## Chapter 1: A Special Case of Fermat's Conjecture

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai Email: plover@gmail.com

Exercise 1.1-1.9: Define  $N: \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{Z}$  by  $N(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2$ .

**Exercise 1.1.** Verify that for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$ , either by direct computation or using the fact that N(a+bi) = (a+bi)(a-bi). Conclude that if  $\alpha \mid \gamma$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , then  $N(\alpha) \mid N(\gamma)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof.

(1) Direct computation. Write  $\alpha = a + bi$ ,  $\beta = c + di$  where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{split} N(\alpha\beta) &= N((a+bi)(c+di)) \\ &= N((ac-bd) + (ad+bc)i) \\ &= (ac-bd)^2 + (ad+bc)^2 \\ &= (a^2c^2 - 2abcd + b^2d^2) + (a^2d^2 + 2abcd + b^2c^2) \\ &= a^2c^2 + b^2d^2 + a^2d^2 + b^2c^2, \\ N(\alpha)N(\beta) &= N(a+bi)N(c+di) \\ &= (a^2+b^2)(c^2+d^2) \\ &= a^2c^2 + b^2d^2 + a^2d^2 + b^2c^2. \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$ . (Note that we also get the identity  $(a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2) = (ac - bd)^2 + (ad + bc)^2$ .)

(2) Using the fact that N(a+bi)=(a+bi)(a-bi), or  $N(\alpha)=\alpha\overline{\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Thus,

$$N(\alpha\beta) = \alpha\beta\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}$$
$$= \alpha\beta\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}$$
$$= \alpha\overline{\alpha}\beta\overline{\beta}$$
$$= N(\alpha)N(\beta).$$

(3) Show that if  $\alpha \mid \gamma$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , then  $N(\alpha) \mid N(\gamma)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Write  $\gamma = \alpha\beta$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . So  $N(\gamma) = N(\alpha)N(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , or  $N(\alpha) \mid N(\gamma)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Exercise 1.2.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Show that  $\alpha$  is a unit iff  $N(\alpha) = 1$ . Conclude that the only unit are  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm i$ .

Proof.

- (1) ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Since  $\alpha$  is a unit, there is  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  such that  $\alpha\beta = 1$ . By Exercise 1.1,  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(1)$ , or  $N(\alpha)N(\beta) = 1$ . Since the image of N is nonnegative integers,  $N(\alpha) = 1$ .
- (2) ( $\iff$ ) By Exercise 1.1,  $N(\alpha) = \alpha \overline{\alpha}$ , or  $1 = \alpha \overline{\alpha}$  since  $N(\alpha) = 1$ . That is,  $\overline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  is the inverse of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . (Or by (1), we solve the equation  $N(\alpha) = a^2 + b^2 = 1$ , and show that all four solutions ( $\pm 1$  and  $\pm i$ ) are unit.)

Conclusion: a unit  $\alpha = a + bi$  of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is satisfying the equation  $N(\alpha) = a^2 + b^2 = 1$  by (1)(2). That is, the only unit of  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  are  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm i$ .  $\square$ 

**Exercise 1.3.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Show that if  $N(\alpha)$  is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$  then  $\alpha$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Show that the same conclusion holds if  $N(\alpha) = p^2$ , where p is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

Proof.

- (1) Show that if  $N(\alpha)$  is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$  then  $\alpha$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Write  $\alpha = \beta \gamma$ . Then  $N(\alpha) = N(\beta)N(\gamma)$  is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Since each integer prime is irreducible,  $N(\beta) = 1$  or  $N(\gamma) = 1$ . So that  $\beta$  is unit or  $\gamma$  is unit by Exercise 1.2. Hence,  $\alpha$  is irreducible.
- (2) Show that  $\alpha$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  if  $N(\alpha) = p^2$ , where p is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Assume  $\alpha = \beta \gamma$  were not irreducible. Similar to (1),  $N(\alpha) = N(\beta)N(\gamma) = p^2$ . Since  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are proper factors of  $\alpha$ ,

$$N(\beta) = N(\gamma) = p.$$

Since any square  $a^2 \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ , any  $N(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2 \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ . Especially,  $N(\beta) \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ , contrary to  $N(\beta) = p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  by the assumption. Therefore,  $\alpha$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

## Supplement.

- (1) The prime 2 is reducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  (Exercise 1.4).
- (2) Every prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is reducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  (Exercise 1.8).

**Exercise 1.4.** Show that 1-i is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and that  $2=u(1-i)^2$  for some unit u.

Proof.

- (1) 1-i is irreducible. Since N(1-i)=2 is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , 1-i is irreducible by Problem 1.3.
- (2)  $2 = i(1-i)^2$  where i is unit in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Exercise 1.5.** Notice that (2+i)(2-i) = 5 = (1+2i)(1-2i). How is this consistent with unique factorization?

*Proof.* Since 2+i=i(1-2i) and 2-i=(-i)(1+2i), the factorization is unique up to order and multiplication of primes by units.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 1.6.** Show that every nonzero, non-unit Gaussian integer  $\alpha$  is a product of irreducible elements, by induction on  $N(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* Induction on  $N(\alpha)$ .

- (1) n = 2. Given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  with  $N(\alpha) = 2$ . Since  $N(\alpha) = 2$  is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\alpha$  is irreducible (Exercise 1.3).
- (2) Suppose the result holds for  $n \leq k$ . Given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  with  $N(\alpha) = k + 1$ . There are only two possible cases.
  - (a)  $\alpha$  is irreducible. Nothing to do.
  - (b)  $\alpha$  is reducible. Write  $\alpha=\beta\gamma$  where neither factor is unit. Since  $N(\alpha)=N(\beta)N(\gamma)$  and neither factor is unit,

$$2 \le N(\beta), N(\gamma) \le k$$
.

By the induction hypothesis, each factor of  $\alpha$  ( $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ) is a product of irreducible elements. So that  $\alpha$  again is a product of irreducible elements.

In any cases,  $\alpha$  is a product of irreducible elements.

By induction, the result is established.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 1.7.** Show that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a principal ideal domain (PID); i.e., every ideal I is principal. (As shown in Appendix 1, this implies that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a UFD.)

Suggestion: Take  $\alpha \in I - \{0\}$  such that  $N(\alpha)$  is minimized, and consider the multiplies  $\gamma \alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ ; show that these are the vertices of an infinite family of squares which fill up the complex plane. (For example, one of the squares has vertices  $0, \alpha, i\alpha,$  and  $(1+i)\alpha;$  all others are translates of this one.) Obviously I contains all  $\gamma \alpha;$  show by a geometric argument that if I contains anything else then minimality of  $N(\alpha)$  would be contradicted.

Proof (without geometric intuition). Define N on  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$  by  $N(a+bi)=a^2+b^2$  where  $a+bi\in\mathbb{Q}[i]$  as usual.

- (1) Show that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a Euclidean domain. Given  $\alpha = a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  and  $\gamma = c + di \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  with  $\gamma \neq 0$ . It suffices to show there exist  $\delta$  and  $\rho$  such that the identity  $\alpha = \gamma \delta + \rho$  holds and either  $\rho = 0$  or  $N(\rho) < N(\gamma)$ .
  - (a) Pick  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . (Intuition: Pick the 'integer part' of  $\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$  as we did in integer numbers.) Write  $\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} = r + si \in \mathbb{Q}[i]$ . Then we pick  $\delta = m + ni \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  such that  $|r m| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|s n| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore,

$$N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} - \delta\right) = (r - m)^2 + (s - n)^2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}.$$

(b) Pick  $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Clearly we can pick  $\rho = \alpha - \gamma \delta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Therefore,  $\rho = 0$  or

$$\begin{split} N(\rho) &= N(\alpha - \gamma \delta) \\ &= N\left(\gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} - \delta\right)\right) \\ &= N(\gamma)N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} - \delta\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}N(\gamma) \\ &< N(\gamma). \end{split}$$

- (2) Show that every Euclidean domain R is a PID. Given any ideal I of R. Take  $\alpha \in I \{0\}$  such that  $N(\alpha)$  is minimized.
  - (a)  $R\alpha \subseteq I$  clearly.
  - (b) Conversely, for any  $\beta \in I$ , there are  $\delta, \rho \in R$  such that  $\beta = \alpha \delta + \rho$ , where either  $\rho = 0$  or  $N(\rho) < N(\alpha)$ . Since  $\rho = \beta \alpha \delta \in I$ , we cannot have  $N(\rho) < N(\alpha)$  by the minimality of  $N(\alpha)$ . Therefore,  $\rho = 0$  and  $\beta = \alpha \delta \in R\alpha$ , or  $R\alpha \supseteq I$ .

By (1)(2),  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a PID.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 1.8.** We will use the unique factorization in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  to prove that every prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is a sum of two squares.

- (a) Use the fact that the multiplicative group  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  of integers mod p is cyclic to show that if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then  $n^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (b) Prove that p cannot be irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . (Hint:  $p \mid n^2+1 = (n+i)(n-i)$ .)
- (c) Prove that p is a sum of two squares. (Hint: (b) shows that p = (a + bi)(c + di) with neither factor a unit. Take norms.)

Proof of (a). Since the multiplicative group  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  of integers mod p is cyclic,  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  is generated by (a primitive root)  $g \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ .  $g^{p-1} = 1$ , or

$$\left(g^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1\right)\left(g^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1\right) = 0$$

since p is odd. Since  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain,  $g^{\frac{p-1}{2}}-1=0$  or  $g^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1=0$ . g cannot satisfy  $g^{\frac{p-1}{2}}-1=0$  since g is a generator of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ . So,

$$g^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1 = 0.$$

Let  $n=g^{\frac{p-1}{4}}\in\mathbb{Z}$  since  $p\equiv 1\pmod 4$ . So  $n^2+1=0\pmod p$ .  $\square$ 

Proof of (b). Since  $n^2+1\equiv 0\pmod p$  by (a),  $p\mid n^2+1=(n+i)(n-i)$ . If p were irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i],\,p\mid (n+i)$  or  $p\mid (n-i)$  by using the unique factorization in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Hence

$$\frac{n+i}{p} = \frac{n}{p} + \frac{1}{p}i \not\in \mathbb{Z}[i], \frac{n-i}{p} = \frac{n}{p} - \frac{1}{p}i \not\in \mathbb{Z}[i],$$

contrary to the assumption. Therefore, p is reducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .  $\square$ 

*Proof of (c).* Since p is reducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  by (b), write p = (a + bi)(c + di) with neither factor a unit. Take norms,

$$p^2 = N(p) = N(a+bi)N(c+di).$$

Since neither factor of p is unit, N(a+bi)=p, or  $a^2+b^2=p,$  or p is a sum of two squares.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 1.9.** Describe all irreducible elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

Notice that  $\alpha$  is irreducible if and only if  $\overline{\alpha}$  is irreducible. (Write  $\alpha = \beta \gamma$ , then  $\overline{\alpha} = \overline{\beta} \overline{\gamma}$ . Besides,  $\overline{\overline{\alpha}} = \alpha$ .)

*Proof.* Show that all irreducible elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  (up to units) are

- (1) 1+i.
- (2)  $\pi = a + bi$  for each integer prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  with  $p = a^2 + b^2$ .
- (3) p for each integer prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be any irreducible element in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Consider  $N(\alpha) = \alpha \overline{\alpha}$ .  $N(\alpha) \neq 1$  since  $\alpha$  is not unit. By the unique factorization theorem in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $N(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a product of primes in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

There are three possible cases.

- (a)  $2 \mid N(\alpha)$ . Write  $(1+i)(1-i) \mid \alpha \overline{\alpha}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Notice that 1+i, 1-i,  $\alpha$  and  $\overline{\alpha}$  are all irreducible (Exercise 1.4). By the unique factorization theorem in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $\alpha = 1+i$  (up to units).
- (b)  $p \mid N(\alpha)$  for some prime  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Write  $p \mid \alpha \overline{\alpha}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Notice that p,  $\alpha$  and  $\overline{\alpha}$  are all irreducible (Exercise 1.3). By the unique factorization theorem in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $\alpha = p$  (up to units) or  $\overline{\alpha} = p$  (up to units). So in any cases  $\alpha = p$  (up to units). (Note that  $\overline{p} = p$ .)
- (c)  $p \mid N(\alpha)$  for some prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . For such p, there is an irreducible  $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  satisfying  $p = \pi \overline{\pi}$  (Exercise 1.8). Now we write  $\pi \overline{\pi} \mid \alpha \overline{\alpha}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Notice that  $\pi$ ,  $\overline{\pi}$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\overline{\alpha}$  are all irreducible. By the unique factorization theorem in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $\alpha = \pi$  or  $\alpha = \overline{\pi}$ . In any cases,  $\alpha = a + bi$  for integer prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  with  $p = a^2 + b^2$ .

Exercise 1.10 - 1.14: Let  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$ . Define  $N: \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \to \mathbb{Z}$  by  $N(a+b\omega) = a^2 - ab + b^2$ .

**Exercise 1.10.** Show that if  $a + b\omega$  is written in the form u + vi where u and v are real, then  $N(a + b\omega) = u^2 + v^2$ . Proof. By  $\omega = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$ , write

$$a + b\omega = \left(a - \frac{1}{2}b\right) + \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}b\right)i.$$

Here  $u = a - \frac{1}{2}b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $u^2 + v^2 = (a - \frac{1}{2}b)^2 + (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}b)^2 = a^2 - ab + b^2 = N(a + b\omega)$ .  $\square$ 

**Exercise 1.11.** Show that for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ ,  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$ , either by direct computation or by using Exercise 1.10. Conclude that if  $\alpha \mid \gamma$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , then  $N(\alpha) \mid N(\gamma)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof.

(1) Direct computation. Note that  $1 + \omega + \omega^2 = 0$  or  $\omega^2 = -1 - \omega$ . Write  $\alpha = a + b\omega, \beta = c + d\omega$  where  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{split} N(\alpha\beta) &= N((a+b\omega)(c+d\omega)) \\ &= N(ac+(ad+bc)\omega+bd\omega^2) \\ &= N(ac+(ad+bc)\omega+bd(-1-\omega)) \\ &= N((ac-bd)+(ad+bc-bd)\omega) \\ &= (ac-bd)^2 - (ac-bd)(ad+bc-bd) + (ad+bc-bd)^2 \\ &= (a^2-ab+b^2)(c^2-cd+d^2), \\ N(\alpha)N(\beta) &= N(a+b\omega)N(c+d\omega) \\ &= (a^2-ab+b^2)(c^2-cd+d^2). \end{split}$$

- (2) Exercise 1.10. The result is established by Exercise 1.10 and Exercise 1.1.
- (3) Using the fact that  $N(a+b\omega)=(a+b\omega)\overline{(a+b\omega)}$ . Similar to the argument of Exercise 1.1.
- (4) Show that if  $\alpha \mid \gamma$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , then  $N(\alpha) \mid N(\gamma)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Similar to the argument of Exercise 1.1.

**Exercise 1.12.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Show that  $\alpha$  is a unit iff  $N(\alpha) = 1$ , and find all units in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . (There are six of them.)

Proof.

- (1) ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Since  $\alpha$  is a unit, there is  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  such that  $\alpha\beta = 1$ . By Exercise 1.11,  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(1)$ , or  $N(\alpha)N(\beta) = 1$ . Since the image of N is nonnegative integers,  $N(\alpha) = 1$ .
- (2) ( $\iff$ ) By Exercise 1.10,  $N(\alpha) = \alpha \overline{\alpha}$ , or  $1 = \alpha \overline{\alpha}$  since  $N(\alpha) = 1$ . That is,  $\overline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is the inverse of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ .
- (3) By (1), we solve the equation  $N(\alpha) = a^2 ab + b^2 = 1$ , or  $4 = (2a b)^2 + 3b^2$ . There are 2 possible cases.
  - (a)  $2a b = \pm 1$ ,  $b = \pm 1$ .

(b)  $2a - b = \pm 2$ ,  $b = \pm 0$ .

Solve these 6 pairs of equations yields the result  $\pm 1, \pm \omega, \pm \omega^2$ .

**Exercise 1.13.** Show that  $1 - \omega$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , and that  $3 = u(1 - \omega)^2$  for some unit u.

3 is not irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ .

Proof.

- (1)  $N(1-\omega)=3$  is an integer prime. Similar to the argument in Exercise 1.3,  $1-\omega$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ .
- (2) Note that  $1 + \omega + \omega^2 = 0$ . So  $(1 \omega)^2 = 1 2\omega + \omega^2 = 3(-\omega)$ , or  $(-\omega^2)(1 \omega)^2 = 3$ . By Exercise 1.12,  $-\omega^2$  is unit. Hence  $3 = u(1 \omega)^2$  for some unit  $u = -\omega^2$ .

**Exercise 1.14.** Modify Exercise 1.7 to show that  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is a PID, hence a UFD. Here the squares are replaced by parallelograms; one of them has vertices  $0, \alpha, \omega\alpha, (\omega+1)\alpha$ , and all others are translates of this one. Use Exercise 1.10 for the geometric argument at the end.

Similar to Exercise 1.7.

Proof (without geometric intuition). Define N on  $\mathbb{Q}[\omega]$  by  $N(a+b\omega)=a^2-ab+b^2$  where  $a+b\omega\in\mathbb{Q}[\omega]$  as usual.

- (1) Show that  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is a Euclidean domain. Given  $\alpha = a + b\omega \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  and  $\gamma = c + d\omega \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  with  $\gamma \neq 0$ . It suffices to show there exist  $\delta$  and  $\rho$  such that the identity  $\alpha = \gamma \delta + \rho$  holds and either  $\rho = 0$  or  $N(\rho) < N(\gamma)$ .
  - (a) Pick  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . (Intuition: Pick the 'integer part' of  $\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$  as we did in integer numbers.) Write  $\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} = r + s\omega \in \mathbb{Q}[\omega]$ . Then we pick  $\delta = m + n\omega \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  such that  $|r m| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|s n| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore,

$$N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} - \delta\right) \le |r - m|^2 + |r - m||s - n| + |s - n|^2$$
$$\le \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}$$
$$= \frac{3}{4}.$$

(b)  $Pick \ \rho \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Clearly we can pick  $\rho = \alpha - \gamma \delta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Therefore,  $\rho = 0$  or

$$\begin{split} N(\rho) &= N(\alpha - \gamma \delta) \\ &= N\left(\gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} - \delta\right)\right) \\ &= N(\gamma)N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} - \delta\right) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4}N(\gamma) \\ &< N(\gamma). \end{split}$$

- (2) Show that every Euclidean domain R is a PID. Given any ideal I of R. Take  $\alpha \in I \{0\}$  such that  $N(\alpha)$  is minimized.
  - (a)  $R\alpha \subseteq I$  clearly.
  - (b) Conversely, for any  $\beta \in I$ , there are  $\delta, \rho \in R$  such that  $\beta = \alpha \delta + \rho$ , where either  $\rho = 0$  or  $N(\rho) < N(\alpha)$ . Since  $\rho = \beta \alpha \delta \in I$ , we cannot have  $N(\rho) < N(\alpha)$  by the minimality of  $N(\alpha)$ . Therefore,  $\rho = 0$  and  $\beta = \alpha \delta \in R\alpha$ , or  $R\alpha \supseteq I$ .

By (1)(2),  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a PID.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 1.15.** Here is a proof of Fermat's conjecture for n=4: If  $x^4+y^4=z^4$  has a solution in positive integers, then so does  $x^4+y^4=w^2$ . Let x,y,w be a solution with smallest possible w. Then  $x^2,y^2,w$  is a primitive Pythagorean triple. Assuming (without loss of generality) that x is odd, we can write

$$x^2 = m^2 - n^2, y^2 = 2mn, w = m^2 + n^2$$

with m and n are relatively prime positive integers, not both odd.

(a) Show that

$$x = r^2 - s^2, n = 2rs, m = r^2 + s^2$$

with r and s are relatively prime positive integers, not both odd.

- (b) Show that r, s and m are pairwise relatively prime. Using  $y^2 = 4rsm$ , conclude that r, s and m are all squares, say  $a^2$ ,  $b^2$  and  $c^2$ .
- (c) Show that  $a^4 + b^4 = c^2$ , and that this contradicts minimality of w.

Proof of (a). Write  $x^2 + n^2 = m^2$  by moving  $n^2$  of  $x^2 = m^2 - n^2$  to the left side. Notice that x is odd, and thus  $x = r^2 - s^2$ , n = 2rs,  $m = r^2 + s^2$  with r and s are relatively prime positive integers, not both odd.  $\square$ 

Proof of (b).

- (1) It suffices to show that (r, m) = 1. By assumption, (r, s) = 1. So  $(r, s) = 1 \Rightarrow (r, s^2) = 1 \Rightarrow (r, r^2 + s^2) = 1$  and note that  $m = r^2 + s^2$  to get the result.
- (2)  $y^2 = 2mn = 2m(2rs) = 4rsm$  by (a). Since r, s and m are pairwise relatively prime, r, s and m are all squares.

*Proof of (c).* By (b),  $r = a^2$ ,  $s = b^2$ ,  $m = c^2$ . By (a),  $m = r^2 + s^2$ , or  $c^2 = (a^2)^2 + (b^2)^2 = a^4 + b^4$ . However,  $w = m^2 + n^2 > m^2 > m = c^2 > c$ , contrary to the minimality of w. □

Exercise 1.16-1.28: Let p be an odd prime,  $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$ .

Exercise 1.16. Show that

$$(1-\omega)(1-\omega^2)\cdots(1-\omega^{p-1})=p$$

by considering equation  $t^p - 1 = (t - 1)(t - \omega)(t - \omega^2) \cdots (t - \omega^{p-1})$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $t^p - 1 = (t - 1)(t^{p-1} + t^{p-2} + \dots + t + 1)$ . Cancel out t - 1 of Equation (2),

$$t^{p-1} + t^{p-2} + \dots + t + 1 = (t - \omega)(t - \omega^2) \cdots (t - \omega^{p-1}).$$

Put t=1 to get  $p=(1-\omega)(1-\omega^2)\cdots(1-\omega^{p-1})$ .  $\square$ 

**Exercise 1.17.** Let  $x^p + y^p = z^p$ . Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is a UFD and  $\pi \mid x + y\omega$ , and  $\pi$  is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Show that  $\pi$  does not divide any of the other factors on the left side of

$$(x+y)(x+y\omega)(x+y\omega^2)\cdots(x+y\omega^{p-1})=z^p$$

by showing that if it did, then  $\pi$  would divide both z and yp (Hint: Use Exercise 1.16); but z and yp are relatively prime (assuming p divides none of x, y, z), hence zm + ypn = 1 for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . How is this a contradiction?

*Proof.* Write

$$z = u\pi_1^{e_1}\cdots\pi_m^{e_m}$$

where u is unit and  $\pi_k$   $(1 \le k \le m)$  are distinct primes in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  and  $e_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$   $(1 \le k \le m)$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is a UFD by assumption, the factorization of z is unique up to order and units.

(1) Show that  $\pi \mid z$ . Since  $\pi \mid x + y\omega$ ,  $\pi \mid z^p$ . The factorization of  $z^p$  is

$$z^p = u^p \pi_1^{pe_1} \cdots \pi_m^{pe_m}.$$

 $u^p$  is unit, and  $\pi|z^p$  implies that  $\pi=\pi_k$  for some k, that is,  $\pi\mid z$ .

- (2) Show that  $\pi \mid yp$  if  $\pi$  were divide any of the other factors on the left side of  $(x+y)(x+y\omega)(x+y\omega^2)\cdots(x+y\omega^{p-1})=z^p$ . Say  $\pi \mid x+y\omega^k$  for some  $k \neq 1$ . So that  $\pi \mid ((x+y\omega)-(x+y\omega^k))$ , or  $\pi \mid y(\omega-\omega^k)$ .
  - (a) k > 1.  $\pi \mid y\omega(1-\omega^{k-1})$ . By Exercise 1.16,  $\pi \mid y\omega p$ , or  $\pi \mid yp$  since  $\omega$  is unit.  $(\omega^{p-1})$  is the inverse of  $\omega$  since  $\omega \cdot \omega^{p-1} = 1$ .)
  - (b) k = 0.  $\pi \mid y(\omega 1)$ , or  $\pi \mid y(1 \omega)$ . By Exercise 1.16,  $\pi \mid yp$ .

In any case,  $\pi \mid yp$ .

- (3) Note that z and yp are integers, and they are relatively prime by the assumption that p divides none of x, y, z. Therefore, on  $\mathbb{Z}$  we have zm + ypn = 1 for some  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (4) zm + ypn = 1 is also true in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ . Therefore, by (1)(2) we have  $\pi \mid (zm + ypn)$  or  $p \mid 1$ , or  $\pi$  is unit, contrary to the primality of  $\pi$ .

**Exercise 1.18.** Use Exercise 1.17 to show that if  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is a UFD then  $x + y\omega = u\alpha^p$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , u a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ .

Proof.

(1) Write  $z = u\pi_1^{e_1} \cdots \pi_m^{e_m}$  as Exercise 1.17. So

$$z^p = u^p \pi_1^{pe_1} \cdots \pi_m^{pe_m}.$$

- (2) Factorize  $x + y\omega = vq_1^{f_1} \cdots q_n^{f_n}$ , where v is unit and all  $q_h$   $(1 \le h \le n)$  are distinct primes in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  and  $f_h \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is a UFD, for every  $q_h \mid x + y\omega$ , there is some k(h) such that  $q_h = \pi_{k(h)}$  and also  $q_h^{f_h} = \pi_{k(h)}^{pe_{k(h)}}$  or  $f_h = pe_{k(h)}$ .
- (3) Hence,

$$x + y\omega = v \left( \pi_{k(1)}^{e_{k(1)}} \cdots \pi_{k(n)}^{e_{k(n)}} \right)^p,$$

where  $\alpha = \pi_{k(1)}^{e_{k(1)}} \cdots \pi_{k(n)}^{e_{k(n)}} \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  and v is unit.

**Exercise 1.29.** Let  $\omega = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{23})$ . Verify that the product

$$(1 + \omega^2 + \omega^4 + \omega^5 + \omega^6 + \omega^{10} + \omega^{11})(1 + \omega + \omega^5 + \omega^6 + \omega^7 + \omega^9 + \omega^{11})$$

is divisible by 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , although neither factor is. It can be shown (Exercise 3.17) that 2 is an irreducible element in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ ; it follows that  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  cannot be a UFD.

*Proof.* Note that  $\sum_{k=0}^{22} \omega^k = 0$ . So

$$(1 + \omega^2 + \omega^4 + \omega^5 + \omega^6 + \omega^{10} + \omega^{11})(1 + \omega + \omega^5 + \omega^6 + \omega^7 + \omega^9 + \omega^{11})$$
$$= 2(\omega^5 + \omega^6 + \omega^7 + \omega^9 + \omega^{10} + 3\omega^{11} + \omega^{12} + \omega^{13} + \omega^{15} + \omega^{16} + \omega^{17})$$

is divisible by 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , although neither factor is.  $\square$ 

Exercise 1.30-1.32: R is an integral domain (commutative ring with 1 and no zero divisors).

**Exercise 1.30.** Show that two ideals in R are isomorphic as R-modules iff they are in the same ideal class.

*Proof.* Given any two ideals A, B in an commutative integral domain R.

(1)  $(\Longrightarrow)$  Let  $\varphi:A\to B$  be an R-module isomorphism. Given any nonzero  $\alpha\in A$ , we have

$$\varphi(\alpha)A = \{\varphi(\alpha)a : a \in A\}$$

$$= \{\varphi(\alpha a) : a \in A\} \qquad (\varphi \text{ is a homomorphism})$$

$$= \{\alpha \varphi(a) : a \in A\} \qquad (\varphi \text{ is a homomorphism})$$

$$= \{\alpha b : b \in B\} \qquad (\varphi \text{ is an isomorphism})$$

$$= \alpha B.$$

Notice that  $\varphi(\alpha) \neq 0$  since  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\varphi$  is injective. Therefore,  $A \sim B$ .

- (2) ( $\iff$ ) Given  $A \sim B$ , there are nonzero  $\alpha, \beta \in R$  such that  $\alpha A = \beta B$ . Define a map  $\varphi : A \to B$  by  $\varphi(a) = b$  if  $\alpha a = \beta b$ .
  - (a)  $\varphi$  is well-defined.
    - (i) Existence of b. Since  $\alpha a \in \alpha A = \beta B$ , there is  $b \in B$  such that  $\alpha a = \beta b$ .
    - (ii) Uniqueness of b. If  $\alpha a = \beta b_1 = \beta b_2$ ,  $\beta(b_1 b_2) = 0$ . Since R is an integral domain and  $\beta \neq 0$ ,  $b_1 b_2 = 0$  or  $b_1 = b_2$ .
  - (b)  $\varphi$  is an R-module homomorphism.

(i) Show that  $\varphi(a_1 + a_2) = \varphi(a_1) + \varphi(a_2)$ . Write  $\varphi(a_1) = b_1$  and  $\varphi(a_2) = b_2$ .

$$\varphi(a_1) = b_1 \text{ and } \varphi(a_2) = b_2$$

$$\Longrightarrow \alpha a_1 = \beta b_1 \text{ and } \alpha a_2 = \beta b_2 \qquad \text{(Definition of } \varphi\text{)}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \alpha a_1 + \alpha a_2 = \beta b_1 + \beta b_2 \qquad \text{(Add together)}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \alpha (a_1 + a_2) = \beta (b_1 + b_2)$$

$$\Longrightarrow \varphi(a_1 + a_2) = b_1 + b_2 = \varphi(a_1) + \varphi(a_2). \quad \text{(Definition of } \varphi\text{)}$$

(ii) Show that  $\varphi(ra) = r\varphi(a)$ . Write  $\varphi(a) = b$ .

$$\varphi(a) = b \Longrightarrow \alpha a = \beta b$$
 (Definition of  $\varphi$ )  

$$\Longrightarrow r\alpha a = r\beta b$$
 (Multiply  $r$ )  

$$\Longrightarrow \alpha(ra) = \beta(rb)$$
 ( $R$  is commutative)  

$$\Longrightarrow \varphi(ra) = rb = r\varphi(a).$$
 (Definition of  $\varphi$ )

- (c)  $\varphi$  is injective. Given  $\varphi(a) = 0$ . Then  $\alpha a = \beta b = \beta 0 = 0$ . Since R is an integral domain and  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ .
- (d)  $\varphi$  is surjective. Given any  $b \in B$ .  $\beta b \in \beta B = \alpha A$ . There is  $a \in A$  such that  $\beta b = \alpha a$ . Such a satisfies  $\varphi(a) = b$ .

Therefore,  $\varphi: A \to B$  is an R-module isomorphism.

**Exercise 1.31.** Show that if A is an ideal in R and if  $\alpha A$  is principal for some nonzero  $\alpha \in R$ , then A is principal. Conclude that the principal ideals form an ideal class.

Proof.

(1) Write  $\alpha A = (b)$  for some  $b \in \alpha A$ . That is, there is  $a \in A$  such that

$$b = \alpha a$$
.

(2) Show that A=(a) is principal.  $(a)\subseteq A$  holds trivially since  $a\in A$  and A is an ideal. Given any  $x\in A$ .  $\alpha x\in \alpha A=(b)$ , and thus there is  $y\in R$  such that  $\alpha x=by$ . Replace b by  $b=\alpha a$  to get  $\alpha x=\alpha ay$  or

$$\alpha(x - ay) = 0.$$

Since  $\alpha \neq 0$  and R is an integral domain, x - ay = 0 or  $x = ay \in (a)$  or  $A \subseteq (a)$ . Hence A = (a) is principal.

(3) Show that the principal ideals form an ideal class. Given any  $A=(a)\neq 0$  and  $B=(b)\neq 0$ , we have bA=aB=(ab) for  $a,b\in R$  or  $A\sim B$ .

**Exercise 1.32.** Show that the ideal classes in R form a group iff for every ideal A there is an ideal B such that AB is principal.

Note. The Picard group of the spectrum of a Dedekind domain is its ideal class group.

*Proof.* Let [A] be the ideal class representing by a nonzero ideal A of R. Let

$$Pic(R) = \{[A] : A \text{ is an ideal of } R\}$$

be the set of all ideal classes. Define the operation  $\cdot : Pic(R) \times Pic(R) \to Pic(R)$  by  $[A] \cdot [B] \mapsto [AB]$ .

- (1) (Closure) Show that the operation  $[A] \cdot [B] \mapsto [AB]$  is well-defined. Trivial due to the definition of the ideal class. Note that  $[A] \cdot [B] = [B] \cdot [A]$  by the commutativity of R.
- (2) (Associativity) Show that  $([A] \cdot [B]) \cdot [C] = [A] \cdot ([B] \cdot [C])$ . Trivial due to the definition of the ideal class.
- (3) (Identity element) Show that the non-zero principal ideals form the ideal class [1]. Exercise 1.30 and note that (1) is principal too.
- (4) Show that the set Pic(R) forms an (abelian) group with [1] as the identity element if and only if every [A] has an inverse in Pic(R). By (1)(2)(3), the set Pic(R) forms an (abelian) group iff every element has an inverse element. The conclusion is established.