

Chapter 6: The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai

Email: plover@gmail.com

Supplement. Another definition of Riemann-Stieltjes integral. (*Exercise 7.3, 7.4 of the book T. M. Apostol, Mathematical Analysis, Second Edition.*) Let P be a partition of $[a, b]$. The norm of a partition P is the length of the largest subinterval $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ of P and is denoted by $\|P\|$.

We say $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ if there exists $A \in \mathbb{R}$ having the property that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any partition P of $[a, b]$ with norm $\|P\| < \delta$ and for any choice of $t_i \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, we have $|\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)\Delta\alpha_i - A| < \varepsilon$.

Claim. $f \in \mathcal{R}$ in the sense of Definition 6.2 implies that $f \in \mathcal{R}$ in the sense of this another definition.

Proof of Claim. Let $A = \int f dx$, $M > 0$ be one upper bound of $|f|$ on $[a, b]$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a partition $P_0 = \{a = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N = b\}$ such that $U(P_0, f) \leq A + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2MN} > 0$. Then for any partition P with norm $\|P\| < \delta$, write

$$U(P, f) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \Delta x_i = S_1 + S_2,$$

where S_1 is the sum of terms arising from those subintervals of P containing no point of P_0 , S_2 is the sum of the remaining terms. Then

$$S_1 \leq U(P_0, f) < A + \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

$$S_2 \leq NM\|P\| < NM\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore, $U(P, f) < A + \varepsilon$. Similarly, $L(P, f) > A - \varepsilon$ whenever $\|P\| < \delta'$. Hence, $|\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)\Delta x_i - A| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\|P\| < \min\{\delta, \delta'\}$. (Copy Apostol's hint and ensure $M > 0$. M in Apostol's hint might be zero if $f = 0$.) \square

This supplement will be used in computing $\int_0^\infty (\frac{\sin x}{x})^2 dx = \frac{\pi}{2}$ in Exercise 8.12.

Exercise 6.1. Suppose α increases on $[a, b]$, $a \leq x_0 \leq b$, α is continuous at x_0 , $f(x_0) = 1$, and $f(x) = 0$ if $x \neq x_0$. Prove that $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ and that $\int f d\alpha = 0$.

Given any partition $P = \{a = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n = b\}$, where $a = p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \dots \leq p_{n-1} \leq p_n = b$. We might compute $L(P, f, \alpha)$ and $U(P, f, \alpha)$ by using ε - δ

argument since we are hinted by the condition that α is continuous. A function which is continuous at x_0 has a nice property near x_0 and this property would help us estimate $U(P, f, \alpha)$ near x_0 . On the contrary, if both f and α are discontinuous at x_0 , it might be $f \notin \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$. Besides, if f has too many points of discontinuity ($f(x) = 0$ if $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $f(x) = 1$ otherwise, for example), then f might not be Riemann-integrable on $[0, 1]$.

Claim 1. $L(P, f, \alpha) = 0$.

Proof of Claim 1. $m_i = 0$ since $\inf f(x) = 0$ on any subinterval of $[a, b]$. So $L(P, f, \alpha) = \sum m_i \Delta \alpha_i = 0$. Here we don't need the condition that α is continuous at x_0 . \square

Claim 2. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a partition P such that $U(P, f, \alpha) < \varepsilon$.

Proof of Claim 2. Say $x_0 \in [p_{i_0-1}, p_{i_0}]$ for some i_0 . Then

$$M_i = \sup_{p_{i-1} \leq x \leq p_i} f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq i_0, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = i_0. \end{cases}$$

So

$$U(P, f, \alpha) = \sum M_i \Delta \alpha_i = \Delta \alpha_{i_0}.$$

It is not true for any arbitrary α . (For example, α has a jump on $x = x_0$.) In fact, Exercise 6.3 shows this. Luckily, α is continuous at x_0 . So for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\alpha(x) - \alpha(x_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ whenever $|x - x_0| < \delta$ (and $x \in [a, b]$). Now we pick a nice partition

$$P = \{a, x_0 - \delta_1, x_0 + \delta_2, b\},$$

where $\delta_1 = \min\{\delta, x_0 - a\} \geq 0$ and $\delta_2 = \min\{\delta, b - x_0\} \geq 0$. (It is a trick about resizing “ δ ” to avoid considering the edge cases $x_0 = a$ or $x_0 = b$ or $a = b$.) Then $x_0 \in [x_0 - \delta_1, x_0 + \delta_2]$ and $\Delta \alpha$ on $[x_0 - \delta_1, x_0 + \delta_2]$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x_0 + \delta_2) - \alpha(x_0 - \delta_1) &= (\alpha(x_0 + \delta_2) - \alpha(x_0)) + (\alpha(x_0) - \alpha(x_0 - \delta_1)) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $U(P, f, \alpha) < \varepsilon$. \square

Proof (Definition 6.2). By Claim 1 and 2 and notice that $U(P, f, \alpha) \geq 0$ for any

partition P ,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha &= \inf U(P, f, \alpha) = 0, \\ \int_a^{\underline{b}} f d\alpha &= \sup L(P, f, \alpha) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

the inf and sup again being taken over all partitions. Hence $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ and that $\int f d\alpha = 0$ by Definition 6.2. \square

Proof (Theorem 6.5). By Claim 1 and 2,

$$0 \leq U(P, f, \alpha) - L(P, f, \alpha) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ by Theorem 6.5. Furthermore,

$$\int f d\alpha = \int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha = \sup L(P, f, \alpha) = 0.$$

\square

Proof (Theorem 6.10). $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ by Theorem 6.10. Thus, by Claim 1

$$\int f d\alpha = \int_a^{\bar{b}} f d\alpha = \sup L(P, f, \alpha) = 0.$$

\square

Exercise 6.2. Suppose $f \geq 0$, f is continuous on $[a, b]$, and $\int_a^b f(x) dx = 0$. Prove that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. (Compare with Exercise 6.1.)

For one application, see Exercise 7.20.

Proof. (Reductio ad absurdum) If there were $p \in [a, b]$ such that $f(p) > 0$. Since f is continuous on $[a, b]$, given $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{64}f(p) > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(x) - f(p)| \leq \frac{1}{64}f(p) \text{ whenever } |x - p| \leq \delta, x \in [a, b].$$

Hence

$$f(x) \geq \frac{63}{64}f(p)$$

whenever $x \in E = [\max\{a, p - \delta\}, \min\{b, p + \delta\}] \subseteq [a, b]$. Note that the length of E is $|E| > 0$. So

$$0 = \int_a^b f(x) dx \geq \int_E f(x) dx \geq \int_E \frac{63}{64}f(p) dx = \frac{63}{64}f(p)|E| > 0,$$

which is absurd. \square

Exercise 6.3.
PLACEHOLDER

Exercise 6.4. *If*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for all irrational } x, \\ 1 & \text{for all rational } x, \end{cases}$$

prove that $f \notin \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ for any $a < b$.

Proof. Given any partition

$$P = \{a = p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n = b\}$$

of $[a, b]$ where $a = p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \dots \leq p_{n-1} \leq p_n = b$. Since $a < b$, we might assume that $a = p_0 < p_1 < \dots < p_{n-1} < p_n = b$ by removing duplicated points. Since \mathbb{Q} and $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ are dense in \mathbb{R} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_i &= \sup_{p_{i-1} \leq x \leq p_i} f(x) = 1, \\ m_i &= \inf_{p_{i-1} \leq x \leq p_i} f(x) = 0, \\ U(P, f) &= \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta x_i = b - a, \\ L(P, f) &= \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n 0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since P is arbitrary,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f dx &= \inf U(P, f) = b - a > 0, \\ \int_a^b f dx &= \sup L(P, f) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f \notin \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ for any $a < b$. \square

Note. Clearly, $f \in \mathcal{R}$ on $[a, b]$ if $a = b$.