Chapter 1: Roots of Commutative Algebra

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai Email: plover@gmail.com

Noetherian Rings and Modules

Exercise 1.1. Prove that the following conditions on a module M over a commutative ring R are equivalent (the fourth is Hilbert's original formulation; the first and the third are the ones most often used). The case M=R is the case of ideals.

- (1) M is Noetherian (that is, every submodule of M is finitely generated).
- (2) Every ascending chain of submodules of M terminates ("ascending chain condition").
- (3) Every set of submodules of M contains elements maximal under inclusion.
- (4) Given any sequence of elements $f_1, f_2, \ldots \in M$, there is a number m such that for each n > m there is an expression $f_n = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i f_i$ with $a_i \in R$.

Idea. $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Proof of (1) \Rightarrow (2). Given any ascending chain of submodules $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \cdots$, let

$$N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i.$$

- (a) N is a submodule. By the ascending chain condition, each pair of elements in N are in a common N_m .
- (b) N is finitely generated by assumption. By the ascending chain condition again, all generators of N are in a common N_m . So $N=N_m$ for some m.
- (c) Since $N_m = N \supseteq N_n$ whenever $n \ge m$, $N_m = N_{m+1} = \cdots$.

Proof of (2) \Rightarrow (4). Let N_k be generated by f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k .

- (a) $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \cdots$ is an ascending chain of submodules of M.
- (b) By assumption there is a number m such that $N_m = N_{m+1} = \cdots$.

(c) Given any $n \geq m$, $f_n \in N_n = N_m$. So we can write $f_n = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i f_i$ with $a_i \in R$ since N_m is generated by f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m .

Proof of (4) \Rightarrow (3). It suffices to show that \neg (3) \Rightarrow \neg (4). There exists a nonempty collection Σ of submodules of M containing no maximal element under inclusion.

- (a) Start with any submodule N_1 in Σ , and recursively pick submodule N_2, N_3, \ldots such that $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq N_3 \subseteq \cdots$.
- (b) Pick $f_1 \in N_1$ and $f_i \in N_i N_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$ for $i \geq 2$. The sequence of elements $f_1, f_2, \ldots \in M$ is what we want.

Proof of (3) \Rightarrow (1). Show that N is finitely generated if N is any submodule of M. Let Σ be the set of all finitely generated submodules of N.

- (a) $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ since 0 is a finitely generated submodules of N.
- (b) By assumption, there exists a maximal element N_0 of Σ . N_0 is finitely generated.
- (c) (Reductio ad absurdum) If N_0 were not equal to N, there is $x \in N N_0$. Clearly the submodule $N_0 + xR$ of N is finitely generated and $N_0 + xR \supseteq N_0$, contrary to the maximality of N_0 .

Proof of $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$. It is the part (a) of the proof of $(4) \Rightarrow (3)$. \square

Proof of (3) \Rightarrow (2). Given any ascending chain of submodules $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \cdots$. The set

$$\Sigma = \{N_i\}_{i>1}$$

has a maximal element, say N_m . Hence $N_m = N_{m+1} = \cdots$ by the maximality of N_m . \square

Remark. In general, let Σ be a set partially ordered by a relation \leq . Then the following conditions on Σ are equivalent:

- (1) Every increasing sequence $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \in \Sigma$ is stationary.
- (2) Every non-empty subset of Σ has a maximal element.

Exercise 1.2 (Emmy Noether). Prove that if R is Noetherian, and $I \subsetneq R$ is an ideal, then among the primes of R containing I there are only finitely many that are minimal with respect to inclusion (these are usually called the **minimal primes of** I, or the **primes minimal over** I) as follows: Assuming that the proposition fails, the Noetherian hypothesis guarantees the existence of an ideal I maximal among ideals in R for which it fails. Show that I cannot be prime, so we can find elements f and g in R, not in I, such that $fg \in I$. Now show that every prime minimal over I is minimal over one of the larger ideals (I, f) and (I, g).

Note. With Hilbert's basis theorem and the Nullstellensatz (see Exercise 1.9), Exercise 1.2 gives one of the fundamental finiteness theorems of algebraic geometry: An algebraic set can have only finitely many irreducible components. Originally the result was proved by difficult inductive arguments and elimination theory. For a further discussion of the significance of this reslt see the beginning of Chapter 3, and particularly example 2 there. The result of this exercise is strengthened in Theorem 3.1.

Lemma. For any $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{ab}$, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$.

Proof of Lemma.

- (1) If $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$. We are done.
- (2) If $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{a}$, there exists $a \in \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{p}$. So for any $b \in \mathfrak{b}$, $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ since $ab \in \mathfrak{ab} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal, that is, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$.

By (1)(2), $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$. \square

Proof. (Reductio ad absurdum)

- (1) Assuming that the proposition fails, the Noetherian hypothesis of R guarantees the existence of an ideal I maximal among ideals in R for which it fails.
- (2) Show that I cannot be prime. (Reductio ad absurdum) If I were prime, then there were only one minimal prime I itself, which is absurd.
- (3) Therefore, there exist elements $f, g \in R$ such that $fg \in I$ but $f \notin I$ and $g \notin I$. $(I, f) \supseteq I$, $(I, g) \supseteq I$ and $(I, f)(I, g) \subseteq I$.
- (4) By Lemma, any prime containing I must contain either (I, f) or (I, g). In particular, any prime minimal over I is minimal over either (I, f) or (I, g). However, by the choice of I, both (I, f) and (I, g) have only finitely many minimal primes, which is absurd.

Exercise 1.3. Let M' be a submodule of M. Show that M is Noetherian iff both M' and M/M' are Noetherian.

Proof.

$(1) \iff$

- (a) Show that M' is Noetherian if M is Noetherian. This is an immediate consequence of the definition of a Noetherian module since a submodule of a submodule is a submodule.
- (b) Show that M/M' is Noetherian if M is Noetherian. Every submodule of M/M' has the form M''/M' where M'' is a submodule of M with $M' \subseteq M'' \subseteq M$. Since M is Noetherian, M'' is finitely generated, and the reduction of those generators mod M' will generate M''/M' as a finitely generated module.

$(2) \iff$

- (a) Given any submodule M'' of M. Then the image of M'' in M/M' is finitely generated and $M'' \cap M'$ is finitely generated too.
- (b) Say $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in M''$ generate the image of M'' in M/M' and say $y_1, \ldots, y_h \in M''$ generate $M'' \cap M'$.
- (c) Given any $x \in M''$, we have

$$x \equiv r_1 x_1 + \dots + r_k x_k \pmod{M'} \text{ for some } r_i \in R$$

$$\Longrightarrow x - \sum_{i=1}^k r_i x_k \equiv 0 \pmod{M'}$$

$$\Longrightarrow x - \sum_{i=1}^k r_i x_k \in M'$$

$$\Longrightarrow x - \sum_{i=1}^k r_i x_k \in M'' \cap M'$$

$$\Longrightarrow x - \sum_{i=1}^k r_i x_k = \sum_{j=1}^h s_j y_j \text{ for some } s_j \in R$$

$$\Longrightarrow x = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i x_k + \sum_{j=1}^h s_j y_j$$

$$\Longrightarrow x \text{ is generated by } x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_h$$

Hence M'' is finitely generated for any submodule M'' of M, that is, M is Noetherian.

Algebra and Geometry

Exercise 1.8 (A formal Nullstellensatz). Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{J} be partially ordered sets, and suppose that $I: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{J}$ and $Z: \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{X}$ are functions such that

- (i) I and Z reverse the order in the sense that $x \leq y \in \mathcal{X}$ implies $I(x) \geq I(y)$, and $i \leq j \in \mathcal{J}$ implies $Z(i) \geq Z(j)$.
- (ii) ZI and IZ are increasing functions, in the sense that $x \in \mathcal{X}$ implies $ZI(x) \geq x$, and $i \in \mathcal{J}$ implies $IZ(i) \geq i$.
- (a) Show that I and Z establish a one-to-one correspondence between the subsets $I(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ and $Z(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.
- (b) Let k be a field. Call an ideal $I \subseteq k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ formally radical if it is of the form I(X) for some set $X \subseteq k^n$. Use part (a) to prove that there is a one-to-one correspondence between formally radical ideals and algebraic subsets of k^n . (Hilbert's Nullstellensatz identifies the formally radical ideals with the ordinary radical ideals when k is algebraically closed.)

Proof of (a).

- (1) It suffices to show that IZ is the identity map on $I(\mathcal{X})$ and ZI is the identity map on $Z(\mathcal{J})$. By symmetry, it suffices to show the first statement.
- (2) Given any $y \in I(\mathcal{X})$, there exists $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that y = I(x). Take IZ on the both sides, we have $IZ(y) \geq y$ by (ii). Hence $IZI(x) \geq I(x)$.
- (3) Besides, $ZI(x) \geq x$ by (ii). Take I on the both sides, we have $I(x) \geq IZI(x)$ by (i). Since \mathcal{J} is a partially ordered set, I(x) = IZI(x) or y = IZ(y) for all $y \in I(\mathcal{X})$, or IZ is the identity map on $I(\mathcal{X})$.

Proof of (b).

(1) Let

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ \text{subsets } X \subseteq k^n \},$$

 $\mathcal{J} = \{ \text{ideals } \mathfrak{a} \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \}.$

Define the partially order of $\mathcal X$ or $\mathcal J$ by the set inclusion.

(2) Let $I: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{J}$ defined by

$$I(X) = \{ f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] : f(P) = 0 \ \forall \ P = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in X \}$$

and $Z: \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{X}$ defined by

$$Z(\mathfrak{a})=\{P\in k^n: f(P)=0 \ \forall \ f\in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

- (3) It is clear that
 - (a) $I(X) \supseteq I(Y)$ if $Y \supseteq X$.
 - (b) $Z(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq Z(\mathfrak{b})$ if $\mathfrak{b} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$.
 - (c) $ZI(X) \supseteq X$ and $IZ(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$.
- (4) By (a), there a one-to-one correspondence between the subsets $I(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ and $Z(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, or there a one-to-one correspondence between formally radical ideals and algebraic subsets of k^n .

Exercise 1.9. Let $S = k[x_1, ..., x_r]$, with k an algebraically closed field. Show that under the correspondence of radical ideals in S and algebraic subsets of \mathbb{A}^r , the primes ideals correspond to the algebraic sets that cannot be written as a proper union of smaller algebraic sets.

Proof. Let I(X) be a prime ideal where X is some subset of k^n .

- (1) (Reductio ad absurdum) If X were a proper union of smaller algebraic sets, write $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ where $X_1 \subsetneq X$ and $X_2 \subsetneq X$.
- (2) Therefore, $I(X_1) \supseteq I(X)$ and $I(X_2) \supseteq I(X)$ (Exercise 1.8). Now we can take $f \in I(X_1) I(X)$ and $g \in I(X_2) I(X)$.
- (3) By the definition of I,

$$f(P) = 0 \ \forall \ P \in X_1,$$
$$q(P) = 0 \ \forall \ P \in X_2,$$

or

$$f(P)g(P) = 0 \,\forall \, P \in X_1 \cup X_2 = X,$$

or $fg \in I(X)$.

(4) Since I(X) is prime, $f \in I(X)$ or $g \in I(X)$, which is absurd.

Exercise 1.12. Find equations for a parabola meeting a circle just once in the complex plane, represented by Figure 1.5 (see the textbook).

Proof.

$$\begin{cases} x^2 + (y-1)^2 - 1 = 0 \\ x^2 - 2y = 0 \end{cases}$$

meets at (0,0). \square

Exercise 1.13. Suppose that I is an ideal in a commutative ring. Show that if $\operatorname{rad}(I)$ is finitely generated, then for some integer N we have $(\operatorname{rad}(I))^N \subseteq I$. Conclude that in a Noetherian ring the ideals I and J have the same radical iff there is some integer N such that $I^N \subseteq J$ and $J^N \subseteq I$. Use the Nullstellensatz to deduce that if $I, J \subseteq S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ are ideals and k is algebraically closed, then Z(I) = Z(J) iff $I^N \subseteq J$ and $J^N \subseteq I$ for some N.

Proof.

- (1) Show that if $\operatorname{rad}(I)$ is finitely generated, then for some integer N we have $(\operatorname{rad}(I))^N \subseteq I$. Say $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in \operatorname{rad}(I)$ generate $\operatorname{rad}(I)$.
 - (a) For each i, there exists an integer $n_i > 0$ such that $x_i^{n_i} \in I$ (since rad(I) is radical).
 - (b) Let $N = n_1 + \cdots + n_m$. Given any $x = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i x_i \in rad(I)$, so

$$x^{N} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} x_{i}\right)^{N}$$

$$= \sum_{k_{1} + \dots + k_{m} = N} {N \choose k_{1}, \dots, k_{m}} r_{1}^{k_{1}} x_{1}^{k_{1}} \cdots r_{m}^{k_{m}} x_{m}^{k_{m}}.$$

(c) Note that for each term there is some j such that $k_j \geq n_j$. Hence,

$$\begin{split} x_j^{k_j} &= x_j^{k_j - n_j} x_j^{n_j} \in I & (I \text{ is an ideal}) \\ \Longrightarrow & r_1^{k_1} x_1^{k_1} \cdots r_m^{k_m} x_m^{k_m} \in I \text{ for each term} & (I \text{ is an ideal}) \\ \Longrightarrow & x^N \in I. & (I \text{ is an ideal}) \\ \Longrightarrow & (\operatorname{rad}(I))^N \subseteq I. \end{split}$$

- (2) Show that in a Noetherian ring the ideals I and J have the same radical iff there is some integer N such that $I^N \subseteq J$ and $J^N \subseteq I$.
 - (a) (\Longrightarrow) Since in a Noetherian ring every ideal is finitely generated, $\mathrm{rad}(I)$ and $\mathrm{rad}(J)$ are finitely generated. By (1), there is a common integer N such that

$$(\operatorname{rad}(I))^N \subseteq I$$
 and $(\operatorname{rad}(J))^N \subseteq J$.

Note that $I^N \subseteq (\operatorname{rad}(I))^N$ and $J^N \subseteq (\operatorname{rad}(J))^N$. Since $\operatorname{rad}(I) = \operatorname{rad}(J)$ by assumption,

$$I^N \subseteq (\operatorname{rad}(I))^N = (\operatorname{rad}(J))^N \subseteq J,$$

 $J^N \subseteq (\operatorname{rad}(J))^N = (\operatorname{rad}(I))^N \subseteq I.$

- (b) (\Leftarrow) It suffices to show that $\operatorname{rad}(I) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(J)$. $\operatorname{rad}(J) \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(I)$ is similar. Given any $x \in \operatorname{rad}(I)$, there is an integer M > 0 such that $x^M \in I$. Hence $x^{MN} \in I^N \subseteq J$, or $x \in \operatorname{rad}(J)$.
- (3) Show that if $I, J \subseteq S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ are ideals and k is algebraically closed, then Z(I) = Z(J) iff $I^N \subseteq J$ and $J^N \subseteq I$ for some N. Note that S is Noetherian and we can apply part (2). By the Nullstellensatz, Z(I) = Z(J) iff $\operatorname{rad}(I) = \operatorname{rad}(J)$ iff $I^N \subseteq J$ and $J^N \subseteq I$ for some N.