}{64} > 0$ . Hence,

$$f(x,y)|_{B(\mathbf{x}_0;r)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)]\varphi_i(y)$$

is the sum of finitely many terms where  $N = \log_2 \frac{89}{\|\mathbf{x}_0\|} \ge 1$  (since  $[\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)]\varphi_i(y) = 0$  on  $B(\mathbf{x}_0; r)$  whenever  $i \ge N$ ). Therefore,  $f(x, y)|_{B(\mathbf{x}_0; r)}$  is continuous by the continuouty of  $\varphi_i$ .

(5) Show that  $\int dy \int f(x,y)dx = 0$ . For any fixed y, there is a positive integer N(y) such that  $\varphi_{N(y)+1}(y) = \varphi_{N(y)+2}(y) = \ldots = 0$  and

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(y)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \varphi_i(y).$$

So

$$\int f(x,y)dx = \int \sum_{i=1}^{N(y)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)]\varphi_i(y)dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N(y)} \varphi_i(y) \int [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)]dx$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N(y)} \varphi_i(y) \left( \int \varphi_i(x)dx - \int \varphi_{i+1}(x)dx \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N(y)} \varphi_i(y) (1-1)$$

$$= 0,$$

and thus

$$\int dy \int f(x,y)dx = \int 0dy = 0.$$

(6) Show that  $\int dx \int f(x,y)dy = 0$ . For any fixed x, there is a positive integer N(x) such that  $\varphi_{N(x)+1}(x) = \varphi_{N(x)+2}(x) = \dots = 0$  and

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(x)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \varphi_i(y).$$

So

$$\int f(x,y)dy = \int \sum_{i=1}^{N(x)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \varphi_i(y)dy$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N(x)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \int \varphi_i(y)dy$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N(x)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)]$$

$$= \varphi_1(x),$$

and thus

$$\int dx \int f(x,y)dy = \int \varphi_1(x)dx = 1.$$

## Exercise 10.3.

(a) If  $\mathbf{F}$  is as in Theorem 10.7, put  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0})$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$ . Then  $\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$ . Show that

$$\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{G}_n \circ \mathbf{G}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{x})$$

in some neighborhood of  $\mathbf{0}$ , for certain primitive mappings  $\mathbf{G}_1, \dots, \mathbf{G}_n$ . This gives another version of Theorem 10.7:

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{G}_n \circ \mathbf{G}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{x}).$$

(b) Prove that the mapping  $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is not the composition of any two primitive mappings, in any neighborhood of the origin. (This shows that the flips  $B_i$  cannot be omitted from the statement of Theorem 10.7.)

Proof of (a).

- (1) Suppose **F** is a  $\mathscr{C}'$ -mapping of an open set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{0} \in E$ ,  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ , and  $\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0})$  is invertible.
- (2) Similar to the proof of Theorem 10.7. Put  $\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathbf{F}$ .
- (3) As m = 1, there is an open neighborhood  $V_1 \subseteq E$  of  $\mathbf{0}$  such that  $\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{0}) = (\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0}))^{-1}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}'_1(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$  is invertible, and

$$\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  are real  $\mathscr{C}'$ -functions in  $V_1$ . Hence

$$\mathbf{F}_1'(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (D_1\alpha_i)(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_i.$$

Note that  $(D_1\alpha_1)(\mathbf{0}) = 1 \neq 0$ , and we might pick  $B_1 = \mathbf{I}$ . Thus we can define

$$\mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + [\alpha_1(\mathbf{x}) - x_1]\mathbf{e}_1 \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in V_1).$$

Then  $G_1 \in \mathscr{C}'(V_1)$ ,  $G_1$  is primitive, and  $G'_1(0) = I$  is invertible.

- (4) Now we make the induction hypothesis for  $1 \le m \le n-1$ .
- (5) Since  $\mathbf{G}'_m(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$  is invertible, the inverse function theorem shows that there is an open set  $U_m$ , with  $\mathbf{0} \in U_m \subseteq V_m$ , such that  $\mathbf{G}_m$  is an injective mapping of  $U_m$  onto a neighborhood  $V_{m+1}$  of  $\mathbf{0}$ , in which  $\mathbf{G}_m^{-1} \in \mathscr{C}'(V_{m+1})$ . Define  $\mathbf{F}_{m+1}$  by

$$\mathbf{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{F}_m \circ \mathbf{G}_m^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \qquad (\mathbf{y} \in V_{m+1}).$$

Then  $\mathbf{F}_{m+1} \in \mathscr{C}'(V_{m+1})$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_m(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ , and  $\mathbf{F}'_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$  is invertible by the chain rule and the inverse function theorem. So

$$\mathbf{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}) = P_m \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=m+1}^n \alpha_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  are real  $\mathscr{C}'$ -functions in  $V_{m+1}$ . Hence

$$\mathbf{F}'_{m+1}(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{m+1} = \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} (D_{m+1}\alpha_i)(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_i.$$

Note that  $(D_{m+1}\alpha_{m+1})(\mathbf{0}) = 1 \neq 0$ , and we might pick  $B_{m+1} = \mathbf{I}$ . Thus we can define

$$G_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + [\alpha_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}) - x_{m+1}]\mathbf{e}_{m+1} \quad (\mathbf{x} \in V_{m+1}).$$

Then  $\mathbf{G}_{m+1} \in \mathscr{C}'(V_{m+1})$ ,  $\mathbf{G}_{m+1}$  is primitive, and  $\mathbf{G}'_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$  is invertible. Our induction hypothesis holds therefore with m+1 in place of m.

(6) Note that

$$\mathbf{F}_m(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{x})) \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in U_m).$$

If we apply this with m = 1, ..., n - 1, we successively obtain

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathbf{F}_n \circ \mathbf{G}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{G}_1$$

in some open neighborhood of **0**. Note that  $\mathbf{F}_n$  is primitive since

$$\mathbf{F}_n(\mathbf{x}) = P_{n-1}\mathbf{x} + \alpha_n(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{e}_n.$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of (b).

(1) For  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , define

$$\mathbf{F}(x,y) = (y,x).$$

(2) (Reductio ad absurdum) If  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1$  for some primitive mappings  $\mathbf{G}_i$  (i = 1, 2) in some neighborhood  $V_i$  of the origin,  $\mathbf{G}_i(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{G}_i'$  is invertible, then we may assume that

$$G_1(x,y) = (x, g_1(x,y))$$
 and  $G_2(x,y) = (g_2(x,y), y)$ .

Here the case  $\mathbf{G}_1(x,y) = (g_1(x,y),y)$  and  $\mathbf{G}_2(x,y) = (x,g_2(x,y))$  is similar to the above case. Besides,  $\mathbf{G}_1(x,y) = (x,g_1(x,y))$  and  $\mathbf{G}_2(x,y) = (x,g_2(x,y))$  implies that

$$\mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1(x, y) = (x, g_2(x, g_1(x, y))) \neq (y, x) = \mathbf{F}(x, y).$$

Same reason for  $G_1(x, y) = (g_1(x, y), y)$  and  $G_2(x, y) = (g_2(x, y), y)$ .

(3) Note that

$$\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since

$$\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{G}_2'(\mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{0}))\mathbf{G}_1'(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{G}_2'(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{G}_1'(\mathbf{0}),$$

we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 g_2(0,0) & D_2 g_2(0,0) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ D_1 g_1(0,0) & D_2 g_1(0,0) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ D_1 g_1(0,0) & D_2 g_1(0,0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

So  $D_1g_1(0,0) = 1$  and  $D_2g_1(0,0) = 0$ , and thus  $\mathbf{G}'_1(\mathbf{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is not invertible, which is absurd.

**Exercise 10.4.** For  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , define

$$\mathbf{F}(x,y) = (e^x \cos y - 1, e^x \sin y)$$

Prove that  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1$ , where

$$\mathbf{G}_1(x,y) = (e^x \cos y - 1, y)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_2(u,v) = (u, (1+u) \tan v)$$

are primitive in some neighborhood of (0,0). Compute the Jacobians of  $\mathbf{G}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{G}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{F}$  at (0,0). Define

$$\mathbf{H}_2(x,y) = (x, e^x \sin y)$$

and find

$$\mathbf{H}_1(u,v) = (h(u,v),v)$$

so that  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{H}_1 \circ \mathbf{H}_2$  is in some neighborhood of (0,0).

Proof.

(1) By Definition 10.5,

$$\mathbf{G}_1(x,y) = (e^x \cos y - 1)\mathbf{e}_1 + y\mathbf{e}_2,$$
  
$$\mathbf{G}_2(u,v) = u\mathbf{e}_1 + ((1+u)\tan v)\mathbf{e}_2$$

are primitive in some neighborhood of (0,0).

(2) Show that  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1$ . Given any  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we have

$$(\mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1)(x, y) = \mathbf{G}_2(\mathbf{G}_1(x, y))$$

$$= \mathbf{G}_2(e^x \cos y - 1, y)$$

$$= (e^x \cos y - 1, (1 + (e^x \cos y - 1)) \tan y)$$

$$= (e^x \cos y - 1, e^x \sin y)$$

$$= \mathbf{F}(x, y).$$

(3) Since

$$\begin{split} J_{\mathbf{G}_1}(x,y) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} e^x \cos y & -e^x \sin y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = e^x \cos y \\ J_{\mathbf{G}_2}(x,y) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \tan y & (1+x)\sec^2 y \end{bmatrix} = (1+x)\sec^2 y \\ J_{\mathbf{F}}(x,y) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} e^x \cos y & -e^x \sin y \\ e^x \sin y & e^x \cos y \end{bmatrix} = e^{2x}, \end{split}$$

$$J_{\mathbf{G}_{1}}(0,0) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1$$
$$J_{\mathbf{G}_{2}}(0,0) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1$$
$$J_{\mathbf{F}}(0,0) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$

(4) Define  $h(u, v) = \sqrt{e^{2u} - v^2} - 1$  on

$$B\left((0,0); \frac{1}{64}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2.$$

h(u,v) is well-defined since  $e^{2u}-v^2>0$  for all  $(u,v)\in B\left((0,0);\frac{1}{64}\right)$ .

(5) Given any  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we have

$$(\mathbf{H}_1 \circ \mathbf{H}_2)(x, y) = \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbf{H}_2(x, y))$$

$$= \mathbf{H}_1(x, e^x \sin y)$$

$$= (\sqrt{e^{2x} - (e^x \sin y)^2} - 1, e^x \sin y)$$

$$= (e^x \cos y - 1, e^x \sin y)$$

$$= \mathbf{F}(x, y).$$

**Exercise 10.5.** Formulate and prove an analogue of Theorem 10.8, in which K is a compact subset of an arbitrary metric space. (Replace the functions  $\varphi_i$  that occur in the proof of Theorem 10.8 by functions of the type constructed in Exercise 4.22.)

Proof (Theorem 10.8).

- (1) (Partitions of unity.) Suppose K is a compact subset of a metric space X, and  $\{V_{\alpha}\}$  is an open cover of K. Then there exist functions  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_s \in \mathscr{C}(X)$  such that
  - (a)  $0 < \psi_i < 1 \text{ for } 1 < i < s$ .
  - (b) each  $\psi_i$  has its support in some  $V_{\alpha}$ , and
  - (c)  $\psi_1(x) + \cdots + \psi_s(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in K$ .
- (2) It is trivial that some  $V_{\alpha} = X$  by taking s = 1 and  $\psi_1(x) = 1 \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ . Now we assume that all  $V_{\alpha} \subsetneq X$ .
- (3) Associate with each  $x \in K$  an index  $\alpha(x)$  so that  $x \in V_{\alpha(x)}$ . Then there are open balls B(x) and W(x), centered at x, with

$$x \in B(x) \subseteq \overline{B(x)} \subseteq W(x) \subseteq \overline{W(x)} \subseteq V_{\alpha(x)}$$

(Since  $V_{\alpha(x)}$  is open, there exists r>0 such that  $B(x;r)\subseteq V_{\alpha(x)}$ . Take  $B(x)=B\left(x;\frac{r}{89}\right)$  and  $W(x)=B\left(x;\frac{r}{64}\right)$ .)

(4) Since K is compact, there are finitely many points  $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in K$  such that

$$K \subseteq B(x_1) \cup \cdots \cup B(x_s).$$

Note that

- (a)  $\overline{B(x_i)}$  is a nonempty closed set since  $x_i \in B(x_i) \subseteq \overline{B(x_i)}$ .
- (b)  $X W(x_i) \supseteq X V_{\alpha(x_i)}$  is a nonempty closed set by the assumption in (2).

(c) 
$$\overline{B(x_i)} \cap (X - W(x_i)) \subseteq W(x_i) \cap (X - W(x_i)) = \emptyset$$
.

By Exercise 4.22, there is a function

$$\varphi_i(x) = \frac{\rho_{\overline{B(x_i)}}(x)}{\rho_{\overline{B(x_i)}}(x) + \rho_{X - W(x_i)}(x)} \in \mathscr{C}(X)$$

such that  $\varphi_i(x) = 1$  on  $\overline{B(x_i)}$ ,  $\varphi_i(x) = 0$  outside  $W(x_i)$ , and  $0 \le \varphi_i(x) \le 1$  on X for  $1 \le i \le s$ .

(5) Define  $\psi_1 = \varphi_1 \in \mathscr{C}(X)$  and

$$\psi_{i+1} = (1 - \varphi_1) \cdots (1 - \varphi_i) \varphi_{i+1} \in \mathscr{C}(X)$$

for  $1 \le i \le s - 1$ . Properties (a) and (b) in (1) are clear. Also,

$$\psi_1(x) + \dots + \psi_s(x) = 1 - (1 - \varphi_1(x)) \cdots (1 - \varphi_s(x))$$

by the construction of  $\psi_i$ . If  $x \in K$ , then  $x \in B(x_i)$  for some i, hence  $\varphi_i(x) = 1$ , and the product  $(1 - \varphi_1(x)) \cdots (1 - \varphi_s(x)) = 0$ . This proves property (c) in (1).

**Exercise 10.6.** Strengthen the conclusion of Theorem 10.8 by showing that the functions  $\psi_i$  can be made differentiable, and even infinitely differentiable. (Use Exercise 8.1 in the construction of the auxiliary functions  $\psi_i$ .)

Proof (Theorem 10.8).

- (1) It is trivial that some  $V_{\alpha} = \mathbb{R}^n$  by taking s = 1 and  $\psi_1(\mathbf{x}) = 1 \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now we assume that all  $V_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (2) Associate with each  $\mathbf{x} \in K$  an index  $\alpha(x)$  so that  $\mathbf{x} \in V_{\alpha(x)}$ . Then there are open *n*-cells  $B(\mathbf{x})$  and  $W(\mathbf{x})$  (Definition 10.1), centered at  $\mathbf{x}$ , with

$$\mathbf{x} \in B(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \overline{B(\mathbf{x})} \subseteq W(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \overline{W(\mathbf{x})} \subseteq V_{\alpha(\mathbf{x})}$$

(Since  $V_{\alpha(\mathbf{x})}$  is open, there exists r > 0 such that  $B(\mathbf{x}; r) \subseteq V_{\alpha(\mathbf{x})}$ . Take

$$B(\mathbf{x}) = I\left(\mathbf{x}; \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}\right), \qquad W(\mathbf{x}) = I\left(\mathbf{x}; \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

where  $I(\mathbf{p};r)$  is the open n-cell centered at  $\mathbf{p}=(p_1,\ldots,p_n)$  defined by

$$I(\mathbf{p};r) = (p_1 - r, p_1 + r) \times \cdots \times (p_n - r, p_n + r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$
.)

(3) Define

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{y^2}} & (y > 0), \\ 0 & (y \le 0). \end{cases}$$

 $f(y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^1)$  by applying the similar argument in Exercise 8.1.

(4) Given any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K$  and construct  $B(\mathbf{x})$  and  $W(\mathbf{x})$  as in (2). Define

$$g_{x_j}(y_j) = \frac{f(y_j)}{f(y_j) + f\left(\frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}} - y_j\right)}$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .  $g_{x_j}$  is well-defined and  $g_{x_j} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ . So

$$g_{x_j}(y_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_j \le 0, \\ \text{strictly increasing} & \text{if } 0 \le y_j \le \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } y_j \ge \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}. \end{cases}$$

Next, define

$$h_{x_j}(y_j) = g_{x_j} \left( y_j - x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} \right) g_{x_j} \left( x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - y_j \right)$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .  $h_{x_j} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ . So

$$h_{x_j}(y_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_j \leq x_j - \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}, \\ \text{strictly increasing} & \text{if } x_j - \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} \leq y_j \leq x_j - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x_j - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}} \leq y_j \leq x_j + \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}, \\ \text{strictly decreasing} & \text{if } x_j + \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}} \leq y_j \leq x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } y_j \geq x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}. \end{cases}$$

Finally we define  $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^1$  by

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} h_{x_j}(y_j)$$

where  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, \underline{y_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{h_x} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (Theorem 9.21). Also,  $\mathbf{h_x}(\mathbf{y}) = 1$  on  $\overline{B(\mathbf{x})}$ ,  $\mathbf{h_x}(\mathbf{y}) = 0$  outside  $W(\mathbf{x})$ , and  $0 \leq \mathbf{h_x}(\mathbf{y}) \leq 1$ .

(5) Since K is compact, there are finitely many points  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s \in K$  such that

$$K \subseteq B(\mathbf{x}_1) \cup \cdots \cup B(\mathbf{x}_s).$$

Take

$$\varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}_i}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

(6) The rest are the same as the proof of Theorem 10.8 or Exercise 10.5.

## Exercise 10.7.

- (a) Show that the simplex  $Q^k$  is the smallest convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  such that contains  $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$ .
- (b) Show that affine mappings take convex sets to convex sets.

Proof of (a).

(1) Show that  $Q^k$  contains  $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$ . Recall

$$Q^k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : x_1 + \dots + x_k \le 1 \text{ and } x_1, \dots, x_k \ge 0\}$$

(Example 10.14). Hence  $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in Q^k$  and

$$\mathbf{e}_i = (0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{i \text{th coordinate}}, \dots, 0) \in Q^k.$$

(2) Show that  $Q^k$  is a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Given any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in Q^k$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in Q^k$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Hence

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{y} = (\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1, \dots, \lambda x_k + (1 - \lambda)y_k) \in Q^k$$

since each  $\lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda)y_i \ge 0$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda)y_i) = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i \le \lambda + (1 - \lambda) = 1.$$

- (3) Given any convex set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  containing  $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$ . Show that  $E \supseteq Q^k$ .
  - (a) Induction on k. Base case: k = 1. Given any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1) \in Q^1$ . We have  $0 \le x_1 \le 1$  by the definition of  $Q^1$ . So that  $\mathbf{x} = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + (1 x_1) \mathbf{0} \in E$  since  $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1 \in E$  and E is convex.
  - (b) Inductive step: suppose the statement holds for k=n. Given any  $\mathbf{x}=(x_1,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1})\in Q^{n+1}$ . If  $x_{n+1}=1$ , then  $x_1=\cdots=x_n=0$  by the definition of  $Q^{n+1}$ . So  $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{n+1}\in E$  by the assumption of E. If  $0\leq x_{n+1}<1$ , then  $x_1+\cdots+x_n\leq 1-x_{n+1}$  or

$$\frac{x_1}{1 - x_{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{x_n}{1 - x_{n+1}} \le 1.$$

So the point

$$\left(\frac{x_1}{1-x_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1-x_{n+1}}\right) \in Q^n,$$

or

$$\left(\frac{x_1}{1-x_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1-x_{n+1}}, 0\right), \text{ say } \widehat{\mathbf{x}}, \in E$$

by the induction hypothesis. Note that  $\mathbf{e}_{n+1} \in E$ . Hence

$$\mathbf{x} = x_{n+1}\mathbf{e}_{n+1} + (1 - x_{n+1})\widehat{\mathbf{x}} \in E$$

by the convexity of E.

(c) Conclusion: Since both the base case and the inductive step have been proved as true, by mathematical induction the statement holds.

Proof of (b).

(1) Let  $\mathbf{f}$  be an affine mapping that carries a vector space X into a vector space Y such that

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A\mathbf{x}$$

for some  $A \in L(X, Y)$ .

(2) Given any convex subset C of X. To show that  $\mathbf{f}(C)$  is convex, it suffices to show that

$$\lambda \mathbf{y}_1 + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathbf{f}(C)$$

for any  $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathbf{f}(C)$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Write  $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_1)$ ,  $\mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_2)$  for some  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in C$ . Note that  $\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}_2 \in C$  by the convexity of C. Hence

$$\mathbf{f}(\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}_2)$$

$$= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A(\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}_2)$$

$$= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + \lambda A\mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda)A\mathbf{x}_2 \qquad (A \in L(X, Y))$$

$$= \lambda (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A\mathbf{x}_1) + (1 - \lambda)(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A\mathbf{x}_2)$$

$$= \lambda \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_1) + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_2)$$

$$= \lambda \mathbf{y}_1 + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathbf{f}(C).$$

**Exercise 10.8.** Let H be the parallelogram in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose vertices are (1,1), (3,2), (4,5), (2,4). Find the affine map T which sends (0,0) to (1,1), (1,0) to (3,2), (1,1) to (4,5), (0,1) to (2,4). Show that  $J_T = 5$ . Use T to convert the integral

$$\alpha = \int_{H} e^{x-y} dx \, dy$$

to an integral over  $I^2$  and thus compute  $\alpha$ .

Proof.

(1) By Affine simplexes 10.26,

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{0}) + A\mathbf{x},$$

where  $A\in L(\mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R}^2)$ , say  $A=\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ . Note that  $T:\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Thus

$$T: \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + ax + by \\ 1 + cx + dy \end{bmatrix}.$$

(2) By  $T:(1,0)\mapsto (3,2)$  and  $T:(0,1)\mapsto (2,4),$  we can solve A as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify such

$$T: \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{x}} \mapsto \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{T(0)} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}}_{A} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2x + y \\ 1 + x + 3y \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfying our requirement.

(3)

$$J_T = \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = 5.$$

(4) By Example 10.4 and Theorem 10.9, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{H} e^{x-y} dx \, dy &= \int_{I^{2}} e^{(1+2u+v)-(1+u+3v)} |J_{T}| du \, dv \\ &= 5 \int_{I^{2}} e^{u-2v} du \, dv \\ &= 5 \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} e^{u} du \right\} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2v} dv \right\} \qquad \text{(Theorem 10.2)} \\ &= \frac{5}{2} (e-1)(1-e^{-2}). \end{split}$$

**Exercise 10.9.** Define  $(x,y) = T(r,\theta)$  one the rectangle

$$0 \le r \le a, \qquad 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$$

by the equations

$$x = r \cos \theta, \qquad y = r \sin \theta.$$

Show that T maps this rectangle onto the closed disc D with center at (0,0) and radius a, that T is one-to-one in the interior of the rectangle, and that  $J_T(r,\theta) = r$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{C}(D)$ , prove the formula for integration in polar coordinates:

$$\int_{D} f(x,y)dx dy = \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(T(r,\theta))rdr d\theta.$$

(Hint: Let  $D_0$  be the interior of D, minus the interval from (0,0) to (a,0). As it stands, Theorem 10.9 applies to continuous functions f whose support lies in  $D_0$ . To remove this restriction, proceed as in Example 10.4.)

*Proof.* Define  $E = [0, a] \times [0, 2\pi]$ .

- (1) Show that T maps E onto D. Given any  $(x,y) \in D$ .
  - (a) It is equivalent to solve  $(r, \theta)$  from

$$x = r\cos\theta$$
$$y = r\sin\theta$$

in terms of (x, y). Let L be the closed interval from (0, 0) to (a, 0), say  $L = \{(r, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 \le r \le a\} \subseteq D$ .

- (b) If  $(x,y) \in L$ , say (x,y) = (r,0) for some  $r \in [0,a]$ , then there exists (r,0) or  $(r,2\pi)$  such that  $T(r,0) = T(r,2\pi) = (r,0)$ . (Therefore, T is not one-to-one on L.)
- (c) If  $(x,y) \in D L$ , then there is  $r = (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  in [0,a] (since  $(x,y) \in D = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le a\}$ ). Define

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \arccos\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) & \text{if } y \ge 0, \\ 2\pi - \arccos\left(\frac{x}{r}\right) & \text{if } y < 0. \end{cases}$$

It is well-defined since  $r \neq 0$ . Besides,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $T(r, \theta) = (x, y)$ .

(2) Show that T is one-to-one in the interior of the rectangle E. Suppose  $(r_1, \theta_1), (r_2, \theta_2) \in \text{int}(E) = (0, a) \times (0, 2\pi)$  and  $T(r_1, \theta_1) = T(r_2, \theta_2) = (x, y) \in D$ . Then

$$x = r_1 \cos \theta_1 = r_2 \cos \theta_2,$$
  

$$y = r_1 \sin \theta_1 = r_2 \sin \theta_2.$$

Note that  $r_1^2 = r_2^2 = x^2 + y^2$  and  $r_1, r_2 > 0$ , we have  $r_1 = r_2$ . Solve  $\cos \theta_1 = \cos \theta_2$  and  $\sin \theta_1 = \sin \theta_2$  to get  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 + 2m\pi$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here m must be zero since  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in (0, 2\pi)$ . Therefore,  $(r_1, \theta_1) = (r_2, \theta_2)$ .

(3)  $T(\operatorname{int}(E)) = D_0 = \operatorname{int}(D) - L$  (by (1)(2)).

(4) Show that  $J_T(r,\theta) = r$ .

$$J_T(r,\theta) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} = r.$$

(5) If  $f \in \mathcal{C}(D)$ , show that

$$\int_D f(x,y)dx\,dy$$

is well-defined. Similar to Example 10.4.

(a) Extend f to a function on  $I^2 = [-a, a]^2$  by setting f(x, y) = 0 off D, and define

$$\int_D f = \int_{I^2} f.$$

Since f may be discontinuous on  $I^2$ , the existence of the integral  $\int_{I^2} f$ . We also wish to show that this integral is independent of the order in which the 2 integrations are carried out.

(b) To do this, suppose  $0 < \delta < 1$ , put

$$\varphi_{\delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \leq 1 - \delta, \\ \frac{1 - t}{\delta} & \text{if } 1 - \delta \leq t \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and define

$$F_{\delta}(x,y) = \varphi_{\delta}\left(\frac{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}{a}\right) f(x,y).$$

Then  $F_{\delta} \in \mathscr{C}(I^2)$  (or  $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ).

(c) For each  $x \in [-a, a]$ , the set of all y such that  $F_{\delta}(x, y) \neq f(x, y)$  is contained a union of two segment whose length does not exceed

$$a\sqrt{1^2 - (1 - \delta)^2} = a\sqrt{2\delta - \delta^2} < a\sqrt{2\delta}.$$

Since  $0 \le \varphi_{\delta} \le 1$ , it follows that

$$\left| \int_{-a}^{a} F_{\delta}(x, y) dy - \int_{-a}^{a} f(x, y) dy \right| \le 2a\sqrt{2\delta} ||f||$$

where  $||f|| = \max_{(x,y)\in I^2} |f(x,y)|$ . So the sequence of continuous function

$$\left\{ \int_{-a}^{a} F_{\delta}(x,y) dy \right\} \to \int_{-a}^{a} f(x,y) dy := g(x)$$

uniformly as  $\delta \to 0$ .  $(\delta = \frac{1}{n} \text{ for example.})$  So  $g(x) \in \mathcal{C}([-a, a])$ , and the further integrations present no problem, that is,  $\int_{I^2} f$  is existed.

(d) Moreover,

$$\left| \int_{I^2} F_{\delta}(x, y) dx dy - \int_{I^2} f(x, y) dx dy \right| \le 4a^2 \sqrt{2\delta} \|f\|$$

It is true, regardless of the order in which the 2 single integrations are carried out. Since  $F_{\delta} \in \mathcal{C}(I^2)$ ,  $\int F_{\delta}$  is unaffected by any change in this order. Hence the inequality shows that the same is true of  $\int f$ .

(6) Show that

$$\int_{D} f(x,y)dx dy = \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(T(r,\theta))rdr d\theta.$$

- (a) Note that T is a one-to-one  $\mathscr{C}'$ -mapping of an open set  $D_0$  such that  $J_T(r,\theta) = r \neq 0$  for all  $(r,\theta) \in \text{int}(E)$ . To apply Theorem 10.9, we will leverage Example 10.4 again.
- (b) Given any min  $\left\{\frac{a}{89}, \frac{\pi}{64}\right\} > \delta > 0$ . Define

$$E_{\delta} = [\delta, a - \delta] \times [\delta, 2\pi - \delta] \subseteq \operatorname{int}(E)$$

and  $D_{\delta} = T(E_{\delta})$ . Similar to Example 10.4, let  $\varphi_{\delta}$  be a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\varphi_{\delta}(x,y) = 1$  on  $D_{\delta}$  and  $\varphi_{\delta}(x,y) = 0$  off  $D_{\frac{\delta}{2}}$ . Consider  $f_{\delta}(x,y) = \varphi_{\delta}(x,y)f(x,y)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . By construction,  $f_{\delta}$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose support is compact and lies in  $D_{\frac{\delta}{2}} \subseteq D_0$ . Hence, by Theorem 10.9

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{\delta}(x,y) dx dy &= \int_{D_{\frac{\delta}{2}}} f_{\delta}(x,y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{E_{\frac{\delta}{2}}} f_{\delta}(T(r,\theta)) |J_T(r,\theta)| dr d\theta \\ &= \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^{a-\frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^{2\pi-\frac{\delta}{2}} f(T(r,\theta)) r dr d\theta. \end{split}$$

(c) Since  $f \in \mathcal{C}(D)$  and Exercise 6.7,

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^{a - \frac{\delta}{2}} \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^{2\pi - \frac{\delta}{2}} f(T(r, \theta)) r dr d\theta = \int_{0}^{a} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(T(r, \theta)) r dr d\theta.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{\delta} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f.$$

(d) Note that 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f = \int_D f$$
 (by (5)) and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{\delta} = \int_{D_{\frac{\delta}{2}}} f_{\delta} = \int_D f_{\delta}$  (by (b)). So

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{\delta} \right| = \left| \int_{D} f - \int_{D} f_{\delta} \right|.$$

To estimate the difference between  $\int_D f$  and  $\int_D f_{\delta}$ , we notice that f and  $f_{\delta}$  are coincide on  $D_{\delta}$  by construction. Given any  $(x,y) \in D - D_{\delta}$ . Fix y, the set of all x such that  $f(x,y) \neq f_{\delta}(x,y)$  is contained a union of two segment whose length does not exceed  $\sqrt{a^2 - (a - \delta)^2 \cos^2 \delta}$ . Similarly as in (5), we have

$$\left| \int_D f - \int_D f_\delta \right| \le 4a\sqrt{a^2 - (a - \delta)^2 \cos^2 \delta} \|f\|.$$

Hence,  $\lim \int_D f_{\delta} = \int_D f$ .

**Exercise 10.10.** Let  $a \to \infty$  in Exercise 10.9 and prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x,y) dx dy = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} f(T(r,\theta)) r dr d\theta,$$

for continuous functions f that decrease sufficiently rapidly as  $|x| + |y| \to \infty$ . (Find a more precise formulation.) Apply this to

$$f(x,y) = \exp(-x^2 - y^2)$$

 $to\ derive\ formula$ 

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-s^2} ds = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

Proof.

(1) Define

$$D_a = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \le a^2\},\$$
  
$$I_a = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| \le a, |y| \le a\},\$$

for any a > 0. Similar to Definition 10.1, define

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x,y) dx dy = \lim_{a \to \infty} \int_{I_a} f(x,y) dx dy.$$

Besides, since  $f \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} f(T(r,\theta)) r dr d\theta = \lim_{a \to \infty} \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} f(T(r,\theta)) r dr d\theta$$
$$= \lim_{a \to \infty} \int_{D_a} f(x,y) dx dy$$

by the definition in Exercise 6.8 and Exercise 10.9. So it suffices to show that both  $\lim_{a\to\infty}\int_{I_a}f(x,y)dxdy$  and  $\lim_{a\to\infty}\int_{D_a}f(x,y)dxdy$  exist and they are equal if f decreases sufficiently rapidly as  $|x|+|y|\to\infty$ .

(2) Say that f decreases sufficiently rapidly as  $|x| + |y| \to \infty$  if there is a real number M > 0 such that

$$|f(x,y)| \le \alpha (x^2 + y^2)^{-1-\beta}$$

for some  $\alpha>0$  and  $\beta>0$  whenever  $|x|+|y|\geq M.$  Similar to Theorem 3.29, we can give other weaker hypotheses:

$$|f(x,y)| \le \alpha (x^2 + y^2)^{-1} (\log(x^2 + y^2))^{-1-\beta}$$

or

$$|f(x,y)| \le \alpha (x^2 + y^2)^{-1} (\log(x^2 + y^2))^{-1} (\log\log(x^2 + y^2))^{-1-\beta}$$

and so on.

(3) Write

$$\int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} |f(T(r,\theta))| r dr d\theta$$

$$= \int_0^M \int_0^{2\pi} |f(T(r,\theta))| r dr d\theta + \int_M^a \int_0^{2\pi} |f(T(r,\theta))| r dr d\theta.$$

Note that

$$\int_0^M \int_0^{2\pi} |f(T(r,\theta))| r dr d\theta < +\infty$$

since  $f \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Besides,

$$\int_{M}^{a} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(T(r,\theta))| r dr d\theta \le \int_{M}^{a} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \alpha (x^{2} + y^{2})^{-1-\beta} r dr d\theta$$

$$= \int_{M}^{a} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \alpha r^{-1-2\beta} dr d\theta$$

$$= \pi \alpha \beta^{-1} (M^{-2\beta} - a^{-2\beta})$$

is finite as  $a\to\infty$ . Hence,  $\int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} |f(T(r,\theta))| r dr d\theta$  converges absolutely, or  $\lim_{a\to\infty} \int_{D_a} f(x,y) dx dy$  exists.

(4) Note that

$$\int_{D_a} |f(x,y)| dx dy \leq \int_{I_a} |f(x,y)| dx dy \leq \int_{D_{\sqrt{2}a}} |f(x,y)| dx dy.$$

Let  $a \to \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{a\to\infty}\int_{I_a}|f(x,y)|dxdy=\lim_{a\to\infty}\int_{D_a}|f(x,y)|dxdy$$

also exists.

(5) Show that 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-s^2} ds = \sqrt{\pi}$$
. Take  $f(x,y) = \exp(-x^2 - y^2) \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . So 
$$\lim_{x^2 + y^2 \to \infty} |f(x,y)| (x^2 + y^2)^2 = \lim_{x^2 + y^2 \to \infty} e^{-(x^2 + y^2)} (x^2 + y^2)^2 = 0$$

by Theorem 8.6(f). Note that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} f(T(r,\theta)) r dr d\theta = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-r^2} r dr d\theta$$
$$= 2\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} r dr$$
$$= \pi \left[ -e^{-r^2} \right]_{r=0}^{r=\infty}$$
$$= \pi$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, y) dx dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2 - y^2} dx dy$$
$$= \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx \right\} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-y^2} dy \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx \right\}^2.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x,y) dx dy = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} f(T(r,\theta)) r dr d\theta$$

$$\implies \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-x^2} dx \right\}^2 = \pi$$

$$\implies \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

(Here  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx$  is always nonnegative.)

**Exercise 10.11.** Define (u, v) = T(s, t) on the strip

$$0 < s < \infty, \qquad 0 < t < 1$$

by setting u = s - st, v = st. Show that T is a 1-1 mapping of the strip onto the positive quadrant Q in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Show that  $J_T(s,t) = s$ . For x > 0, y > 0, integrate

$$u^{x-1}e^{-u}v^{y-1}e^{-v}$$

over Q, use Theorem 10.9 to convert the integral to one over the strip, and derive

$$\int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$$

in this way. (For this application, Theorem 10.9 has to be extended so as to cover certain improper integrals. Provide this extension.)

Proof.

(1) Write

$$S = \{(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < s < \infty, 0 < t < 1\}$$
 
$$Q = \{(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : u > 0, v > 0\}.$$

(2) Show that T maps S onto Q. Given any  $(u, v) \in Q$ . It is equivalent to solve (s, t) from

$$u = s - st$$
$$v = st$$

in terms of (u,v). It is trivial since  $s=(s-st)+st=u+v\in(0,\infty)$  and  $t=\frac{v}{s}=\frac{v}{u+v}\in(0,1)$ . Hence,  $T:(u+v,\frac{v}{u+v})\mapsto(u,v)$  is onto.

(3) Show that T is one-to-one. Suppose  $(s_1, t_1), (s_2, t_2) \in S$  and  $T(s_1, t_1) = T(s_2, t_2) = (u, v) \in Q$ . Then

$$u = s_1 - s_1 t_1 = s_2 - s_2 t_2,$$
  
 $v = s_1 t_1 = s_2 t_2.$ 

Note that  $s_1=s_2=u+v>0$ . As  $u+v\neq 0$ ,  $t_1=\frac{v}{s_1}=\frac{v}{s_2}=t_2$ . Therefore,  $(s_1,t_1)=(s_2,t_2)$ .

(4) Show that  $J_T(s,t) = s$ .

$$J_T(s,t) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1-t & -s \\ t & s \end{bmatrix} = s.$$

(5) Let  $f(u,v) = u^{x-1}e^{-u}v^{y-1}e^{-v}$  be defined on Q. Note that

$$\begin{split} \int_Q f(u,v) du dv &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u^{x-1} e^{-u} v^{y-1} e^{-v} du dv \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^\infty u^{x-1} e^{-u} du \right\} \left\{ \int_0^\infty v^{y-1} e^{-v} dv \right\} \\ &= \Gamma(x) \Gamma(y) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \int_{S} f(T(s,t))s ds dt &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} s^{x+y-1} e^{-s} t^{y-1} (1-t)^{x-1} ds dt \\ &= \left\{ \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{x+y-1} e^{-s} ds \right\} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} t^{y-1} (1-t)^{x-1} dt \right\} \\ &= \Gamma(x+y) \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt \right\}. \end{split}$$

Now we assume that  $\int_O f(u,v)dudv = \int_S f(T(s,t))sdsdt$  holds, then

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \Gamma(x+y) \left\{ \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt \right\}$$

or

$$\int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}.$$

(6) Given  $f \in \mathcal{C}(Q)$ . Suppose both  $\int_Q f(u,v) du dv$  and  $\int_S f(T(s,t)) s ds dt$  converge as improper integrals in the sense of Exercises 6.7 and 6.8. Show that

$$\int_{Q} f(u, v) du dv = \int_{S} f(T(s, t)) s ds dt$$

(a) Given a > 64 and  $1 > \delta > 0$ . Define

$$\begin{split} Q_{a,\delta} &= [\delta, a - \delta]^2 \subseteq Q \\ S_{a,\delta} &= [2\delta, 2a - 2\delta] \times \left[ \frac{\delta}{2a - \delta}, 1 - \frac{\delta}{2a - \delta} \right] \subseteq S. \end{split}$$

So  $T(S_{a,\delta}) = Q_{a,\delta}$ .

(b) Similar to (6) in the proof of Exercise 10.9, there is a continuous function  $\varphi_{a,\delta}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\varphi_{a,\delta}(x,y)=1$  on  $Q_{a,\delta}$  and  $\varphi_{a,\delta}(x,y)=0$  off  $Q_{a,\frac{\delta}{2}}$ . Consider  $f_{a,\delta}(x,y)=\varphi_{a,\delta}(x,y)f(x,y)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . By construction,  $f_{a,\delta}$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose support is compact and lies in  $Q_{a,\frac{\delta}{2}}\subseteq Q$ . Hence, by Theorem 10.9

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{a,\delta}(u,v) du dv &= \int_{Q_{a,\frac{\delta}{2}}} f_{a,\delta}(u,v) du dv \\ &= \int_{S_{a,\frac{\delta}{2}}} f_{a,\delta}(T(s,t)) |J_T(s,t)| ds dt \\ &= \int_{S_{a,\frac{\delta}{2}}} f_{a,\delta}(T(s,t)) s ds dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{a,\delta}(T(s,t)) s ds dt. \end{split}$$

(c) Given any  $\varepsilon>0$ . Since  $\int_Q f(u,v)dudv$  converges, there exists a real number a>0 such that

$$\left| \int_{[0,a]^2} f(u,v) du dv - \int_Q f(u,v) du dv \right| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{89}.$$

Write

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{a,\delta} \, du dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f \, du dv \right| = \left| \int_Q f_{a,\delta} \, du dv - \int_Q f \, du dv \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \int_Q f_{a,\delta} \, du dv - \int_{[0,a]^2} f \, du dv \right|$$

$$+ \left| \int_{[0,a]^2} f \, du dv - \int_Q f \, du dv \right|.$$

Sc

$$\left| \int_{Q} f_{a,\delta} \, du dv - \int_{[0,a]^{2}} f \, du dv \right| \leq \int_{[0,a]^{2}} |f_{a,\delta} - f| \, du dv.$$

Notice that f and  $f_{a,\delta}$  are coincide on  $Q_{a,\delta}$  by construction. A simple calculation shows that

$$\int_{[0,a]^2} |f_{a,\delta} - f| \, du dv \le 2a\delta ||f||.$$

Choose  $\delta = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon}{64 \cdot 2a(\|f\|+1)}\right\} > 0$  so that

$$\left| \int_{Q} f_{a,\delta} \ du dv - \int_{[0,a]^2} f \ du dv \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{64}.$$

Hence,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{a,\delta} \ du dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f \ du dv \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{89} + \frac{\varepsilon}{64} < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\substack{a\to\infty\\\delta\to 0}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}f_{a,\delta}\;dudv=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}f\;dudv.$$

(d) Similar to (c),

$$\lim_{\substack{a\to\infty\\\delta\to 0}}\int_{S_{a,\frac{\delta}{2}}}f_{a,\delta}(T(s,t))s\ dsdt=\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}f(T(s,t))s\ dsdt.$$

Hence

$$\int_{Q} f(u, v) \, du dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f(u, v) \, du dv$$

$$= \lim_{\substack{a \to \infty \\ \delta \to 0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f_{a, \delta}(u, v) \, du dv$$

$$= \lim_{\substack{a \to \infty \\ \delta \to 0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f_{a, \delta}(T(s, t)) s \, ds dt$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} f(T(s, t)) s \, ds dt$$

$$= \int_{S} f(T(s, t)) s \, ds dt.$$

**Exercise 10.12.** Let  $I^k$  be the set of all  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $0 \le u_i \le 1$  for all i; let  $Q^k$  be the set of all  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $x_i \ge 0$ ,  $\sum x_i \le 1$ . ( $I^k$  is the unit cube;  $Q^k$  is the standard simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .) Define  $\mathbf{x} = T(\mathbf{u})$  by

$$x_1 = u_1$$
  
 $x_2 = (1 - u_1)u_2$   
...  
 $x_k = (1 - u_1) \cdots (1 - u_{k-1})u_k$ .

Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - u_i).$$

Show that T maps  $I^k$  onto  $Q^k$ , that T is 1-1 in the interior of  $I^k$ , and that its inverse S is defined in the interior of  $Q^k$  by  $u_1 = x_1$  and

$$u_i = \frac{x_i}{1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{i-1}}$$

for i = 2, ..., k. Show that

$$J_T(\mathbf{u}) = (1 - u_1)^{k-1} (1 - u_2)^{k-2} \cdots (1 - u_{k-1}),$$

and

$$J_S(\mathbf{x}) = [(1 - x_1)(1 - x_1 - x_2) \cdots (1 - x_1 - \cdots - x_{k-1})]^{-1}.$$

Proof.

(1) Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - u_i)$$

for all  $1 \le m \le k$ . Induction on m. Base case:  $x_1 = 1 - (1 - u_1)$ . Inductive step: Suppose the case m = h is true. Consider the the case m = h + 1:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{h+1} x_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^h x_i\right) + x_{h+1}$$

$$= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^h (1 - u_i) + x_{h+1} \qquad \text{(Induction hypothesis)}$$

$$= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^h (1 - u_i) + u_{h+1} \prod_{i=1}^h (1 - u_i) \qquad \text{(Definition of } x_{h+1})$$

$$= 1 - (1 - u_{h+1}) \prod_{i=1}^h (1 - u_i)$$

$$= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{h+1} (1 - u_i).$$

Since both the base case and the inductive step have been proved as true, by mathematical induction the statement is established.

(2) Show that T maps  $I^k$  onto  $Q^k$ . Given any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in Q^k$ . It is equivalent to solve  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$  from

$$x_1 = u_1$$
  
 $x_2 = (1 - u_1)u_2$   
...  
 $x_k = (1 - u_1) \cdots (1 - u_{k-1})u_k$ 

in terms of  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ . It is clear that  $u_1 = x_1$  and

$$u_i = \begin{cases} x_i (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{i-1})^{-1} & \text{if } x_1 + \dots + x_{i-1} \neq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_1 + \dots + x_{i-1} = 1. \end{cases}$$

for i = 2, ..., k. (If  $x_1 + \cdots + x_{i-1} \neq 1$ , by (1) we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - u_j) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_i \neq 0$$

and thus

$$u_i = x_i \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - u_j) \right\}^{-1} = x_i (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{i-1})^{-1}.$$

If  $x_1 + \cdots + x_{i-1} = 1$ , then  $x_i = \cdots = x_k = 0$ . We may take  $u_i = 0$  to set the expression  $x_i = (1 - u_1) \cdots (1 - u_{i-1}) u_i$  to zero.) Note that the solution  $\mathbf{u} \in I^k$  is well-defined by construction, or  $T(I^k) = Q^k$ .

(3) Show that T is 1-1 in the interior of  $I^k$ . Suppose  $T(\mathbf{u}) = T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{x}$  with  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \text{int}(I^k)$ . Then we consider the following equation:

$$x_1 = u_1 = v_1$$

$$x_2 = (1 - u_1)u_2 = (1 - v_1)v_2$$

$$\dots$$

$$x_k = (1 - u_1) \cdots (1 - u_{k-1})u_k = (1 - v_1) \cdots (1 - v_{k-1})v_k.$$

By (1),

$$\mathbf{x} \in \text{int}(Q^k) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : x_i > 0, \sum x_i < 1\}.$$

Hence,

$$u_1 = v_1 = x_1$$
  
 $u_2 = v_1 = x_2(1 - x_1)^{-1}$   
 $\dots$   
 $u_k = v_k = x_k(1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})^{-1}$ .

Here all  $(1-x_1)^{-1}, \ldots, (1-x_1-\cdots-x_i)^{-1}$  are well-defined since  $\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{int}(Q^k)$ . Therefore, T is injective on  $\operatorname{int}(I^k)$ .

(4) By (2)(3), T maps  $\operatorname{int}(I^k)$  onto  $\operatorname{int}(Q^k)$ . That is, given any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \operatorname{int}(Q^k)$ , we can pick

$$u_1 = x_1$$
  
 $u_i = x_i (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{i-1})^{-1}$   $(i = 2, \dots, k)$ 

such that  $\mathbf{u} \in \text{int}(I^k)$  and  $T(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{x}$ .

(5) Note that  $T(\mathbf{u}) = (u_1, (1-u_1)u_2, \dots, (1-u_1)\cdots(1-u_{k-1})u_k)$  on  $\operatorname{int}(I^k)$ . So

$$T'(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & (1-u_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & * & \prod_{i=1}^{2} (1-u_i) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & \cdots & \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1-u_i) \end{bmatrix}$$

is a lower triangular matrix. Hence,

$$J_T(\mathbf{u}) = \det T'(\mathbf{u})$$

$$= 1 \cdot (1 - u_1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{2} (1 - u_i) \cdot \dots \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - u_i)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - u_i)^{k-i}.$$

(6) Similar to (5).  $S(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1, x_2(1-x_1)^{-1}, \dots, x_k(1-x_1-\dots-x_{k-1})^{-1})$  on  $\operatorname{int}(Q^k)$ . So

$$S'(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & (1-x_1)^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & * & (1-x_1-x_2)^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & \cdots & (1-x_1-\cdots-x_{k-1})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a lower triangular matrix. Hence,

$$J_S(\mathbf{x}) = \det S'(\mathbf{x})$$

$$= 1 \cdot (1 - x_1)^{-1} \cdot (1 - x_1 - x_2)^{-1} \cdots (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})^{-1}$$

$$= [(1 - x_1)(1 - x_1 - x_2) \cdots (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})]^{-1}.$$

**Exercise 10.13.** Let  $r_1, \ldots, r_k$  be nonnegative integers, and prove that

$$\int_{O^k} x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_k^{r_k} d\mathbf{x} = \frac{r_1! \cdots r_k!}{(k + r_1 + \dots + r_k)!}$$

(Hint: Use Exercise 10.12, Theorems 10.9 and 8.20.) Note that the special case  $r_1 = \cdots = r_k = 0$  shows that the volume of  $Q^k$  is  $\frac{1}{k!}$ .

Proof.

(1) Define  $T: I^k$  onto  $Q^k$  as in Exercise 10.12, and  $f: Q^k \to \mathbb{R}^1$  by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_k^{r_k} = \prod_{i=1}^k x_i^{r_i}.$$

(2) By Exercise 10.12, Example 10.4 and Theorems 10.9, we have

$$\int_{Q^{k}} x_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots x_{k}^{r_{k}} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{Q^{k}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} 
= \int_{I^{k}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \left( u_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - u_{j}) \right)^{r_{i}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - u_{i})^{k-i} d\mathbf{u} 
= \int_{I^{k}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} u_{i}^{r_{i}} (1 - u_{i})^{k-i+\sum_{j=i+1}^{k} r_{j}} d\mathbf{u} 
= \prod_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{1} u_{i}^{r_{i}} (1 - u_{i})^{k-i+\sum_{j=i+1}^{k} r_{j}} du_{i}$$
(Theorem 10.2)
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{r_{i}! \left( k - i + \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} r_{j} \right)!}{\left( k - i + 1 + \sum_{j=i}^{k} r_{j} \right)!}$$

$$= \frac{r_{1}! \cdots r_{k}!}{(k + r_{1} + \cdots + r_{k})!}.$$

Exercise 10.14 (Levi-Civita symbol). Prove  $\varepsilon(j_1,\ldots,j_k)=s(j_1,\ldots,j_k),$  where

$$s(j_1,\ldots,j_k) = \prod_{p < q} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p).$$

It is usually to define the Levi-Civita symbol by

$$\varepsilon(j_1,\ldots,j_k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (j_1,\cdots,j_k) \text{ is an even permutation of } J, \\ -1 & \text{if } (j_1,\cdots,j_k) \text{ is an odd permutation of } J, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(Basic k-forms 10.14). Thus, it is the sign of the permutation in the case of a permutation, and zero otherwise. So  $\varepsilon(j_1,\ldots,j_k)$  is equivalent to an explicit expression  $s(j_1,\ldots,j_k)=\prod_{p< q} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q-j_p)$ .

Proof.

(1) Induction on k. Base case: Show that  $\varepsilon(j_1, j_2) = s(j_1, j_2)$ . Since

$$\varepsilon(j_1, j_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_1 < j_2 \\ -1 & \text{if } j_1 > j_2, \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon(j_1, j_2) = \operatorname{sgn}(j_2 - j_1) = s(j_1, j_2).$$

(2) Inductive step: Show that for any  $s \geq 2$ , if  $\varepsilon(j_1, \ldots, j_s) = s(j_1, \ldots, j_s)$  holds, then  $\varepsilon(j_1, \ldots, j_{s+1}) = s(j_1, \ldots, j_{s+1})$  also holds.

$$\varepsilon(j_1, \dots, j_{s+1}) = \varepsilon(j_1, \dots, j_s) \prod_{\substack{1 \le p \le s \\ q = s+1}} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p)$$

$$= s(j_1, \dots, j_s) \prod_{\substack{1 \le p \le s \\ q = s+1}} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p)$$

$$= \prod_{\substack{1 \le p < q \le s}} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p) \prod_{\substack{1 \le p \le s \\ q = s+1}} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p)$$

$$= \prod_{\substack{1 \le p < q \le s+1 \\ 1 \le p < q \le s+1}} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p)$$

$$= s(j_1, \dots, j_{s+1}).$$

(3) Conclusion: Since both the base case and the inductive step have been proved as true, by mathematical induction the statement holds for every integer  $k \geq 2$ .

**Exercise 10.15.** If  $\omega$  and  $\lambda$  are k- and m-forms, respectively, prove that

$$\omega \wedge \lambda = (-1)^{km} \lambda \wedge \omega.$$

Proof.

(1) Write

$$\omega = \sum_{I} b_{I}(\mathbf{x}) dx_{I}, \qquad \lambda = \sum_{J} c_{J}(\mathbf{x}) dx_{J}$$

in the stardard presentations, where I and J range over all increasing k-indices and over all increasing m-indices taken from the set  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ .

(2) Show that  $dx_I \wedge dx_J = (-1)^{km} dx_J \wedge dx_I$ .

$$dx_{I} \wedge dx_{J} = dx_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{k}} \wedge dx_{J}$$

$$= (-1)^{m} dx_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{k-1}} \wedge dx_{J} \wedge dx_{i_{k}}$$

$$= (-1)^{2m} dx_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{k-2}} \wedge dx_{J} \wedge dx_{i_{k-1}} \wedge dx_{i_{k}}$$

$$\cdots$$

$$= (-1)^{km} dx_{J} \wedge dx_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_{k}}$$

$$= (-1)^{km} dx_{J} \wedge dx_{I}.$$

(3)

$$\omega \wedge \lambda = \sum_{I,J} b_I(\mathbf{x}) c_J(\mathbf{x}) dx_I \wedge dx_J$$
$$= (-1)^{km} \sum_{J,I} c_J(\mathbf{x}) b_I(\mathbf{x}) dx_J \wedge dx_I$$
$$= (-1)^{km} \lambda \wedge \omega.$$

**Exercise 10.16.** If  $k \geq 2$  and  $\sigma = [\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k]$  is an oriented affine k-simplex, prove that  $\partial^2 \sigma = 0$ , directly from the definition of the boundary operator  $\partial$ . Deduce from this that  $\partial^2 \Psi = 0$  for every chain  $\Psi$ . (Hint: For orientation, do it first for k = 2, k = 3. In general, if i < j, let  $\sigma_{ij}$  be the (k-2)-simplex obtained by deleting  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\mathbf{p}_j$  from  $\sigma$ . Show that each  $\sigma_{ij}$  occurs twice in  $\partial^2 \sigma$ , with opposite sign.)

Proof (Brute-force).

(1) Write the boundary of the oriented affine k-simplex  $\sigma = [\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k]$  as

$$\partial \sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}]$$

where where the oriented (k-1)-simplex  $[\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}_i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k]$  is obtained by deleting  $\sigma$ 's *i*-th vertex (Boundaries 10.29).

(2)

$$\partial^{2} \sigma = \partial \left( \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}] \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \partial [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}]$$

$$= \sum_{j < i} (-1)^{i} (-1)^{j} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{j}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}]$$

$$+ \sum_{j > i} (-1)^{i} (-1)^{j-1} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{j}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}]$$

$$= \sum_{j < i} (-1)^{i+j} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{j}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}]$$

$$- \sum_{j > i} (-1)^{i+j} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{j}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}].$$

The latter two summations cancel since after switching i and j in the second sum. Therefore  $\partial^2\sigma=0$ .

(3) The boundary of a chain is the linear combination of boundaries of the simplices in the chain. Write  $\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is an oriented affine simplex. Then

$$\partial^2 \Psi = \partial \left( \partial \sum \sigma_i \right) = \partial \left( \sum \partial \sigma_i \right) = \sum \partial^2 \sigma_i = \sum 0 = 0$$

for any affine chain  $\Psi$ .

**Exercise 10.17.** Put  $J^2 = \tau_1 + \tau_2$ , where

$$\tau_1 = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2], \qquad \tau_2 = -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1].$$

Explain why it is reasonable to call  $J^2$  the positively oriented unit square in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Show that  $\partial J^2$  is the sum of 4 oriented affine 1-simplexes. Find these. What is  $\partial(\tau_1 - \tau_2)$ ?

Proof.

(1) Note that the unit square  $I^2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is the union of  $\tau_1(Q^2)$  and  $\tau_2(Q_2)$ , where

$$\tau_1(\mathbf{u}) = ([\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2])(\mathbf{u})$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2)$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{e}_2$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(\mathbf{u}) &= (-[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1])(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= ([\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2])(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_1(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) + \alpha_2\mathbf{e}_2 \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_1\mathbf{e}_1 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\mathbf{e}_2 \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  (as in Equation (78)). Both  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  have Jacobian 1 > 0, or positively oriented (Affine simplexes 10.26). So it is reasonable to call  $J^2$  the positively oriented unit square in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

(2)

$$\partial \tau_1 = [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] - [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1], 
\partial \tau_2 = [\mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2] - [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1] 
= [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}] + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2].$$

(3) By (2),

$$\partial J^2 = \partial \tau_1 + \partial \tau_2 = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1] + [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}],$$

which is the positively oriented boundary of  $I^2$ .

(4) By (2),

$$\begin{split} \partial(\tau_1 - \tau_2) = & \partial \tau_1 - \partial \tau_2 \\ = & [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1] + [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}] \\ & + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}]. \end{split}$$

Exercise 10.18. Consider the oriented affine 3-simplex

$$\sigma_1 = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Show that  $\sigma_1$  (regarded as a linear transformation) has determinant 1. Thus  $\sigma_1$  is positively oriented.

Let  $\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_6$  be five other oriented 3-simplexes, obtained as follows: There are five permutations  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  of (1, 2, 3), distinct from (1, 2, 3). Associate with each  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  the simplex

$$s(i_1,i_2,i_3)[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1}+\mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_{i_1}+\mathbf{e}_{i_2}+\mathbf{e}_{i_3}]$$

where s is the sign that occurs in the definition of the determinant. (This is how  $\tau_2$  was obtained from  $\tau_1$  in Exercise 10.17.) Show that  $\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_6$  are positively oriented.

Put  $J^3 = \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_6$ . Then  $J^3$  may be called the positively oriented unit cube in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Show that  $\partial J^3$  is the sum of 12 oriented affine 2-simplexes. (These 12 triangles cover the surface of the unit cube  $I^3$ .)

Show that  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is in the range of  $\sigma_1$  if and only if  $0 \le x_3 \le x_2 \le x_1 \le 1$ .

Show that the range of  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$  have disjoint interiors, and that their union covers  $I^3$ . (Compared with Exercise 10.13; note that 3! = 6.)

Proof.

(1) Show that  $\sigma_1$  (regarded as a linear transformation) has determinant 1.

Given any  $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , we have

$$\sigma_{1}(\mathbf{u}) = ([\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{1} + \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{1} + \mathbf{e}_{2} + \mathbf{e}_{3}])(\mathbf{u})$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_{1}\mathbf{e}_{1} + \alpha_{2}(\mathbf{e}_{1} + \mathbf{e}_{2}) + \alpha_{3}(\mathbf{e}_{1} + \mathbf{e}_{2} + \mathbf{e}_{3})$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + (\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3})\mathbf{e}_{1} + (\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3})\mathbf{e}_{2} + \alpha_{3}\mathbf{e}_{3}$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{say } A} \mathbf{u}.$$

So

$$\det(A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$

(2) Show that  $\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_6$  are positively oriented. Define the permutation matrix  $P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)}$  corresponding to a permutation  $(i_1,i_2,i_3)$  of (1,2,3) by

$$P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{i_1} & \mathbf{e}_{i_2} & \mathbf{e}_{i_3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For example,

$$P_{(2,3,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_2 & \mathbf{e}_3 & \mathbf{e}_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the sign  $s(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  of the permutation  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  is exactly the same as the determinant of the permutation matrix  $P_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}$ . Define a permutation  $(j_1, j_2, 3)$  of (1, 2, 3) (for swapping the first and the second coordinates of  $\mathbf{u}$ ) by

$$(j_1, j_2, 3) = \begin{cases} (1, 2, 3) & \text{if } s(i_1, i_2, i_3) = 1, \\ (2, 1, 3) & \text{if } s(i_1, i_2, i_3) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Write

$$\sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} = s(i_1,i_2,i_3)[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1}+\mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_{i_1}+\mathbf{e}_{i_2}+\mathbf{e}_{i_3}].$$

(So that  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{(1,2,3)}$ .) Hence,

$$\sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)}(\mathbf{u})$$
=0 +  $\alpha_{j_1} \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \alpha_{j_2} (\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}) + \alpha_3 (\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3})$ 
=0 +  $(\alpha_{j_1} + \alpha_{j_2} + \alpha_3) \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + (\alpha_{j_2} + \alpha_3) \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_{i_3}$ 
=0 +  $P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} A P_{(j_1,j_2,3)} \mathbf{u}$ 

where  $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . For example,

$$P_{(2,3,1)}AP_{(1,2,3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$\det(P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)}AP_{(j_1,j_2,3)}) = \det(P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)})\det(A)\det(P_{(j_1,j_2,3)})$$

$$= s(i_1,i_2,i_3) \cdot 1 \cdot s(i_1,i_2,i_3)$$

$$= 1.$$

(3) Show that  $\partial J^3$  is the sum of 12 oriented affine 2-simplexes. Note that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_1>i_2}} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} + \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_1< i_2}} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_1>i_2}} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1}+\mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2+\mathbf{e}_3] \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_2>i_1}} -s(i_2,i_1,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_2}+\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2+\mathbf{e}_3] \\ &= \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_2>i_3}} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} + \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_2< i_3}} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_2>i_3}} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_3>i_2}} -s(i_1,i_3,i_2) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ &= \mathbf{0}. \end{split}$$

So

$$\begin{split} \partial J^3 &= \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \partial \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \\ &= \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}] \\ &- s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}] \\ &+ s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}] \\ &- s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}] \\ &= \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ &- \underbrace{\sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]}_{=\mathbf{0}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]}_{=\mathbf{0}} \\ &- \underbrace{\sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}]. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \partial J^3 &= \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ &- \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}] \end{split}$$

is the sum of 12 oriented affine 2-simplexes. (Note that 3! = 6.)

- (4) Show that  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is in the range of  $\sigma_1$  if and only if  $0 \le x_3 \le x_2 \le x_1 \le 1$ .
  - (a) By (1),  $\mathbf{x}$  is in the range of  $\sigma_1$  if and only if  $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{u}$  for  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in Q^3$ , or

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \\ u_2 + u_3 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (b) Since  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in Q^3$ ,  $u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \le 1$  and  $u_1, u_2, u_3 \ge 0$ . Hence  $0 \le u_3 \le u_2 + u_3 \le u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \le 1$  or  $0 \le x_3 \le x_2 \le x_1 \le 1$ .
- (c) Conversely, if  $0 \le x_3 \le x_2 \le x_1 \le 1$ , we define

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - x_2 \\ x_2 - x_3 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathbf{v} \in Q^3$ .

(5) Show that the range of  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$  have disjoint interiors, and that their union covers  $I^3$ . Similar to (4). By (2),  $\mathbf{x} = P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)}AP_{(j_1,j_2,3)}\mathbf{u}$ , or  $P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)^{-1}}\mathbf{x} = AP_{(j_1,j_2,3)}\mathbf{u}$ , or

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{i_1} \\ x_{i_2} \\ x_{i_3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \\ u_{j_2} + u_3 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In any case, we always have  $0 \le u_3 \le u_{j_2} + u_3 \le u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \le 1$ . Hence  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  is in the range of  $\sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}$  if and only if

$$0 \le x_{i_3} \le x_{i_2} \le x_{i_1} \le 1.$$

The interior of  $\sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)}$  is

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_{i_3} < x_{i_2} < x_{i_1} < 1\},\$$

and thus the range of  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$  have disjoint interiors. Also, any  $\mathbf{x} \in I^3$  has the relation

$$0 \le x_{i_3} \le x_{i_2} \le x_{i_1} \le 1$$

for some permutation  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  of (1, 2, 3). Hence

$$I^{3} = \bigcup_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})} \sigma_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})}(Q^{3}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{6} \sigma_{i}(Q^{3}).$$

**Exercise 10.19.** Let  $J^2$  and  $J^3$  be as in Exercise 10.17 and Exercise 10.18. Define

$$B_{01}(u,v) = (0,u,v),$$
  $B_{11}(u,v) = (1,u,v),$   
 $B_{02}(u,v) = (u,0,v),$   $B_{12}(u,v) = (u,1,v),$   
 $B_{03}(u,v) = (u,v,0),$   $B_{13}(u,v) = (u,v,1).$ 

These are affine, and map  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Put  $\beta_{ri} = B_{ri}(J^2)$ , for r = 0, 1, i = 1, 2, 3. Each  $\beta_{ri}$  is an affine-oriented 2-chain. (See Section 10.30.) Verify that

$$\partial J^3 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (-1)^i (\beta_{0i} - \beta_{1i}),$$

in agreement with Exercise 10.18.)

Proof.

(1) A direct calculation shows that

$$B_{01}(\tau_1) - B_{11}(\tau_1) = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] - [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

$$B_{02}(\tau_1) - B_{12}(\tau_1) = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3] - [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

$$B_{03}(\tau_1) - B_{13}(\tau_1) = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] - [\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

$$B_{01}(\tau_2) - B_{11}(\tau_2) = -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] + [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

$$B_{02}(\tau_2) - B_{12}(\tau_2) = -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

$$B_{03}(\tau_2) - B_{13}(\tau_2) = -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

(2) To express the formula in (1) clearly, we define

$$\omega_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} = [\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] - [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}],$$

and thus

$$-(B_{01}(\tau_1) - B_{11}(\tau_1)) = s(1, 2, 3)\omega_{(1,2,3)}$$

$$B_{02}(\tau_1) - B_{12}(\tau_1) = s(2, 1, 3)\omega_{(2,1,3)}$$

$$-(B_{03}(\tau_1) - B_{13}(\tau_1)) = s(3, 1, 2)\omega_{(3,1,2)}$$

$$-(B_{01}(\tau_2) - B_{11}(\tau_2)) = s(1, 3, 2)\omega_{(1,3,2)}$$

$$B_{02}(\tau_2) - B_{12}(\tau_2) = s(2, 3, 1)\omega_{(2,3,1)}$$

$$-(B_{03}(\tau_2) - B_{13}(\tau_2)) = s(3, 2, 1)\omega_{(3,2,1)}$$

(3) Note that

$$\beta_{0i} - \beta_{1i} = B_{0i}(J^2) - B_{1i}(J^2)$$

$$= B_{0i}(\tau_1 + \tau_2) - B_{1i}(\tau_1 + \tau_2)$$

$$= B_{0i}(\tau_1) + B_{0i}(\tau_2) - B_{1i}(\tau_1) - B_{1i}(\tau_2)$$

$$= (B_{0i}(\tau_1) - B_{1i}(\tau_1)) + (B_{0i}(\tau_2) - B_{1i}(\tau_2)).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} (-1)^{i} (\beta_{0i} - \beta_{1i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{3} (-1)^{i} (B_{0i}(\tau_{1}) - B_{1i}(\tau_{1})) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} (-1)^{i} (B_{0i}(\tau_{2}) - B_{1i}(\tau_{2}))$$

$$= \sum_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})} s(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}) \omega_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})}$$

$$= \sum_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})} s(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}) [\mathbf{e}_{i_{1}}, \mathbf{e}_{i_{1}} + \mathbf{e}_{i_{2}}, \mathbf{e}_{1} + \mathbf{e}_{2} + \mathbf{e}_{3}]$$

$$- \sum_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})} s(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_{1}}, \mathbf{e}_{i_{1}} + \mathbf{e}_{i_{2}}]$$

$$= \partial J^{3}.$$

Exercise 10.20. State conditions under which the formula

$$\int_{\Phi} f d\omega = \int_{\partial \Phi} f \omega - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega$$

is valid, and show that it generalizes the formula for integration by parts. (Hint:  $d(f\omega) = (df) \wedge \omega + f d\omega$ .)

Proof.

(1) *If* 

(a)  $\Phi$  is a k-chain of class  $\mathscr{C}''$  in an open set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ ,

(b)  $\omega$  is a (k-1)-form of class  $\mathscr{C}'$  in V,

(c) f is a 0-form of class  $\mathscr{C}'$  in V,

then

$$\int_{\Phi} f d\omega = \int_{\partial \Phi} f \omega - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega$$

(2) Theorem 10.20(a) implies that

$$d(f\omega) = (df) \wedge \omega + fd\omega.$$

(3) The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) shows that

$$\int_{\Phi} d(f\omega) = \int_{\partial \Phi} f\omega.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Phi} f d\omega = \int_{\Phi} d(f\omega) - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega = \int_{\partial \Phi} f\omega - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega.$$

(4) Define  $\Phi: Q^1 = [0,1] \rightarrow [a,b]$  by

$$\Phi(\alpha) = a + \alpha(b - a).$$

 $\Phi$  is a 1-simplex of class  $\mathscr{C}''$  in an open set  $V \supseteq [a,b]$ . Also,

$$\partial \Phi = [b] - [a].$$

Let  $\omega = g$  be a 0-form of class  $\mathscr{C}'(V)$ .

(5) Note that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Phi} f d\omega &= \int_{\Phi} f dg = \int_{0}^{1} f(\Phi(t))g'(\Phi(t))\Phi'(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} f(u)g'(u)du, \\ \int_{\partial\Phi} f\omega &= \int_{[b]} fg + \int_{-[a]} fg = f(b)g(b) + (-1)f(a)f(a), \\ \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega &= \int_{\Phi} (df)g = \int_{0}^{1} f'(\Phi(t))g(\Phi(t))\Phi'(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} f'(u)g(u)du. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\int_a^b f(u)g'(u)du = f(b)g(b) - f(a)f(a) - \int_a^b f'(u)g(u)du,$$

which is the same as the integration by parts (Theorem 6.22).

Exercise 10.21. As in Example 10.36, consider the 1-form

$$\eta = \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2}$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$ .

(a) Carry out the computation that leads to

$$\int_{\gamma} \eta = 2\pi \neq 0,$$

and prove that  $d\eta = 0$ .

(b) Let  $\gamma(t) = (r\cos t, r\sin t)$ , for some r > 0, and let  $\Gamma$  be a  $\mathcal{C}''$ -curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , with parameter interval  $[0, 2\pi]$ , with  $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma(2\pi)$ , such that the intervals  $[\gamma(t), \Gamma(t)]$  do not contain  $\mathbf{0}$  for any  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Prove that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = 2\pi.$$

(Hint: For  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ ,  $0 \le u \le 1$ , define

$$\Phi(t, u) = (1 - u)\Gamma(t) + u\gamma(t).$$

Then  $\Phi$  is a 2-surface in  $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$  whose parameter domain is the indicated rectangle. Because of cancellations (as in Example 10.32),

$$\partial \Phi = \Gamma - \gamma.$$

Use Stokes' theorem to deduce that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = \int_{\gamma} \eta$$

because  $d\eta = 0$ .)

(c) Take  $\Gamma(t)=(a\cos t,b\sin t)$  where  $a>0,\ b>0$  are fixed. Use part (b) to show that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ab}{a^2 \cos^2 t + b^2 \sin^2 t} dt = 2\pi.$$

(d) Show that

$$\eta = d\left(\arctan\frac{y}{x}\right)$$

in any convex open set in which  $x \neq 0$ , and that

$$\eta = d\left(-\arctan\frac{x}{y}\right)$$

in any convex open set in which  $y \neq 0$ . Explain why this justifies the notation  $\eta = d\theta$ , in spite of the fact that  $\eta$  is not exact in  $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$ .

- (e) Show that (b) can be derived from (d).
- (f) If  $\Gamma$  is any closed  $\mathscr{C}'$ -curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , prove that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \eta = \operatorname{Ind}(\Gamma).$$

(See Exercise 8.23 for the definition of the index of a curve.)

Proof of (a).

(1)

$$\begin{split} \int_{\gamma} \eta &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{(r\cos t)d(r\sin t) - (r\sin t)d(r\cos t)}{(r\cos t)^{2} + (r\sin t)^{2}} \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{(r\cos t)(r\cos t) - (r\sin t)(-r\sin t)}{(r\cos t)^{2} + (r\sin t)^{2}} dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} dt \\ &= 2\pi. \end{split}$$

(2) 
$$d\eta = d\left(\frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2}\right)$$

$$= d\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \wedge dy - d\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \wedge dx \qquad (d^2 = 0)$$

$$= D_1\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) dx \wedge dy \qquad (dy \wedge dy = 0)$$

$$- D_2\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right) dy \wedge dx \qquad (dx \wedge dx = 0)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} - \frac{2x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}\right) dx \wedge dy$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} - \frac{2y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}\right) dx \wedge dy$$

Note.

- (1)  $\eta$  is closed and locally exact, that is,  $\eta = dt$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 L$  where L is any line passing through  $\mathbf{0}$ .  $\eta$  is not exact since  $\int_{\gamma} \eta = 2\pi \neq 0$ . (See Exercise 10.22(g).)
- (2) (Poincaré's Lemma for 1-form.) Let  $\omega = \sum a_i dx_i$  be defined in an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $d\omega = 0$  if and only if for each  $p \in U$  there is a neighborhood  $V \subseteq U$  of p and a differentiable function  $f: V \to \mathbb{R}^1$  with  $df = \omega$  (i.e.,  $\omega$  is locally exact).

Proof of (b).

(1) For  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ ,  $0 \le u \le 1$ , define

$$\Phi(t, u) = (1 - u)\Gamma(t) + u\gamma(t).$$

Then  $\Phi$  is a 2-surface in  $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$  whose parameter domain  $D = \{(t, u) : 0 \le t \le 2\pi, 0 \le u \le 1\}$  is the indicated rectangle.

(2) Similar to Example 10.32,

$$\partial \Phi = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4$$

where

$$\gamma_1(t) = \Phi(t,0) = \Gamma(t),$$

$$\gamma_2(u) = \Phi(2\pi, u) = (1-u)\Gamma(2\pi) + u\gamma(2\pi),$$

$$\gamma_3(t) = \Phi(2\pi - t, 1) = \gamma(2\pi - t),$$

$$\gamma_4(u) = \Phi(0, 1-u) = u\Gamma(0) + (1-u)\gamma(0).$$

Because of cancellations (as in Example 10.32),  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(2\pi)$  and  $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma(2\pi)$ ,  $\gamma_4 = -\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_3 = -\gamma$ . Hence,

$$\partial \Phi = \Gamma - \gamma$$
.

(3) The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\int_{\Phi} d\eta = \int_{\partial \Phi} \eta = \int_{\Gamma - \gamma} \eta = \int_{\Gamma} \eta - \int_{\gamma} \eta.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = \int_{\gamma} \eta$$

(since  $d\eta = 0$  by (a)).

Proof of (c).

(1)  $\Gamma$  satisfies all conditions described in (b). So

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = 2\pi.$$

(2) A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{split} 2\pi &= \int_{\Gamma} \eta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{x dy - y dx}{x^2 + y^2} \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{a \cos(t) d(b \sin(t)) - b \sin(t) d(a \cos(t))}{(a \cos(t))^2 + (b \sin(t))^2} \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{a b (\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t)}{a^2 \cos^2 t + b^2 \sin^2 t} \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{a b}{a^2 \cos^2 t + b^2 \sin^2 t}. \end{split}$$

Proof of (d).

(1) In any convex open set in which  $x \neq 0$ , we have

$$d\left(\arctan\frac{y}{x}\right) = \left(D_1 \arctan\frac{y}{x}\right) dx + \left(D_2 \arctan\frac{y}{x}\right) dy$$
$$= -\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy$$
$$= n.$$

(2) In any convex open set in which  $y \neq 0$ , we have

$$d\left(-\arctan\frac{x}{y}\right) = \left(D_1\left(-\arctan\frac{x}{y}\right)\right)dx + \left(D_2\left(-\arctan\frac{x}{y}\right)\right)dy$$
$$= -\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}dy$$
$$= \eta.$$

(3) By (1)(2),  $\eta$  is locally exact. Note that  $\theta_1 = \arctan \frac{y}{x}$  and  $\theta_2 = -\arctan \frac{x}{y}$  cannot be patched together to defined a global 0-form  $\theta$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

Proof of (e).

(1) Partition  $[0, 2\pi]$  into five subintervals

$$I_i = \left[ \frac{(2i-3)\pi}{4}, \frac{(2i-1)\pi}{4} \right] \cap [0, 2\pi].$$

for i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. Hence

$$\begin{split} \int_{\gamma} \eta &= \sum_{i=1}^{5} \int_{\gamma(I_{i})} \eta \\ &= \sum_{i=1,3,5} \int_{\gamma(I_{i})} d\left(\arctan \frac{y}{x}\right) + \sum_{i=2,4} \int_{\gamma(I_{i})} d\left(-\arctan \frac{x}{y}\right). \end{split}$$

(2) The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\gamma(I_1)} d\left(\arctan\frac{y}{x}\right) &= \int_{\partial\gamma(I_1)} \arctan\frac{y}{x} \\ &= \left[\arctan\frac{r\cos t}{r\sin t}\right]_{t=0}^{t=\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ &= \left[\arctan(\tan(t))\right]_{t=0}^{t=\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\int_{\gamma(I_2)} d\left(-\arctan\frac{x}{y}\right) = \int_{\partial\gamma(I_2)} -\arctan\frac{x}{y}$$

$$= \left[\arctan\frac{r\sin t}{r\cos t}\right]_{t=\frac{\pi}{4}}^{t=\frac{3\pi}{4}}$$

$$= \left[\arctan(\cot(t))\right]_{t=\frac{\pi}{4}}^{t=\frac{3\pi}{4}}$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\gamma(I_3)} d\left(\arctan\frac{y}{x}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$\int_{\gamma(I_4)} d\left(-\arctan\frac{x}{y}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$\int_{\gamma(I_5)} d\left(\arctan\frac{y}{x}\right) = \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

(3) Therefore,

$$\int_{\gamma} \eta = \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 2\pi.$$

Proof of (f).

(1) Regard  $\Gamma(t)$  as a plane curve  $(\Gamma_1(t), \Gamma_2(t))$  over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\Gamma_1(t) + i\Gamma_2(t)$  over  $\mathbb{C}^1$ . Note that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)} &= \frac{\Gamma_1'(t) + i\Gamma_2'(t)}{\Gamma_1(t) + i\Gamma_2(t)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_1'(t)\Gamma_1'(t) + \Gamma_2'(t)\Gamma_2'(t)}{\Gamma_1(t)^2 + \Gamma_2(t)^2} + i\frac{\Gamma_1(t)\Gamma_2'(t) - \Gamma_2(t)\Gamma_1'(t)}{\Gamma_1(t)^2 + \Gamma_2(t)^2}. \end{split}$$

So

$$\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)}\right) = \frac{\Gamma_1(t)\Gamma_2'(t) - \Gamma_2(t)\Gamma_1'(t)}{\Gamma_1(t)^2 + \Gamma_2(t)^2}.$$

(2) By Exercise 8.23,

$$\operatorname{Ind}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)} dt$$

is always an integer. That is,

$$\operatorname{Ind}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)}\right) dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma_1(t)\Gamma_2'(t) - \Gamma_2(t)\Gamma_1'(t)}{\Gamma_1(t)^2 + \Gamma_2(t)^2} dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{x dy - y dx}{x^2 + y^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \eta.$$

(Note that  $\operatorname{Ind}(\Gamma) = 1$  if  $\Gamma$  is defined as in (c). Hence the integral in (c) is equal to  $2\pi\operatorname{Ind}(\Gamma) = 2\pi$ .)

**Exercise 10.22.** As in Example 10.37, define  $\zeta$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$  by

$$\zeta = \frac{xdy \wedge dz + ydz \wedge dx + zdx \wedge dy}{r^3}$$

where  $r=(x^2+y^2+z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , let D be the rectangle given by  $0 \le u \le \pi$ ,  $0 \le v \le 2\pi$ , and let  $\Sigma$  be the 2-surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , with parameter domain D, given by

 $x = \sin u \cos v,$   $y = \sin u \sin v,$   $z = \cos u$ 

(a) Prove that  $d\zeta = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

(b) Let S denote the restriction of  $\Sigma$  to a parameter domain  $E\subseteq D$ . Prove that

$$\int_{S} \zeta = \int_{E} \sin u \, du \, dv = A(S),$$

where A denotes area, as in Section 10.46. Note that this contains

$$\int_{\Sigma} \zeta = \int_{D} \sin u \, du \, dv = 4\pi \neq 0$$

as a special case.

(c) Suppose  $g, h_1, h_2, h_3$ , are  $\mathscr{C}''$ -functions on [0,1], g > 0. Let  $(x, y, z) = \Phi(s,t)$  define a 2-surface  $\Phi$ , with parameter domain  $I^2$ , by

$$x = g(t)h_1(s),$$
  $y = g(t)h_2(s),$   $z = g(t)h_3(s).$ 

Prove that

$$\int_{\Phi} \zeta = 0,$$

directly from Equation (35) in Chapter 10. Note the shape of the range of  $\Phi$ : For fixed s,  $\Phi(s,t)$  runs over an interval on a line through  $\mathbf{0}$ . The range of  $\Phi$  thus lies in a "cone" with vertex at the origin.

(d) Let E be a closed rectangle in D, with edges parallel to those of D. Suppose  $f \in \mathscr{C}''(D), f > 0$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the 2-surface with parameter domain E, defined by

$$\Omega(u, v) = f(u, v)\Sigma(u, v).$$

Define S as in (b) and prove that

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta = \int_{S} \zeta = A(S).$$

(Since S is the "radical projection" of  $\Omega$  into the unit sphere, this result makes it reasonable to call  $\int_{\Omega} \zeta$  the "solid angle" subtended by the range of  $\Omega$  at the origin.) (Hint: Consider the 3-surface  $\Psi$  given by

$$\Psi(t, u, v) = [1 - t + tf(u, v)]\Sigma(u, v),$$

where  $(u,v) \in E$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ . For fixed v, the mapping  $(t,u) \mapsto \Psi(t,u,v)$  is a 2-surface  $\Phi$  to which (c) can be applied to show that  $\int_{\Phi} \zeta = 0$ . The same thing holds when u is fixed. By (a) and Stokes' theorem,

$$\int_{\partial \Psi} \zeta = \int_{\Psi} d\zeta = 0.$$

(e) Put  $\lambda = -\frac{z}{r}\eta$ , where

$$\eta = \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2},$$

as in Exercise 10.21. Then  $\lambda$  is a 1-form in the open set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  in which  $x^2 + y^2 > 0$ . Show that  $\zeta$  is exact in V by showing that

$$\zeta = d\lambda$$
.

(f) Derive (d) from (e), without using (c). (Hint: To begin with, assume  $0 < u < \pi$  on E. By (e),

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta = \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda \quad and \quad \int_{S} \zeta = \int_{\partial S} \lambda.$$

Show that the two integrals of  $\lambda$  are equal, by using part (d) of Exercise 10.21, and by noting that  $\frac{z}{r}$  is the same at  $\Sigma(u,v)$  as at  $\Omega(u,v)$ .)

(g) Is  $\zeta$  exact in the complement of every line through the origin?

Proof of (a).

(1) Note that  $\zeta$  is well-defined on  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{split} d\zeta &= d\left(\frac{xdy\wedge dz + ydz\wedge dx + zdx\wedge dy}{r^3}\right) \\ &= d\left(\frac{x}{r^3}\right)\wedge dy\wedge dz + d\left(\frac{y}{r^3}\right)\wedge dz\wedge dx + d\left(\frac{z}{r^3}\right)\wedge dx\wedge dy \\ &= D_1\left(\frac{x}{r^3}\right)dx\wedge dy\wedge dz + D_2\left(\frac{y}{r^3}\right)dy\wedge dz\wedge dx + D_3\left(\frac{z}{r^3}\right)dz\wedge dx\wedge dy \\ &= \frac{r^3 - 3rx^2}{r^6}dx\wedge dy\wedge dz + \frac{r^3 - 3ry^2}{r^6}dy\wedge dz\wedge dx + \frac{r^3 - 3rz^2}{r^6}dz\wedge dx\wedge dy \\ &= \left(\frac{r^3 - 3rx^2}{r^6} + \frac{r^3 - 3ry^2}{r^6} + \frac{r^3 - 3rz^2}{r^6}\right)dx\wedge dy\wedge dz \\ &= 0dx\wedge dy\wedge dz \\ &= 0 \\ &\text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}. \end{split}$$

(2) Or write

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{x}{r^3}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{y}{r^3}\mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{z}{r^3}\mathbf{e}_3$$

as in Vector fields 10.42. So

$$\omega_{\mathbf{F}} = \zeta$$

and

$$d\omega_{\mathbf{F}} = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F})dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

as in the proof of the divergence theorem (Theorem 10.51). Note that the divergence of  ${\bf F}$  is zero.

Proof of (b).

(1) By Area elements in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  10.46.

$$\mathbf{N}(u,v) = \frac{\partial(y,z)}{\partial(u,v)}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{\partial(z,x)}{\partial(u,v)}\mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(u,v)}\mathbf{e}_3$$
$$= (\sin^2 u \cos v)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\sin^2 u \sin v)\mathbf{e}_2 + (\sin u \cos u)\mathbf{e}_3.$$

Here  $|\mathbf{N}(u,v)| = \sin u \ge 0$  (by noting that  $u \in [0,\pi]$ ), and

$$\mathbf{n}(u,v) = \frac{\mathbf{N}(u,v)}{|\mathbf{N}(u,v)|} = (\sin u \cos v, \sin u \sin v, \cos u).$$

(2) Note that  $\zeta = xdy \wedge dz + ydz \wedge dx + zdx \wedge dy$  on  $S \subseteq \Sigma$ . Hence, by Integrals of 2-forms in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  10.49,

$$\begin{split} \int_{S} \zeta &= \int_{S} x dy \wedge dz + y dz \wedge dx + z dx \wedge dy \\ &= \int_{E} (\sin u \cos v, \sin u \sin v, \cos u) \cdot \mathbf{N}(u, v) \, du \, dv \\ &= \int_{E} \mathbf{n}(u, v) \cdot \mathbf{n}(u, v) |\mathbf{N}(u, v)| \, du \, dv \\ &= \int_{E} |\mathbf{N}(u, v)| \, du \, dv \\ &= A(S). \end{split}$$

(3) In particular,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \zeta = \int_{D} \sin u \, du \, dv$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \sin u \, du \, dv$$

$$= \left( \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin u \, du \right) \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} dv \right)$$

$$= 2 \cdot 2\pi$$

$$= 4\pi.$$

Proof of (c).

(1) Similar to (b).

$$\mathbf{N}(s,t) = \frac{\partial(y,z)}{\partial(s,t)}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{\partial(z,x)}{\partial(s,t)}\mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(s,t)}\mathbf{e}_3$$

$$= g(t)g'(t)[(h_1(s),h_2(s),h_3(s)) \times (h'_1(s),h'_2(s),h'_3(s))]$$

$$= g(t)g'(t)[\mathbf{h}(s) \times \mathbf{h}'(s)],$$

where  $\mathbf{h}(s) = (h_1(s), h_2(s), h_3(s))$  and  $\mathbf{h}'(s) = (h_1'(s), h_2'(s), h_3'(s))$ . (Here "×" is the cross product in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .)

(2) Assume  $\zeta$  is well-defined, i.e.,  $\mathbf{h}(s) \neq \mathbf{0}$  for all  $s \in [0,1]$ . By Integrals of 2-forms in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  10.49,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Phi} \zeta &= \int_{\Phi} \frac{x dy \wedge dz + y dz \wedge dx + z dx \wedge dy}{r^3} \\ &= \int_{I^2} \frac{g(t)}{g(t)^3 |\mathbf{h}(s)|^3} \mathbf{h}(s) \cdot \mathbf{N}(s,t) \, ds \, dt \\ &= \int_{I^2} \frac{g(t)}{g(t)^3 |\mathbf{h}(s)|^3} \mathbf{h}(s) \cdot g(t) g'(t) [\mathbf{h}(s) \times \mathbf{h}'(s)] \, ds \, dt \\ &= \int_{I^2} \frac{g'(t)}{g(t) |\mathbf{h}(s)|^3} \mathbf{h}(s) \cdot [\mathbf{h}(s) \times \mathbf{h}'(s)] \, ds \, dt \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

(since  $\mathbf{h}(s) \cdot [\mathbf{h}(s) \times \mathbf{h}'(s)] = 0$ .)

(3) Note that  $\Sigma$  in spherical coordinate system cannot be parameterized as  $(x, y, z) = g(t)\mathbf{h}(s)$ , and thus  $\int_S \zeta$  could be nonzero as shown in (b).

Proof of (d) (Hint).

## (1) Consider the 3-surface $\Psi$ given by

$$\Psi(t, u, v) = [1 - t + t f(u, v)] \Sigma(u, v),$$

where  $(u, v) \in E$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ . Write

$$E = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \subseteq D = [0, \pi] \times [0, 2\pi].$$

Note that  $\Psi(t, u, v) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . So the boundary of  $\Psi$  is

$$\begin{split} \partial \Psi &= \Psi(0,u,v) - \Psi(1,u,v) \\ &+ \Psi(t,a_1,v) - \Psi(t,b_1,v) \\ &+ \Psi(t,u,a_2) - \Psi(t,u,b_2) \\ &= S(u,v) - \Omega(u,v) \\ &+ \Psi|_{u=a_1}(t,v) - \Psi|_{u=b_1}(t,v) \\ &+ \Psi|_{v=a_2}(t,u) - \Psi|_{v=b_2}(t,u), \end{split}$$

where  $\Psi|_{u=u_0}(t,v) = \Psi(t,u_0,v)$  and  $\Psi|_{v=v_0}(t,u) = \Psi(t,u,v_0)$ .

## (2) Show that

$$\int_{\Psi|_{v=v_0}} \zeta = 0$$

for any fixed  $v=v_0\in [a_2,b_2]$ . Note that  $\zeta$  is well-defined on  $\Psi|_{v=v_0}$ . Write  $\Psi|_{v=v_0}(t,u)=(x,y,z)=(x(t,u),y(t,u),z(t,u))$ . By definition of  $\Psi$ , we have

$$x = g(t, u) \sin u \cos v_0$$
  

$$y = g(t, u) \sin u \sin v_0$$
  

$$z = g(t, u) \cos u,$$

where  $g(t, u) = 1 - t + t f(u, v_0)$ . Similar to (c),

$$\mathbf{N}(t,u) = \frac{\partial(y,z)}{\partial(t,u)}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{\partial(z,x)}{\partial(t,u)}\mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(t,u)}\mathbf{e}_3$$
$$= g(t,u)D_1g(t,u)(-\sin v_0,\cos v_0,0).$$

Note that

$$(x(t,u), y(t,u), z(t,u)) \cdot \mathbf{N}(t,u) = 0.$$

So

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Psi|_{v=v_0}} \zeta &= \int_{\Psi|_{v=v_0}} r^{-3} (x dy \wedge dz + y dz \wedge dx + z dx \wedge dy) \\ &= \int_{[0,1] \times [a_1,b_1]} r^{-3} (x(t,u),y(t,u),z(t,u)) \cdot \mathbf{N}(t,u) \ dt \ du \\ &= \int_{[0,1] \times [a_1,b_1]} 0 \ dt \ du \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

(3) Show that

$$\int_{\Psi|_{u=u_0}} \zeta = 0$$

for any fixed  $u = u_0 \in [a_1, b_1]$ . Similar to (2).

$$\mathbf{N}(t,v) = \frac{\partial(y,z)}{\partial(t,v)}\mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{\partial(z,x)}{\partial(t,v)}\mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(t,v)}\mathbf{e}_3$$
$$= \sin u_0 g(t,v) D_1 g(t,v) (-\cos u_0 \cos v, -\cos u_0 \sin v, \sin u_0).$$

where  $g(t,v)=1-t+tf(u_0,v)$ . So  $(x(t,v),y(t,v),z(t,v))\cdot \mathbf{N}(t,v)=0$  and thus  $\int_{\Psi|_{u=u_0}}\zeta=0$ .

(4) So

$$0 = \int_{\Psi} d\zeta \qquad (d\zeta = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\})$$

$$= \int_{\partial \Psi} \zeta \qquad (\text{Theorem 10.33})$$

$$= \int_{S} \zeta - \int_{\Omega} \zeta$$

$$+ \underbrace{\int_{\Psi|u=a_1} \zeta - \int_{\Psi|u=b_1} \zeta}_{\text{all are zero by (2)}}$$

$$+ \underbrace{\int_{\Psi|v=a_2} \zeta - \int_{\Psi|v=b_2} \zeta}_{\text{all are zero by (3)}} \qquad ((1))$$

$$= \int_{S} \zeta - \int_{\Omega} \zeta.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta = \underbrace{\int_{S} \zeta = A(S)}_{\text{by (b)}}.$$

Proof of (e).

(1) Note that

$$d\left(-\frac{z}{r}\right) = \frac{xz}{r^3}dx + \frac{yz}{r^3}dy - \frac{r^2 - z^2}{r^3}dz = \frac{xz}{r^3}dx + \frac{yz}{r^3}dy - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{r^3}dz$$
 since  $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ .

(2) 
$$d\lambda = d\left(-\frac{z}{r}\eta\right)$$

$$= \underbrace{d\left(-\frac{z}{r}\right) \wedge \eta + (-1)^{1}\left(-\frac{z}{r}\right) \wedge \underbrace{d\eta}_{=0}}_{\text{apply (1)}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{xz}{r^{3}}dx + \frac{yz}{r^{3}}dy - \frac{x^{2} + y^{2}}{r^{3}}dz\right) \wedge \left(\frac{-ydx + xdy}{x^{2} + y^{2}}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{x(x^{2} + y^{2})}{r^{3}(x^{2} + y^{2})}\right) dy \wedge dz + \left(\frac{y(x^{2} + y^{2})}{r^{3}(x^{2} + y^{2})}\right) dz \wedge dx + \left(\frac{x^{2}z + y^{2}z}{r^{3}(x^{2} + y^{2})}\right) dx \wedge dy$$

$$= \left(\frac{x}{r^{3}}\right) dy \wedge dz + \left(\frac{y}{r^{3}}\right) dz \wedge dx + \left(\frac{z}{r^{3}}\right) dx \wedge dy$$

$$= \zeta.$$

Proof of (f).

- (1) To ensure that  $\eta$  is well-defined on E, we might assume  $x^2+y^2=\sin^2 u\neq 0$  or  $0< u<\pi$  on E. It is fine since  $\int_\Omega \zeta$  and  $\int_S \zeta$  is well-defined on any closed rectangle in D and we can apply the argument in Exercise 6.7 to remove the additional restriction.
- (2) By the Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) and (e),

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta = \int_{\partial \Omega} \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{S} \zeta = \int_{\partial S} \lambda.$$

So it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\lambda=\int_{\partial S}\lambda.$$

Note that  $\lambda = -\frac{z}{r}\eta$ , and thus it suffices to show that  $\frac{z}{r}\big|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{z}{r}\big|_{\partial\Sigma}$  and  $\eta|_{\partial\Omega} = \eta|_{\partial S}$ .

(3) Show that  $\frac{z}{r}\big|_{\partial\Omega} = \frac{z}{r}\big|_{\partial\Sigma}$ . For any  $(x_{\Omega}, y_{\Omega}, z_{\Omega}) \in \partial\Omega$ ,

$$(x_{\Omega}, y_{\Omega}, z_{\Omega}) = f(u, v)(x_{\Sigma}, y_{\Sigma}, z_{\Sigma})$$

where  $(x_{\Sigma}, y_{\Sigma}, z_{\Sigma}) \in \partial S$ . So

$$\begin{split} \frac{z}{r}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} &= \frac{z_{\Omega}}{(x_{\Omega}^2 + y_{\Omega}^2 + z_{\Omega}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{f(u,v)z_{\Sigma}}{f(u,v)(x_{\Sigma}^2 + y_{\Sigma}^2 + z_{\Sigma}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{z_{\Sigma}}{(x_{\Sigma}^2 + y_{\Sigma}^2 + z_{\Sigma}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{z}{r}\Big|_{\partial S} \,. \end{split}$$

(Note that f > 0.)

(4) Show that  $\eta|_{\partial\Omega} = \eta|_{\partial S}$ . Similar to (3). If  $x_{\Omega} \neq 0$  (or  $x_{\Sigma} \neq 0$ ), then by Exercise 10.21(d)

$$\begin{split} \eta|_{\partial\Omega} &= d \left( \arctan \frac{y_{\Omega}}{x_{\Omega}} \right) \\ &= d \left( \arctan \frac{f(u,v)y_{\Sigma}}{f(u,v)x_{\Sigma}} \right) \\ &= d \left( \arctan \frac{y_{\Sigma}}{x_{\Sigma}} \right) \\ &= \eta|_{\partial S}. \end{split}$$

Similarly,  $\eta|_{\partial\Omega} = \eta|_{\partial S}$  is also true if  $y_{\Omega} \neq 0$ . Note that  $(x_{\Omega}, y_{\Omega}) \neq (0, 0)$  by assumption. Therefore the result is established.

Proof of (g).

(1) Yes. Given any line L passing through  $\mathbf{0}$ , say

$$(r \sin u \cos v, r \sin u \sin v, r \cos u) \in L \qquad (r \in \mathbb{R}^1),$$

for some  $u \in [0,\pi]$  and  $v \in [0,2\pi].$  We will show that  $\zeta$  is exact in  $U = \mathbb{R}^3 - L.$ 

(2) Linear algebra says that all rotation matrices  $T \in SO(3)$  can be obtained from

$$R_x(u) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos u & -\sin u \\ 0 & \sin u & \cos u \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_y(v) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos v & 0 & -\sin v \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin v & 0 & \cos v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_z(w) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos w & -\sin w & 0 \\ \sin w & \cos w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

using matrix multiplication, say  $T = R_x(u)R_y(v)R_z(w)$ . For example, the rotation

 $T = R_y \left( u - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) R_z(-v)$ 

maps L to the z-axis (by showing that  $T(r \sin u \cos v, r \sin u \sin v, r \cos u) = (0,0,r)$ ). By Theorem 10.22 it suffices to show that  $\zeta$  is invariant under  $R_x(u)$ ,  $R_x(v)$  and  $R_z(w)$ . By the symmetricity of  $\zeta$ , it suffices to show that  $\zeta$  is invariant under  $T = R_x(u)$ .

(3) Show that  $\zeta$  is invariant under  $T = R_x(u)$ . By

$$T: (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y \cos u - z \sin u, y \sin u + z \cos u),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} r &\mapsto r \\ dx &\mapsto dx \\ dy &\mapsto \cos u dy - \sin u dz \\ dz &\mapsto \sin u dy + \cos u dz. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$dy \wedge dz \mapsto (\cos u dy - \sin u dz) \wedge (\sin u dy + \cos u dz)$$

$$= dy \wedge dz,$$

$$dz \wedge dx \mapsto (\sin u dy + \cos u dz) \wedge dx$$

$$= -\sin u dx \wedge dy + \cos u dz \wedge dx,$$

$$dx \wedge dy \mapsto dx \wedge (\sin u dy + \cos u dz)$$

$$= \cos u dx \wedge dy + \sin u dz \wedge dx.$$

Thus

$$\zeta \mapsto r^{-3} \{ x dy \wedge dz$$

$$+ (y \cos u - z \sin u)(-\sin u dx \wedge dy + \cos u dz \wedge dx)$$

$$+ (y \sin u + z \cos u)(\cos u dx \wedge dy + \sin u dz \wedge dx) \}$$

$$= r^{-3} \{ x dy \wedge dz$$

$$+ [\cos u(y \cos u - z \sin u) + \sin u(y \sin u + z \cos u)] dz \wedge dx$$

$$+ [-\sin u(y \cos u - z \sin u) + \cos u(y \sin u + z \cos u)] dx \wedge dy \}$$

$$= r^{-3} \{ x dy \wedge dz + y dz \wedge dx + z dx \wedge dy \}$$

$$= \zeta.$$

(4) Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^3 - z$ -axis. Since  $\zeta_T = \zeta$  (by (3)) is well-defined in V,  $\zeta_T = \zeta = d\lambda$  by (e). Here  $\lambda$  is in V, not necessary in U (if  $L \neq z$ -axis). Luckily, we can use  $T^{-1}$  to pullback  $\lambda$  in U. Thus

$$\zeta = (\zeta_T)_{T^{-1}} = (d\lambda)_{T^{-1}} = d(\lambda_{T^{-1}})$$

by Theorems 10.22 and 10.23. That is,  $\zeta$  is exact in  $U = \mathbb{R}^3 - L$ . (Or  $\zeta$  is locally exact in  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$ .)

**Exercise 10.23.** Fix n. Define  $r_k = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $1 \le k \le n$ , let  $E_k$  be the set of all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  at which  $r_k > 0$ , and let  $\omega_k$  be the (k-1)-form defined in  $E_k$  by

$$\omega_k = (r_k)^{-k} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} x_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k$$

Note that  $\omega_2 = \eta$ ,  $\omega_3 = \zeta$  in the terminology of Exercise 10.21 and Exercise 10.22. Note also that

$$E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq E_n = \mathbb{R}^n$$
.

- (a) Prove that  $d\omega_k = 0$  in  $E_k$ .
- (b) For k = 2, ..., n, prove that  $\omega_k$  is exact in  $E_{k-1}$ , by showing that

$$\omega_k = d(f_k \omega_{k-1}) = df_k \wedge \omega_{k-1}$$

where  $f_k(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^k g_k \left(\frac{x_k}{r_k}\right)$  where

$$g_k(t) = \int_{-1}^{t} (1 - s^2)^{\frac{k-3}{2}} ds$$
  $(-1 < t < 1).$ 

(Hint:  $f_k$  satisfies the differential equations

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot (\nabla f_k)(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

and

$$(D_k f_k)(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(-1)^k (r_{k-1})^{k-1}}{(r_k)^k}.$$

- (c) Is  $\omega_n$  exact in  $E_n$ ?
- (d) Note that (b) is a generalization of part (e) of Exercise 10.22. Try to extend some of the other assertions of Exercise 10.21 and Exercise 10.22 to  $\omega_n$ , for arbitrary n.

Proof of (a).

(1) Note that

$$D_i r_k = \frac{1}{2r_k} \cdot (2x_i) = \frac{x_i}{r_k}.$$

$$d\omega_k = \sum_{i=1}^k d\left((-1)^{i-1}(r_k)^{-k} x_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k D_i \left((-1)^{i-1}(r_k)^{-k} x_i\right) dx_i \wedge dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \left((r_k)^{-k} \cdot 1 + \underbrace{(-k)(r_k)^{-k-1} \frac{x_i}{r_k}}_{\text{chain rule}} \cdot x_i\right) \underbrace{(-1)^{i-1} dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k}_{\text{anticommutative relation}}$$

$$= (r_k)^{-k-2} \sum_{i=1}^k \left((r_k)^2 - kx_i^2\right) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k$$

$$= 0.$$

Proof of (b).

(1) Note that

$$D_i\left(\frac{x_k}{r_k}\right) = \frac{\delta_{ik}(r_k)^2 - x_i x_k}{(r_k)^3}$$

where  $\delta_{ik}$  is the Kronecker delta. So

$$(D_i f_k)(\mathbf{x}) = D_i \left( (-1)^k g_k \left( \frac{x_k}{r_k} \right) \right)$$

$$= D_i \left( (-1)^k \int_{-1}^{\frac{x_k}{r_k}} (1 - s^2)^{\frac{k-3}{2}} ds \right)$$

$$= (-1)^k D_i \left( \frac{x_k}{r_k} \right) \left( 1 - \left( \frac{x_k}{r_k} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{k-3}{2}}$$

$$= (-1)^k \frac{\delta_{ik} (r_k)^2 - x_i x_k}{(r_k)^3} \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-3}}{(r_k)^{k-3}}$$

$$= (-1)^k \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-3}}{(r_k)^k} (\delta_{ik} (r_k)^2 - x_i x_k).$$

In particular,

$$(D_k f_k)(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^k \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-3}}{(r_k)^k} ((r_k)^2 - (x_k)^2) = (-1)^k \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}}{(r_k)^k}$$
(since  $(r_k)^2 - (x_k)^2 = (r_{k-1})^2$ ).

(2) Since

$$\sum_{i} x_{i} (\delta_{ik}(r_{k})^{2} - x_{i}x_{k}) = (r_{k})^{2} \underbrace{\sum_{i} x_{i} \delta_{ik}}_{=x_{k}} - x_{k} \underbrace{\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}}_{=(r_{k})^{2}} = 0,$$

we have

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot (\nabla f_k)(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} x_i (D_i f_k)(\mathbf{x})$$

$$= \sum_{i} x_i (-1)^k \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-3}}{(r_k)^k} (\delta_{ik} (r_k)^2 - x_i x_k)$$

$$= (-1)^k \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-3}}{(r_k)^k} \sum_{i} x_i (\delta_{ik} (r_k)^2 - x_i x_k)$$

$$= 0.$$

(3) On  $E_{k-1} \subsetneq E_k$ , we write

$$d(f_k \omega_{k-1})$$

$$= (df_k) \wedge \omega_{k-1} + (-1)^0 f_k \wedge \underbrace{(d\omega_{k-1})}_{=0}$$

$$= (df_k) \wedge \omega_{k-1}$$

$$= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k D_i f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_i \right\} \wedge \left\{ \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{1 \le i \le k \atop 1 \le j \le k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j D_i f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_i \wedge dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j D_j f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_j \wedge dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j D_k f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_k \wedge dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1}.$$

$$(4)$$
 By  $(2)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j D_j f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_j \wedge dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{k-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j D_j f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{k-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} (-D_k f_k(x) x_k) dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{k-1}$$

$$= \frac{-D_k f_k(\mathbf{x})}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} x_k dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{k-1} \wedge \widehat{dx_k}$$

$$= (r_k)^{-k} (-1)^{k-1} x_k dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{k-1} \wedge \widehat{dx_k}$$
((1)).

Also,

$$\frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j D_k f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_k \wedge dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{k-1}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^k D_k f_k(\mathbf{x})}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k$$

$$= (r_k)^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k \tag{(1)}.$$

(5) Hence,

$$d(f_k \omega_{k-1})$$

$$= (r_k)^{-k} (-1)^{k-1} x_k dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{k-1} \wedge \widehat{dx_k}$$

$$+ (r_k)^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k$$

$$= (r_k)^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j-1} x_j dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k$$

$$= \omega_k.$$

Proof of (c).

- (1)  $\omega_n$  is not exact in  $E_n$  (though it is locally exact).
- (2) Let

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1 \}$$
$$\mathbb{B}^n = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \le 1 \}.$$

It suffices to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \omega_n = \frac{n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)} \neq 0.$$

Therefore,  $\omega_n$  is not exact in  $E_n$ .

(3) Define

$$\omega = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Note that

$$\omega = \frac{1}{n}\omega_n$$

on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  (and that's why we pick  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ). The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{n} \omega_n = \int_{\partial \mathbb{B}^n} \omega = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} d\omega = \int_{\mathbb{B}^n} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n = \operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n),$$

where  $vol(\mathbb{B}^n)$  is the volume of  $\mathbb{B}^n$ . Thus it suffices to show that

$$\operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{B}^n) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \neq 0.$$

There are many proofs for this. We give a direct integration in spherical coordinates.

(4) Similar to Exercise 10.9. The spherical coordinate system has a radial coordinate r and angular coordinates  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})$ , where the domain of each  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-2}$  is  $[0, \pi]$  and the domain of  $\varphi_{n-1}$  is  $[0, 2\pi]$ . That is,

$$x_1 = \cos \varphi_1$$

$$x_2 = \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2$$

$$x_3 = \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_3$$

$$\dots$$

$$x_{n-1} = \sin \varphi_1 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} \cos \varphi_{n-1}$$

$$x_n = \sin \varphi_1 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} \sin \varphi_{n-1}.$$

(It is different from Exercise 10.22.) The spherical volume element is

$$r^{n-1}\sin^{n-2}\varphi_1\sin^{n-3}\varphi_2\cdots\sin\varphi_{n-2}dr\,d\varphi.$$

Thus by Some consequences 8.21,

$$\operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{B}^{n}) = \int_{\mathbb{B}^{n}} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cdots \int_{0}^{2\pi} r^{n-1} \sin^{n-2} \varphi_{1} \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} dr \, d\varphi$$

$$= \left( \int_{0}^{1} r^{n-1} dr \right) \left( \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{n-2} \varphi_{1} d\varphi_{1} \right) \cdots \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi_{n-1} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-2}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})} \cdots \frac{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \cdot 2\pi$$

$$= \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)}.$$

(Use the similar argument in (d)(ii) to get the spherical volume element.)

(5) Note that we can apply the spherical coordinate system to  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \omega_n$  directly (without the Stokes' theorem). The area element is

$$\sin^{n-2}\varphi_1\sin^{n-3}\varphi_2\cdots\sin\varphi_{n-2}d\varphi.$$

A long calculation shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \omega_n$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^{n-2} \varphi_1 \sin^{n-3} \varphi_2 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} d\varphi$$

$$= \left( \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-2} \varphi_1 d\varphi_1 \right) \cdots \left( \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_{n-1} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-2}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})} \cdots \frac{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \cdot 2\pi$$

$$= \frac{n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}.$$

(See (d)(ii) for more details.)

Outline of (d).

- (i) One generalization of Exercise 10.21(a) and 10.22(a). See Exercise 10.23(a).
- (ii) One generalization of Exercise 10.22(b). Let  $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be the (n-1)-surface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with parameter domain  $D = [0,\pi]^{n-2} \times [0,2\pi]$ , given

by

$$x_1 = \cos \varphi_1$$

$$x_2 = \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2$$

$$x_3 = \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_3$$

$$\dots$$

$$x_{n-1} = \sin \varphi_1 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} \cos \varphi_{n-1}$$

$$x_n = \sin \varphi_1 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} \sin \varphi_{n-1}.$$

Let S denote the restriction of  $\Sigma$  to a parameter domain  $E\subseteq D$ . Prove that

$$\int_{S} \omega_{n} = \int_{E} \sin^{n-2} \varphi_{1} \sin^{n-3} \varphi_{2} \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2} d\varphi$$
$$= A(S),$$

where A denotes surface area.

(iii) One generalization of Exercise 10.22(c). Suppose  $g \in \mathscr{C}''([0,1])$ ,  $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \ldots, h_n) \in \mathscr{C}''([0,1]^{n-2})$ , and g > 0. Write  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  and  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_{n-2})$ . Let

$$\mathbf{x} = \Phi(\mathbf{s}, t)$$

define a (n-1)-surface  $\Phi$ , with parameter domain  $[0,1]^{n-1}$ , by

$$\mathbf{x} = g(t)\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{s}).$$

Prove that

$$\int_{\Phi} \omega_n = 0.$$

(iv) One generalization of Exercise 10.21(b) and 10.22(d). Let E be a closed cell in D, with edges parallel to those of D. Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{C}''(D)$ , f > 0. Let  $\Omega$  be the (n-1)-surface with parameter domain E, defined by

$$\Omega(\varphi) = f(\varphi)\Sigma(\varphi).$$

Define S as in (ii) and prove that

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_n = \int_{S} \omega_n = A(S).$$

- (v) One generalization of Exercise 10.21(d) and 10.22(e). See Exercise 10.23(b).
- (vi) One generalization of Examples 10.36 and 10.37. See Exercise 10.23(c).
- (vii) One generalization of Exercise 10.21(e) and 10.22(f). Derive (iv) from Exercise 10.23(b), without using (iii).

- (viii) One generalization of Exercise 10.21(f).  $\pi_{n-1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \mathbb{Z}$  (without proof).
- (ix) One generalization of Exercise 10.22(g). Show that  $\omega_n$  is exact in the complement of every line L passing through the origin.

Proof of (d)(ii).

(1) On  $S \subseteq \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , we have

$$\int_{S} \omega_{n} = \int_{S} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_{i} dx_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_{i}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n}$$

$$= \int_{S} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_{i} (\varphi) \frac{\partial (x_{1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{i}}, \dots, x_{n})}{\partial (\varphi_{1}, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})} d\varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{n-1}$$

$$= \int_{S} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_{i} (\varphi) \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial \varphi_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_{n}}{\partial \varphi_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_{n}}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \end{bmatrix} d\varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{n-1}$$

$$= \int_{S} \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} & \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial \varphi_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{i} & \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial \varphi_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \end{bmatrix} d\varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{n-1}.$$

$$= \int_{S} \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} & \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial \varphi_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{i} & \frac{\partial x_{n}}{\partial \varphi_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial x_{n}}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \end{bmatrix} d\varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{n-1}.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that

$$\det(A_n) = \sin^{n-2} \varphi_1 \sin^{n-3} \varphi_2 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2}.$$

- (2) Show that  $\det(A_n) = \sin^{n-2} \varphi_1 \sin^{n-3} \varphi_2 \cdots \sin \varphi_{n-2}$ . Induction on n.
  - (a) When n = 3, a straightforward computation shows that the determinant is

$$\det(A_3)$$

$$= \det \begin{bmatrix} \cos \varphi_1 & -\sin \varphi_1 & 0\\ \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2 & \cos \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2 & -\sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2\\ \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 & \cos \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 & \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sin \varphi_1.$$

(b) When n=4,

$$\det(A_4)$$

$$= \det\begin{bmatrix} \cos \varphi_1 & -\sin \varphi_1 & 0 & 0\\ \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2 & \cos \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2 & -\sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 & 0\\ \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_3 & \cos \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_3 & \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_3 & -\sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3\\ \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 & \cos \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 & \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_2 \sin \varphi_3 & \sin \varphi_1 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Expand along the last column to get

$$\begin{split} &\det(A_4) \\ &= (-1)^{3+4} \big( -\sin\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \sin\varphi_3 \big) \\ &\det \begin{bmatrix} \cos\varphi_1 & -\sin\varphi_1 & 0 \\ \sin\varphi_1 \cos\varphi_2 & \cos\varphi_1 \cos\varphi_2 & -\sin\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \\ \sin\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \sin\varphi_3 & \cos\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \sin\varphi_3 & \sin\varphi_1 \cos\varphi_2 \sin\varphi_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ (-1)^{4+4} \big( \sin\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \cos\varphi_3 \big) \\ &\det \begin{bmatrix} \cos\varphi_1 & -\sin\varphi_1 & 0 \\ \sin\varphi_1 \cos\varphi_2 & \cos\varphi_1 \cos\varphi_2 & -\sin\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \\ \sin\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \cos\varphi_3 & \cos\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \cos\varphi_3 & \sin\varphi_1 \cos\varphi_2 \cos\varphi_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \big( \sin\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \sin^2\varphi_3 \big) \det(A_3) + \big( \sin\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \cos^2\varphi_3 \big) \det(A_3) \\ &= \sin\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \det(A_3) \\ &= \sin^2\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2. \end{split}$$

(c) Now for large n, as (b) we expand along the last column to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\det(A_n) \\ &= (-1)^{(n-1)+n} (-\sin\varphi_1 \cdots \sin\varphi_{n-2}\sin\varphi_{n-1}) (\sin\varphi_{n-1}\det(A_{n-1})) \\ &+ (-1)^{n+n} (\sin\varphi_1 \cdots \sin\varphi_{n-2}\cos\varphi_{n-1}) (\cos\varphi_{n-1}\det(A_{n-1})) \\ &= (\sin\varphi_1 \cdots \sin\varphi_{n-2}) \det(A_{n-1}) \\ &= (\sin\varphi_1 \cdots \sin\varphi_{n-2}) (\sin^{n-3}\varphi_1 \sin^{n-4}\varphi_2 \cdots \sin\varphi_{n-3}) \\ &= \sin^{n-2}\varphi_1 \sin^{n-3}\varphi_2 \cdots \sin^2\varphi_{n-3} \sin\varphi_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) (Area elements in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  10.46.) Given any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$ . Define the vector  $\mathbf{N}(\varphi)$  by

$$\mathbf{N}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_n)}{\partial(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})} \mathbf{e}_i.$$

So the area of S is defined by

$$A(S) = \int_{F} |\mathbf{N}(\varphi)| d\varphi.$$

(4) By the similar proof in (2),

$$\frac{\partial(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_n)}{\partial(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})}$$

$$= (-1)^{i-1} \sin^{n-2} \varphi_1 \sin^{n-3} \varphi_2 \cdots \sin^2 \varphi_{n-3} \sin \varphi_{n-2} x_i$$

if i = 1, ..., n. Since  $\sum x_i^2 = 1$  on S,

$$|\mathbf{N}(\varphi)| = \sin^{n-2} \varphi_1 \sin^{n-3} \varphi_2 \cdots \sin^2 \varphi_{n-3} \sin \varphi_{n-2}.$$

Thus,

$$A(S) = \int_{E} |\mathbf{N}(\varphi)| d\varphi$$
$$= \int_{E} \sin^{n-2} \varphi_{1} \sin^{n-3} \varphi_{2} \cdots \sin^{2} \varphi_{n-3} \sin \varphi_{n-2} d\varphi.$$

(5) Note that we can apply (3) on (2) to get the same conclusion.

$$\int_{S} \omega_{n} = \int_{S} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_{i} dx_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_{i}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n}$$

$$= \int_{S} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_{i} \frac{\partial (x_{1}, \dots, \widehat{x_{i}}, \dots, x_{n})}{\partial (\varphi_{1}, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})} d\varphi_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_{n-1}$$

$$= \int_{E} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_{i}$$

$$(-1)^{i-1} \sin^{n-2} \varphi_{1} \sin^{n-3} \varphi_{2} \cdots \sin^{2} \varphi_{n-3} \sin \varphi_{n-2} x_{i} d\varphi$$

$$= \int_{E} \sin^{n-2} \varphi_{1} \sin^{n-3} \varphi_{2} \cdots \sin^{2} \varphi_{n-3} \sin \varphi_{n-2} d\varphi.$$

Proof of (d)(iii).

(1) Similar to Exercise 10.22(c). Assume that  $\omega_n$  is well-defined, i.e.,  $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{s}) \neq 0$ 

for all  $\mathbf{s} \in [0, 1]^{n-2}$ .

$$\frac{\partial(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_n)}{\partial(s_1, \dots, s_{n-2}, t)} = \det \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial s_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial s_{n-2}} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial s_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial s_{n-2}} & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial t} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial s_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial s_{n-2}} & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial t}
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \det \begin{bmatrix}
g \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_1} & \dots & g \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_{n-2}} & g' h_1 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
g \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial s_1} & \dots & g \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial s_{n-2}} & g' h_n
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$= g^{n-2}g' \det \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial s_{n-2}} & h_1 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial h_n}{\partial s_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial h_n}{\partial s_{n-2}} & h_n
\end{bmatrix}$$
say  $A$ 

(2) So

$$\int_{\Phi} \omega_{n} = \int_{[0,1]^{n-1}} \frac{1}{g(t)^{n} |\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{s})|^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} g(t) h_{i} g(t)^{n-2} g'(t) \det(A) d\mathbf{s} dt$$

$$= \int_{[0,1]^{n-1}} \frac{g'(t)}{g(t) |\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{s})|^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} h_{i} \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial s_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial s_{n-2}} & h_{1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial s_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{i}}{\partial s_{n-2}} & \hat{h_{i}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial s_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial s_{n-2}} & h_{n} \end{bmatrix} d\mathbf{s} dt$$

$$= \int_{[0,1]^{n-1}} \frac{g'(t)}{g(t) |\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{s})|^{n}} \det \begin{bmatrix} h_{1} & \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial s_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial s_{n-2}} & h_{1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ h_{n} & \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial s_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{n}}{\partial s_{n-2}} & h_{n} \end{bmatrix} d\mathbf{s} dt.$$

Since the first column is the same as the last column in B,  $\det(B) = 0$  (Theorem 9.34(d)). Therefore,  $\int_{\Phi} \omega_n = \int_{[0,1]^{n-1}} 0 \, d\mathbf{s} \, dt = 0$ .

Proof of (d)(iv).

(1) Consider the *n*-surface  $\Psi$  given by

$$\Psi(t, \varphi) = [1 - t + t f(\varphi)] \Sigma(\varphi),$$

where  $\varphi \in E \subseteq D$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ .

(2) Write

$$E = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}] \subseteq D.$$

Note that  $\Psi(t, \varphi) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$ . So the boundary of  $\Psi$  is

$$\partial \Psi = \Psi(0, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) - \Psi(1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\Psi|_{\varphi_i = a_i} - \Psi|_{\varphi_i = b_i}),$$

where  $\Psi|_{\varphi_i=\theta}: [a_1,b_1] \times \cdots \times \widehat{[a_i,b_i]} \times \cdots \times [a_{n-1},b_{n-1}] \to \Omega$  is a mapping defined by

$$\Psi|_{\varphi_i=\theta}(t,\varphi_1,\ldots,\widehat{\varphi_i},\ldots,\varphi_{n-1}) = \Psi(t,\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_{i-1},\theta,\varphi_{i+1},\ldots,\varphi_{n-1})$$
$$= \Psi(t,\varphi + (\theta - \varphi_i)\mathbf{e}_i).$$

(3) Show that

$$\int_{\Psi|_{\omega_1=\theta}} \omega_n = 0$$

for any fixed  $\varphi_1 = \theta \in [a_1, b_1]$ . Note that  $\omega_n$  is well-defined on  $\Psi|_{\varphi_1 = \theta}$ . Write

$$\Psi|_{\varphi_1=\theta}(t,\widehat{\varphi_1},\varphi_2,\ldots,\varphi_{n-1})=\mathbf{x}(t,\widehat{\varphi_1},\varphi_2,\ldots,\varphi_{n-1}).$$

By definition of  $\Psi$ , we have

$$x_1 = g(t, \varphi + (\theta - \varphi_1)\mathbf{e}_1)\cos\theta$$
  

$$x_2 = g(t, \varphi + (\theta - \varphi_1)\mathbf{e}_1)\sin\theta\cos\varphi_2$$
  
...

$$x_{n-1} = g(t, \varphi + (\theta - \varphi_1)\mathbf{e}_1)\sin\theta \cdots \sin\varphi_{n-2}\cos\varphi_{n-1}$$
$$x_n = g(t, \varphi + (\theta - \varphi_1)\mathbf{e}_1)\sin\theta \cdots \sin\varphi_{n-2}\sin\varphi_{n-1},$$

where  $g(t, \varphi + (\theta - \varphi_1)\mathbf{e}_1) = 1 - t + tf(\varphi + (\theta - \varphi_1)\mathbf{e}_1)$ .

(4) Note that  $r_n = g > 0$ . Since

$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} g^{-1} x_i,$$

$$\frac{\partial(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_i}, \dots, x_n)}{\partial(t, \widehat{\varphi_1}, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})} = \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \varphi_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \varphi_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \varphi_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial x_n}{\partial \varphi_{n-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} g^{-1} x_1 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} g^{-1} x_i & \widehat{*} & \dots & \widehat{*} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} g^{-1} x_n & * & \dots & * \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} g^{-1} \det \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \widehat{x_i} & \widehat{*} & \dots & \widehat{*} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_n & * & \dots & * \end{bmatrix}$$
say  $A$ 

So

$$\int_{\Psi|_{\varphi_{1}=\theta}} \omega_{n} = \int_{E} g^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_{i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} g^{-1} \det(A) dt d\varphi_{2} \cdots d\varphi_{n-1}$$

$$= \int_{E} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} g^{-n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_{i} \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \widehat{x_{i}} & \widehat{*} & \cdots & \widehat{*} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n} & * & \cdots & * \end{bmatrix} dt d\varphi_{2} \cdots d\varphi_{n-1}$$

$$= \int_{E} \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} g^{-n-1} \det \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_{1} & x_{1} & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{i} & x_{i} & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n} & x_{n} & * & \cdots & * \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{say } B} dt d\varphi_{2} \cdots d\varphi_{n-1}.$$

Since the first column is the same as the second column in B,  $\det(B) = 0$  (Theorem 9.34(d)). Therefore,  $\int_{\Psi|_{\varphi_1=\theta}} \omega_n = 0$ .

(5)  $\int_{\Psi|_{\alpha_i=\theta}} \omega_n = 0$  is also true for all  $i=1,\ldots,n-1$  by the same argument

in (3)(4). Hence,

$$0 = \int_{\Psi} d\omega_n$$

$$= \int_{\partial \Psi} \omega_n$$

$$= \int_{S} \omega_n - \int_{\Omega} \omega_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \int_{\Psi|_{\varphi_i = a_i}} \omega_n - \int_{\Psi|_{\varphi_i = b_i}} \omega_n \right)$$

$$= \int_{S} \omega_n - \int_{\Omega} \omega_n$$

by (a) and the Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33), or

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_n = \underbrace{\int_{S} \omega_n = A(S)}_{\text{by (d)(ii)}}.$$

Proof of (d)(vii). Similar to Exercise 10.22(f).

- (1) To ensure that  $\omega_n$  is well-defined on  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq E_n = \mathbb{R}^n \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , we might assume  $0 < \varphi_1 < \pi$ . It is fine since  $\int_{\Omega} \omega_n$  and  $\int_{S} \omega_n$  is well-defined on any closed rectangle in D and we can apply the argument in Exercise 6.7 to remove the additional restriction.
- (2) By the Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) and (b),

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega_n = \int_{\partial \Omega} f_n \omega_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{S} \omega_n = \int_{\partial S} f_n \omega_{n-1}.$$

So it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f_n \omega_{n-1} = \int_{\partial S} f_n \omega_{n-1}.$$

So it suffices to show that  $f_n|_{\partial\Omega} = f_n|_{\partial S}$  and  $\omega_{n-1}|_{\partial\Omega} = \omega_{n-1}|_{\partial S}$ .

(3) Show that  $f_n|_{\partial\Omega} = f_n|_{\partial S}$ . For any  $\mathbf{x}_{\Omega} \in \partial\Omega$ ,

$$\mathbf{x}_{\Omega} = f(\boldsymbol{\varphi})\mathbf{x}_{\Sigma}.$$

So

$$f_n(\mathbf{x}_{\Omega}) = (-1)^n g_n \left( \frac{(x_n)_{\Omega}}{((x_1)_{\Omega}^2 + \dots + (x_n)_{\Omega}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)$$

$$= (-1)^n g_n \left( \frac{f(\varphi)(x_n)_{\Sigma}}{f(\varphi)((x_1)_{\Sigma}^2 + \dots + (x_n)_{\Sigma}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)$$

$$= (-1)^n g_n \left( \frac{(x_n)_{\Sigma}}{((x_1)_{\Sigma}^2 + \dots + (x_n)_{\Sigma}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)$$

$$= f_n(\mathbf{x}_{\Sigma}).$$

(Note that f > 0.)

(4) Show that  $\omega_{n-1}|_{\partial\Omega} = \omega_{n-1}|_{\partial S}$ . Induction on n. When n=2 or n=3, it is proved in Exercise 10.22(f). Now for large n-1, (3) is also true for n-1. Hence,

$$|\omega_{n-1}|_{\partial\Omega} = d(f_{n-1}\omega_{n-2})|_{\partial\Omega} = d(f_{n-1}\omega_{n-2})|_{\partial S} = |\omega_{n-1}|_{\partial S}.$$

By induction, the result is established.

Proof of (d)(ix). Similar to Exercise 10.22(g).

(1) Given any line L passing through  $\mathbf{0}$ , say

$$(r\cos\varphi_1,\cdots,\sin\varphi_1\cdots\sin\varphi_{n-2}\sin\varphi_{n-1})\in L\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$$

where  $r \in \mathbb{R}^1$  for some  $\varphi \in [0,\pi]^{n-2} \times [0,2\pi]$ . We will show that  $\omega_n$  is exact in  $U = \mathbb{R}^n - L$ .

(2) Linear algebra says that all rotation matrices  $T \in SO(n)$  can be obtained from

using matrix multiplication. Here

$$R(u) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos u & -\sin u \\ \sin u & \cos u \end{bmatrix}$$

is a 2-by-2 rotation matrix at the ith row and ith column. For example, the rotation

$$T = R_1(-\varphi_1)R_2(-\varphi_2)\cdots R_{n-2}(-\varphi_{n-2})R_{n-1}(-\varphi_{n-1})$$

maps L to the  $x_n$ -axis. Similar to Exercise 10.22(g), it suffices to show that  $\omega_n$  is invariant under  $T = R_1(u)$ .

(3) Show that  $\omega_n$  is invariant under  $T = R_1(u)$ . By

$$T: \mathbf{x} \mapsto (x_1 \cos u - x_2 \sin u, x_1 \sin u + x_2 \cos u, x_3, \dots, x_n),$$

we have

$$r_n \mapsto r_n$$

$$dx_1 \mapsto \cos u dx_1 - \sin u dx_2$$

$$dx_2 \mapsto \sin u dx_1 + \cos u dx_2$$

$$dx_3 \mapsto dx_3$$

$$\cdots$$

$$dx_n \mapsto dx_n.$$

So  $dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$  maps to

$$\begin{cases} \cos u \, \widehat{dx_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n + \sin u \, dx_1 \wedge \widehat{dx_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n & \text{if } i = 1 \\ -\sin u \, \widehat{dx_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n + \cos u \, dx_1 \wedge \widehat{dx_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n & \text{if } i = 2 \\ dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\omega_n \mapsto (r_n)^{-n} (x_1 \cos u - x_2 \sin u)$$

$$\left(\cos u \, \widehat{dx_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n + \sin u \, dx_1 \wedge \widehat{dx_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n\right)$$

$$+ (r_n)^{-n} (x_1 \sin u + x_2 \cos u)$$

$$\left(-\sin u \, \widehat{dx_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n + \cos u \, dx_1 \wedge \widehat{dx_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n\right)$$

$$+ (r_n)^{-n} \sum_{i=3}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

$$= (r_n)^{-n} x_1 \widehat{dx_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

$$- (r_n)^{-n} x_2 \, dx_1 \wedge \widehat{dx_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

$$+ (r_n)^{-n} \sum_{i=3}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

$$= (r_n)^{-n} \sum_{i=3}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

$$= (r_n)^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{i-1} x_i dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$$

$$= \omega_n.$$

(4) Similar to Exercise 10.22(g),  $\omega_n$  is exact in  $\mathbb{R}^n - L$ . (Or  $\omega_n$  is locally exact in  $\mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .)

**Exercise 10.24.** Let  $\omega = \sum a_i(\mathbf{x}) dx_i$  be a 1-form of class  $\mathscr{C}''$  in a convex open set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume  $d\omega = 0$  and prove that  $\omega$  is exact in E, by completing the following outline:

Fix  $\mathbf{p} \in E$ . Define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in E).$$

Apply Stokes' theorem to affine-oriented 2-simplexs  $[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$  in E. Deduce that

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i((1-t)\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{y})dt$$

for  $\mathbf{x} \in E$ ,  $\mathbf{y} \in E$ . Hence  $(D_i f)(\mathbf{x}) = a_i(\mathbf{x})$ .

Proof.

(1) Fix  $\mathbf{p} \in E$ . Define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in E).$$

- (2) Given any  $\mathbf{x} \in E$ ,  $\mathbf{y} \in E$ , and  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$ . The affine-oriented 2-simplexs  $\Psi = [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$  is in E by the convexity of E. (If E is open but not convex, we can show that  $\omega = df$  **locally** as the note in Exercise 10.21(a). That is why we say that  $\omega$  is locally exact. The proof is exactly the same.)
- (3) Note that

$$\partial \Psi = \partial [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] - [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}] + [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}].$$

The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\int_{\Psi} d\omega = \int_{\partial \Psi} \omega \iff \int_{\Psi} 0 = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega + \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega$$
$$\iff 0 = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - f(\mathbf{y}) + f(\mathbf{x})$$
$$\iff f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega.$$

(4) Define  $\gamma:[0,1]\to E$  by

$$\gamma(t) = \mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + t(y_i - x_i)$$

(where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ). Hence [0, 1] is the parameter domain of  $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$  with respect to  $\gamma$ . So

$$\int_{[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}]} \omega = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\gamma(t)) \frac{\partial (x_i + t(y_i - x_i))}{\partial t} dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}))(y_i - x_i) dt$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i(\mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})) dt.$$

Thus,

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i (\mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})) dt.$$

(5) Note that

$$f(\mathbf{x} + h\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n ((x_i + h\delta_{ij}) - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i (\mathbf{x} + t((\mathbf{x} + h\mathbf{e}_j) - \mathbf{x})) dt$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n h\delta_{ij} \int_0^1 a_i (\mathbf{x} + th\mathbf{e}_j) dt$$
$$= h \int_0^1 a_j (\mathbf{x} + th\mathbf{e}_j) dt.$$

(Here  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta.) So

$$(D_{j}f)(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + h\mathbf{e}_{j}) - f(\mathbf{x})}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \int_{0}^{1} a_{j}(\mathbf{x} + th\mathbf{e}_{j})dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} a_{j}(\mathbf{x})dt \qquad (a_{j} \in \mathscr{C}'')$$

$$= a_{j}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Thus,

$$df = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (D_j f)(\mathbf{x}) dx_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j(\mathbf{x}) dx_j = \omega,$$

or  $\omega$  is exact in E.

Note:

- (1) The first de Rham cohomology  $H^1_{dR}(E,\mathbb{R}) = 0$  if E is convex.
- (2)  $H^1_{dR}(E,\mathbb{R}) = 0$  if E is simply connected. (The converse is not true.)

**Exercise 10.25.** Assume  $\omega$  is a 1-form in an open set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$$

for every closed curve  $\gamma$  in E, of class  $\mathscr{C}'$ . Prove that  $\omega$  is exact in E, by imitating part of the argument sketched in Exercise 10.24.

Proof.

- (1) Assume that E is a **connected** open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that  $\omega$  is exact in E if  $\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$  for every closed curve  $\gamma$  in E, of class  $\mathscr{C}'$ .
- (2) Fix  $\mathbf{p} \in E$ . Define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in E).$$

It is well-defined since E is connected and  $\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$  for every closed curve  $\gamma$  in E.

(3) Given any  $\mathbf{x} \in E$ ,  $\mathbf{y} \in E$ , and  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$ . Let

$$\gamma = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] - [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}] + [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]$$

be a closed curve in E. Hence,

$$0 = \int_{\gamma} \omega$$

$$= \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega + \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega$$

$$= \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - f(\mathbf{y}) + f(\mathbf{x}).$$
(Assumption)

So

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega$$

(4) Similar to (4)(5) in the proof of Exercise 10.24, we have  $df = \omega$ . So the statement in (1) is proved. In general, we can define each  $f_{\alpha}$  on each connected component  $E_{\alpha}$  (which is open) of E such that  $df_{\alpha} = \omega$  on  $E_{\alpha}$ . Take

$$f|_{E_{\alpha}} = f_{\alpha}$$

on E. Hence,  $df = \omega$  on the whole E.

**Exercise 10.26.** Assume  $\omega$  is a 1-form in  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , of class  $\mathscr{C}'$  and  $d\omega = 0$ . Prove that  $\omega$  is exact in  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . (Hint: Every closed continuously differentiable curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$  is the boundary of a 2-surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Apply Stokes' theorem and Exercise 10.25.)

Proof.

(1) Let  $E = \mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . By Exercise 10.25, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$$

for every closed curve  $\gamma$  in E, of class  $\mathscr{C}'$ .

(2) Suppose that every closed continuously differentiable curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$  is the boundary of a 2-surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . So there is some 2-surface  $\Psi$  such that  $\partial \Psi = \gamma$ . The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = \int_{\partial \Psi} \omega = \int_{\Psi} d\omega = \int_{\Psi} 0 = 0.$$

(3) By algebraic topology theory,  $\pi_1(E,x) = 0$  for any  $x \in E$ . That is, E is simply connected. Given any closed curve  $\gamma : [0,1] \to E$ , we take  $c \in (0,1)$  such that  $\gamma(c) \neq \gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$ . (If such c does not exist,  $\gamma$  is a trivial curve and thus  $\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$  holds trivially.) Consider two curves  $\gamma_1 : [0,1] \to E$  and  $\gamma_2 : [0,1] \to E$  defined by

$$\gamma_1(x) = \gamma(cx),$$
  

$$\gamma_2(x) = \gamma((c-1)x + 1).$$

Thus  $\gamma_1(0) = \gamma_2(0)$  and  $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(1)$ . Since E is simply connected, there is a homotopy  $H(x,t): E \times [0,1] \to E$  of  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  such that  $H(x,0) = \gamma_1(x)$  and  $H(x,1) = \gamma_2(x)$ . H defines a 2-surface  $\Psi$  such that  $\partial \Psi = \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 = \gamma$ .

**Exercise 10.27.** Let E be an open 3-cell in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , with edges parallel to the coordinate axes. Suppose  $(a,b,c) \in E$ ,  $f_i \in \mathscr{C}'(E)$  for i=1,2,3,

$$\omega = f_1 dy \wedge dz + f_2 dz \wedge dx + f_3 dx \wedge dy$$

and assume that  $d\omega = 0$  in E. Define

$$\lambda = g_1 dx + g_2 dy$$

where

$$g_1(x, y, z) = \int_c^z f_2(x, y, s) ds - \int_b^y f_3(x, t, c) dt$$
$$g_2(x, y, z) = -\int_c^z f_1(x, y, s) ds,$$

for  $(x, y, z) \in E$ . Prove that  $d\lambda = \omega$  in E. Evaluate these integrals when  $\omega = \zeta$  and thus find the form  $\lambda$  that occurs in part (e) of Exercise 10.22.

Proof.

(1) Let  $\mathbf{F} = f_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + f_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + f_3 \mathbf{e}_3$  as in Vector fields 10.42. Then

$$d\omega = (\nabla \cdot F)dx \wedge dy \wedge dz.$$

As  $d\omega = 0$  by assumption,  $\nabla \cdot F = D_1 f_1 + D_2 f_2 + D_3 f_3 = 0$ .

(2) As

$$d\lambda = d(g_1 dx + g_2 dy)$$

$$= (D_1 g_1 dx + D_2 g_1 dy + D_3 g_1 dz) \wedge dx$$

$$+ (D_1 g_2 dx + D_2 g_2 dy + D_3 g_2 dz) \wedge dy$$

$$= (-D_3 g_2) dy \wedge dz + (D_3 g_1) dz \wedge dx + (D_1 g_2 - D_2 g_1) dx \wedge dy,$$

it suffices to show that

$$f_1 = -D_3 g_2,$$
  

$$f_2 = D_3 g_1,$$
  

$$f_3 = D_1 g_2 - D_2 g_1$$

on E.

(3) Theorem 6.20 implies that

$$-D_3g_2 = D_3 \int_c^z f_1(x, y, s) ds = f_1(x, y, z)$$

and

$$D_3g_1 = D_3 \int_c^z f_2(x, y, s) ds - D_3 \int_b^y f_3(x, t, c) dt = f_2(x, y, z).$$

Also,

$$\begin{split} &D_{1}g_{2}-D_{2}g_{1}\\ &=D_{1}\left(-\int_{c}^{z}f_{1}(x,y,s)ds\right)\\ &-D_{2}\left(\int_{c}^{z}f_{2}(x,y,s)ds-\int_{b}^{y}f_{3}(x,t,c)dt\right)\\ &=-\int_{c}^{z}D_{1}f_{1}(x,y,s)ds \qquad (f_{1}\in\mathscr{C}')\\ &-\int_{c}^{z}D_{2}f_{2}(x,y,s)ds+f_{3}(x,y,c) \qquad (f_{2}\in\mathscr{C}',\,\text{Theorem 6.20})\\ &=\int_{c}^{z}D_{3}f_{3}(x,y,s)ds+f_{3}(x,y,c) \qquad ((1))\\ &=f_{3}(x,y,z) \qquad (\text{Theorem 6.21}). \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $d\lambda = \omega$  in E.

(4) When 
$$\omega = \zeta = r^{-3}(xdy \wedge dz + ydz \wedge dx + zdx \wedge dy)$$
, we get

$$f_1(x, y, z) = x(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}},$$
  

$$f_2(x, y, z) = y(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}},$$
  

$$f_3(x, y, z) = z(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

So,

$$\int_{c}^{z} f_{2}(x, y, s) ds = \left[ ys(x^{2} + y^{2})^{-1}(x^{2} + y^{2} + s^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{s=c}^{s=z},$$

$$\int_{b}^{y} f_{3}(x, t, c) dt = \left[ ct(x^{2} + c^{2})^{-1}(x^{2} + t^{2} + c^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{t=b}^{t=y},$$

$$\int_{c}^{z} f_{1}(x, y, s) ds = \left[ xs(x^{2} + y^{2})^{-1}(x^{2} + y^{2} + s^{2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{s=c}^{s=z}.$$

Hence,

$$\lambda = g_1 dx + g_2 dy$$

$$= \left[ y s (x^2 + y^2)^{-1} (x^2 + y^2 + s^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{s=c}^{s=z} dx$$

$$- \left[ c t (x^2 + c^2)^{-1} (x^2 + t^2 + c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{t=b}^{t=y} dx$$

$$+ \left[ x s (x^2 + y^2)^{-1} (x^2 + y^2 + s^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{s=c}^{s=z} dy$$

$$= - \left[ z r^{-1} - c (x^2 + y^2 + c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \eta \qquad \text{(Definition of } \eta)$$

$$- c (x^2 + c^2)^{-1} \left[ y (x^2 + y^2 + c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - b (x^2 + b^2 + c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] dx.$$

As we pick  $(a, b, c) = (a, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$  (or  $a \neq 0$ ), we have  $\lambda = -zr^{-1}\eta$  such that  $d\lambda = \omega = \zeta$ , which is the same as part (e) in Exercise 10.22.

**Exercise 10.28.** Fix b > a > 0, define

$$\Phi(r,\theta) = (r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$$

for  $a \le r \le b$ ,  $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$ . (The range of  $\Phi$  is an annulus in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .) Put  $\omega = x^3 dy$ , and compute both

$$\int_{\Phi} d\omega \qquad and \qquad \int_{\partial \Phi} \omega$$

to verify that they are equal.

Proof.

(1) Note that

$$\frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(r,\theta)} = \det \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -r\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & r\cos\theta \end{bmatrix} = r.$$

So

$$\int_{\Phi} d\omega = \int_{\Phi} 3x^2 dx \wedge dy \qquad (dy \wedge dy = 0)$$

$$= \int_{[a,b] \times [0,2\pi]} 3(r\cos\theta)^2 \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(r,\theta)} dr d\theta$$

$$= \int_a^b \int_0^{2\pi} 3r^3 (\cos\theta)^2 dr d\theta$$

$$= \frac{3\pi}{4} (b^4 - a^4).$$

(2) Similar to Exercise 10.21(b), write

$$\partial \Phi = \Gamma - \gamma$$
.

where  $\Gamma(t)=(b\cos t,b\sin t)$  on  $[0,2\pi]$  and  $\gamma(t)=(a\cos t,a\sin t)$  on  $[0,2\pi]$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial\Phi} \omega &= \int_{\Gamma} \omega - \int_{\gamma} \omega \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} x^3 dy - \int_{\gamma} x^3 dy \\ &= \int_{[0,2\pi]} (b\cos\theta)^3 \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} d\theta - \int_{[0,2\pi]} (a\cos\theta)^3 \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} b^4 (\cos\theta)^4 d\theta - \int_0^{2\pi} a^4 (\cos\theta)^4 d\theta \\ &= \frac{3\pi}{4} (b^4 - a^4). \end{split}$$

(3) 
$$\int_{\Phi} d\omega = \int_{\partial \Phi} \omega = \frac{3\pi}{4} (b^4 - a^4).$$

**Exercise 10.29.** Prove the existence of a function  $\alpha$  with the properties needed in the proof of Theorem 10.38, and prove that the resulting function F is of class  $\mathscr{C}'$ . (Both assertions become trivial if E is an open cell or an open ball, since  $\alpha$  can then be taken to be a constant. Refer to Theorem 9.42.)

Proof.

(1) Suppose E is a convex open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , 1 . Write

$$\mathbf{x} = (\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}}_{=\mathbf{x}'}, x_p, \underbrace{x_{p+1}, \dots, x_n}_{=\mathbf{x}''}) \in E.$$

Let V be the set of all  $(\mathbf{x}', x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  such that  $(\mathbf{x}', x_p, \mathbf{x}'') \in E$  for some  $\mathbf{x}''$ . Let U be the set of all  $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$  such that  $(\mathbf{x}', x_p) \in V$  for some  $x_p$ . Show that there is a function  $\alpha \in \mathscr{C}'(U)$  such that  $(\mathbf{x}', \alpha(\mathbf{x}'))$  in V for every  $\mathbf{x}' \in U$ ; in other words, the graph of  $\alpha$  lies in V.

(2) Show that (1) holds if E is an open cell. Say  $E = (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n)$ . Thus,  $V = (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_p, b_p)$  and  $U = (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_{p-1}, b_{p-1})$ . Define a function  $\alpha \in \mathscr{C}'(U)$  by  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}') = c_p$  for some  $c_p \in (a_p, b_p)$ . Hence,

$$\{(\mathbf{x}', \alpha(\mathbf{x}')) : \mathbf{x}' \in U\} = (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n) \times \{c_n\} \subseteq V.$$

(3) Show that (1) holds if E is an open ball. Say  $E = B(\mathbf{a}; r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  for some

$$\mathbf{a} = (\underbrace{a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}}, a_p, \underbrace{a_{p+1}, \dots, a_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and r > 0. Thus,  $V = B((\mathbf{a}', a_p); r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $U = B(\mathbf{a}'; r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$ . Define a function  $\alpha \in \mathscr{C}'(U)$  by  $\alpha(\mathbf{x}') = a_p$ . Hence,

$$\{(\mathbf{x}', \alpha(\mathbf{x}')) : \mathbf{x}' \in U\} = (a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_p, b_p) \times \{a_p\} \subseteq V.$$

- (4) (Partitions of unity.) Suppose E is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\{U_\beta\}$  is a countable open covering of E. Then there exist functions  $\psi_\beta \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that
  - (a)  $0 \le \psi_{\beta} \le 1$  for every  $\beta$ ;
  - (b) each  $\psi_{\beta}$  has its support in some  $U_{\beta}$ ;
  - (c) each  $\mathbf{x} \in E$  has an open neighborhood which intersects supp $(\psi_{\beta})$  for only finitely many  $\beta$ , and

(d)  $\sum_{\beta} \psi_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$  for every  $\mathbf{x} \in E$ .

To prove this, we apply the similar argument in Theorem 10.8 and note that E is paracompact.

- (6) Show that (1) holds for arbitrary convex open set E in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
  - (a) Let  $\{E_{\beta}\}$  is a countable open covering of E in the sense of Exercises 2.22 and 2.23. (So that each  $E_{\beta} = B(\mathbf{a}_{\beta}; r_{\beta}) \subseteq E$ .) Based on  $\{E_{\beta}\}$ , we can construct an open covering  $\{U_{\beta}\}$  of U such that  $U_{\beta} = B(\mathbf{a}'_{\beta}; r_{\beta})$  where  $\mathbf{a}_{\beta} = (\mathbf{a}'_{\beta}, a_{\beta,p}, \mathbf{a}''_{\beta})$  as in Theorem 10.38.
  - (b) Construct a partition of unity  $\psi_{\beta} \in \mathscr{C}(U)$  of U by (4). For any  $\mathbf{x} \in U$ , there is an open neighborhood  $B(\mathbf{x})$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  which intersects supp $(\psi_{\beta})$  for only finitely many  $\beta$  and  $\sum_{\beta} \psi_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$  is a finite sum.
  - (c) Define

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\beta} a_{\beta,p} \psi_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}).$$

(See (a) for the definition of  $a_{\beta,p}$ .)  $\alpha$  is well-defined and  $\alpha \in \mathscr{C}(U)$  (by (b)). Write

$$(\mathbf{x}, \alpha(\mathbf{x})) = \sum_{\beta} \psi_{\beta}(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x}, a_{\beta}).$$

Note that  $(\mathbf{x}, a_{\beta}) \in B((\mathbf{a}'_{\beta}, a_{\beta, p}); r_{\beta}) \subseteq V$ . Also, V is convex since E is convex. Therefore,  $(\mathbf{x}, \alpha(\mathbf{x})) \in V$ .

(7) Show that  $F \in \mathcal{C}'$ . Since the partial derivatives  $(D_j F)(\mathbf{x})$  exist and are continuous on  $E, F \in \mathcal{C}'$  by Theorem 9.21.

Exercise 10.30. If N is the vector given by

$$\mathbf{N} = (\alpha_2 \beta_3 - \alpha_3 \beta_2) \mathbf{e}_1 + (\alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_3) \mathbf{e}_2 + (\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1) \mathbf{e}_3$$

(Equation (135)), prove that

$$\det\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \end{bmatrix} = |\mathbf{N}|^2$$

Also, verify

$$\mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_1) = \mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_2)$$

(Equation (137)).

Proof.

(1) By Laplace's expansion along the third column,

$$\det\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (-1)^{1+3} (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2) \det\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ (-1)^{2+3} (\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3) \det\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ (-1)^{3+3} (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1) \det\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1)^2$$

$$= |\mathbf{N}|^2.$$

(2)

$$\mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_1) = (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2, \alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3, \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1) \cdot (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$$

$$= (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2)\alpha_1 + (\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1))\alpha_3$$

$$= (\alpha_3\alpha_2 - \alpha_2\alpha_3)\beta_1 + (\alpha_1\alpha_3 - \alpha_3\alpha_1)\beta_2 + (\alpha_2\alpha_1 - \alpha_1\alpha_2)\beta_3$$

$$= 0.$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_{2}) &= (\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - \alpha_{3}\beta_{2}, \alpha_{3}\beta_{1} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{3}, \alpha_{1}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{1}) \cdot (\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}) \\ &= (\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - \alpha_{3}\beta_{2})\beta_{1} + (\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{3})\beta_{2} + (\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{1}))\beta_{3} \\ &= (\beta_{2}\beta_{3} - \beta_{3}\beta_{2})\alpha_{1} + (\beta_{3}\beta_{1} - \beta_{1}\beta_{3})\alpha_{2} + (\beta_{1}\beta_{2} - \beta_{2}\beta_{1})\alpha_{3} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 10.31.** Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  be open, suppose  $g \in \mathscr{C}''(E)$ ,  $h \in \mathscr{C}''(E)$ , and consider the vector field

$$\mathbf{F} = g\nabla h$$

(a) Prove that

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = g \nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)$$

where  $\nabla^2 h = \nabla \cdot (\nabla h) = \sum \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_i^2}$  is the so-called "Laplacian" of h.

(b) If  $\Omega$  is a closed subset of E with positively oriented boundary  $\partial\Omega$  (as in Theorem 10.51), prove that

$$\int_{\Omega}[g\nabla^2 h + (\nabla g)\cdot(\nabla h)]dV = \int_{\partial\Omega}g\frac{\partial h}{\partial n}dA$$

where (as is customary) we have written  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial n}$  in place of  $(\nabla h) \cdot \mathbf{n}$ . (Thus  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial n}$  is the directional derivative of h in the direction of the outward normal to  $\partial \Omega$ , the so-called **normal derivative** of h.) Interchange g and h, substract the resulting formula from the first one, to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (g\nabla^2 h - h\nabla^2 g) dV = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(g\frac{\partial h}{\partial n} - h\frac{\partial g}{\partial n}\right) dA.$$

These two formulas are usually called Green's identities.

(c) Assume that h is **harmonic** in E; this means that  $\nabla^2 h = 0$ . Take g = 1 and conclude that

 $\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\partial h}{\partial n}dA=0.$ 

Take g = h, and conclude that h = 0 in  $\Omega$  if h = 0 on  $\partial\Omega$ .

(d) Show that Green's identities are also valid in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Proof of (a).

(1) Since

$$\mathbf{F} = g\nabla h = g\left(\sum (D_i h)\mathbf{e}_i\right) = \sum g(D_i h)\mathbf{e}_i,$$

we have

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \nabla \cdot \left( \sum g(D_i h) \mathbf{e}_i \right)$$

$$= \sum D_i(g(D_i h))$$

$$= \sum \{ (D_i g)(D_i h) + g D_i(D_i h) \}$$

$$= \sum (D_i g)(D_i h) + g \sum D_i(D_i h).$$

(2) Also,

$$g\nabla^{2}h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h) = g\nabla \cdot (\nabla h) + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)$$
$$= g\nabla \cdot \left(\sum (D_{i}h)\mathbf{e}_{i}\right) + \left(\sum (D_{i}g)\mathbf{e}_{i}\right) \cdot \left(\sum (D_{i}h)\mathbf{e}_{i}\right)$$
$$= g\sum D_{i}(D_{i}h) + \sum (D_{i}g)(D_{i}h).$$

(3) By (1)(2), the result is established.

Proof of (b).

(1) The divergence theorem (Theorem 10.51) implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n}) dA$$

$$\Longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} [g \nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)] dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} g \underbrace{\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{n}}_{=\frac{\partial h}{\partial n}} dA.$$

(2) Green's identities are a set of three identities in vector calculus relating the bulk with the boundary of a region on which differential operators act. (Green's third identity.) Assume that h is harmonic in E. If  $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)$  is the Green's function, then

$$h(\mathbf{x}_0) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \left[ h(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)}{\partial n} - G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x})}{\partial n} \right] dA.$$

For example, in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ 

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) = -\frac{1}{4\pi \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|}.$$

Proof of (c). Assume  $\nabla^2 h = 0$ .

(1) Take g = 1 in

$$\int_{\Omega} [g\nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)] dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} g \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dA$$

to get the conclusion. (Here  $\nabla g = \mathbf{0}$  as g = 1.)

(2) Assume h = 0 on  $\partial \Omega$ . Take g = h in

$$\int_{\Omega} [g\nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)] dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} g \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dA$$

to get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla h|^2 dV = \int_{\partial \Omega} h \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dA = 0$$

(since h = 0 on  $\partial\Omega$ ). Since  $h \in \mathscr{C}'(\Omega)$ , Exercise 6.2 implies that  $|\nabla h|^2 = 0$  on  $\Omega$ . So  $D_1 h = D_2 h = D_3 h = 0$  on  $\Omega$ . Since  $h \in \mathscr{C}'(\Omega)$ , Theorem 9.21 implies that h = 0 on  $\Omega$ , or h is locally constant in  $\Omega$  (Exercise 9.9). Note that h = 0 globally on  $\partial\Omega$ , and thus h = 0 globally on  $\Omega$ .

Proof of (d).

(1) (The divergence theorem in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .) If  $\mathbf{F} = F_1\mathbf{e}_1 + F_2\mathbf{e}_2$  is a vector field of class  $\mathscr{C}'$  in an open set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ , and if  $\Omega$  is a closed subset of E with positively oriented boundary  $\partial\Omega$  then

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) dA = \int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n}) ds.$$

Define a 1-form by

$$\omega_{\mathbf{F}} = F_1 dy - F_2 dx.$$

So

$$d\omega_{\mathbf{F}} = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F})dx \wedge dy = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F})dA.$$

Hence the Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) dA = \int_{\Omega} d\omega_{\mathbf{F}} = \int_{\partial \Omega} \omega_{\mathbf{F}} = \int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n}) ds.$$

(2) Note that

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = g\nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)$$

is also true in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Similar to (b), two Green's identities are also true in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . (In  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , the Green's first identity is the integration by parts (Theorem 6.22).)

**Exercise 10.32 (Möbius band).** Fix  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Let D be the set of all  $(\theta, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ ,  $-\delta \le t \le \delta$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the 2-surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , with parameter domain D, given by

$$x = (1 - t\sin\theta)\cos(2\theta)$$
$$y = (1 - t\sin\theta)\sin(2\theta)$$

 $z = t \cos \theta$ 

where  $(x, y, z) = \Phi(\theta, t)$ . Note that  $\Phi(\pi, t) = \Phi(0, -t)$ , and that  $\Phi$  is one-to-one on the rest of D.

The range  $M = \Phi(D)$  of  $\Phi$  is known as a **Möbius band**. It is the simplest example of a nonorientable surface.

Prove the various assertions made in the following description: Put  $\mathbf{p}_1 = (0, -\delta)$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_2 = (\pi, -\delta)$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_3 = (\pi, \delta)$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_4 = (0, \delta)$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_5 = \mathbf{p}_1$ . Put  $\gamma_i = [\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{i+1}]$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ , and put  $\Gamma_i = \Phi \circ \gamma_i$ . Then

$$\partial \Phi = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4.$$

Put  $\mathbf{a} = (1, 0, -\delta), \ \mathbf{b} = (1, 0, \delta).$  Then

$$\Phi(\mathbf{p}_1) = \Phi(\mathbf{p}_3) = \mathbf{a}, \qquad \Phi(\mathbf{p}_2) = \Phi(\mathbf{p}_4) = \mathbf{b},$$

and  $\partial\Phi$  can be described as follows.

- (1)  $\Gamma_1$  spirals up from **a** to **b**; its projection into the (x, y)-plane has winding number +1 around the origin. (See Exercise 8.23.)
- (2)  $\Gamma_2 = [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}].$
- (3)  $\Gamma_3$  spirals up from **a** to **b**; its projection into the (x, y)-plane has winding number -1 around the origin.
- (4)  $\Gamma_4 = [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}].$

Thus  $\partial \Phi = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3 + 2\Gamma_2$ .

If we go from  $\mathbf{a}$  to  $\mathbf{b}$  along  $\Gamma_1$  and continue along the "edge" of M until we return to  $\mathbf{a}$ , the curve traced out is

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_3$$
,

which may also be represented on the parameter interval  $[0, 2\pi]$  by the equations

$$\begin{split} x &= (1 + \delta \sin \theta) \cos(2\theta) \\ y &= (1 + \delta \sin \theta) \sin(2\theta) \\ z &= -\delta \cos \theta. \end{split}$$

It should be emphasized that  $\Gamma \neq \partial \Phi$ : Let  $\eta = \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2}$  be the 1-form discussed in Exercise 10.21 and Exercise 10.22. Since  $d\eta = 0$ , Stokes' theorem shows that

$$\int_{\partial \Phi} \eta = 0.$$

But although  $\Gamma$  is the "geometric" boundary of M, we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = 4\pi.$$

In order to avoid this possible source of confusion, Stokes' formula (Theorem 10.50) is frequently stated only for orientable surfaces  $\Phi$ .

Proof.

(1) Show that  $\partial \Phi = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4$ .

$$\begin{split} \partial \Phi &= \Phi \circ (\partial D) \\ &= \Phi \circ (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4) \\ &= \Phi \circ \gamma_1 + \Phi \circ \gamma_2 + \Phi \circ \gamma_3 + \Phi \circ \gamma_4 \\ &= \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4. \end{split}$$

(2) It is trivial that  $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_1) = \Phi(\mathbf{p}_3) = \mathbf{a} = (1, 0, -\delta)$  and  $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_2) = \Phi(\mathbf{p}_4) = \mathbf{b} = (1, 0, \delta)$  by the definition of  $\Phi$ .

(3) Show that  $\Gamma_1$  spirals up from  $\mathbf{a}$  to  $\mathbf{b}$ ; its projection into the (x,y)-plane has winding number +1 around the origin. By definition,  $\Gamma_1 = \Phi \circ \gamma_1 = \Phi([\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2])$ . That is,  $\Gamma_1$  spirals up from  $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_1) = \mathbf{a}$  to  $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_2) = \mathbf{b}$ . Besides, the projection  $P_{\Gamma_1}$  of  $\Gamma_1$  into the (x, y)-plane (z = 0) can be parameterized as

$$x = \left(1 + \delta \sin \frac{t}{2}\right) \cos t$$
$$y = \left(1 + \delta \sin \frac{t}{2}\right) \sin t$$

for  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ . Note that  $P_{\Gamma_1}$  satisfies the condition in Exercise 10.21(b). Hence  $\int_{P_{\Gamma_1}} \eta = 2\pi$ . (Here  $\eta$  is well-defined.) Apply Exercise 10.21(f) to get

$$\operatorname{Ind}(P_{\Gamma_1}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{P_{\Gamma_1}} \eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot 2\pi = 1.$$

- (4) Show that  $\Gamma_2 = [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}]$ . By definition,  $\Gamma_2 = \Phi \circ \gamma_2 = \Phi([\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3])$  is  $[\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}]$  exactly.
- (5) Show that  $\Gamma_3$  spirals up from **a** to **b**; its projection into the (x, y)-plane has winding number -1 around the origin. Similar to (3),  $\Gamma_3$  spirals up from  $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_3) = \mathbf{a}$  to  $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_4) = \mathbf{b}$ . Now we consider  $-\Gamma_3$  instead of  $\Gamma_3$ . The projection  $P_{-\Gamma_3}$  of  $-\Gamma_3$  into the (x, y)-plane (z = 0) can be parameterized as

$$x = \left(1 - \delta \sin \frac{t}{2}\right) \cos t$$
$$y = \left(1 - \delta \sin \frac{t}{2}\right) \sin t$$

for  $0 \le t \le 2\pi$ . Similar to (3),  $\operatorname{Ind}(P_{-\Gamma_3}) = 1$ . Therefore,

$$\operatorname{Ind}(P_{\Gamma_3}) = -\operatorname{Ind}(-P_{\Gamma_3}) = -\operatorname{Ind}(P_{-\Gamma_3}) = -1.$$

- (6) Show that  $\Gamma_4 = [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}]$ . Similar to (4).
- (7) Show that  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \Gamma_3$  is the trace of from **a** to **b** along  $\Gamma_1$  and continue along the "edge" of M until we return to **a**. By definition,  $\Gamma$  can be parameterized as

$$x = (1 + \delta \sin t) \cos(2t)$$
$$y = (1 + \delta \sin t) \sin(2t)$$
$$z = -\delta \cos t$$

for  $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Thus,  $\Gamma$  is  $\Gamma_1$  if  $t \in [0, \pi]$  and  $\Gamma$  is  $-\Gamma_3$  if  $t \in [\pi, 2\pi]$  by (3)(5). So  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_3$ .

(8) Show that  $\int_{\partial\Phi}\eta=0$ . Note that  $\eta$  is well-defined since M does not intersect the z-axis. So the Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) and  $d\eta=0$  on M implies that

$$\int_{\partial\Phi}\eta=\int_{\Phi}d\eta=0.$$

(9) Show that  $\int_{\Gamma} \eta = 4\pi$ .

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{x dy - y dx}{x^2 + y^2} 
= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{x(t)y'(t) - y(t)x'(t)}{x(t)^2 + y(t)^2} dt 
= \int_{0}^{2\pi} 2 dt 
= 4\pi.$$
((7))

(So the winding number of  $\Gamma$  around of  $\mathbf{0}$  is 2.)

(10) By (8)(9),  $\Gamma \neq \partial \Phi$ .