

Chapter 6: The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai

Email: plover@gmail.com

Supplement. Another definition of Riemann-Stieltjes integral. (*Exercise 7.3, 7.4 of the book T. M. Apostol, Mathematical Analysis, Second Edition.*) Let P be a partition of $[a, b]$. The norm of a partition P is the length of the largest subinterval $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ of P and is denoted by $\|P\|$.

We say $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ if there exists $A \in \mathbb{R}$ having the property that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any partition P of $[a, b]$ with norm $\|P\| < \delta$ and for any choice of $t_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, we have $|\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)\Delta\alpha_i - A| < \varepsilon$.

Claim. $f \in \mathcal{R}$ in the sense of Definition 6.2 implies that $f \in \mathcal{R}$ in the sense of this another definition.

Proof of Claim. Let $A = \int f dx$, $M > 0$ be one upper bound of $|f|$ on $[a, b]$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a partition $P_0 = \{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N = b\}$ such that $U(P_0, f) \leq A + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2MN} > 0$. Then for any partition P with norm $\|P\| < \delta$, write

$$U(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \Delta x_i = S_1 + S_2,$$

where S_1 is the sum of terms arising from those subintervals of P containing no point of P_0 , S_2 is the sum of the remaining terms. Then

$$S_1 \leq U(P_0, f) < A + \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

$$S_2 \leq NM\|P\| < NM\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore, $U(P, f) < A + \varepsilon$. Similarly, $L(P, f) > A - \varepsilon$ whenever $\|P\| < \delta'$. Hence, $|\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)\Delta x_i - A| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\|P\| < \min\{\delta, \delta'\}$. (Copy Apostol's hint and ensure $M > 0$. M in Apostol's hint might be zero if $f = 0$.) \square

This supplement will be used in computing $\int_0^\infty (\frac{\sin x}{x})^2 dx = \frac{\pi}{2}$ in Exercise 8.12.

Exercise 6.1. Suppose α increases on $[a, b]$, $a \leq x_0 \leq b$, α is continuous at x_0 , $f(x_0) = 1$, and $f(x) = 0$ if $x \neq x_0$. Prove that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ and that $\int f d\alpha = 0$.

Given any partition $P = \{a = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n = b\}$, where $a = p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \dots \leq p_{n-1} \leq p_n = b$. We might compute $L(P, f, \alpha)$ and $U(P, f, \alpha)$ by using ε - δ

argument since we are hinted by the condition that α is continuous. A function which is continuous at x_0 has a nice property near x_0 and this property would help us estimate $U(P, f, \alpha)$ near x_0 . On the contrary, if both f and α are discontinuous at x_0 , it might be $f \notin \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$. Besides, if f has too many points of discontinuity ($f(x) = 0$ if $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $f(x) = 1$ otherwise, for example), then f might not be Riemann-integrable on $[0, 1]$.

Claim 1. $L(P, f, \alpha) = 0$.

Proof of Claim 1. $m_i = 0$ since $\inf f(x) = 0$ on any subinterval of $[a, b]$. So $L(P, f, \alpha) = \sum m_i \Delta \alpha_i = 0$. Here we don't need the condition that α is continuous at x_0 . \square

Claim 2. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a partition P such that $U(P, f, \alpha) < \varepsilon$.

Proof of Claim 2. Say $x_0 \in [p_{i_0-1}, p_{i_0}]$ for some i_0 . Then

$$M_i = \sup_{p_{i-1} \leq x \leq p_i} f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq i_0, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = i_0. \end{cases}$$

So

$$U(P, f, \alpha) = \sum M_i \Delta \alpha_i = \Delta \alpha_{i_0}.$$

It is not true for any arbitrary α . (For example, α has a jump on $x = x_0$.) In fact, Exercise 6.3 shows this. Luckily, α is continuous at x_0 . So for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\alpha(x) - \alpha(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ whenever $|x - x_0| < \delta$ (and $x \in [a, b]$). Now we pick a nice partition

$$P = \{a, x_0 - \delta_1, x_0 + \delta_2, b\},$$

where $\delta_1 = \min\{\delta, x_0 - a\} \geq 0$ and $\delta_2 = \min\{\delta, b - x_0\} \geq 0$. (It is a trick about resizing “ δ ” to avoid considering the edge cases $x_0 = a$ or $x_0 = b$ or $a = b$.) Then $x_0 \in [x_0 - \delta_1, x_0 + \delta_2]$ and $\Delta \alpha$ on $[x_0 - \delta_1, x_0 + \delta_2]$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x_0 + \delta_2) - \alpha(x_0 - \delta_1) &= (\alpha(x_0 + \delta_2) - \alpha(x_0)) + (\alpha(x_0) - \alpha(x_0 - \delta_1)) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $U(P, f, \alpha) < \varepsilon$. \square

Proof (Definition 6.2). By Claim 1 and 2 and notice that $U(P, f, \alpha) \geq 0$ for any

partition P ,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha &= \inf U(P, f, \alpha) = 0, \\ \int_a^{\underline{b}} f d\alpha &= \sup L(P, f, \alpha) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

the inf and sup again being taken over all partitions. Hence $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ and that $\int f d\alpha = 0$ by Definition 6.2. \square

Proof (Theorem 6.6). By Claim 1 and 2,

$$0 \leq U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ by Theorem 6.6. Furthermore,

$$\int f d\alpha = \int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha = \sup L(P, f, \alpha) = 0.$$

\square

Proof (Theorem 6.10). $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ by Theorem 6.10. Thus, by Claim 1

$$\int f d\alpha = \int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha = \sup L(P, f, \alpha) = 0.$$

\square

Exercise 6.2. Suppose $f \geq 0$, f is continuous on $[a, b]$, and $\int_a^b f(x) dx = 0$. Prove that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. (Compare with Exercise 6.1.)

For one application, see Exercise 7.20.

Proof. (Reductio ad absurdum) If there were $p \in [a, b]$ such that $f(p) > 0$. Since f is continuous on $[a, b]$, given $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{64}f(p) > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(p)| \leq \frac{1}{64}f(p) \text{ whenever } |x - p| \leq \delta, x \in [a, b].$$

Hence

$$f(x) \geq \frac{63}{64}f(p)$$

whenever $x \in E = [\max\{a, p - \delta\}, \min\{b, p + \delta\}] \subseteq [a, b]$. Note that the length of E is $|E| > 0$. So

$$0 = \int_a^b f(x) dx \geq \int_E f(x) dx \geq \int_E \frac{63}{64}f(p) dx = \frac{63}{64}f(p)|E| > 0,$$

which is absurd. \square

Note. (Lebesgue integral) Let f be a nonnegative measurable function. Then $\int f = 0$ implies $f = 0$ a.e.

Exercise 6.3. Define three functions $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ as follows: $\beta_j(x) = 0$ if $x < 0$, $\beta_j(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$; and $\beta_1(0) = 0$, $\beta_2(0) = 1$, $\beta_3(0) = \frac{1}{2}$. Let f be a bounded functions on $[-1, 1]$.

- (a) Prove that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_1)$ if and only if $f(0+) = f(0)$ and that then

$$\int f d\beta_1 = f(0).$$

- (b) State and prove a similar result for β_2 .

- (c) Prove that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_3)$ if and only if f is continuous at 0.

- (d) If f is continuous at 0 prove that

$$\int f d\beta_1 = \int f d\beta_2 = \int f d\beta_3 = f(0).$$

Proof of (a).

- (1) Given any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(0)| \leq \sup_{x \in [0, \delta]} f(x) - \inf_{x \in [0, \delta]} f(x)$$

if $x \in [0, \delta]$.

- (2) Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Show that if f is bounded and $|f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon$ on $[0, \delta]$ then

$$\sup_{x \in [0, \delta]} f(x) - \inf_{x \in [0, \delta]} f(x) < 2\varepsilon.$$

Since f is bounded, there exists $x_1, x_2 \in [0, \delta]$ such that

$$f(x_1) = \sup_{x \in [0, \delta]} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x_2) = \inf_{x \in [0, \delta]} f(x).$$

By assumption,

$$f(x_1) - f(x_2) \leq |f(x_1) - f(0)| + |f(0) - f(x_2)| < 2\varepsilon.$$

(3) Show that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_1)$ iff $f(0+) = f(0)$.

$$f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_1)$$

$$\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is } P \text{ such that } U(P, f, \beta_1) - L(P, f, \beta_1) < \varepsilon \quad (\text{Theorem 6.6})$$

$$\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is } P \text{ containing } 0 \text{ such that } U(P, f, \beta_1) - L(P, f, \beta_1) < \varepsilon \quad (\text{Theorem 6.4})$$

$$\text{where } P = \{-1 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = 0 < \dots < x_n = 1\}$$

$$\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is } P \text{ containing } 0 \text{ such that } M_{k+1} - m_{k+1} < \varepsilon$$

$$\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is } P \text{ containing } 0 \text{ such that } \sup_{x \in [0, \delta]} f(x) - \inf_{x \in [0, \delta]} f(x) < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{where } [x_k, x_{k+1}] = [0, \delta], \delta > 0$$

$$(\text{Take } P = \{-1, 0, \delta, 1\} \text{ in “}\Leftarrow\text{” direction})$$

$$\iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon \text{ whenever } x \in [0, \delta] \quad ((1)(2))$$

$$(\text{Replace } \varepsilon \text{ by } \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ in “}\Leftarrow\text{” direction})$$

$$\iff \lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} f(x) = f(0).$$

(4) Show that $\int f d\beta_1 = f(0)$ if $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_1)$. By (3) and Theorem 6.7,

$$\left| f(0) - \int_a^b f d\beta_1 \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, $\int f d\beta_1 = f(0)$.

□

Proof of (b). Show that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_2)$ if and only if $f(0-) = f(0)$ and that then

$$\int f d\beta_2 = f(0).$$

Similar to (a).

(1) Given any $\delta > 0$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(0)| \leq \sup_{x \in [-\delta, 0]} f(x) - \inf_{x \in [-\delta, 0]} f(x)$$

$$\text{if } x \in [-\delta, 0].$$

(2) Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. Show that if f is bounded and $|f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon$ on $[-\delta, 0]$ then

$$\sup_{x \in [-\delta, 0]} f(x) - \inf_{x \in [-\delta, 0]} f(x) < 2\varepsilon.$$

Since f is bounded, there exists $x_1, x_2 \in [-\delta, 0]$ such that

$$f(x_1) = \sup_{x \in [-\delta, 0]} f(x) \text{ and } f(x_2) = \inf_{x \in [-\delta, 0]} f(x).$$

By assumption,

$$f(x_1) - f(x_2) \leq |f(x_1) - f(0)| + |f(0) - f(x_2)| < 2\varepsilon.$$

(3) Show that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_1)$ iff $f(0-) = f(0)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_2) \\
& \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is } P \text{ such that } U(P, f, \beta_2) - L(P, f, \beta_2) < \varepsilon & \text{(Theorem 6.6)} \\
& \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is } P \text{ containing } 0 \text{ such that } U(P, f, \beta_2) - L(P, f, \beta_2) < \varepsilon & \text{(Theorem 6.4)} \\
& \quad \text{where } P = \{-1 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = 0 < \dots < x_n = 1\} \\
& \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is } P \text{ containing } 0 \text{ such that } M_k - m_k < \varepsilon \\
& \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is } P \text{ containing } 0 \text{ such that } \sup_{x \in [-\delta, 0]} f(x) - \inf_{x \in [-\delta, 0]} f(x) < \varepsilon \\
& \quad \text{where } [x_{k-1}, x_k] = [-\delta, 0], \delta > 0 \\
& \quad \text{(Take } P = \{-1, -\delta, 0, 1\} \text{ in “}\Leftarrow\text{” direction)} \\
& \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there is } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } |f(x) - f(0)| < \varepsilon \text{ whenever } x \in [-\delta, 0] & \text{((1)(2))} \\
& \quad \text{(Replace } \varepsilon \text{ by } \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ in “}\Leftarrow\text{” direction)} \\
& \iff \lim_{x \rightarrow 0-} f(x) = f(0).
\end{aligned}$$

(4) Show that $\int f d\beta_2 = f(0)$ if $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_2)$. By (3) and Theorem 6.7,

$$\left| f(0) - \int_a^b f d\beta_2 \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, $\int f d\beta_2 = f(0)$.

□

Proof of (c). Note that f is continuous at 0 iff $f(0+) = f(0-) = f(0)$. Apply the same argument in (a) and (b), we have $f \in \mathcal{R}(\beta_3)$ if and only if $f(0+) = f(0-) = f(0)$. □

Proof of (d). It suffices to show that

$$\int_a^b f d\beta_3 = f(0).$$

We can apply Theorem 6.12(d)(e) to $\beta_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$. That is,

$$\int_a^b f d\beta_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_a^b f d\beta_1 + \int_a^b f d\beta_2 \right] = \frac{1}{2}[f(0) + f(0)] = f(0).$$

Or apply the same argument in (a) and (b) to get

$$\left| f(0) - \int_a^b f d\beta_3 \right| < \varepsilon$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, or $\int_a^b f d\beta_3 = f(0)$. \square

Exercise 6.4. *If*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for all irrational } x, \\ 1 & \text{for all rational } x, \end{cases}$$

prove that $f \notin \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ for any $a < b$.

Proof. Given any partition

$$P = \{a = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n = b\}$$

of $[a, b]$ where $a = p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \dots \leq p_{n-1} \leq p_n = b$. Since $a < b$, we might assume that $a = p_0 < p_1 < \dots < p_{n-1} < p_n = b$ by removing duplicated points. Since \mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ are dense in \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_i &= \sup_{p_{i-1} \leq x \leq p_i} f(x) = 1, \\ m_i &= \inf_{p_{i-1} \leq x \leq p_i} f(x) = 0, \\ U(P, f) &= \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i = b - a, \\ L(P, f) &= \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since P is arbitrary,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f dx &= \inf U(P, f) = b - a > 0, \\ \int_a^b f dx &= \sup L(P, f) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f \notin \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ for any $a < b$. \square

Note.

- (1) (Lebesgue integral) f is Lebesgue integrable.
- (2) $f \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ iff $a = b$.

- (3) (Problem 4.1 in *H. L. Royden, Real Analysis, 3rd edition.*) Construct a sequence $\{f_n\}$ of nonnegative, Riemann integrable functions such that f_n increases monotonically to f . What does this imply about changing the order of integration and the limiting process? (Since \mathbb{Q} is countable, write

$$\mathbb{Q} = \{r_1, r_2, \dots\}.$$

Define

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}. \end{cases}$$

By construction, f_n increases monotonically to f pointwise. Note that $f_n \rightarrow f$ not uniformly. Also, $\int_a^b f_n(x)dx = 0$ by using the same argument in Theorem 6.10. Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n(x)dx = 0$ but $\int_a^b \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)dx = \int_a^b f(x)dx$ does not exist.)

Exercise 6.5. Suppose f is a bounded real function on $[a, b]$, and $f^2 \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$. Does it follow that $f \in \mathcal{R}$? Does the answer change if we assume that $f^3 \in \mathcal{R}$?

Actually we can omit the boundedness assumption of f since $f^2 \in \mathcal{R}$ or $f^3 \in \mathcal{R}$.

Proof.

- (1) Show that $f^2 \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ does not imply that $f \in \mathcal{R}$ (unless $f \geq 0$ on $[a, b]$). Similar to Exercise 6.4, define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for all irrational } x, \\ 1 & \text{for all rational } x. \end{cases}$$

$f^2 = 1 \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ but $f \notin \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ for any $a < b$. (The proof for the “unless” part is similar to (2).)

- (2) Show that $f^3 \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ implies that $f \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $\phi(x) = x^{\frac{1}{3}}$ on \mathbb{R} . By Theorem 6.11, $f(x) = \phi(f(x)^3) \in \mathcal{R}$. (The boundedness condition in Theorem 6.11 is unnecessary.)

□

Note (Lebesgue integral). Suppose that f^2 is Lebesgue integrable. Does it follow that f is Lebesgue integrable? Does the answer change if we assume that f^3 is Lebesgue integrable? Both answers are no.

Exercise 6.6. Let P be the Cantor set constructed in Sec. 2.44. Let f be a bounded real function on $[0, 1]$ which is continuous at every point outside P .

Prove that $f \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[0, 1]$. (Hint: P can be covered by finitely many segments whose total length can be made as small as desired. Proceed as in Theorem 6.10.)

Proof (Theorem 6.10). Given any $\varepsilon > 0$.

- (1) Note that in Section 2.44, we have

$$P = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$$

and each E_n is the union of 2^n intervals, each of length $\frac{1}{3^n}$. For each interval $[u_j, v_j] \subseteq E_n$ of E_n ($1 \leq j \leq 2^n$), we construct a slightly larger open set

$$(u_j - \lambda, v_j + \lambda) \supsetneq [u_j, v_j]$$

where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2 \cdot 28^n} - \frac{1}{3^n} \right) > 0$. Each length of $(u_j - \lambda, v_j + \lambda)$ is $\frac{1}{2 \cdot 28^n}$. Write

$$G_n = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 2^n} (u_j - \lambda, v_j + \lambda).$$

Hence

$$G_n \supsetneq \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 2^n} [u_j, v_j] = E_n \supseteq P,$$

and the total length $|G_n|$ of G_n satisfies

$$|G_n| \leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2^n} |(u_j - \lambda, v_j + \lambda)| = \left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot 28} \right)^n.$$

(Two different subintervals might be overlapped.) As $n \rightarrow \infty$, P can be covered by finitely many open segments whose total length can be made as small as desired. Now we take an integer N such that $\left(\frac{2}{2 \cdot 28} \right)^N < \frac{\varepsilon}{64(M+1)}$.

- (2) Let $K = [0, 1] - G_N$ be a compact set (Theorem 2.35). By construction, f is continuous on K and thus f is uniformly continuous. So there is $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(s) - f(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{89}$ if $s, t \in K$ and $|s - t| < \delta$.

- (3) Now we construct a partition $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of $[a, b]$, as the following steps:

(a) Put $\frac{0}{m}, \frac{1}{m}, \dots, \frac{m}{m}$ in P for some integer $m \geq \frac{1}{\delta}$.

(b) Put $u_j - \lambda$ and $v_j + \lambda$ in P .

(c) Remove any points in the segment $(u_j - \lambda, v_j + \lambda)$ except 0 and 1.

- (4) Note that $M_i - m_i \leq 2M$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) where $M = \sup |f(x)|$ is defined. Hence,

$$U(P, f) - L(P, f) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{89} + 2M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{64(M+1)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, Theorem 6.6 shows that $f \in \mathcal{R}$.

□

Supplement (Lebesgue's criterion for Riemann-integrability). (*Theorem 11.33.*) Let f be a bounded real function on $[a, b]$ and let D be the set of discontinuities of f in $[a, b]$. Then $f \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ if and only if D has measure zero.

Exercise 6.7. Suppose f is a real function on $(0, 1]$ and $f \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[c, 1]$ for every $c > 0$. Define

$$\int_0^1 f(x)dx = \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \int_c^1 f(x)dx$$

if this limit exists (and is finite).

- (a) If $f \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[0, 1]$, show that this definition of the integral agrees with the old one.
- (b) Construct a function such that the above limit exists, although it fails to exist with $|f|$ in place of f .

Proof of (a).

- (1) Since $f \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[0, 1]$, f is bounded or $|f| \leq M$ for some real M .
- (2) For any $0 < c < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 f(x)dx - \int_c^1 f(x)dx \right| &= \left| \int_0^c f(x)dx \right| && \text{(Theorem 6.12(c))} \\ &\leq Mc. && \text{(Theorem 6.12(d))} \end{aligned}$$

- (3) Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{M+1} > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_0^c f(x)dx - \int_0^1 f(x)dx \right| \leq Mc < M\delta = M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{M+1} < \varepsilon$$

whenever $0 < c < \delta$. Hence $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \int_c^1 f(x)dx = \int_0^1 f(x)dx$.

□

Proof of (b)(Construct by nonabsolutely convergent series).

- (1) Given any nonabsolutely (conditionally) convergent series $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ (take $\sum \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ for example and then see Remark 3.46), we define f on $(0, 1]$ by

$$f(x) = 2^n a_n$$

if $\frac{1}{2^n} < x \leq \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ as $n = 1, 2, \dots$

(2) By construction,

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2^n}}^{\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}} f(x)dx = \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} - \frac{1}{2^n} \right) 2^n a_n = a_n.$$

and thus

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2^n}}^1 f(x)dx = \int_{\frac{1}{2^n}}^{\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}} f(x)dx + \cdots + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(x)dx = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k.$$

(3) Given any $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\sum a_n$ is convergent, there exists a common integer N such that

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{89}$$

and

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k - A \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{64}$$

for some real A whenever $n \geq N$ (Definition 3.21 and Theorem 3.23). Therefore, for any $0 < c \leq \frac{1}{2^N}$, say $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} < c \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \leq \frac{1}{2^N}$ for some $n \geq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_c^1 f(x)dx - A \right| &= \left| \int_c^{\frac{1}{2^n}} f(x)dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2^n}}^1 f(x)dx - A \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left(\frac{1}{2^n} - c \right) 2^{n+1} a_{n+1} \right| + \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k - A \right| \\ &\leq |a_{n+1}| + \left| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k - A \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{89} + \frac{\varepsilon}{64} \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \int_c^1 f(x)dx = A$ exists.

(4) Since

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2^n}}^1 |f(x)|dx = \int_{\frac{1}{2^n}}^{\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}} |f(x)|dx + \cdots + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f(x)|dx = \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k| \rightarrow \infty$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \int_c^1 f(x)dx$ does not exist. (Or show that $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} \int_c^1 f(x)dx = \infty$ by definition directly.)

□

Exercise 6.8. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ for every $b > a$ where a is fixed. Define

$$\int_a^\infty f(x)dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f(x)dx$$

if this limit exists (and is finite). In that case, we say that the integral on the left **converges**. If it also converges after f has been replaced by $|f|$, it is said to converge **absolutely**. Assume that $f(x) \geq 0$ and that f decreases monotonically on $[1, \infty)$. Prove that

$$\int_1^\infty f(x)dx$$

converges if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty f(n)$$

converges. (This is the so-called “integral test” for convergence of series.)

Proof. Similar to Exercise 8.9.

(1) Define

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \int_1^n f(x)dx, \\ b_n &= \sum_{k=1}^n f(k), \\ c_n &= b_n - a_n \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

(2) Show that $\{c_n\}$ decreases. Since f decreases monotonically on $[1, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_n - c_{n+1} &= (b_n - a_n) - (b_{n+1} - a_{n+1}) \\ &= (a_{n+1} - a_n) - (b_{n+1} - b_n) \\ &= \int_n^{n+1} f(x)dx - f(n+1) \\ &\geq \int_n^{n+1} f(n+1)dx - f(n+1) \\ &= f(n+1) - f(n+1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Show that $\{c_n\}$ is bounded. Since f decreases monotonically on $[1, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_n &= b_n - a_n \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n f(k) - \int_1^n f(x) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n f(k) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_k^{k+1} f(x) dx \\
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^n f(k) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_k^{k+1} f(k) dx \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n f(k) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(k) \\
&= f(n).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $f(n)$ is nonnegative, $c_n \geq 0$.

(4) By (2)(3), $\{c_n\}$ converges (Theorem 3.14).

(5) Since $c_n = b_n - a_n$ and $\{c_n\}$ converges, $\{a_n\}$ converges if and only if $\{b_n\}$ converges, or $\int_1^\infty f(x) dx$ converges if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f(n)$ converges.

□

Exercise 6.9. Show that integration by parts can sometimes be applied to the “improper” integrals defined in Exercise 6.7 and 6.8. (State appropriate hypotheses, formulate a theorem, and prove it.) For instance show that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\cos x}{1+x} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{(1+x)^2} dx.$$

Show that one of these integrals converges **absolutely**, but that the other does not.

Proof.

(1) Suppose F and G are differentiable functions on $(0, 1]$, $F' = f \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[c, 1]$ and $G' = g \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[c, 1]$ for every $c > 0$. Then

$$\int_0^1 F(x)g(x)dx = F(1)G(1) - \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} F(c)G(c) - \int_0^1 f(x)G(x)dx$$

if any two of $\int_0^1 F(x)g(x)dx$, $\int_0^1 f(x)G(x)dx$ or $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} F(c)G(c)$ exist. Theorem 6.22 (integration by parts) implies that

$$\int_c^1 F(x)g(x)dx = F(1)G(1) - F(c)G(c) - \int_c^1 f(x)G(x)dx.$$

Since any two of $\int_0^1 F(x)g(x)dx$ or $\int_0^1 f(x)G(x)dx$ or $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} F(c)G(c)$ exist, the rest one exists and satisfies the identity

$$\int_0^1 F(x)g(x)dx = F(1)G(1) - \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} F(c)G(c) - \int_0^1 f(x)G(x)dx$$

by letting $c \rightarrow 0$.

- (2) Suppose F and G are differentiable functions on $[a, b]$ for every $b > a$ where a is fixed, $F' = f \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ and $G' = g \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$. Then

$$\int_a^\infty F(x)g(x)dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} F(b)G(b) - F(a)G(a) - \int_a^\infty f(x)G(x)dx$$

if any two of $\int_a^\infty F(x)g(x)dx$, $\int_a^\infty f(x)G(x)dx$ or $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} F(b)G(b)$ exist. Theorem 6.22 (integration by parts) implies that

$$\int_a^b F(x)g(x)dx = F(b)G(b) - F(a)G(a) - \int_a^b f(x)G(x)dx.$$

Since any two of $\int_a^\infty F(x)g(x)dx$ or $\int_a^\infty f(x)G(x)dx$ or $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} F(b)G(b)$ exist, the rest one exists and satisfies the identity

$$\int_a^\infty F(x)g(x)dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} F(b)G(b) - F(a)G(a) - \int_a^\infty f(x)G(x)dx$$

by letting $b \rightarrow \infty$.

- (3) Show that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\cos x}{1+x} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{(1+x)^2} dx.$$

Put $a = 0$, $F(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}$ and $G(x) = \sin x$ in

$$\int_a^\infty F(x)g(x)dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} F(b)G(b) - F(a)G(a) - \int_a^\infty f(x)G(x)dx$$

to get

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{(\sin x)'}{1+x} dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sin(b)}{1+b} - \frac{\sin(0)}{1+0} - \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{1+x} \right)' \sin x dx$$

or

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\cos x}{1+x} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{(1+x)^2} dx.$$

- (4) Show that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\sin x}{(1+x)^2} dx$$

converges absolutely. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\sin x}{(1+x)^2} \right| dx &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+x)^2} dx \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \left[-\frac{1}{1+x} \right]_0^b - (-1) \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

(5) Show that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\cos x}{1+x} dx$$

converges conditionally. By (3)(4), $\int_0^\infty \frac{\cos x}{1+x} dx$ converges. Note that

$$\cos x \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

if $x \in [-\frac{\pi}{3} + 2n\pi, \frac{\pi}{3} + 2n\pi]$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\cos x}{1+x} \right| dx &\geq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{-\frac{\pi}{3}+2n\pi}^{\frac{\pi}{3}+2n\pi} \left| \frac{\cos x}{1+x} \right| dx \\ &\geq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{-\frac{\pi}{3}+2n\pi}^{\frac{\pi}{3}+2n\pi} \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1 + \frac{\pi}{3} + 2n\pi} dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{2\pi}{3} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1 + \frac{\pi}{3} + 2n\pi} \\ &> \frac{\pi}{3} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\pi + \pi + 2n\pi} \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.28, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n+1} = \infty$ and thus $\int_0^\infty \frac{\cos x}{1+x} dx$ does not converge absolutely.

□

Exercise 6.10. Let p and q be positive real integers such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Prove the following statements.

(a) If $u \geq 0$ and $v \geq 0$, then

$$uv \leq \frac{u^p}{p} + \frac{v^q}{q}.$$

Equality holds if and only if $u^p = v^q$.

(b) If $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, $g \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, $f \geq 0$, $g \geq 0$, and

$$\int_a^b f^p d\alpha = \int_a^b g^q d\alpha = 1,$$

then

$$\int_a^b fg d\alpha \leq 1.$$

(c) If f and g are complex functions in $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, then

$$\left| \int_a^b fg d\alpha \right| \leq \left\{ \int_a^b |f|^p d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_a^b |g|^q d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

This is **Hölder's inequality**. When $p = q = 2$ it is usually called the Schwarz inequality. (Note that Theorem 1.35 is a very special case of this.)

(d) Show that Hölder's inequality is also true for the “improper” integrals described in Exercise 6.7 and 6.8.

Proof of (a) (Young's inequality).

- (1) $u = 0$ or $v = 0$ is nothing to do. For $u > 0$ and $v > 0$, we give some different proofs.
- (2) First proof.

$$\begin{aligned} uv &= \exp(\log(uv)) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \log(u^p) + \frac{1}{q} \log(v^q)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \exp(\log(u^p)) + \frac{1}{q} \exp(\log(v^q)) \quad (\text{Convexity of } \exp(x)) \\ &= \frac{u^p}{p} + \frac{v^q}{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the convexity of $\exp(x)$ can be derived by the fact that $(\exp(x))'' > 0$ and Exercise 5.14. The fact that the equality holds if and only if $u^p = v^q$ is derived from the strictly convexity of $\exp(x)$ additionally. (For the details about the exponential and logarithmic functions, might see Chapter 8.)

- (3) Second proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{u^p}{p} + \frac{v^q}{q}\right) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \log(u^p) + \frac{1}{q} \log(v^q) \quad (\text{Concavity of } \log(x)) \\ &= \log(u) + \log(v) \\ &= \log(uv). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\log(x)$ increases monotonically ($(\log(x))' = \frac{1}{x} > 0$ if $x > 0$), $\frac{u^p}{p} + \frac{v^q}{q} \geq uv$ (or take the exponential function to get the same conclusion). Here the concavity of $\log(x)$ can be derived by the fact that $(\log(x))'' < 0$ and a statement that $f''(x) \leq 0$ if and only if f is concave. The fact that the equality holds if and only if $u^p = v^q$ is derived from the strictly concavity of $\log(x)$ additionally. (The proof is analogous to Exercise 5.14.)

- (4) Third proof. Suppose that $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a strictly increasing continuous function such that $f(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$. Then

$$uv \leq \int_0^u f(x)dx + \int_0^v f^{-1}(x)dx$$

for every $u, v \geq 0$, and equality occurs if and only if $v = f(u)$. Define

$$F(x) = -xf(x) + \int_0^x f(t)dt + \int_0^{f(x)} f^{-1}(t)dt.$$

By Theorem 6.20 (the fundamental theorem of calculus) and Theorem 5.5 (chain rule),

$$F'(x) = -(f(x) + xf'(x)) + f(x) + f'(x)f^{-1}(f(x)) = 0.$$

Hence $F(x)$ is a constant on $(0, u)$ (Theorem 5.11(b)). Note that $F(x)$ is continuous on $[0, u]$ and $F(0) = 0$, so $F(x) = 0$ on $[0, u]$ or

$$\int_0^x f(t)dt + \int_0^{f(x)} f^{-1}(t)dt = xf(x).$$

Take $x = u$ to get

$$\int_0^u f(x)dx + \int_0^{f(u)} f^{-1}(x)dx = uf(u).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^u f(x)dx + \int_0^v f^{-1}(x)dx - uv \\ &= \int_0^u f(x)dx + \int_0^{f(u)} f^{-1}(x)dx + \int_{f(u)}^v f^{-1}(x)dx - uv \\ &= uf(u) + \int_{f(u)}^v f^{-1}(x)dx - uv \\ &= \int_{f(u)}^v [f^{-1}(x) - f^{-1}(f(u))]dx \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds since f is strictly increasing and thus f^{-1} is strictly increasing too. Besides, the equality holds if and only if $f(u) = v$. Now the conclusion holds by taking $f(x) = x^{p-1}$ in

$$uv \leq \int_0^u f(x)dx + \int_0^v f^{-1}(x)dx$$

and the equality holds if and only if $u^p = v^q$.

□

Proof of (b). Every integral is well-defined (Theorem 6.11 and Theorem 6.13(a)). Let $u = f \geq 0$ and $v = g \geq 0$ in (a). Integrate both sides of the inequality

$$fg \leq \frac{f^p}{p} + \frac{g^q}{q}$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b fg d\alpha &\leq \int_a^b \left(\frac{f^p}{p} + \frac{g^q}{q} \right) d\alpha && \text{(Theorem 6.12(b))} \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{f^p}{p} d\alpha + \int_a^b \frac{g^q}{q} d\alpha && \text{(Theorem 6.12(a))} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_a^b f^p d\alpha + \frac{1}{q} \int_a^b g^q d\alpha && \text{(Theorem 6.12(a))} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} && \text{(Assumption)} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds if $f^p = g^q$. Note that the equality does not hold only if $f^p = g^q$. (Consider α is constant on some subinterval $[c, d] \subsetneq [a, b]$.) Luckily, it is true for the additional assumption that $\alpha(x) = x$ and f, g are continuous on $[a, b]$. □

Proof of (c). There are three possible cases.

(1) The case $\left\{ \int_a^b |f|^p d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0$. So $\int_a^b |f|^p d\alpha = 0$.

(a) Show that $\int_a^b |f| d\alpha = 0$ if $\int_a^b |f|^p d\alpha = 0$. (Reductio ad absurdum)

If $\int_a^b |f| d\alpha = A > 0$, then given $\varepsilon = \frac{A}{2} > 0$, there exists a partition $P_0 = \{a = x_0 \leq \cdots \leq x_n = b\}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n m_i \Delta \alpha_i > \frac{A}{2},$$

where $m_i = \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} |f|$ and $\Delta\alpha_i = \alpha(x_i) - \alpha(x_{i-1})$. By the pigeonhole principle, there exists $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ such that

$$L(P_0, |f|, \alpha) = m_{i_0} \Delta\alpha_{i_0} > \frac{A}{2n} > 0.$$

Especially, $m_{i_0} > 0$ and $\Delta\alpha_{i_0} > 0$. Now we consider $L(P, |f|^p, \alpha)$. Hence

$$L(P_0, |f|^p, \alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^n m_i^p \Delta\alpha_i \geq m_{i_0}^p \Delta\alpha_{i_0} > 0,$$

or

$$\int_a^b |f| d\alpha = \sup L(P, f, \alpha) \geq m_{i_0}^p \Delta\alpha_{i_0} > 0,$$

which is absurd.

- (b) Show that $\int_a^b |fg| d\alpha = 0$ if $\int_a^b |f| d\alpha = 0$. Since $g \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, $|g|$ is bounded by some real M on $[a, b]$, that is, $|g(x)| \leq M$. Hence

$$0 \leq \int_a^b |fg| d\alpha \leq \int_a^b M|f| d\alpha = M \int_a^b |f| d\alpha = 0.$$

Therefore $\int_a^b |fg| d\alpha = 0$.

By (a)(b), $\int_a^b |fg| d\alpha = 0$ and thus Hölder's inequality holds for this case.

- (2) The case $\left\{ \int_a^b |g|^q d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0$. Similar to (1).

- (3) If both $\left\{ \int_a^b |f|^p d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0$ and $\left\{ \int_a^b |g|^q d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} > 0$, then we apply (b) to

$$F(x) = \frac{|f(x)|}{\left\{ \int_a^b |f(x)|^p d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}} \quad \text{and} \quad G(x) = \frac{|g(x)|}{\left\{ \int_a^b |g(x)|^q d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}}.$$

Here $F(x) \geq 0$ and $G(x) \geq 0$ are well-defined and Riemann integrable. Thus the conclusion holds. The equality holds if $F(x)^p = G(x)^q$ or

$$\frac{|f|^p}{\int_a^b |f|^p d\alpha} = \frac{|g|^q}{\int_a^b |g|^q d\alpha}.$$

Note that the equality does not hold only if $\frac{|f|^p}{\int_a^b |f|^p d\alpha} = \frac{|g|^q}{\int_a^b |g|^q d\alpha}$. Luckily, it is true for the additional assumption that $\alpha(x) = x$ and f, g are continuous on $[a, b]$.

By (1)(2)(3), in any case the equality holds if

$$|f|^p \int_a^b |g|^q d\alpha = |g|^q \int_a^b |f|^p d\alpha.$$

In addition, if $\alpha(x) = x$ and f, g are continuous on $[a, b]$, then the equality holds if and only if

$$|f|^p \int_a^b |g|^q d\alpha = |g|^q \int_a^b |f|^p d\alpha.$$

□

Proof of (d).

- (1) Suppose f and g are real functions on $(0, 1]$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[c, 1]$ for every $c > 0$. Show that

$$\left| \int_0^1 fg dx \right| \leq \left\{ \int_0^1 |f|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^1 |g|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Here \int_0^1 is one improper integral defined in Exercise 6.7.

- (a) By (c), we have

$$\left| \int_c^1 fg dx \right| \leq \left\{ \int_c^1 |f|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_c^1 |g|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for any $c \in (0, 1]$. Here every integral is well-defined (Theorem 6.11 and Theorem 6.13).

- (b) Since every integral is ≥ 0 , by taking the limit in the right hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_c^1 fg dx \right| &\leq \left\{ \int_c^1 |f|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_c^1 |g|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_0^1 |f|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^1 |g|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is possible that $\left\{ \int_0^1 |f|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \infty$ or $\left\{ \int_0^1 |g|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} = \infty$.

- (c) Now $\left| \int_c^1 fg dx \right|$ is bounded by $\left\{ \int_0^1 |f|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^1 |g|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$. Take limit to get

$$\left| \int_0^1 fg dx \right| \leq \left\{ \int_0^1 |f|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^1 |g|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

even if some limit is divergent.

- (2) Suppose f and g are real functions on $[a, b]$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ for every $b > a$ where a is fixed. Show that

$$\left| \int_a^\infty fg dx \right| \leq \left\{ \int_a^\infty |f|^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_a^\infty |g|^q dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Here \int_a^∞ is one improper integral defined in Exercise 6.8. Same as (1).

□

Exercise 6.11. Let α be a fixed increasing function on $[a, b]$. For $u \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, define

$$\|u\|_2 = \left\{ \int_a^b |u|^2 d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Suppose $f, g, h \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, and prove the triangle inequality

$$\|f - h\|_2 \leq \|f - g\|_2 + \|g - h\|_2$$

as a consequence of the Schwarz inequality, as in the proof of Theorem 1.37.

Proof.

(1) By Exercise 6.10(c) with $p = q = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b |f - g||g - h| d\alpha &= \left| \int_a^b |f - g||g - h| d\alpha \right| \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_a^b |f - g|^2 d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_a^b |g - h|^2 d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|f - g\|_2 \|g - h\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Every integral is well-defined (Theorem 6.12 and Theorem 6.13 (or Theorem 6.11)).

(2) Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - h\|_2^2 &= \int_a^b |f - h|^2 d\alpha \\ &\leq \int_a^b (|f - g| + |g - h|)^2 d\alpha && \text{(Triangle inequality)} \\ &= \int_a^b (|f - g|^2 + 2|f - g||g - h| + |g - h|^2) d\alpha \\ &= \int_a^b |f - g|^2 d\alpha + 2 \int_a^b |f - g||g - h| d\alpha + \int_a^b |g - h|^2 d\alpha \\ &\leq \|f - g\|_2^2 + 2\|f - g\|_2 \|g - h\|_2 + \|g - h\|_2^2 && ((1)) \\ &= (\|f - g\|_2 + \|g - h\|_2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\|f - h\|_2 \leq \|f - g\|_2 + \|g - h\|_2.$$

Here every integral is well-defined (Theorem 6.12 and Theorem 6.13 (or Theorem 6.11)).

□

Exercise 6.12. With the notations of Exercise 6.11, suppose $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Prove that there exists a continuous function g on $[a, b]$ such that $\|f - g\|_2 < \varepsilon$. (Hint: Let $P = \{a = x_0 \leq \cdots \leq x_n = b\}$ be a suitable partition of $[a, b]$, define

$$g(t) = \frac{x_i - t}{\Delta x_i} f(x_{i-1}) + \frac{t - x_{i-1}}{\Delta x_i} f(x_i)$$

if $x_{i-1} \leq t \leq x_i$.)

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$.

- (1) There are some real numbers m and M such that $m \leq f(x) \leq M$ if $x \in [a, b]$ since $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ or f is bounded on $[a, b]$. By Theorem 6.6, there exists a partition $P = \{a = x_0 \leq \cdots \leq x_n = b\}$ such that

$$U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{M - m + 1}.$$

Here

$$U(P, f, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \Delta \alpha_i \text{ where } M_i = \sup_{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i} f(x)$$

$$L(P, f, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Delta \alpha_i \text{ where } m_i = \inf_{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i} f(x).$$

- (2) For such partition P , define g on $[a, b]$ by

$$g(t) = \frac{x_i - t}{\Delta x_i} f(x_{i-1}) + \frac{t - x_{i-1}}{\Delta x_i} f(x_i)$$

if $x_{i-1} \leq t \leq x_i$. So that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t) - g(t)| &= \left| \left(\frac{x_i - t}{\Delta x_i} + \frac{t - x_{i-1}}{\Delta x_i} \right) f(t) - \frac{x_i - t}{\Delta x_i} f(x_{i-1}) + \frac{t - x_{i-1}}{\Delta x_i} f(x_i) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{x_i - t}{\Delta x_i} (f(t) - f(x_{i-1})) + \frac{t - x_{i-1}}{\Delta x_i} (f(t) - f(x_i)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{x_i - t}{\Delta x_i} |f(t) - f(x_{i-1})| + \frac{t - x_{i-1}}{\Delta x_i} |f(t) - f(x_i)| \\ &\leq \frac{x_i - t}{\Delta x_i} (M_i - m_i) + \frac{t - x_{i-1}}{\Delta x_i} (M_i - m_i) \\ &= M_i - m_i \end{aligned}$$

if $x_{i-1} \leq t \leq x_i$. Especially,

$$|f(t) - g(t)| \leq M - m$$

if $a \leq t \leq b$.

- (3) Note that the integral $\int_a^b |f - g|^2 d\alpha$ is well-defined (Theorem 6.8, Theorem 6.11 and Theorem 6.12). So that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b |f - g|^2 d\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |f - g|^2 d\alpha \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} (M - m)(M_i - m_i) d\alpha \\
&= (M - m) \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} (M_i - m_i) \Delta\alpha_i \\
&= (M - m) [U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha)] \\
&\leq (M - m) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^2}{M - m + 1} \\
&< \varepsilon^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|f - g\|_2 = \left\{ \int_a^b |f - g|^2 d\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \varepsilon.$$

□

Note.

- (1) Apply the same argument we can prove the following statement:

Suppose $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Prove that there exists a continuous function g on $[a, b]$ such that $\int_a^b |f - g| d\alpha < \varepsilon$.

- (2) (Lebesgue integral)

- (a) *Let f be Lebesgue integrable over E . Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a simple function φ such that*

$$\int_E |f - \varphi| < \varepsilon.$$

- (b) *Under the same hypothesis there is a step function ψ such that*

$$\int_E |f - \psi| < \varepsilon.$$

- (c) *Under the same hypothesis there is a continuous function g vanishing outside a finite interval such that*

$$\int_E |f - g| < \varepsilon.$$

Exercise 6.13. Define

$$f(x) = \int_x^{x+1} \sin(t^2) dt.$$

- (a) Prove that $|f(x)| < \frac{1}{x}$ if $x > 0$. (Hint: Put $t^2 = u$ and integrate by parts, to show that $f(x)$ is equal to

$$\frac{\cos(x^2)}{2x} - \frac{\cos[(x+1)^2]}{2(x+1)} - \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{\cos u}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du.$$

Replace $\cos u$ by -1 .)

- (b) Prove that

$$2xf(x) = \cos(x^2) - \cos[(x+1)^2] + r(x)$$

where $|r(x)| < \frac{c}{x}$ and c is a constant.

- (c) Find the upper and lower limits of $xf(x)$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

- (d) Does $\int_0^\infty \sin(t^2) dt$ converges?

Proof of (a).

- (1) Put $t^2 = u$ and integrate by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int_x^{x+1} \sin(t^2) dt \\ &= \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{\sin u}{2u^{\frac{1}{2}}} du \\ &= -\frac{\cos[(x+1)^2]}{2(x+1)} + \frac{\cos(x^2)}{2x} - \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{\cos u}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du. \end{aligned}$$

- (2)

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq \left| \frac{\cos[(x+1)^2]}{2(x+1)} \right| + \left| \frac{\cos(x^2)}{2x} \right| + \left| \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{\cos u}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\cos[(x+1)^2]}{2(x+1)} \right| + \left| \frac{\cos(x^2)}{2x} \right| + \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{|\cos u|}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2(x+1)} + \frac{1}{2x} + \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{1}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du \\ &= \frac{1}{2(x+1)} + \frac{1}{2x} + \left[\frac{1}{2x} - \frac{1}{2(x+1)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{x}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) The equality in (2) holds only if $|\cos[(x+1)^2]| = 1$, $|\cos(x^2)| = 1$, and

$$\left| \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{\cos u}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du \right| = \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{|\cos u|}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du = \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{1}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du.$$

Since $\cos u$ has two absolute minimums or maximums at two different points $u = x^2$ and $u = (x+1)^2$, by the property of $\cos(u)$ there is some $u_0 \in [x^2, (x+1)^2]$ such that $\cos(u_0) = 0$. Hence given $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\cos(u)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

whenever

$$u \in E = [\max\{u_0 - \delta, x^2\}, \min\{u_0 + \delta, (x+1)^2\}] \subseteq [x^2, (x+1)^2].$$

Here $|E| > 0$. So that

$$\int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{|\cos u|}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du \leq \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{1}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du - \frac{1}{2} \int_E \frac{1}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du < \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{1}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du,$$

which is absurd. Hence the equality in (2) does not hold.

□

Proof of (b).

(1) By (a),

$$2xf(x) = \cos(x^2) - \cos[(x+1)^2] + r(x)$$

where

$$r(x) = \frac{\cos[(x+1)^2]}{x+1} - 2x \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{\cos u}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du.$$

(2) Similar to (a),

$$\begin{aligned} |r(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{x+1} + 2x \int_{x^2}^{(x+1)^2} \frac{1}{4u^{\frac{3}{2}}} du \\ &= \frac{1}{x+1} + 2x \left[\frac{1}{2x} - \frac{1}{2(x+1)} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{x+1} \\ &< \frac{2}{x}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (c). Show that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf(x) = 1.$$

The case $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} xf(x) = -1$ is similar.

(1) By (b), it suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} [\cos(x^2) - \cos(x+1)^2] = 2.$$

Take $x_n = 2n\sqrt{\pi}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. So

$$\cos(x_n^2) - \cos(x_n + 1)^2 = 1 - \cos(4n\sqrt{\pi} + 1).$$

It suffices to show that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos(4n\sqrt{\pi} + 1) = -1.$$

- (2) $x \mapsto \cos(x)$ is uniformly continuous by the mean value theorem (Theorem 5.10) and $x \mapsto -\sin(x)$ is bounded by 1. So given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\cos(x) - \cos(y)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $|x - y| < \delta$.
- (3) Define $\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ and $x = \frac{\pi-1}{4\pi}$. Note that π is irrational and thus α is irrational. (See Wikipedia for the irrationality of π .) Exercise 4.25(b) implies that there exist integers $n > 0$ and m such that

$$|n\alpha - m - x| < \frac{\delta}{4\pi},$$

or

$$|(4n\sqrt{\pi} + 1) - (4m\pi - \pi)| < \delta.$$

By (2),

$$|\cos(4n\sqrt{\pi} + 1) - (-1)| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos(4n\sqrt{\pi} + 1) = -1$.

□

Proof of (d). Yes. $\int_0^\infty \sin(t^2) dt$ converges.

(1) Given any integer $N > 0$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^N \sin(t^2) dt &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_n^{n+1} \sin(t^2) dt \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(n) \\
&= f(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{\cos(n^2)}{2n} - \frac{\cos[(n+1)^2]}{2n} + \frac{r(n)}{2n} \\
&= f(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{\cos(n^2)}{2n} - \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{\cos[(n+1)^2]}{2n} + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{r(n)}{2n} \\
&= f(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{\cos(n^2)}{2n} - \sum_{n=2}^N \frac{\cos(n^2)}{2(n-1)} + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{r(n)}{2n} \\
&= f(0) + \frac{\cos(1)}{2} - \frac{\cos(N^2)}{2(N-1)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{N-1} \frac{\cos(n^2)}{n(n-1)} + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{r(n)}{2n}
\end{aligned}$$

where $|r(n)| \leq \frac{2}{n}$ (by (b)).

(2) $\frac{\cos(N^2)}{2(N-1)} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ since $\cos(N^2)$ is bounded by 1 and $\frac{1}{N-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

(3) Since $\cos(n^2)$ is bounded by 1 and $\sum \frac{1}{n(n-1)} < \sum \frac{1}{(n-1)^2}$ converges,

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(n^2)}{n(n-1)}$$

converges absolutely.

(4) Since $|r(n)| \leq \frac{2}{n}$ and $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$ converges,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|r(n)|}{2n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$$

converges. So $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r(n)}{2n}$ converges absolutely.

(5) By (1)(2)(3)(4),

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \sin(t^2) dt$$

exists. Note that

$$\left| \int_x^y \sin(t^2) dt \right| < \frac{1}{x}$$

if $y \geq x > 0$ (by applying the same argument in (a)(2)). So

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow \infty \\ y \rightarrow \infty \\ y \geq x}} \int_x^y \sin(t^2) dt = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \sin(t^2) dt &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^b \sin(t^2) dt \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{[b]} \sin(t^2) dt + \int_{[b]}^b \sin(t^2) dt \\ &= \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{[b]} \sin(t^2) dt + \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[b]}^b \sin(t^2) dt \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \sin(t^2) dt + \lim_{\substack{[b] \rightarrow \infty \\ b \rightarrow \infty \\ b \geq [b]}} \int_{[b]}^b \sin(t^2) dt \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \sin(t^2) dt \end{aligned}$$

converges.

□

Note.

$$\int_0^\infty \sin(t^2) dt = \int_0^\infty \cos(t^2) dt = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}}.$$

Exercise 6.14. Deal similarly with

$$f(x) = \int_x^{x+1} \sin(e^t) dt.$$

Show that

$$e^x |f(x)| < 2$$

and that

$$e^x f(x) = \cos(e^x) - e^{-1} \cos(e^{x+1}) + r(x)$$

where $|r(x)| < Ce^{-x}$ for some constant C .

Proof.

(1) Put $e^t = u$ and integrate by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int_x^{x+1} \sin(e^t) dt \\ &= \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{\sin u}{u} du \\ &= -\frac{\cos(e^{x+1})}{e^{x+1}} + \frac{\cos(e^x)}{e^x} - \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{\cos u}{u^2} du. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Show that $e^x |f(x)| \leq 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\leq \left| \frac{\cos(e^{x+1})}{e^{x+1}} \right| + \left| \frac{\cos(e^x)}{e^x} \right| + \left| \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{\cos u}{u^2} du \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\cos(e^{x+1})}{e^{x+1}} \right| + \left| \frac{\cos(e^x)}{e^x} \right| + \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{|\cos u|}{u^2} du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{e^{x+1}} + \frac{1}{e^x} + \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{1}{u^2} du \\ &= \frac{1}{e^{x+1}} + \frac{1}{e^x} + \left[\frac{1}{e^x} - \frac{1}{e^{x+1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{e^x}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $e^x |f(x)| \leq 2$.

(3) Show that $e^x |f(x)| < 2$. Similar to (b)(3) in the proof of Exercise 6.13.

(4) Show that

$$e^x f(x) = \cos(e^x) - e^{-1} \cos(e^{x+1}) + r(x)$$

where $|r(x)| < Ce^{-x}$ for some constant C . By (1),

$$e^x f(x) = \cos(e^x) - e^{-1} \cos(e^{x+1}) - e^x \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{\cos u}{u^2} du.$$

So that

$$r(x) = -e^x \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{\cos u}{u^2} du.$$

By integration by parts (Theorem 6.22),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{\cos u}{u^2} du &= \left[\frac{\sin u}{u^2} \right]_{u=\exp(x)}^{u=\exp(x+1)} - \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{-2 \sin u}{u^3} du \\ &= \frac{\sin e^{x+1}}{e^{2x+2}} - \frac{\sin e^x}{e^{2x}} + 2 \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{\sin u}{u^3} du. \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned}
|r(x)| &\leq \left| \frac{\sin e^{x+1}}{e^{x+2}} \right| + \left| \frac{\sin e^x}{e^x} \right| + 2e^x \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{|\sin u|}{u^3} du \\
&\leq \frac{1}{e^{x+2}} + \frac{1}{e^x} + 2e^x \int_{\exp(x)}^{\exp(x+1)} \frac{du}{u^3} \\
&= \frac{1}{e^{x+2}} + \frac{1}{e^x} + 2e^x \left[-\frac{1}{2}u^{-2} \right]_{u=\exp(x)}^{u=\exp(x+1)} \\
&= \frac{2}{e^x}.
\end{aligned}$$

The equality does not hold as in (3), or $|r(x)| < 2e^{-x}$.

- (5) Show that $\int_0^\infty \sin(e^t)dt$ converges. Similar to (d) in Exercise 6.13. Given any integer $N > 0$, write

$$\int_0^N \sin(e^t)dt = f(0) + \underbrace{\frac{\cos(e)}{e} - \frac{\cos(e^N)}{e^N}}_{\rightarrow 0} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{r(n)}{e^n}}_{< \infty}$$

where $|r(n)| \leq \frac{2}{e^n}$ (by (4)). So $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \sin(e^t)dt$ exists. Also note that

$$\left| \int_x^y \sin(e^t)dt \right| < \frac{2}{e^x}$$

if $y \geq x > 0$ (by applying the same argument in (2)). Therefore

$$\int_0^\infty \sin(e^t)dt = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^b \sin(e^t)dt = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \sin(e^t)dt$$

converges.

□

Note. Similar to Exercise 6.13(c), we have

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^x f(x) = 1 + e^{-1}$$

and

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^x f(x) = -1 - e^{-1}$$

by the fact that e is irrational (Theorem 3.32).

Exercise 6.15. Suppose f is a real, continuously differentiable function on $[a, b]$, $f(a) = f(b) = 0$, and

$$\int_a^b f(x)^2 dx = 1.$$

Prove that

$$\int_a^b x f(x) f'(x) dx = -\frac{1}{2}$$

and that

$$\int_a^b [f'(x)]^2 dx \int_a^b x^2 f(x)^2 dx > \frac{1}{4}.$$

Proof. Every integral is well-defined (Theorem 4.9 and Theorem 6.8).

(1) By Theorem 6.22 (integration by parts),

$$\int_a^b x \left(\frac{f(x)^2}{2} \right)' dx = \left[x \cdot \frac{f(x)^2}{2} \right]_{x=a}^{x=b} - \int_a^b \frac{f(x)^2}{2} dx,$$

or

$$\int_a^b x f(x) f'(x) dx = \left[b \cdot \frac{f(b)^2}{2} - a \cdot \frac{f(a)^2}{2} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b f(x)^2 dx = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

(2) By Exercise 6.10(c),

$$\int_a^b [f'(x)]^2 dx \int_a^b x^2 f(x)^2 dx \geq \left(\int_a^b x f(x) f'(x) dx \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4}.$$

(3) (Reductio ad absurdum) If the equality were holding, then by Exercise 6.10(c)

$$(f'(x))^2 \int_a^b x^2 f(x)^2 dx = x^2 f(x)^2 \int_a^b [f'(x)]^2 dx$$

on $[a, b]$ (since x , $f(x)$ and $f'(x)$ are continuous on $[a, b]$).

(a) *Show that both integrals are nonzero.* (Reductio ad absurdum) If $\int_a^b x^2 f(x)^2 dx = 0$, then $x^2 f(x)^2 = 0$ or $x f(x) = 0$ on $[a, b]$ (Exercise 6.2). So that

$$\int_a^b x f(x) f'(x) dx = 0 \neq -\frac{1}{2},$$

which is absurd. Similarly, $\int_a^b [f'(x)]^2 dx \neq 0$.

(b) By (a), we write

$$C = \left\{ \frac{\int_a^b [f'(x)]^2 dx}{\int_a^b x^2 f(x)^2 dx} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$$

be a positive constant. Hence

$$f'(x) = \pm C x f(x).$$

Here the sign “ \pm ” is not necessary unchanged on $[a, b]$. Luckily, we can show that the sign “ \pm ” is unchanged on some subinterval of $[a, b]$.

- (c) To find such subinterval of $[a, b]$, we consider the zero set $Z(f')$ and $Z(xf)$ on $[a, b]$. Since $f'(x) = \pm Cxf(x)$ with $C > 0$, we have

$$Z(f') = Z(xf).$$

Note that $Z(f') = Z(xf)$ is closed (Exercise 4.3) and not equal to $[a, b]$ (by applying the same argument in (a)). Hence the complement of $Z(f') = Z(xf)$ is open and nonempty, which can be written as the union of an at most countable collection of disjoint segments (Exercise 2.29).

- (d) Consider any nonempty open interval in (c), say

$$(c, d) \subseteq [a, b].$$

By construction, $f'(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in (c, d)$. Since $f'(x)$ is continuous, by Theorem 4.23 there are only two mutually exclusive possible cases:

- (i) $f'(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (c, d)$,
- (ii) $f'(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (c, d)$.

Similar result for $xf(x)$. Therefore, the sign “ \pm ” of $f'(x) = \pm Cxf(x)$ are unchanged on (c, d) , that is,

- (i) $f'(x) = Cxf(x)$ for all $x \in (c, d)$,
- (ii) $f'(x) = -Cxf(x)$ for all $x \in (c, d)$,

- (e) Suppose $f'(x) = Cxf(x)$ on (c, d) . Since $f'(x)$ and $xf(x)$ are both vanishing at $x = c$ and $x = d$, $f'(x) = Cxf(x)$ at $x = c$ and $x = d$. So

$$f'(x) = Cxf(x) \text{ if } x \in [c, d].$$

Define

$$\phi(x, y) = Cxy$$

be a real function on $R = [c, d] \times \mathbb{R}$. And consider the initial-value problem

$$y' = \phi(x, y) \quad \text{with} \quad y(c) = 0.$$

Then

$$|\phi(x, y_2) - \phi(x, y_1)| = Cx|y_2 - y_1| \leq A|y_2 - y_1|$$

where $A = C \cdot \max\{|c|, |d|\}$ is a constant. By Exercise 5.27, this initial-value problem has at most one solution. Clearly, $y = f(x) = 0$ on $[c, d]$ is one solution of this initial-value problem, contrary to the construction of $[c, d]$. Similar result for the case $f'(x) = -Cxf(x)$.

Therefore, the equality does not hold.

□

Exercise 6.16. For $1 < s < \infty$, define

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

(This is Riemann's zeta function, of great importance in the study of the distribution of prime numbers.) Prove that

(a)

$$\zeta(s) = s \int_1^{\infty} \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx$$

and that

(b)

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_1^{\infty} \frac{x - [x]}{x^{s+1}} dx,$$

where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq x$. Prove that the integral in (b) converges for all $s > 0$. (Hint: To prove (a), compute the difference between the integral over $[1, N]$ and the N th partial sum of the series that defines $\zeta(s)$.)

Proof of (a) (Hint).

(1) Define

$$a_N = s \int_1^N \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx - \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} s \int_1^N \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx &= \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} s \int_n^{n+1} \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} s \int_n^{n+1} \frac{n}{x^{s+1}} dx \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n \left(\frac{1}{n^s} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^s} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^s} \right) - \frac{1}{N^{s-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$a_N = -\frac{1}{N^{s-1}}.$$

(2) So

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} a_N = 0$$

(since $s - 1 > 0$). By Theorem 3.28, $\zeta(s)$ converges if $s > 1$. Hence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} s \int_1^N \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx = \zeta(s)$$

converges.

- (3) Hence given any real $b > 1$, there exists an integer N such that $N \leq b < N + 1$. Since $x \mapsto \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} \geq 0$ on $[1, \infty)$,

$$s \int_1^N \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx \leq s \int_1^b \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx \leq s \int_1^{N+1} \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx.$$

Since $b \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} s \int_1^N \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx &\leq \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} s \int_1^b \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} s \int_1^{N+1} \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx \\ \implies \zeta(s) &\leq \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} s \int_1^b \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx \leq \zeta(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} s \int_1^b \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx = s \int_1^\infty \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx = \zeta(s)$$

(in the sense of Exercise 6.8).

□

Proof of (b).

- (1) *Show that*

$$s \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^s} dx = \frac{s}{s-1}.$$

Given any real $b > 1$. By the fundamental theorem of calculus (Theorem 6.21),

$$s \int_1^b \frac{1}{x^s} dx = \frac{s}{s-1} - \frac{s}{(s-1)b^{s-1}}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} s \int_1^b \frac{1}{x^s} dx = \frac{s}{s-1}$$

since $\frac{1}{b^{s-1}} \rightarrow 0$ as $b \rightarrow \infty$ (in the sense of Exercise 6.8).

- (2) By (a) and (1), $s \int_1^\infty \frac{x-[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx$ exists and equal to

$$s \int_1^\infty \frac{x-[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx = s \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^s} dx - s \int_1^\infty \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx = \frac{s}{s-1} - \zeta(s).$$

The result is established.

(3) Show that

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{x - [x]}{x^{s+1}} dx$$

converges for all $s > 0$. Note that $0 \leq x - [x] < 1$ on $[1, \infty)$. So

$$\int_1^b \frac{x - [x]}{x^{s+1}} dx \leq \int_1^b \frac{1}{x^{s+1}} dx = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{sb^s}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{sb^s} \rightarrow 0$ as $b \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{x - [x]}{x^{s+1}} dx = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_1^b \frac{x - [x]}{x^{s+1}} dx \leq \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{sb^s} = \frac{1}{s}.$$

Note that $\frac{1}{s}$ is finite, and thus the integral $\int_1^\infty \frac{x - [x]}{x^{s+1}} dx$ converges.

□

Note.

- (1) The integral $\int_1^\infty \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} dx$ does not converge for all $1 \geq s > 0$.
- (2) Compare to Exercise 8.9.
- (3) **Euler's summation formula.** (Theorem 7.13 in the textbook: Tom. M. Apostol, *Mathematical Analysis*, 2nd edition.) If f has a continuous derivative f' on $[a, b]$, then we have

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} f(n) = \int_a^b f(x) dx + \int_a^b f'(x) \{x\} dx + f(a)\{a\} - f(b)\{b\},$$

where $\sum_{a < n \leq b}$ means the sum from $n = [a] + 1$ to $n = [b]$. When a and b are integers, this becomes

$$\sum_{n=a}^b f(n) = \int_a^b f(x) dx + \int_a^b f'(x) \left(\{x\} - \frac{1}{2} \right) dx + \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2}.$$

By taking $f(x) = \frac{1}{x^s}$ we can get (a) as well.

Exercise 6.17. Suppose α increases monotonically on $[a, b]$, g is continuous, and $g(x) = G'(x)$ for $a \leq x \leq b$. Prove that

$$\int_a^b \alpha(x) g(x) dx = G(b)\alpha(b) - G(a)\alpha(a) - \int_a^b G d\alpha.$$

(Hint: Take g real, without loss of generality. Given $P = \{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = b\}$, choose $t_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$ so that $g(t_i)\Delta x_i = G(x_i) - G(x_{i-1})$. Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)g(t_i)\Delta x_i = G(b)\alpha(b) - G(a)\alpha(a) - \sum_{i=1}^n G(x_{i-1})\Delta \alpha_i.$$

Proof (Hint). Given $\varepsilon > 0$.

- (1) Take g real, without loss of generality. Given any partition

$$P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$$

of $[a, b]$.

- (2) By the mean value theorem (Theorem 5.10), there is $t_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$ such that

$$G(x_i) - G(x_{i-1}) = (x_i - x_{i-1})G'(t_i) = g(t_i)\Delta x_i.$$

- (3) Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)g(t_i)\Delta x_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)(G(x_i) - G(x_{i-1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)G(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)G(x_{i-1}) \\ &= \underbrace{G(b)\alpha(b) - G(a)\alpha(a) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_{i-1})G(x_{i-1}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)G(x_{i-1})}_{\text{adjust the index of } \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)G(x_i)} \\ &= G(b)\alpha(b) - G(a)\alpha(a) - \sum_{i=1}^n G(x_{i-1})\Delta \alpha_i. \end{aligned}$$

- (4) Since $G(x)$ is differentiable on $[a, b]$, $G(x)$ is continuous (Theorem 5.2) and thus $G \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ (Theorem 6.8). So there is a partition P_1 such that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n G(t_j)\Delta \alpha_j - \int_a^b G d\alpha \right| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $t_j \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]$ (Theorem 6.7). In particular, we pick $t_j = x_{j-1} \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]$ for all j , that is,

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^n G(x_{j-1})\Delta \alpha_j - \int_a^b G d\alpha \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Note that if P^* is a refinement of P , the result is true too (Theorem 6.4).

- (5) Since α increases monotonically, $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$ (Theorem 6.9). Since g is continuous, $g \in \mathcal{R}$ (Theorem 6.8). Hence $\alpha g \in \mathcal{R}$ (Theorem 6.13). So there is a partition P_2 such that

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha(t_k)g(t_k)\Delta x_k - \int_a^b \alpha g dx \right| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $t_k \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ (Theorem 6.7). In particular, we pick $t_k = x_k \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ for all k , that is,

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha(x_k)g(x_k)\Delta x_k - \int_a^b \alpha g dx \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Note that if P^* is a refinement of P , the result is true too (Theorem 6.4).

- (6) Since g is continuous on a compact set $[a, b]$, g is uniformly continuous. Hence there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|g(y) - g(x)| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $|y - x| < \delta$ and $x, y \in [a, b]$. For such δ , we construct a partition P_3 such that

$$|g(t_l) - g(x_l)| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $t_l \in [x_{l-1}, x_l]$. (For example, we might take

$$P_3 = \left\{ a, a + \frac{1}{N}(b-a), a + \frac{2}{N}(b-a), \dots, a + \frac{N-1}{N}(b-a), b \right\}$$

where N is an integer $\geq \frac{b-a}{\delta}$.) Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{l=1}^N \alpha(x_l)g(t_l)\Delta x_l - \sum_{l=1}^N \alpha(x_l)g(x_l)\Delta x_l \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{l=1}^N \alpha(x_l)[g(t_l) - g(x_l)]\Delta x_l \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^N |\alpha(x_l)| \cdot |g(t_l) - g(x_l)| \cdot \Delta x_l \\ &\leq M\varepsilon \sum_{l=1}^N \Delta x_l \\ &= M(b-a)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if P^* is a refinement of P , the result is true too (by the uniform convergence of g).

- (7) Let $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$ be a common refinement of P_1 , P_2 and P_3 . By (3)(4)(5)(6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_a^b \alpha(x)g(x)dx - G(b)\alpha(b) + G(a)\alpha(a) + \int_a^b Gd\alpha \right| \\
&= \left| \int_a^b \alpha(x)g(x)dx - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)g(t_i)\Delta x_i + \int_a^b Gd\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n G(x_{i-1})\Delta\alpha_i \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_a^b \alpha(x)g(x)dx - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)g(t_i)\Delta x_i \right| + \left| \int_a^b Gd\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n G(x_{i-1})\Delta\alpha_i \right| \\
&\leq \left| \int_a^b \alpha(x)g(x)dx - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)g(x_i)\Delta x_i \right| + \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)g(x_i)\Delta x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(x_i)g(t_i)\Delta x_i \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_a^b Gd\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^n G(x_{i-1})\Delta\alpha_i \right| \\
&\leq \varepsilon + M(b-a)\varepsilon + \varepsilon \\
&= (M(b-a) + 2)\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary,

$$\left| \int_a^b \alpha(x)g(x)dx - G(b)\alpha(b) + G(a)\alpha(a) + \int_a^b Gd\alpha \right| = 0,$$

or

$$\int_a^b \alpha(x)g(x)dx - G(b)\alpha(b) + G(a)\alpha(a) + \int_a^b Gd\alpha = 0,$$

or

$$\int_a^b \alpha(x)g(x)dx = G(b)\alpha(b) - G(a)\alpha(a) - \int_a^b Gd\alpha.$$

□

Exercise 6.18. Let γ_1 , γ_2 , γ_3 be curves in the complex plane, defined on $[0, 2\pi]$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1 &= \exp(it), \\
\gamma_2 &= \exp(2it), \\
\gamma_3 &= \exp\left(2\pi it \sin\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Show that these three curves have the same range, that γ_1 and γ_2 are rectifiable, that the length of γ_1 is 2π , that the length of γ_2 is 4π , and that γ_3 is not rectifiable.

Might assume that $\gamma_3(0) = 1$.

Proof. Write $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$.

- (1) *Show that γ_1 has the range S^1 .* Given any $z \in S^1$. Theorem 8.7(d) implies that there is a unique $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that $\exp(it) = z$.
- (2) *Show that γ_1 is rectifiable and its length is 2π .* By the definition of $\exp(z)$,

$$\gamma_1'(t) = i \exp(it),$$

which is continuous on $[0, 2\pi]$. Hence γ_1 is rectifiable, and its length is

$$\Lambda(\gamma_1) = \int_0^{2\pi} |\gamma_1'(t)| dt = \int_0^{2\pi} dt = 2\pi$$

(Theorem 6.27).

- (3) *Show that γ_2 has the range S^1 .* Similar to (1). Given any $z \in S^1$. Theorem 8.7(d) implies that there is a unique $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that $\exp(it) = z$. Write $\exp(it) = \exp(2i(\frac{t}{2}))$ where $\frac{t}{2} \in [0, \pi) \subseteq [0, 2\pi)$.
- (4) *Show that γ_2 is rectifiable and its length is 4π .* Similar to (2).

$$\gamma_2'(t) = 2i \exp(2it),$$

and

$$\Lambda(\gamma_2) = \int_0^{2\pi} |\gamma_2'(t)| dt = \int_0^{2\pi} 2 dt = 4\pi.$$

- (5) *Show that γ_3 has the range S^1 .* Define

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (t = 0), \\ t \sin \frac{1}{t} & (t \neq 0). \end{cases}$$

It suffices to show that $f(I) \supseteq J$ for some segment $I \subseteq [0, 2\pi]$ and some segment J in \mathbb{R} of the length ≥ 1 (Theorem 8.7(a)). Define $I = [\frac{6}{7\pi}, \frac{6}{\pi}] \subseteq [0, 2\pi]$ and $J = [-\frac{3}{7\pi}, \frac{3}{\pi}]$ of the length $\frac{24}{7\pi} > 1$. Hence $f(I)$ is connected since I is connected (Theorem 4.22). Since

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{6}{7\pi}\right) &= \frac{6}{7\pi} \sin \frac{7\pi}{6} = -\frac{3}{7\pi}, \\ f\left(\frac{6}{\pi}\right) &= \frac{6}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi}{6} = \frac{3}{\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

$f(I) \supseteq J$ (Theorem 2.47). The result is established.

- (6) *Show that γ_3 is not rectifiable.*

(a) Since

$$\gamma'_3 = 2\pi i \left(\sin \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t} \cos \frac{1}{t} \right) \exp \left(2\pi i t \sin \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right)$$

is continuous on $[c, 2\pi]$ for any $c > 0$, γ_3 is rectifiable on $[c, 2\pi]$ (not on $[0, 2\pi]$), and

$$\Lambda_{[c, 2\pi]}(\gamma_3) = \int_c^{2\pi} |\gamma'_3(t)| dt$$

on $[c, 2\pi]$.

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_c^{2\pi} |\gamma'_3(t)| dt &= 2\pi \int_c^{2\pi} \left| \sin \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t} \cos \frac{1}{t} \right| dt \\ &\geq 2\pi \int_c^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1}{t} \cos \frac{1}{t} \right| - 1 dt \\ &= 2\pi \int_c^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1}{t} \cos \frac{1}{t} \right| dt - 4\pi^2. \end{aligned}$$

(c) For any integer $n > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{(2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{3})^{-1}}^{(2n\pi - \frac{\pi}{3})^{-1}} \left| \frac{1}{t} \cos \frac{1}{t} \right| dt \\ &\geq \int_{(2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{3})^{-1}}^{(2n\pi - \frac{\pi}{3})^{-1}} \left(2n\pi - \frac{\pi}{3} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} dt \\ &= \left[\left(2n\pi - \frac{\pi}{3} \right)^{-1} - \left(2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{3} \right)^{-1} \right] \cdot \left(2n\pi - \frac{\pi}{3} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\pi}{3}}{2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{3}} \\ &> \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

since both $t \mapsto \frac{1}{t} \geq 2n\pi - \frac{\pi}{3}$ and $t \mapsto \cos t \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on $\left[\left(2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{3} \right)^{-1}, \left(2n\pi - \frac{\pi}{3} \right)^{-1} \right]$.

(d) As $c \geq \frac{1}{2N\pi - \frac{\pi}{3}}$ for some integer N , by (b)(c) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_c^{2\pi} |\gamma_3'(t)| dt &\geq 2\pi \int_c^{2\pi} \left| \frac{1}{t} \cos \frac{1}{t} \right| dt - 4\pi^2 \\
&\geq 2\pi \sum_{n=1}^{n=N} \int_{(2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{3})^{-1}}^{(2n\pi - \frac{\pi}{3})^{-1}} \left| \frac{1}{t} \cos \frac{1}{t} \right| dt - 4\pi^2 \\
&\geq 2\pi \sum_{n=1}^{n=N} \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} - 4\pi^2 \\
&= \frac{\pi}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{n=N} \frac{1}{n+1} - 4\pi^2.
\end{aligned}$$

(e) Hence

$$\Lambda(\gamma_3) \geq \Lambda_{[(2N\pi - \frac{\pi}{3})^{-1}, 2\pi]}(\gamma_3) \geq \frac{\pi}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{n=N} \frac{1}{n+1} - 4\pi^2.$$

Let $N \rightarrow \infty$, and thus $\Lambda(\gamma_3)$ cannot be bounded (Theorem 3.28).

□

Exercise 6.19. Let γ_1 be a curve in \mathbb{R}^k , defined on $[a, b]$; let ϕ be a continuous 1-1 mapping of $[c, d]$ onto $[a, b]$, such that $\phi(c) = a$; and define $\gamma_2(s) = \gamma_1(\phi(s))$. Prove that γ_2 is an arc, a closed curve, or a rectifiable curve if and only if the same is true of γ_1 . Prove that γ_2 and γ_1 have the same length.

Proof.

(1) Show that ϕ is strictly monotonic. Similar to Exercise 4.15.

(a) (Reductio ad absurdum) If ϕ were not strictly monotonic, then there exist $a < c < b \in \mathbb{R}^1$ such that

$$\phi(a) \leq \phi(c) \geq \phi(b)$$

or

$$\phi(a) \geq \phi(c) \leq \phi(b).$$

Since ϕ is one-to-one, all equalities does not hold. Hence

$$\phi(a) < \phi(c) > \phi(b)$$

or

$$\phi(a) > \phi(c) < \phi(b).$$

(b) The case $\phi(a) < \phi(c) > \phi(b)$. Take

$$t = \frac{\max\{\phi(a), \phi(b)\} + \phi(c)}{2}$$

so that $\phi(c) > t > \phi(a)$ and $\phi(c) > t > \phi(b)$. By Theorem 4.23 there exist $\xi_1 \in (a, c)$ and $\xi_2 \in (c, b)$ such that $\phi(\xi_1) = \phi(\xi_2) = t$. Here $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$, contrary to the injectivity of ϕ .

(c) The case $\phi(a) > \phi(c) < \phi(b)$. The proof is similar to (b).

(d) By (b)(c), ϕ is strictly monotonic.

(2) $\phi(d) = b$ since ϕ is strictly monotonic (by (1)), surjective and $\phi(c) = a$.

(3) The inverse mapping ϕ^{-1} is a continuous and injective mapping of $[a, b]$ onto $[c, d]$ since ϕ is continuous and injective on a compact set $[c, d]$ (Theorem 4.17).

(4) *Show that γ_2 is an arc if and only if γ_1 is an arc.* Note the the composition of two injective maps is injective. Hence the result is established since $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 \circ \phi$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \circ \phi^{-1}$.

(5) *Show that γ_2 is a closed curve if and only if γ_1 is a closed curve.* Since $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 \circ \phi$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \circ \phi^{-1}$ (as in (4)), $\gamma_1(a) = \gamma_1(b)$ if and only if $\gamma_2(c) = \gamma_2(d)$.

(6) *Show that γ_2 is a rectifiable curve if and only if γ_1 is a rectifiable curve.* Given any partition $P_1 = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ of $[a, b]$, there is a corresponding partition $P_2 = \{\phi^{-1}(x_0), \dots, \phi^{-1}(x_n)\}$ of $[c, d]$, and vice versa. (Given a partition $P_2 = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ of $[c, d]$, there is a corresponding partition $P_1 = \{\phi(x_0), \dots, \phi(x_n)\}$ of $[a, b]$.) Again, since $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 \circ \phi$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \circ \phi^{-1}$ (as in (4)),

$$\Lambda(P_1, \gamma_1) = \Lambda(P_2, \gamma_2).$$

Hence γ_2 is rectifiable if and only if γ_1 is rectifiable.

(7) *Show that γ_2 and γ_1 have the same length.* Take the supremum over all partitions P_1 of $[a, b]$ to get

$$\Lambda(P_1, \gamma_1) = \Lambda(P_2, \gamma_2) \leq \Lambda(\gamma_1).$$

Hence $\Lambda(\gamma_1)$ is an upper of $\Lambda(P_2, \gamma_2)$. So

$$\Lambda(\gamma_2) \leq \Lambda(\gamma_1).$$

Similarly, $\Lambda(\gamma_1) \leq \Lambda(\gamma_2)$. Therefore $\Lambda(\gamma_1) = \Lambda(\gamma_2)$ (whether $\Lambda(\gamma_1)$ or $\Lambda(\gamma_2)$ is finite or not).

□