

Solutions to the book:
Ira N. Levine, Quantum Chemistry,
5th edition

Meng-Gen Tsai
plover@gmail.com

July 20, 2021

Contents

Chapter 1: The Schrödinger Equation	2
Problem 1.1.	2
Chapter 3: Operators	3
Problem 3.6.	3
Problem 3.7.	3
Problem 3.27.	3
Problem 3.33.	6

Chapter 1: The Schrödinger Equation

Problem 1.1.

- (a) Calculate the energy of one photon of infrared radiation whose wavelength is 1064 nm.
- (b) An Nd:YAG laser emits a pulse of 1064-nm radiation of average power $5 \times 10^6 W$ and duration $2 \times 10^{-8} s$. Find the number of photons emitted in this pulse. (Recall that $1W = 1J/s$.)

Solution of (a).

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{photon}} &= h\nu && \text{(Equation (1.1))} \\ &= \frac{hc}{\lambda} && \text{(Equation (1.3))} \\ &= \frac{(6.626 \times 10^{-34} Js)(2.998 \times 10^8 m/s)}{1064 \times 10^{-9} m} \\ &= 1.867 \times 10^{-19} J. \end{aligned}$$

□

Solution of (b). Total energy in one pulse is $E = (5 \times 10^6 W)(2 \times 10^{-8} s) = 0.1 J$. By (a), the energy of one photon $E_{\text{photon}} = 1.867 \times 10^{-19} J$. So the number of photons is

$$n = \frac{E}{E_{\text{photon}}} = \frac{0.1 J}{1.867 \times 10^{-19} J} = 5 \times 10^{17}.$$

□

Chapter 3: Operators

Problem 3.6.

Prove that $\hat{A} + \hat{B} = \hat{B} + \hat{A}$.

Two operators \hat{A} and \hat{B} are said to be equal if $\hat{A}f = \hat{B}f$ for all functions f .

Proof.

$$(\hat{A} + \hat{B})f = \hat{A}f + \hat{B}f = \hat{B}f + \hat{A}f = (\hat{B} + \hat{A})f$$

holds for any function f . By definition, $\hat{A} + \hat{B} = \hat{B} + \hat{A}$. \square

Problem 3.7.

Let $\hat{D} = d/dx$. Verify that $(\hat{D} + x)(\hat{D} - x) = \hat{D}^2 - x^2 - 1$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} ((\hat{D} + x)(\hat{D} - x))f &= (\hat{D} + x)((\hat{D} - x)f) \\ &= (\hat{D} + x)(f' - xf) \\ &= (f' - xf)' + x(f' - xf) \\ &= (f'' - f - xf') + (xf' - x^2f) \\ &= f'' - f - x^2f \\ &= (\hat{D}^2 - x^2 - 1)f \end{aligned}$$

holds for any function f . By definition, $(\hat{D} + x)(\hat{D} - x) = \hat{D}^2 - x^2 - 1$. \square

Problem 3.27.

Evaluate the commutators

(a) $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x];$

(b) $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x^2];$

(c) $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_y];$

(d) $[\hat{x}, \hat{V}(x, y, z)];$

(e) $[\hat{x}, \hat{H}]$, where the Hamiltonian operator is

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \right) + V(x, y, z);$$

(f) $[\hat{x}\hat{y}\hat{z}, \hat{p}_x^2]$.

Proof of (a).

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x]f &= (\hat{x}\hat{p}_x - \hat{p}_x\hat{x})f \\
&= (\hat{x}\hat{p}_x)f - (\hat{p}_x\hat{x})f \\
&= (\hat{x})\left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) - (\hat{p}_x)(xf) \\
&= x\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\hbar}{i}\left(f + x\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) \\
&= -\frac{\hbar}{i}f
\end{aligned}$$

holds for any function f . By definition, $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x] = -\frac{\hbar}{i}$. \square

Proof of (b).

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x^2]f &= (\hat{x}\hat{p}_x^2 - \hat{p}_x^2\hat{x})f \\
&= (\hat{x}\hat{p}_x^2)f - (\hat{p}_x^2\hat{x})f \\
&= (\hat{x}\hat{p}_x)\left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) - (\hat{p}_x^2)(xf) \\
&= (\hat{x})\left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}\right) - (\hat{p}_x)\frac{\hbar}{i}\left(f + x\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right) \\
&= x\left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}\right) - \frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\hbar}{i}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + x\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}\right) \\
&= -\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\hbar}{i} \cdot 2\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \\
&= \left(2\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)f
\end{aligned}$$

holds for any function f . By definition, $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_x^2] = 2\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. \square

Proof of (c).

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_y]f &= (\hat{x}\hat{p}_y - \hat{p}_y\hat{x})f \\
&= (\hat{x}\hat{p}_y)f - (\hat{p}_y\hat{x})f \\
&= (\hat{x})\left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right) - (\hat{p}_y)(xf) \\
&= x\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{\hbar}{i} \cdot x\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

holds for any function f . By definition, $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}_y] = 0$. \square

Proof of (d).

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{x}, \hat{V}(x, y, z)]f &= (\hat{x}\hat{V}(x, y, z) - \hat{V}(x, y, z)\hat{x})f \\
&= (\hat{x}\hat{V}(x, y, z))f - (\hat{V}(x, y, z)\hat{x})f \\
&= \hat{x}(V(x, y, z)f) - \hat{V}(x, y, z)(xf) \\
&= xV(x, y, z)f - V(x, y, z)xf \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

holds for any function f . By definition, $[\hat{x}, \hat{V}(x, y, z)] = 0$. \square

Proof of (e).

(1) Given any function f ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(fx) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(x \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + f \right) \\
&= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \\
&= 2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}, \\
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}(fx) &= x \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}, \\
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}(fx) &= x \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\hat{H}\hat{x})f &= (\hat{H})(xf) \\
&= -\frac{\hbar}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(xf) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}(xf) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}(xf) \right) + V(x, y, z)xf \\
&= -\frac{\hbar}{2m} \left(2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + x \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} + x \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} \right) + V(x, y, z)xf \\
&= -\frac{\hbar}{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + (\hat{x}\hat{H})f
\end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{x}, \hat{H}]f &= (\hat{x}\hat{H} - \hat{H}\hat{x})f \\
&= (\hat{x}\hat{H})f - (\hat{H}\hat{x})f \\
&= \frac{\hbar}{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}
\end{aligned}$$

holds for any function f . By definition, $[\hat{x}, \hat{H}] = \frac{\hbar}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$.

□

Proof of (f). Similar to (b), $[\hat{x}\hat{y}\hat{z}, \hat{p}_x^2] = 2\hbar yz \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$. □

Problem 3.33.

Prove the multiple-integral identity

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x)G(y)H(z)dx dy dz = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x)dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(y)dy \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(z)dz.$$

Proof. Write $\int = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int \int F(x)G(y)H(z)dx dy dz \\ &= \int \int \left(\int F(x) \underbrace{G(y)H(z)}_{\text{constant w.r.t } x} dx \right) dy dz \\ &= \int \int G(y)H(z) \underbrace{\int F(x)dx}_{\text{constant w.r.t } y \text{ and } z} dy dz \\ &= \int F(x)dx \int \int G(y)H(z)dy dz \\ &= \int F(x)dx \int G(y)dy \int H(z)dz. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Similar arguments})$$

□