# Notes on the book: $A postol, \ Introduction \ to \ Analytic \\ Number \ Theory$

Meng-Gen Tsai plover@gmail.com

July 26, 2021

### Contents

Chapter 1: The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic	2
Exercise 1.15	2
Exercise 1.30	2
Chapter 2: Arithmetical functions and Dirichlet multiplication	4
Exercise 2.3	4
Supplement 2.3.1. (Chinese remainder theorem)	4
Exercise 2.4	5

## Chapter 1: The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

#### Exercise 1.15.

Prove that every  $n \geq 12$  is the sum of two composite numbers.

*Proof.* Write n=2m (resp. n=2m+1) where  $m\in\mathbb{Z},\ m\geq 6$ . Then n=8+2(m-4) (resp. n=9+2(m-4)) is the sum of two composite numbers.  $\square$ 

#### Exercise 1.30.

If n > 1 prove that the sum

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}$$

is not an integer.

Proof.

(1) (Reductio ad absurdum) Suppose

$$H := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}$$

were an integer.

(2) Let s be the largest integer such that  $2^s \leq n$ . So the integer number

$$2^{s-1}H = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2^{s-1}}{k}$$
$$= 2^{s-1} + 2^{s-2} + \frac{2^{s-1}}{3} + 2^{s-3} + \frac{2^{s-1}}{5} + \frac{2^{s-2}}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} + \dots$$

has only one term of even denominators (as n > 1) if we write all terms in irreducible fractions. That is,

$$2^{s-1}H = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where  $\frac{c}{d}$  is an irreducible fraction with odd d. Hence it suffices to show that  $2 \mid d$  to get a contradiction.

(3) By

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{d+2c}{2d} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

we have d+2c=2dd' for some  $d'\in\mathbb{Z}.$  Note that 2 is a prime. So  $2\mid (d+2c)$  or  $2\mid d,$  which is absurd.

# Chapter 2: Arithmetical functions and Dirichlet multiplication

#### Exercise 2.3.

Prove that

$$\frac{n}{\varphi(n)} = \sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\varphi(d)}.$$

Proof.

(1) Note that fg, f/g and f\*g are multiplicative if f and g are multiplicative (Example 5 on page 34 and Theorem 2.14). Hence  $\frac{n}{\varphi(n)}$  and  $\sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{\varphi(d)}$  are multiplicative. Hence it might assume that  $n=p^a$  for some prime p and integer  $a \geq 1$ . (The case n=1 is trivial.)

(2) 
$$\frac{p^a}{\varphi(p^a)} = \frac{p^a}{p^a - p^{a-1}} = \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

(3)

$$\sum_{d|p^{a}} \frac{\mu^{2}(d)}{\varphi(d)} = \frac{\mu^{2}(1)}{\varphi(1)} + \frac{\mu^{2}(p)}{\varphi(p)} + \underbrace{\frac{e^{0}}{\mu^{2}(p^{2})}}_{\varphi(p^{2})} + \dots + \underbrace{\frac{\mu^{2}(p^{a})}{\varphi(p^{a})}}_{\varphi(p^{a})}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{p-1} + 0 + \dots + 0$$

$$= \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

#### Supplement 2.3.1. (Chinese remainder theorem)

(Exercise I.3.5 in the textbook: Jörgen Neukirch, Algebraic Number Theory.) The quotient ring  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$  of a Dedekind domain by an ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$  is a principal ideal domain. (Hint: For  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^n$  the only proper ideals of  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{a}$  are given by  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^n, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}^{n-1}/\mathfrak{p}^n$ . Choose  $\pi \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$  and show that  $\mathfrak{p}^{\nu} = \mathcal{O}\pi^{\nu} + \mathfrak{p}^n$ .)

Proof.

(1) By the Chinese remainder theorem, it suffices to show the case  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^n$  where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime.

(2) There is a natural correspondence between

$$\{\text{ideals of } \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^n\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{ideals of } \mathcal{O} \text{ containing } \mathfrak{p}^n\}.$$

Hence the proper ideals of  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}^n$  are given by  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}^n, \dots, \mathfrak{p}^{n-1}/\mathfrak{p}^n$ .

(3) Similar to Exercise I.3.4, choose  $\pi \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$  and thus  $\mathfrak{p}^{\nu} = \mathcal{O}\pi^{\nu} + \mathfrak{p}^n$   $(\nu = 1, \dots, n-1)$  since they have the same prime factorization. Hence  $\mathfrak{p}^{\nu}/\mathfrak{p}^n = (\pi^{\nu} + \mathfrak{p}^n)$  is principal.

#### Exercise 2.4.

Prove that  $\varphi(n) > \frac{n}{6}$  for all n with at most 8 distinct prime factors.

Proof.

(1)

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$
 (Theorem 2.4)  

$$\geq n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{5}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{7}\right)$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{11}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{13}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{17}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{19}\right)$$

$$= \frac{55296}{323323} n$$

$$> \frac{n}{6}.$$

(2) The conclusion does not hold if n has more than 9 distinct prime factors.