

Chapter 3: Lebesgue Measure

*Author: Meng-Gen Tsai
Email: plover@gmail.com*

Section 3.1: Introduction

Problem 3.1. *If A and B are two sets in \mathfrak{M} with $A \subseteq B$, then $mA \leq mB$. This property is called monotonicity.*

Proof. Write

$$B = B \cap X = B \cap (A \cup \tilde{A}) = (B \cap A) \cup (B \cap \tilde{A}) = A \cup (B - A).$$

Here $B \cap A = A$ comes from $A \subseteq B$ (Problem 1.9). Notice that A and $B - A$ are disjoint. Since m is a countably additive measure (m is nonnegative) on a σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} ,

$$mB = mA + m(B - A) \geq mA.$$

□

Problem 3.2. *Let $\langle E_n \rangle$ be any sequence of sets in \mathfrak{M} . Then $m(\bigcup E_n) \leq \sum mE_n$. (Hint: Use Proposition 1.2) This property of a measure is called countable subadditivity.*

As the argument in Problem 3.1.

Proof. Since $\langle E_n \rangle$ is a sequence of sets in σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} , by Proposition 1.2 and its proof, there is a sequence $\langle F_n \rangle$ of sets in σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} such that all F_n are pairwise disjoint, $F_n \subseteq E_n$, and

$$\bigcup E_n = \bigcup F_n.$$

Since m is a countably additive measure on a σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} ,

$$m\left(\bigcup E_n\right) = m\left(\bigcup F_n\right) = \sum mF_n \geq \sum mE_n.$$

The last inequality holds by applying Problem 3.1 on $F_n \subseteq E_n$ for any n . □

Problem 3.3. *If there is a set A in \mathfrak{M} such that $mA < \infty$, then $m\emptyset = 0$.*

Proof. For such A , write $A = A \cup \emptyset$. A and \emptyset are disjoint. Since m is a countably additive measure on a σ -algebra \mathfrak{M} ,

$$mA = mA + m\emptyset.$$

Since $mA < \infty$, we can cancel out mA on the both sides to get $m\emptyset = 0$. \square

Section 3.2: Outer Measure

Problem 3.5. Let A be the set of rational numbers between 0 and 1, and let $\{I_n\}$ be a finite collection of open intervals covering A . Then $\sum l(I_n) \geq 1$.

Idea. If $\{I_n\}$ is a covering of $[0, 1]$ then we are done since the length of $[0, 1]$ is 1. However, $\{I_n\}$ only covers A and not necessarily covers $[0, 1]$. (For example, $\{I_n\} = \left\{ \left(-89, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 64\right) \right\}$ covers A but not $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.) Hence, it is natural to consider the closure of A and the closure of I_n . Now $\{\overline{I_n}\}$ is a (closed) covering of $\overline{A} = [0, 1]$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= m^*[0, 1] && \text{(Proposition 3.1)} \\ &= m^*\overline{A} && (A \text{ is dense in } [0, 1]) \\ &\leq m^*\left(\overline{\bigcup I_n}\right) && \text{(Proposition 2.10)} \\ &= m^*\left(\bigcup \overline{I_n}\right) && \text{(Proposition 2.10)} \\ &\leq \sum m^*(\overline{I_n}) && \text{(Proposition 3.2)} \\ &= \sum l(\overline{I_n}) && \text{(Proposition 3.1)} \\ &= \sum l(I_n) && \text{(definition of length)} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Problem 3.6. Prove Proposition 5: Given any set A and any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an open set O such that $A \subseteq O$ and $m^*O \leq m^*A + \epsilon$. There is a $G \in G_\delta$ such that $m^*G = m^*A$.

Proof.

- (1) Use the definition of the outer measure. By the definition of m^* , for such $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a countable collection $\{I_n\}$ of open intervals that covers A and

$$m^*A + \epsilon \geq \sum l(I_n).$$

- (2) *Construct an open set O .* Let $O = \bigcup I_n \supseteq A$ which is the union of any collection of open sets I_n . By Proposition 2.7, O is open.
- (3) *Show that $m^*O \leq m^*A + \epsilon$.* By Proposition 3.2 and 3.1,

$$m^*O = m^*\left(\bigcup I_n\right) \leq \sum m^*I_n = \sum l(I_n) \leq m^*A + \epsilon.$$

Therefore, given any set A and any $\epsilon > 0$, there is an open set O such that $A \subseteq O$ and $m^*O \leq m^*A + \epsilon$.

- (4) *Construct $G \in G_\delta$ in a natural way.* Given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an open set O_n such that $O_n \supseteq A$ and $m^*O_n \leq m^*A + \frac{1}{n}$. Let

$$G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n \in G_\delta.$$

- (5) *Show that $m^*G = m^*A$.*

- (a) Since $A \subseteq O_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n = G$. Thus $m^*A \leq m^*G$.
- (b) Since $O_n \supseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n = G$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$m^*A + \frac{1}{n} \geq m^*O_n \geq m^*G$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary, $m^*A \geq m^*G$.

By (a)(b), $m^*A = m^*G$.

□

Problem 3.7. *Prove that m^* is translation invariant.*

Proof. Given $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (1) $m^*(E+y) \leq m^*E$. Let $\{I_n\}$ of open intervals that cover E . Then $\{I_n+y\}$ of open intervals that cover $E+y$. Notice that the definition of m^* and $l(I_n+y) = l(I_n)$, then

$$m^*(E+y) \leq \sum l(I_n+y) = \sum l(I_n).$$

Take the infimum of all such sum $\sum l(I_n)$, $m^*(E+y) \leq m^*E$.

- (2) $m^*(E) \leq m^*(E+y)$. Similar to (1).

By (1)(2), $m^*(E+y) = m^*E$, that is, m^* is translation invariant. □

Problem 3.8. *Prove that if $m^*A = 0$, then $m^*(A \cup B) = m^*B$.*

Proof.

- (1) $m^*(A \cup B) \geq m^*B$ since $A \cup B \supseteq B$ and the definition of m^* . (Any covering of $A \cup B$ by open intervals is also a covering of B so that the latter infimum is taken over a larger collection than the former.)
- (2) $m^*(A \cup B) \leq m^*B$. By Proposition 3.2,

$$m^*(A \cup B) \leq m^*A + m^*B = 0 + m^*B = m^*B.$$

By (1)(2), $m^*(A \cup B) = m^*B$. \square

Section 3.3: Measurable Sets and Lebesgue Measure

Problem 3.9. *Show that if E is a measurable set, then each translate $E + y$ of E is also measurable.*

Proof.

- (1) E is measurable if and only if for each set A , each $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$m^*(A + y) = m^*((A + y) \cap E) + m^*((A + y) \cap \widetilde{E}).$$

- (a) (\implies) E is measurable and $A + y$ is a set (for any set A and $y \in \mathbb{R}$).
(b) (\impliedby) $A = (A - y) + y$ for any set A and $y \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (2) For any set E and $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\widetilde{E + y} = \widetilde{E} + y$ by the definition of translation.
- (3) For any sets E_1, E_2 and $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $(E_1 \cap E_2) + y = (E_1 + y) \cap (E_2 + y)$ by the definition of translation.
- (4) For each set A and $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & m^*((A + y) \cap (E + y)) + m^*((A + y) \cap \widetilde{(E + y)}) \\ &= m^*((A + y) \cap (E + y)) + m^*((A + y) \cap (\widetilde{E} + y)) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$= m^*((A \cap E) + y) + m^*((A \cap \widetilde{E}) + y) \tag{3}$$

$$= m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \cap \widetilde{E}) \tag{Problem 3.7}$$

$$= m^*A \tag{Measurability of E }$$

$$= m^*(A + y). \tag{Problem 3.7}$$

By (1), $E + y$ is measurable.

\square

Problem 3.10. Show that if E_1 and E_2 are measurable, then

$$m(E_1 \cup E_2) + m(E_1 \cap E_2) = mE_1 + mE_2.$$

Proof. Since the collection \mathfrak{M} of measurable sets is a σ -algebra (Theorem 3.10) and m is countable additive (Proposition 3.13),

$$\begin{aligned} m(E_1 \cup E_2) + m(E_1 \cap E_2) &= \left(m(E_1) + m(E_2 \cap \widetilde{E_1}) \right) + m(E_2 \cap E_1) \\ &= m(E_1) + \left(m(E_2 \cap \widetilde{E_1}) + m(E_2 \cap E_1) \right) \\ &= m(E_1) + m(E_2). \end{aligned}$$

(E_1 and $E_2 \cap \widetilde{E_1}$ are disjoint. $E_2 \cap \widetilde{E_1}$ and $E_2 \cap E_1$ are disjoint too.) \square

Problem 3.11. Show that the condition $mE_1 < \infty$ is necessary in Proposition 3.14 by giving a decreasing sequence $\langle E_n \rangle$ of measurable sets with $\emptyset = \bigcap E_n$ and $mE_n = \infty$ for each n .

Proof. Set

$$E_n = (n, \infty)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (1) $\langle E_n \rangle$ is a decreasing sequence of measurable sets. $E_n \supseteq E_{n+1}$ by definition. Besides, each E_n is measurable by Lemma 3.11.
- (2) $\bigcap E_n = \emptyset$. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \notin E_1$ if $x \leq 1$; $x \notin E_{[x]}$ if $x \geq 1$ where $x \mapsto [x]$ is the floor function.
- (3) $mE_n = \infty$ for each n . The length of each E_n is ∞ (Proposition 3.1).

\square

Section 3.4: A Nonmeasurable Set

Section 3.5: Measurable Functions

Section 3.6: Littlewood's Three Principles