Notes on the book:
Patrick Morandi, Field and Galois Theory

Meng-Gen Tsai
plover@gmail.com

August 25, 2021

Contents

I. Galois Theory

§1. Field Extensions

Problem 1.1.

Let K be a field extension of F. By defining scalar multiplication for $\alpha \in F$ and $a \in K$ by $\alpha \cdot a = \alpha a$, the multiplication in K, show that K is an F-vector space.

Proof.

(1) K is an additive group.

(2) *Show that $(\alpha\beta) \cdot a = \alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot a)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in F$ and $a \in K$.* In fact,

$$(\alpha\beta) \cdot a = \alpha\beta a \in K,$$
$$\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot a) = \alpha\beta a \in K.$$

(3) *Show that $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot a = \alpha \cdot a + \beta \cdot a$ for $\alpha, \beta \in F$ and $a \in K$.*

$$(\alpha + \beta) \cdot a = (\alpha + \beta)a$$
$$= \alpha a + \beta a \in K,$$
$$\alpha \cdot a + \beta \cdot a = \alpha a + \beta a \in K.$$

(4) *Show that $\alpha \cdot (a + b) = \alpha \cdot a + \alpha \cdot b$ for $\alpha \in F$ and $a, b \in K$.*

$$\alpha \cdot (a + b) = \alpha(a + b)$$
$$= \alpha a + \alpha b \in K,$$
$$\alpha \cdot a + \alpha \cdot b = \alpha a + \alpha b \in K.$$

(5) *Show that $1 \cdot a = a$ for $a \in K$.* $1 \cdot a = 1a = a \in K$.

By (1) to (5), K is an F-vector space. $\square$

Problem 1.2.

If K is a field extension of F, prove that $[K : F] = 1$ if and only if $K = F$.

Proof.

(1) $[K : F] = 1 \Longleftarrow K = F$. Take a basis $\{1\}$ for K as an F-vector space.

(2) $[K : F] = 1 \implies K = F$. Take a basis $\{a\}$ for K as an F-vector space where $a \in K$. Since $1 \in K$ as an F-vector space, there exists $\alpha \in F$ such that $1 = \alpha a$. $a = \alpha^{-1} \in F$, or $K \subseteq F$, or $K = F$.

$\square$

Problem 1.3.

Let K be a field extension of F, and let $a \in K$. Show that the evaluation map $ev_a : F[x] \to K$ given by $ev_a(f(x)) = f(a)$ is a ring and and F-vector space homomorphism. (Such a map is called an F-algebra homomorphism.)

Proof.

  (1) *ev_a is a ring homomorphism.*

      (a) $\mathrm{ev}_a(f(x) + g(x)) = f(a) + g(a) = \mathrm{ev}_a(f(x)) + \mathrm{ev}_a(g(x))$.
      (b) $\mathrm{ev}_a(f(x)g(x)) = g(a)g(b) = \mathrm{ev}_a(f(x))\mathrm{ev}_a(g(x))$.
      (c) $\mathrm{ev}_a(1) = 1$.

  (2) *ev_a is an F-vector space homomorphism.*

      (a) $\mathrm{ev}_a(f(x) + g(x)) = f(a) + g(a) = \mathrm{ev}_a(f(x)) + \mathrm{ev}_a(g(x))$.
      (b) Given $c \in F$, $\mathrm{ev}_a(cf(x)) = cf(a) = c\mathrm{ev}_a(f(x))$.

$\square$

Problem 1.4.

Prove Proposition 1.9: Let K be a field extension of F and let $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in K$. Then

$$F[a_1, \ldots, a_n] = \{f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) : f \in F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]\}$$

and

$$F(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \left\{ \frac{f(a_1, \ldots, a_n)}{g(a_1, \ldots, a_n)} : f, g \in F[x_1, \ldots, x_n], g(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \neq 0 \right\},$$

so $F(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is the quotient field of $F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Proof (Proposition 1.8).

  (1) The evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_{(a_1, \ldots, a_n)} : F[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to K$ has image

$$\{f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) : f \in F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]\},$$

  so this set is a subring of K.

(2) If R is a subring of K that contains F and $a_1, \ldots, a_n$, then

$$f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in R$$

for any $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ by closure of addition and multiplication.

(3) So $\{f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) : f \in F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]\}$ is contained in all subrings of K that contains F and $a_1, \ldots, a_n$. Hence

$$F[a_1, \ldots, a_n] = \{f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) : f \in F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]\}.$$

(4) The quotient field of $F[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ is then the set

$$\left\{ \frac{f(a_1, \ldots, a_n)}{g(a_1, \ldots, a_n)} : f, g \in F[x_1, \ldots, x_n], g(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \neq 0 \right\}.$$

It is clearly is contained in any subfield of K that contains $F[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$; hence, it is equal to $F(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$.

$\square$

Problem 1.5.

Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{7})$.

Proof.

(1) $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7}) \supseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{7})$ since $\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{7} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7})$.

(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\sqrt{7} + \sqrt{5})^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{7} + \sqrt{5}} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{7} - \sqrt{5}}{(\sqrt{7} + \sqrt{5})(\sqrt{7} - \sqrt{5})} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{7} - \sqrt{5}}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{7}),
\end{aligned}
$$

Or $\sqrt{7} - \sqrt{5} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{7})$. Thus

$$\sqrt{7} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot ((\sqrt{7} + \sqrt{5}) + (\sqrt{7} - \sqrt{5})) \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{7}),$$

$$\sqrt{5} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot ((\sqrt{7} + \sqrt{5}) - (\sqrt{7} - \sqrt{5})) \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{7}).$$

Thus, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{7})$.

By (1)(2), $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{7})$. $\square$

Problem 1.9.

If K is an extension of F such that $[K : F]$ is prime, show that there are no intermediate fields between K and F.

Proof. Let L be any field such that $F \subseteq L \subseteq K$. By Proposition 1.20,

$$[K : F] = [K : L][L : F].$$

Since $[K : F]$ is prime, $[K : L] = 1$ or $[L : F] = 1$. By Problem 1.2, $L = K$ or $L = F$, or there are no intermediate fields between K and F. $\square$

Problem 1.11.

If K is an algebraic extension of F and if R is a subring of K with $F \subseteq R \subseteq K$, show that R is a field.

Proof.

(1) R is a domain since R is contained in a field K. To show R is a field, it suffices to show that every nonzero element $\alpha \in R$ has an inverse in R.

(2) Since $\alpha \in R \subseteq K$ is algebraic over F, there is a minimal polynomial

$$f(x) = b_n x^n + b_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_0$$

such that $f(\alpha) = 0$, where each $b_i \in F$ and $b_0 \neq 0$ by the minimality of f.

(3) Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f(\alpha) = 0 \\
\Longleftrightarrow\ & b_n \alpha^n + b_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + b_0 = 0 \\
\Longleftrightarrow\ & b_n \alpha^n + b_{n-1} \alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1 \alpha = -b_0 \\
\Longleftrightarrow\ & \alpha(b_n \alpha^{n-1} + b_{n-1} \alpha^{n-2} + \cdots + b_1) = -b_0 \\
\Longleftrightarrow\ & \alpha(\underbrace{(-b_0)^{-1} b_n \alpha^{n-1} + (-b_0)^{-1} b_{n-1} \alpha^{n-2} + \cdots + (-b_0)^{-1} b_1}_{:=\alpha'}) = 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\alpha' \in F[\alpha] \subseteq R$. Therefore α' is the inverse of α in R.

$\square$

Problem 1.12.

Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ are not isomorphic as fields but are isomorphic as vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof.

(1) *Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ are not isomorphic as fields.* (Reductio ad absurdum) If $\varphi : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \to \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ were an isomorphism as fields, then φ is an identity map on $\mathbb{Q}$, and

$$\varphi(\sqrt{2}) = a + b\sqrt{3} \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$$
$$\Longrightarrow \varphi(\sqrt{2})\varphi(\sqrt{2}) = (a + b\sqrt{3})^2$$
$$\Longrightarrow \varphi(\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}) = (a + b\sqrt{3})^2$$
$$\Longrightarrow \varphi(2) = a^2 + 3b^2 + 2ab\sqrt{3}$$
$$\Longrightarrow 2 = a^2 + 3b^2 + 2ab\sqrt{3}.$$

If $2ab \neq 0$, then $\sqrt{3} = \frac{2-a^2-3b^2}{2ab} \in \mathbb{Q}$, which is absurd. Hence $2ab = 0$.

  (a) $a = 0$. Write $b = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(m, n) = 1$. Hence

$$2n^2 = 3m^2.$$

   So $2 \mid 3m^2$, $2 \mid m^2$, $2 \mid m$. So $4 \mid 2n^2$, $2 \mid n^2$, $2 \mid n$. Hence $2 \mid (m, n)$, contrary to the assumption that $(m, n) = 1$.

  (b) $b = 0$. $2 = a^2$. Write $a = \frac{m}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(m, n) = 1$. Similar to the argument in (a), we will reach a contradiction.

  By (a)(b), no such isomorphism φ, that is, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ are not isomorphic as fields.

(2) *Show that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces.* $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. There is a natural map $\varphi : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \to \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ defined by $\varphi(a+b\sqrt{2}) = a+b\sqrt{3}$. Clearly φ is well-defined, linear, injective and surjective.

$\square$

Problem 1.16.

Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{C}$. Prove that $[\mathbb{A} : \mathbb{Q}] = \infty$.

Proof (Example 1.16). By Example 1.16, $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = n$. Therefore,

$$[\mathbb{A} : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{A} : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{A} : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{2})]n$$

for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Hence $[\mathbb{A} : \mathbb{Q}] = \infty$. $\square$

Proof (Example 1.16). Given a prime number p. By Example 1.16, $[\mathbb{Q}(\rho) : \mathbb{Q}] = p - 1$ where $\rho = \exp(2\pi i/p)$. Therefore,

$$[\mathbb{A} : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{A} : \mathbb{Q}(\rho)][\mathbb{Q}(\rho) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{A} : \mathbb{Q}(\rho)](p - 1)$$

for arbitrary prime p. Hence $[\mathbb{A} : \mathbb{Q}] = \infty$. $\square$

Problem 1.23.

Recall that the characteristic of a ring R with identity is the smallest positive integer n for which $n \cdot 1 = 0$, if such an n exists, or else the characteristic is 0. Let R be a ring with identity. Define $\varphi : \mathbb{Z} \to R$ by $\varphi(n) = n \cdot 1$, where 1 is the identity of R. Show that φ is a ring homomorphism and that $\ker(\varphi) = m\mathbb{Z}$ for a unique nonnegative integer m, and show that m is the characteristic of R.

Proof.

(1) φ *is a ring homomorphism.*

    (a) $\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a)+\varphi(b)$. $\varphi(a+b) = (a+b)\cdot 1 = a\cdot 1 + b\cdot 1 = \varphi(a)+\varphi(b)$.

    (b) $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$. $\varphi(ab) = (ab) \cdot 1 = (a \cdot 1)(b \cdot 1) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ since $1 \times 1 = 1$. (Here $\times$ is the multiplication operator of R.)

(2) $\ker(\varphi) = m\mathbb{Z}$ *for a unique nonnegative integer m.* Since $\ker(\varphi)$ is an ideal of a PID $\mathbb{Z}$, there is a unique nonnegative integer m such that $\ker(\varphi) = m\mathbb{Z}$.

(3) m *is the characteristic of R.* There are only two possible cases, $\mathrm{char}(R) = 0$ or else $\mathrm{char}(R) > 0$.

    (a) $char(R) = 0$. $\ker(\varphi) = 0$. Thus $m = 0 = \mathrm{char}(R)$.

    (b) $char(R) = n > 0$. $n \in \ker(\varphi)$, so $m > 0$ and $m \mid n$. By the minimality of n, $m = n = \mathrm{char}(R)$.

$\square$

Problem 1.24.

For any positive integer n, give an example of a ring of characteristic n.

Proof. The ring $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

Problem 1.25.

If R is an integral domain, show that either $char(R) = 0$ or $char(R)$ is prime.

Proof.

(1) 1 has infinite order. $char(R) = 0$. (Nothing to do.)

(2) 1 has finite order n. Want to show n is prime. If $n = ab$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then
$$0 = n \cdot 1 = (a \cdot 1)(b \cdot 1).$$

Since R is an integral domain, $a \cdot 1 =$ or $b \cdot 1 = 0$. By the minimality of n, $a \geq n$ or $b \geq n$. $a = n$ or $b = n$. That is, n is prime.

$\square$

§2. Automorphisms

Problem 2.1.

Show that the only automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$ is the identity.

Proof. Given any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Q})$.

(1) *Show that $\sigma(1) = 1$.* Since $1^2 = 1$, $\sigma(1)\sigma(1) = \sigma(1)$. $\sigma(1) = 0$ or 1. There are only two possible cases.

   (a) Assume that $\sigma(1) = 0$. So
   $$\sigma(a) = \sigma(a \cdot 1) = \sigma(a) \cdot \sigma(1) = \sigma(a) \cdot 0 = 0$$
   for any $a \in \mathbb{Q}$. That is, $\sigma = 0 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Q})$, which is absurd.

   (b) Therefore, $\sigma(1) = 1$.

(2) *Show that $\sigma(n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.* Write $n = 1 + 1 + \cdots + 1$ (n times 1). Applying the additivity of σ, we have
   $$\sigma(n) = \sigma(1) + \sigma(1) + \cdots + \sigma(1) = 1 + 1 + \cdots + 1 = n.$$

   (Might use induction on n to eliminate $\cdots$ symbols.)

(3) *Show that $\sigma(n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.* By the additivity of σ, $\sigma(-n) = -\sigma(n) = -n$ for $n \geq 0$. The result is established.

For any $a = \frac{n}{m} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq 0$), applying the multiplication of σ on $am = n$, that is, $\sigma(a)\sigma(m) = \sigma(n)$. By (3), we have $\sigma(a)m = n$, or

$$\sigma(a) = \frac{m}{n} = a$$

provided $n \neq 0$, or σ is the identity. $\square$

Problem 2.2.

Show that the only automorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ is the identity. (Hint: If σ is an automorphism, show that $\sigma|_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{id}$, and if $a > 0$, then $\sigma(a) > 0$. It is an interesting fact that there are infinitely many automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}$, even thought $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$. Why is this fact not a contradiction to this problem?)

Proof (Hint). Given any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{R})$.

(1) Apply the same argument in Problem 2.1, we have $\sigma|_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{id}$. Notice that $\sigma(a) \neq 0$ for any $a \neq 0$.

(2) *Show that $\sigma(a) > 0$ if $a > 0$.* Given any $a > 0$. Write $a = \sqrt{a}\sqrt{a}$ (well-defined) and then apply σ on the both sides,

$$\sigma(a) = \sigma(\sqrt{a})\sigma(\sqrt{a}) = \sigma(\sqrt{a})^2 > 0$$

(since $\sqrt{a} \neq 0$ and thus $\sigma(\sqrt{a})$ cannot be zero).

(3) *Show that $\sigma(a) > \sigma(b)$ if $a > b$.* It is a corollary to (2) by applying σ on $a - b > 0$. ($\sigma(a - b) > 0$, or $\sigma(a) - \sigma(b) > 0$, or $\sigma(a) > \sigma(b)$.)

(4) For any real number $x \in \mathbb{R}$, choose two sequences $\{p_n\}, \{q_n\}$ of rational numbers such that $p_n < x < q_n$ and $p_n, q_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$. Take σ on the inequality, $\sigma(p_n) < \sigma(x) < \sigma(q_n)$. So $p_n < \sigma(x) < q_n$ since $\sigma|_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{id}$. Let $n \to \infty$, we get $x \leq \sigma(x) \leq x$, or $\sigma(x) = x$.

$\square$

Supplement. Automorphisms of the Complex Numbers. by Paul B. Yale (Pomona College) [Link].

Problem 2.4.

Let B be an integral domain with quotient field F. If $\sigma : B \to B$ is a ring automorphism, show that σ induces a ring automorphism $\sigma' : F \to F$ defined by $\sigma'(a/b) = \sigma(a)/\sigma(b)$ if $a, b \in B$ with $b \neq 0$.

Proof.

(1) *Show that σ' is well-defined.*

    (a) $\sigma' : F \to F$ *is defined.* $\sigma(a), \sigma(b) \in B$ since σ is a homomorphism. $\sigma(b) \neq 0$ since $b \neq 0$ and σ is a one-on-one homomorphism.

    (b) σ' *is independent of the representation of* $a/b \in F$. *Suppose* $a/b = c/d$ *where* $a, b, c, d \in B$ *and* $b, d \neq 0$. *Hence,*

$$a/b = c/d \Longleftrightarrow ad = bc$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow \sigma(ad) = \sigma(bc)$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow \sigma(a)\sigma(d) = \sigma(b)\sigma(c) \qquad (\sigma: \text{homomorphism})$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow \sigma(a)/\sigma(d) = \sigma(c)/\sigma(d) \qquad (\sigma(b), \sigma(d) \neq 0)$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow \sigma'(a/b) = \sigma'(c/d).$$

(2) *Show that σ' is a ring homomorphism.*

    (a) *Show that* $\sigma'(a/b + c/d) = \sigma'(a/b) + \sigma'(c/d)$.

$$\sigma'(a/b + c/d) = \sigma'((ad + bc)/(bd))$$
$$= \sigma(ad + bc)/\sigma(bd)$$
$$= (\sigma(a)\sigma(d) + \sigma(b)\sigma(c))/(\sigma(b)\sigma(d)) \quad (\sigma: \text{homomorphism})$$
$$= \sigma(a)/\sigma(b) + \sigma(c)/\sigma(d)$$
$$= \sigma'(a/b) + \sigma'(c/d).$$

    (b) *Show that* $\sigma'(a/b \cdot c/d) = \sigma'(a/b) \cdot \sigma'(c/d)$.

$$\sigma'(a/b \cdot c/d) = \sigma'((ac)/(bd))$$
$$= \sigma(ac)/\sigma(bd)$$
$$= (\sigma(a)\sigma(c))/(\sigma(b)\sigma(d)) \quad (\sigma: \text{homomorphism})$$
$$= \sigma(a)/\sigma(b) \cdot \sigma(c)/\sigma(d)$$
$$= \sigma'(a/b) \cdot \sigma'(c/d).$$

(3) *Show that σ' is injective.*

$$\sigma'(a/b) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \sigma(a)/\sigma(b) = 0$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow \sigma(a) = 0$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow a = 0 \qquad (\sigma: \text{injective})$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow a/b = 0/b = 0 \in F$$

(4) *Show that σ' is a surjective.* Given any $c/d \in F$, want to show there is $a/b \in F$ such that $\sigma'(a/b) = c/d$.

$$c/d \in F \Longrightarrow c, d \in B$$
$$\Longrightarrow \exists\, a, b \in B \text{ such that } \sigma(a) = c, \sigma(b) = d \quad (\sigma: \text{surjective})$$
$$\Longrightarrow \exists\, a, b \in B \text{ such that } \sigma(a)/\sigma(b) = c/d$$
$$\Longrightarrow \exists\, a, b \in B \text{ such that } \sigma'(a/b) = c/d.$$

II. Some Galois Extensions

§10. Hilbert Theorem 90 and Group Cohomology

Supplement.

(1) Corollary 10.4 (Cohomological Hilbert Theorem 90). Let K be a cyclic Galois extension of F. Then $H^1(\mathrm{Gal}(K/F), K^\times) = 0$.

(2) *(Exercise 10.24 in the textbook: Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis, 3rd edition.) Let $\omega = \sum a_i(\mathbf{x})dx_i$ be a 1-form of class $\mathcal{C}''$ in a convex open set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume $d\omega = 0$ and prove that ω is exact in E. Hence the first de Rham cohomology $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(E) = 0$.*

(3) $H^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(E) = 0$ if E is simply connected. (The converse is not true.)

(4) *(Exercise 10.21 in the textbook: Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis, 3rd edition.) Consider the 1-form*

$$\eta = \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

(a) *Carry out the computation that leads to*

$$\int_\gamma \eta = 2\pi \neq 0,$$

and prove that $d\eta = 0$.

(b) *Let $\gamma(t) = (r\cos t, r\sin t)$, for some $r > 0$, and let Γ be a $\mathcal{C}''$-curve in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, with parameter interval $[0, 2\pi]$, with $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma(2\pi)$, such that the intervals $[\gamma(t), \Gamma(t)]$ do not contain $\mathbf{0}$ for any $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Prove that*

$$\int_\Gamma \eta = 2\pi.$$

(c) *Take $\Gamma(t) = (a\cos t, b\sin t)$ where $a > 0$, $b > 0$ are fixed. Show that*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ab}{a^2\cos^2 t + b^2\sin^2 t}dt = 2\pi.$$

(d) *Show that*

$$\eta = d\left(\arctan\frac{y}{x}\right)$$

in any convex open set in which $x \neq 0$, and that

$$\eta = d\left(-\arctan\frac{x}{y}\right)$$

in any convex open set in which $y \neq 0$. Explain why this justifies the notation $\eta = d\theta$, in spite of the fact that η is not exact in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$.

(5) *(Exercise 10.22 in the textbook: Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis, 3rd edition.) Define ζ in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$ by*

$$\zeta = \frac{x\,dy \wedge dz + y\,dz \wedge dx + z\,dx \wedge dy}{r^3}$$

where $r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, let D be the rectangle given by $0 \leq u \leq \pi$, $0 \leq v \leq 2\pi$, and let Σ be the 2-surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$, with parameter domain D, given by

$$x = \sin u \cos v, \qquad y = \sin u \sin v, \qquad z = \cos u.$$

(a) *Prove that $d\zeta = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{0\}$.*

(b) *Let S denote the restriction of Σ to a parameter domain $E \subseteq D$. Prove that*

$$\int_S \zeta = \int_E \sin u \, du \, dv = A(S),$$

where A denotes area, as in Section 10.46. Note that this contains

$$\int_\Sigma \zeta = \int_D \sin u \, du \, dv = 4\pi \neq 0$$

as a special case.

(c) *Suppose g, h_1, h_2, h_3, are C''-functions on $[0, 1]$, $g > 0$. Let $(x, y, z) = \Phi(s, t)$ define a 2-surface Φ, with parameter domain I^2, by*

$$x = g(t)h_1(s), \qquad y = g(t)h_2(s), \qquad z = g(t)h_3(s).$$

Prove that

$$\int_\Phi \zeta = 0.$$

Note the shape of the range of Φ: For fixed s, $\Phi(s, t)$ runs over an interval on a line through $\mathbf{0}$. The range of Φ thus lies in a "cone" with vertex at the origin.

(d) *Let E be a closed rectangle in D, with edges parallel to those of D. Suppose $f \in C''(D)$, $f > 0$. Let Ω be the 2-surface with parameter domain E, defined by*

$$\Omega(u, v) = f(u, v)\Sigma(u, v).$$

Define S as in (b) and prove that

$$\int_\Omega \zeta = \int_S \zeta = A(S).$$

(e) *Put* $\lambda = -\frac{z}{r}\eta$, *where*

$$\eta = \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

Then λ *is a 1-form in the open set* $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ *in which* $x^2 + y^2 > 0$. *Show that* ζ *is exact in* V *by showing that*

$$\zeta = d\lambda.$$

(f) *Is* ζ *exact in the complement of every line through the origin?*

(6) *(Exercise 10.23 in the textbook: Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis, 3rd edition.) Fix* n. *Define* $r_k = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ *for* $1 \leq k \leq n$, *let* E_k *be the set of all* $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *at which* $r_k > 0$, *and let* ω_k *be the* $(k-1)$-*form defined in* E_k *by*

$$\omega_k = (r_k)^{-k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i-1} x_i dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k$$

Note that $\omega_2 = \eta$, $\omega_3 = \zeta$ *in the terminology of Exercise 10.21 and Exercise 10.22. Note also that*

$$E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq E_n = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(a) *Prove that* $d\omega_k = 0$ *in* E_k.

(b) *For* $k = 2, \ldots, n$, *prove that* ω_k *is exact in* E_{k-1}, *by showing that*

$$\omega_k = d(f_k \omega_{k-1}) = df_k \wedge \omega_{k-1}$$

where $f_k(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^k g_k\left(\frac{x_k}{r_k}\right)$ *where*

$$g_k(t) = \int_{-1}^{t} (1 - s^2)^{\frac{k-3}{2}} ds \qquad (-1 < t < 1).$$

(c) *Is* ω_n *exact in* E_n?

(7) $H_{\mathrm{dR}}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n - \{\mathbf{0}\}) = \mathbb{R}^1$. *(Compare to (5)(6)(7).)*

Problem 10.1.

Let M *be a* G-*module. Show that the boundary map* $\delta_n : C^n(G, M) \to C^{n+1}(G, M)$ *defined in this section is a homomorphism.*

Proof.

13

(1) δ_n is defined by

$$\delta_n(f)(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{n+1}) = \sigma_1 f(\sigma_2,\ldots,\sigma_{n+1})$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i f(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1},\ldots,\sigma_{n+1})$$
$$+ (-1)^{n+1} f(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n)$$

if $n > 0$. If $n = 0$, then the map $\delta_0 : M = C^0(G,M) \to C^1(G,M)$ is defined by $\delta_0(m)(\sigma) = \sigma m - m$.

(2) It suffices to show that $\delta_n(f+g) = \delta_n(f) + \delta_n(g)$ for all n and all n-cochains f and g.

(3) If $n = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_0(f+g)(\sigma) &= \sigma(f+g) - (f+g) \\
&= \sigma f + \sigma g - f - g & \text{(M: G-module)} \\
&= (\sigma f - f) + (\sigma g - g) & \text{(M: abelian group)} \\
&= \delta_0(f) + \delta_0(g).
\end{aligned}$$

(4) If $n \geq 1$, then

$$\delta_n(f+g)(\sigma)$$
$$= \sigma_1(f+g)(\sigma_2,\ldots,\sigma_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i(f+g)(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1},\ldots,\sigma_{n+1})$$
$$+ (-1)^{n+1}(f+g)(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n)$$
$$= \sigma_1 f(\sigma_2,\ldots,\sigma_{n+1}) + \sigma_1 g(\sigma_2,\ldots,\sigma_{n+1})$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i f(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1},\ldots,\sigma_{n+1})$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i g(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1},\ldots,\sigma_{n+1})$$
$$+ (-1)^{n+1} f(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n) + (-1)^{n+1} g(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n)$$
$$= \left\{ \sigma_1 f(\sigma_2,\ldots,\sigma_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i f(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1},\ldots,\sigma_{n+1}) \right.$$
$$\left. + (-1)^{n+1} f(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n) \right\} + \left\{ \sigma_1 g(\sigma_2,\ldots,\sigma_{n+1}) \right.$$
$$\left. + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i g(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1},\ldots,\sigma_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} g(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n) \right\}$$
$$= \delta_n(f)(\sigma) + \delta_n(g)(\sigma).$$

(Here note that $C^n(G,M)$ is an abelian group).

14

□

Problem 10.2.

With notation as in the previous problem, show that $\delta_{n+1} \circ \delta_n$ is the zero map.

Proof.

(1) If $n = 0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\delta_1 \circ \delta_0)(f)(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) &= \delta_1(\delta_0(f))(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \\
&= \sigma_1 \delta_0(f)(\sigma_2) - \delta_0(f)(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) + \delta_0(f)(\sigma_1) \\
&= \sigma_1(\sigma_2 f - f) - (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 f - f) + (\sigma_1 f - f) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) If $n \geq 1$, then we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\delta_{n+1} \circ \delta_n)(f)(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2}) \\
&= \delta_{n+1}(\delta_n(f))(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2}) \\
&= \underbrace{\sigma_1 \delta_n(f)(\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2})}_{\text{Part (3)}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \underbrace{(-1)^j \delta_n(f)(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_j \sigma_{j+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2})}_{\text{Parts (4)(5)(6)}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{(-1)^{n+2} \delta_n(f)(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1})}_{\text{Part (7)}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) The first term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sigma_1 \delta_n(f)(\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2}) \\
&= \sigma_1 \sigma_2 f(\sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i \sigma_1 f(\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i+2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2}) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{n+1} \sigma_1 f(\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) The first term ($j = 1$) in the summation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^1 \delta_n(f)(\sigma_1 \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2}) \\
&= -\sigma_1 \sigma_2 f(\sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2}) \\
&\quad + f(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2}) - \sum_{i=2}^{n} (-1)^i f(\sigma_1 \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i+2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2}) \\
&\quad - (-1)^{n+1} f(\sigma_1 \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1})
\end{aligned}
$$

15

(5) The jth term for $2 \leq j \leq n$ in the summation is

$$(-1)^j \delta_n(f)(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_j \sigma_{j+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2})$$
$$= (-1)^j \sigma_1 f(\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_j \sigma_{j+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2})$$
$$+ (-1)^j \sum_{i=1}^{j-2} (-1)^i f(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_j \sigma_{j+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2})$$
$$+ (-1)^j (-1)^{j-1} f(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{j-1} \sigma_j \sigma_{j+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2})$$
$$+ (-1)^j (-1)^j f(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_j \sigma_{j+1} \sigma_{j+2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2})$$
$$+ (-1)^j \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} (-1)^i f(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_j \sigma_{j+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i+2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2})$$
$$+ (-1)^j (-1)^{n+1} f(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_j \sigma_{j+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1}).$$

(6) The last term ($j = n + 1$) in the summation is

$$(-1)^{n+1} \delta_n(f)(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n, \sigma_{n+1} \sigma_{n+2})$$
$$= (-1)^{n+1} \sigma_1 f(\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1} \sigma_{n+2})$$
$$+ (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i f(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1} \sigma_{n+2})$$
$$+ (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^n f(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \sigma_{n+1} \sigma_{n+2})$$
$$+ (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1} f(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n).$$

(7) The last term is

$$(-1)^{n+2} \delta_n(f)(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1})$$
$$= (-1)^{n+2} \sigma_1 f(\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1})$$
$$+ (-1)^{n+2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i f(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n+1})$$
$$+ (-1)^{n+2} (-1)^{n+1} f(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n).$$

(8) Hence we have $(\delta_{n+1} \circ \delta_n)(f)(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n+2}) = 0$.

$\square$

Supplement.

(1) *(Theorem 10.20 in the textbook: Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis, 3rd edition.) If ω is a k-form of class $\mathscr{C}''$ in some open set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then $d^2\omega = 0$.*

(2) *(Exercise 10.16 in the textbook: Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis, 3rd edition.) If $k \geq 2$ and $\sigma = [\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_k]$ is an oriented affine k-simplex, prove that $\partial^2 \sigma = 0$, directly from the definition of the boundary operator ∂. Deduce from this that $\partial^2 \Psi = 0$ for every chain Ψ.*

Problem 10.3.

Let M be a G-module, and let $f \in Z^2(G, M)$. Show that $f(1,1) = f(1, \sigma) = \sigma^{-1} f(\sigma, 1)$ for all $\sigma \in G$.

Proof.

(1) $f \in Z^2(G, M)$ if and only if $\delta_2(f) = 0$. So

$$\delta_2(f)(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) = \sigma_1 f(\sigma_2, \sigma_3) - f(\sigma_1 \sigma_2, \sigma_3) + f(\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \sigma_3) - f(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$$
$$= 0.$$

for any $\sigma_1 \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in G$.

(2) Take $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 1$ and $\sigma_3 = \sigma$ to get

$$f(1, \sigma) - f(1, \sigma) + f(1, \sigma) - f(1, 1) = 0.$$

So $f(1, 1) = f(1, \sigma)$.

(3) Take $\sigma_1 = \sigma$ and $\sigma_2 = \sigma_3 = \sigma$ to get

$$\sigma f(1, 1) - f(\sigma, 1) + f(\sigma, 1) - f(\sigma, 1) = 0.$$

So $\sigma f(1, 1) = f(\sigma, 1)$ or $f(1, 1) = \sigma^{-1} f(\sigma, 1)$.

$\square$

Problem 10.4.

If E is a group with an abelian normal subgroup M, and if $G = E/M$, show that the action of G on M given by $\sigma m = eme^{-1}$ if $eM = \sigma$ is well-defined and makes M into a G-module.

Proof.

(1) *Show that $G \times M \to M$ defined by $\sigma m = eme^{-1}$ is independent of the choice of the representation of $\sigma = eM$. Suppose $\sigma = e_1 M = e_2 M$. $e_2 = e_1 m_1$ for some $m_1 \in M$.*

(2) Therefore

$$e_2 m e_2^{-1} = (e_1 m_1) m (e_1 m_1)^{-1} = e_1 m_1 m m_1^{-1} e_1^{-1} = e_1 m e_1^{-1}.$$

Here $(e_1 m_1)^{-1} = m_1^{-1} e_1^{-1}$ holds in a group E and $m_1 m m_1^{-1} = m$ since M is an abelian group.

(3) *Show that M is a G-module where $G \times M \to M$ is defined by $\sigma m = e m e^{-1}$.*

(a) *Show that $1m = m$.* $1m = 1m1^{-1} = m$ where $1 = 1M \in G = E/M$.

(b) *Show that $\sigma(\tau m) = (\sigma \tau) m$.* Write $\sigma = e_\sigma M$ and $\tau = e_\tau M$. Hence $\sigma \tau = e_\sigma e_\tau M$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\tau m) &= \sigma(e_\tau m e_\tau^{-1}) \\
&= e_\sigma (e_\tau m e_\tau^{-1}) e_\sigma^{-1} \\
&= (e_\sigma e_\tau) m (e_\sigma e_\tau)^{-1} \\
&= (\sigma \tau) m.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) *Show that $\sigma(m_1 + m_2) = \sigma m_1 + \sigma m_2$.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(m_1 + m_2) &= e(m_1 + m_2) e^{-1} \\
&= e m_1 e^{-1} + e m_2 e^{-1} \\
&= \sigma m_1 + \sigma m_2
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma = eM$ for some $e \in E$.

$\square$