## Chapter 1: The Real And Complex Number Systems

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai Email: plover@gmail.com

## Integers

Exercise 1.1 Prove that there is no largest prime. (A proof was known to Euclid.)

There are many proofs of this result. We provide some of them.

*Proof (Due to Euclid).* If  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_t$  were all primes, then write

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t + 1$$

and there were a prime number p dividing n.

- (1) p can not be any of  $p_i(1 \le i \le t)$ , otherwise p would divide the difference  $n p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t = 1$ .
- (2) This prime p is another prime  $\neq p_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , which is absurd.

Proof (Unique factorization theorem). Given N.

(1) Show that  $\sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \leq \prod_{p \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}$ . By the unique factorization theorem on  $n \leq N$ ,

$$\sum_{n \le N} \frac{1}{n} \le \prod_{p \le N} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots \right) = \prod_{p \le N} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1}.$$

(2) By (1) and the fact that  $\sum \frac{1}{n}$  diverges, there are infinitely many primes.

Proof (Due to Eckford Cohen).

(1)  $\operatorname{ord}_p n! = \left[\frac{n}{p}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^2}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^3}\right] + \cdots$ . For any k = 1, 2, ..., n, we can express k as  $k = p^s t$  where  $s = \operatorname{ord}_p k$  is a non-negative integer and (t, p) = 1. There are  $\left[\frac{n}{p^a}\right]$  numbers such that  $p^a \mid k$  for a = 1, 2, .... Therefore, there are

$$\left[\frac{n}{p^a}\right] - \left[\frac{n}{p^{a+1}}\right]$$

numbers such that  $\operatorname{ord}_{n}k = a$  for  $a = 1, 2, \dots$  Hence,

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} n! = \left( \left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{n}{p^{2}} \right] \right) + 2 \left( \left[ \frac{n}{p^{2}} \right] - \left[ \frac{n}{p^{3}} \right] \right) + 3 \left( \left[ \frac{n}{p^{3}} \right] - \left[ \frac{n}{p^{4}} \right] \right) + \cdots$$
$$= \left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] + \left[ \frac{n}{p^{2}} \right] + \left[ \frac{n}{p^{3}} \right] + \cdots$$

(2)  $ord_p n! \leq \frac{n}{p-1}$  and that  $n!^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ .

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} n! = \left[\frac{n}{p}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^{2}}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^{3}}\right] + \cdots$$

$$\leq \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n}{p^{2}} + \frac{n}{p^{3}} + \cdots$$

$$= \frac{\frac{n}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= \frac{n}{p - 1}.$$

Thus,

$$n! = \prod_{p|n!} p^{\operatorname{ord}_p n!} \le \prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{n}{p-1}} = \left(\prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^n,$$

or

$$n!^{\frac{1}{n}} \le \prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

- (3)  $(n!)^2 \ge n^n$ . Write  $(n!)^2 = \prod_{k=1}^n k \prod_{k=1}^n (n+1-k) = \prod_{k=1}^n k(n+1-k)$ , and  $n^n = \prod_{k=1}^n n$ . It suffices to show that  $k(n+1-k) \ge n$  for each  $1 \le k \le n$ . Notice that  $k(n+1-k) n = (n-k)(k-1) \ge 0$  for  $1 \le k \le n$ . The inequality holds.
- (4) By (3)(4),  $\prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \geq \sqrt{n}$ . Assume that there are finitely many primes, the value  $\prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$  is a finite number whenever the value of n. However,  $\sqrt{n} \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , which leads to a contradiction. Hence there are infinitely many primes.

Proof (Formula for  $\phi(n)$ ). If  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_t$  were all primes, then let  $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t$  and all numbers between 2 and n are NOT relatively prime to n. Thus,  $\phi(n) = 1$  by the definition of  $\phi$ . By the formula for  $\phi$ ,

$$\phi(n) = n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \cdots \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_t} \right)$$

$$1 = (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \cdots \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_t} \right)$$

$$= (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_t - 1) > 1,$$

which is a contradiction (since 3 is a prime). Hence there are infinitely many primes.  $\Box$ 

Exercise 1.2 If n is a positive integer, prove the algebraic identity

$$a^{n} - b^{n} = (a - b) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{k} b^{n-1-k}.$$

Proof.

(1)

$$(a-b)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}a^kb^{n-1-k} = a\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}a^kb^{n-1-k} - b\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}a^kb^{n-1-k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}a^{k+1}b^{n-1-k} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}a^kb^{n-k}.$$

(2) Arrange summation index:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{k+1} b^{n-1-k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a^k b^{n-k} = a^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k},$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k} = b^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k}.$$

(3) By (1)(2),

$$(a-b)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-1-k} = \left(a^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k}\right) - \left(b^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k}\right)$$
$$= a^n - b^n.$$

**Supplement.** Some exercises without proof.

- (1) Let x be a nilpotent element of A. Show that 1+x is a unit of A. Deduce that the sum of a nilpotent element and a unit is a unit. (Exercise 1.1 in Atiyah and Macdonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra.)
- (2) Prove that  $1^k + 2^k + \cdots + (p-1)^k \equiv 0$  (p) if  $p-1 \nmid k$  and -1(p) if  $p-1 \mid k$ . (Exercise 4.11 in Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Second Edition)

- (3) Use the existence of a primitive root to give another proof of Wilson's theorem  $(p-1)! \equiv -1$  (p). (Exercise 4.12 in Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Second Edition)
- (4) Suppose n and F are integers and n, F > 0. Show that

$$B_n(Fx) = F^{n-1} \sum_{a=0}^{F-1} B_n \left( x + \frac{a}{F} \right).$$

where  $B_n(x)$  are Bernoulli polynomials. (Exercise 15.19 in Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Second Edition)

- (5) Exercise 1.3.
- (6) Exercise 1.4.

**Exercise 1.3** If  $2^n - 1$  is a prime, prove that n is prime. A prime of the form  $2^p - 1$ , where p is prime, is called a Mersenne prime.

It suffices to prove that: If  $a^n - 1$  is a prime, show that a = 2 and that n is a prime. Primes of the form  $2^p - 1$  are called Mersenne primes. For example,  $2^3 - 1 = 7$  and  $2^5 - 1 = 31$ . It is not known if there are infinitely many Mersenne primes.

Proof.

- (1) n is a prime. Assume n were not prime, say n = rs for some r, s > 1. By Exercise 1.2,  $a^{rs} 1 = (a^s 1)(\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} a^{sk})$ .  $a^s 1 = 1$  since  $a^s 1 < a^{rs} 1$  and  $a^{rs} 1$  is a prime. Hence s = 1 and (a = 2), which is absurd.
- (2) a = 2. If a is odd, then  $a^p 1 > 2$  is even, which is not a prime. If a > 2 is even,  $a^p 1 = (a 1)(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a^k)$ . Both a 1 > 1 and  $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a^k > 1$ , which is absurd.

By (1)(2), a=2 and that n is a prime if  $a^n-1$  is a prime.  $\square$ 

## Rational and irrational numbers

**Exercise 1.11** Given any real x > 0, prove that there is an irrational number between 0 and x.

*Proof.* There are only two possible cases: x is rational, or x is irrational.

- (1) x is rational. Pick  $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{89}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . y is irrational.
- (2) x is irrational. Pick  $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{64}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . y is irrational.

Proof (Exercise 4.12). Pick

$$y = \lim_{m \to \infty} [\lim_{n \to \infty} \cos^{2n}(m!\pi x)] \cdot \frac{x}{\sqrt{89}} + (1 - \lim_{m \to \infty} [\lim_{n \to \infty} \cos^{2n}(m!\pi x)]) \cdot \frac{x}{\sqrt{64}}.$$

- (1) x is rational.  $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{89}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is irrational.
- (2) x is irrational.  $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{64}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is irrational.