Chapter 10: Integration of Differential Forms

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai Email: plover@gmail.com

Exercise 10.1. Let H be a compact convex set in \mathbb{R}^k , with nonempty interior. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(H)$, put $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ in the complement of H, and define $\int_H f$ as in Definition 10.3. Prove that $\int_H f$ is independent of the order in which the k integrations are carried out. (Hint: Approximate f by functions that are continuous on \mathbb{R}^k and whose supports are in H, as was done in Example 10.4.)

Proof.

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.2. For i = 1, 2, 3, ..., let $\varphi_i \in \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ have support in $(2^{-i}, 2^{1-i})$, such that $\int \varphi_i = 1$. Put

$$f(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \varphi_i(y)$$

Then f has compact support in \mathbb{R}^2 , f is continuous except at (0,0), and

$$\int dy \int f(x,y)dx = 0 \qquad but \qquad \int dx \int f(x,y)dy = 1.$$

Observe that f is unbounded in every neighborhood of (0,0).

Proof.

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.3.

(a) If **F** is as in Theorem 10.7, put $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0})$, $\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$. Then $\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$. Show that

$$\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{G}_n \circ \mathbf{G}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{x})$$

in some neighborhood of $\mathbf{0}$, for certain primitive mappings $\mathbf{G}_1, \dots, \mathbf{G}_n$. This gives another version of Theorem 10.7:

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{G}_n \circ \mathbf{G}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{x}).$$

(b) Prove that the mapping $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$ of \mathbb{R}^2 onto \mathbb{R}^2 is not the composition of any two primitive mappings, in any neighborhood of the origin. (This shows that the flips B_i cannot be omitted from the statement of Theorem 10.7.)

Proof of (a).

- (1) Suppose **F** is a \mathscr{C}' -mapping of an open set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ into \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathbf{0} \in E$, $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$, and $\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0})$ is invertible.
- (2) Similar to the proof of Theorem 10.7. Put $\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathbf{F}$.
- (3) As m = 1, there is an open neighborhood $V_1 \subseteq E$ of $\mathbf{0}$ such that $\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{0}) = (\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0}))^{-1}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{F}'_1(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$ is invertible, and

$$\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are real \mathscr{C}' -functions in V_1 . Hence

$$\mathbf{F}_1'(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (D_1\alpha_i)(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_i.$$

Note that $(D_1\alpha_1)(\mathbf{0}) = 1 \neq 0$, and we might pick $B_1 = \mathbf{I}$. Thus we can define

$$\mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + [\alpha_1(\mathbf{x}) - x_1]\mathbf{e}_1 \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in V_1).$$

Then $G_1 \in \mathscr{C}'(V_1)$, G_1 is primitive, and $G'_1(0) = I$ is invertible.

- (4) Now we make the induction hypothesis for $1 \le m \le n-1$.
- (5) Since $\mathbf{G}'_m(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$ is invertible, the inverse function theorem shows that there is an open set U_m , with $\mathbf{0} \in U_m \subseteq V_m$, such that \mathbf{G}_m is an injective mapping of U_m onto a neighborhood V_{m+1} of $\mathbf{0}$, in which $\mathbf{G}_m^{-1} \in \mathscr{C}'(V_{m+1})$. Define \mathbf{F}_{m+1} by

$$\mathbf{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{F}_m \circ \mathbf{G}_m^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \qquad (\mathbf{y} \in V_{m+1}).$$

Then $\mathbf{F}_{m+1} \in \mathscr{C}'(V_{m+1})$, $\mathbf{F}_m(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$, and $\mathbf{F}'_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$ is invertible by the chain rule and the inverse function theorem. So

$$\mathbf{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}) = P_m \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \alpha_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are real \mathscr{C}' -functions in V_{m+1} . Hence

$$\mathbf{F}'_{m+1}(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_{m+1} = \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} (D_{m+1}\alpha_i)(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_i.$$

Note that $(D_{m+1}\alpha_{m+1})(\mathbf{0}) = 1 \neq 0$, and we might pick $B_{m+1} = \mathbf{I}$. Thus we can define

$$G_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + [\alpha_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}) - x_{m+1}]\mathbf{e}_{m+1} \quad (\mathbf{x} \in V_{m+1}).$$

Then $\mathbf{G}_{m+1} \in \mathscr{C}'(V_{m+1})$, \mathbf{G}_{m+1} is primitive, and $\mathbf{G}'_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$ is invertible. Our induction hypothesis holds therefore with m+1 in place of m.

(6) Note that

$$\mathbf{F}_m(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{x})) \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in U_m).$$

If we apply this with m = 1, ..., n - 1, we successively obtain

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathbf{F}_n \circ \mathbf{G}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{G}_1$$

in some open neighborhood of **0**. Note that \mathbf{F}_n is primitive since

$$\mathbf{F}_n(\mathbf{x}) = P_{n-1}\mathbf{x} + \alpha_n(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{e}_n.$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of (b).

(1) For $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, define

$$\mathbf{F}(x,y) = (y,x).$$

(2) (Reductio ad absurdum) If $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1$ for some primitive mappings \mathbf{G}_i (i = 1, 2) in some neighborhood V_i of the origin, $\mathbf{G}_i(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ and \mathbf{G}'_i is invertible, then we may assume that

$$G_1(x,y) = (x, g_1(x,y))$$
 and $G_2(x,y) = (g_2(x,y), y)$.

Here the case $\mathbf{G}_1(x,y)=(g_1(x,y),y)$ and $\mathbf{G}_2(x,y)=(x,g_2(x,y))$ is similar to the above case. Besides, $\mathbf{G}_1(x,y)=(x,g_1(x,y))$ and $\mathbf{G}_2(x,y)=(x,g_2(x,y))$ implies that

$$\mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1(x, y) = (x, q_2(x, q_1(x, y))) \neq (y, x) = \mathbf{F}(x, y).$$

Same reason for $G_1(x, y) = (g_1(x, y), y)$ and $G_2(x, y) = (g_2(x, y), y)$.

(3) Note that

$$\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since

$$\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{G}_2'(\mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{0}))\mathbf{G}_1'(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{G}_2'(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{G}_1'(\mathbf{0}),$$

we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 g_2(0,0) & D_2 g_2(0,0) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ D_1 g_1(0,0) & D_2 g_1(0,0) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ D_1 g_1(0,0) & D_2 g_1(0,0) \end{bmatrix} .$$

So $D_1g_1(0,0) = 1$ and $D_2g_1(0,0) = 0$, and thus $\mathbf{G}'_1(\mathbf{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is not invertible, which is absurd.

Exercise 10.4. For $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, define

$$\mathbf{F}(x,y) = (e^x \cos y - 1, e^x \sin y)$$

Prove that $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1$, where

$$\mathbf{G}_1(x,y) = (e^x \cos y - 1, y)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_2(u,v) = (u, (1+u) \tan v)$$

are primitive in some neighborhood of (0,0). Compute the Jacobians of \mathbf{G}_1 , \mathbf{G}_2 , \mathbf{F} at (0,0). Define

$$\mathbf{H}_2(x,y) = (x, e^x \sin y)$$

and find

$$\mathbf{H}_{1}(u,v) = (h(u,v),v)$$

so that $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{H}_1 \circ \mathbf{H}_2$ is in some neighborhood of (0,0).

Proof.

(1) By Definition 10.5,

$$\mathbf{G}_1(x,y) = (e^x \cos y - 1)\mathbf{e}_1 + y\mathbf{e}_2,$$

$$\mathbf{G}_2(u,v) = u\mathbf{e}_1 + ((1+u)\tan v)\mathbf{e}_2$$

are primitive in some neighborhood of (0,0).

(2) Show that $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1$. Given any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$(\mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1)(x, y) = \mathbf{G}_2(\mathbf{G}_1(x, y))$$

$$= \mathbf{G}_2(e^x \cos y - 1, y)$$

$$= (e^x \cos y - 1, (1 + (e^x \cos y - 1)) \tan y)$$

$$= (e^x \cos y - 1, e^x \sin y)$$

$$= \mathbf{F}(x, y).$$

(3) Since

$$J_{\mathbf{G}_1}(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} e^x \cos y & -e^x \sin y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_{\mathbf{G}_2}(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \tan y & (1+x)\sec^2 y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_{\mathbf{F}}(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} e^x \cos y & -e^x \sin y \\ e^x \sin y & e^x \cos y \end{bmatrix},$$

$$J_{\mathbf{G}_1}(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_{\mathbf{G}_2}(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J_{\mathbf{F}}(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(4) Define $h(u, v) = \sqrt{e^{2u} - v^2} - 1$ on

$$B\left((0,0); \frac{1}{64}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2.$$

h(u,v) is well-defined since $e^{2u}-v^2>0$ for all $(u,v)\in B\left((0,0);\frac{1}{64}\right)$.

(5) Given any $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$(\mathbf{H}_1 \circ \mathbf{H}_2)(x, y) = \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbf{H}_2(x, y))$$

$$= \mathbf{H}_1(x, e^x \sin y)$$

$$= (\sqrt{e^{2x} - (e^x \sin y)^2} - 1, e^x \sin y)$$

$$= (e^x \cos y - 1, e^x \sin y)$$

$$= \mathbf{F}(x, y).$$

Exercise 10.5. Formulate and prove an analogue of Theorem 10.8, in which K is a compact subset of an arbitrary metric space. (Replace the functions φ_i that occur in the proof of Theorem 10.8 by functions of the type constructed in Exercise 4.22.)

Proof (Theorem 10.8).

- (1) (Partitions of unity.) Suppose K is a compact subset of a metric space X, and $\{V_{\alpha}\}$ is an open cover of K. Then there exist functions $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_s \in \mathscr{C}(X)$ such that
 - (a) $0 \le \psi_i \le 1$ for $1 \le i \le s$.
 - (b) each ψ_i has its support in some V_{α} , and
 - (c) $\psi_1(x) + \cdots + \psi_s(x) = 1$ for every $x \in K$.
- (2) It is trivial that some $V_{\alpha} = X$ by taking s = 1 and $\psi_1(x) = 1 \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Now we assume that all $V_{\alpha} \subseteq X$.
- (3) Associate with each $x \in K$ an index $\alpha(x)$ so that $x \in V_{\alpha(x)}$. Then there are open balls B(x) and W(x), centered at x, with

$$x \in B(x) \subseteq \overline{B(x)} \subseteq W(x) \subseteq \overline{W(x)} \subseteq V_{\alpha(x)}$$

(Since $V_{\alpha(x)}$ is open, there exists r > 0 such that $B(x;r) \subseteq V_{\alpha(x)}$. Take $B(x) = B\left(x; \frac{r}{89}\right)$ and $W(x) = B\left(x; \frac{r}{64}\right)$.)

(4) Since K is compact, there are finitely many points $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in K$ such that

$$K \subseteq B(x_1) \cup \cdots \cup B(x_s)$$
.

Note that

- (a) $\overline{B(x_i)}$ is a nonempty closed set since $x_i \in B(x_i) \subseteq \overline{B(x_i)}$.
- (b) $X W(x_i) \supseteq X V_{\alpha(x_i)}$ is a nonempty closed set by the assumption in (2).
- (c) $\overline{B(x_i)} \cap (X W(x_i)) \subset W(x_i) \cap (X W(x_i)) = \emptyset$.

By Exercise 4.22, there is a function

$$\varphi_i(x) = \frac{\rho_{\overline{B(x_i)}}(x)}{\rho_{\overline{B(x_i)}}(x) + \rho_{X - W(x_i)}(x)} \in \mathscr{C}(X)$$

such that $\varphi_i(x) = 1$ on $\overline{B(x_i)}$, $\varphi_i(x) = 0$ outside $W(x_i)$, and $0 \le \varphi_i(x) \le 1$ on X for $1 \le i \le s$.

(5) Define $\psi_1 = \varphi_1 \in \mathscr{C}(X)$ and

$$\psi_{i+1} = (1 - \varphi_1) \cdots (1 - \varphi_i) \varphi_{i+1} \in \mathscr{C}(X)$$

for $1 \le i \le s - 1$. Properties (a) and (b) in (1) are clear. Also,

$$\psi_1(x) + \dots + \psi_s(x) = 1 - (1 - \varphi_1(x)) \dots (1 - \varphi_s(x))$$

by the construction of ψ_i . If $x \in K$, then $x \in B(x_i)$ for some i, hence $\varphi_i(x) = 1$, and the product $(1 - \varphi_1(x)) \cdots (1 - \varphi_s(x)) = 0$. This proves property (c) in (1).

Exercise 10.6. Strengthen the conclusion of Theorem 10.8 by showing that the functions ψ_i can be made differentiable, and even infinitely differentiable. (Use Exercise 8.1 in the construction of the auxiliary functions ψ_i .)

Proof (Theorem 10.8).

- (1) It is trivial that some $V_{\alpha} = \mathbb{R}^n$ by taking s = 1 and $\psi_1(\mathbf{x}) = 1 \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now we assume that all $V_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (2) Associate with each $\mathbf{x} \in K$ an index $\alpha(x)$ so that $\mathbf{x} \in V_{\alpha(x)}$. Then there are open *n*-cells $B(\mathbf{x})$ and $W(\mathbf{x})$ (Definition 10.1), centered at \mathbf{x} , with

$$\mathbf{x} \in B(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \overline{B(\mathbf{x})} \subseteq W(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \overline{W(\mathbf{x})} \subseteq V_{\alpha(\mathbf{x})}$$

(Since $V_{\alpha(\mathbf{x})}$ is open, there exists r > 0 such that $B(\mathbf{x}; r) \subseteq V_{\alpha(\mathbf{x})}$. Take

$$B(\mathbf{x}) = I\left(\mathbf{x}; \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}\right), \qquad W(\mathbf{x}) = I\left(\mathbf{x}; \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

where $I(\mathbf{p};r)$ is the open n-cell centered at $\mathbf{p}=(p_1,\ldots,p_n)$ defined by

$$I(\mathbf{p};r) = (p_1 - r, p_1 + r) \times \cdots \times (p_n - r, p_n + r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$
.)

(3) Define

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{y^2}} & (y > 0), \\ 0 & (y \le 0). \end{cases}$$

 $f(y) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ by applying the similar argument in Exercise 8.1.

(4) Given any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K$ and construct $B(\mathbf{x})$ and $W(\mathbf{x})$ as in (2). Define

$$g_{x_j}(y_j) = \frac{f(y_j)}{f(y_j) + f\left(\frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}} - y_j\right)}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$. g_{x_j} is well-defined and $g_{x_j} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^1)$. So

$$g_{x_j}(y_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_j \le 0, \\ \text{strictly increasing} & \text{if } 0 \le y_j \le \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } y_j \ge \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}. \end{cases}$$

Next, define

$$h_{x_j}(y_j) = g_{x_j} \left(y_j - x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} \right) g_{x_j} \left(x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - y_j \right)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$. $h_{x_j} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^1)$. So

$$h_{x_j}(y_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_j \leq x_j - \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}, \\ \text{strictly increasing} & \text{if } x_j - \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} \leq y_j \leq x_j - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x_j - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}} \leq y_j \leq x_j + \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}, \\ \text{strictly decreasing} & \text{if } x_j + \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}} \leq y_j \leq x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } y_j \geq x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}. \end{cases}$$

Finally we define $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^1$ by

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} h_{x_j}(y_j)$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, \underline{y_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, $\mathbf{h_x} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (Theorem 9.21). Also, $\mathbf{h_x}(\mathbf{y}) = 1$ on $\overline{B(\mathbf{x})}$, $\mathbf{h_x}(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ outside $W(\mathbf{x})$, and $0 \leq \mathbf{h_x}(\mathbf{y}) \leq 1$.

(5) Since K is compact, there are finitely many points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s \in K$ such that

$$K \subseteq B(\mathbf{x}_1) \cup \cdots \cup B(\mathbf{x}_s).$$

Take

$$\varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}_i}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

(6) The rest are the same as the proof of Theorem 10.8 or Exercise 10.5.

Exercise 10.7.

- (a) Show that the simplex Q^k is the smallest convex subset of \mathbb{R}^k such that contains $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_k$.
- (b) Show that affine mappings take convex sets to convex sets.

Proof of (a).

(1) Show that Q^k contains $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$. Recall

$$Q^k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : x_1 + \dots + x_k \le 1 \text{ and } x_1, \dots, x_k \ge 0\}$$

(Example 10.14). Hence $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in Q^k$ and

$$\mathbf{e}_i = (0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{i ext{th coordinate}}, \dots, 0) \in Q^k.$$

(2) Show that Q^k is a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^k . Given any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in Q^k$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in Q^k$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Hence

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{y} = (\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1, \dots, \lambda x_k + (1 - \lambda)y_k) \in Q^k$$

since each $\lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda)y_i \ge 0$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda x_i + (1-\lambda)y_i) = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i + (1-\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i \le \lambda + (1-\lambda) = 1.$$

- (3) Given any convex set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ containing $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$. Show that $E \supseteq Q^k$.
 - (a) Induction on k. Base case: k = 1. Given any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1) \in Q^1$. We have $0 \le x_1 \le 1$ by the definition of Q^1 . So that $\mathbf{x} = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + (1 x_1)\mathbf{0} \in E$ since $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1 \in E$ and E is convex.
 - (b) Inductive step: suppose the statement holds for k=n. Given any $\mathbf{x}=(x_1,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1})\in Q^{n+1}$. If $x_{n+1}=1$, then $x_1=\cdots=x_n=0$ by the definition of Q^{n+1} . So $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{n+1}\in E$ by the assumption of E. If $0\leq x_{n+1}<1$, then $x_1+\cdots+x_n\leq 1-x_{n+1}$ or

$$\frac{x_1}{1 - x_{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{x_n}{1 - x_{n+1}} \le 1.$$

So the point

$$\left(\frac{x_1}{1-x_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1-x_{n+1}}\right) \in Q^n,$$

or

$$\left(\frac{x_1}{1-x_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1-x_{n+1}}, 0\right), \text{ say } \widehat{\mathbf{x}}, \in E$$

by the induction hypothesis. Note that $\mathbf{e}_{n+1} \in E$. Hence

$$\mathbf{x} = x_{n+1} \mathbf{e}_{n+1} + (1 - x_{n+1}) \hat{\mathbf{x}} \in E$$

by the convexity of E.

(c) Conclusion: Since both the base case and the inductive step have been proved as true, by mathematical induction the statement holds.

Proof of (b).

(1) Let ${\bf f}$ be an affine mapping that carries a vector space X into a vector space Y such that

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A\mathbf{x}$$

for some $A \in L(X, Y)$.

(2) Given any convex subset C of X. To show that $\mathbf{f}(C)$ is convex, it suffices to show that

$$\lambda \mathbf{y}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathbf{f}(C)$$

for any $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathbf{f}(C)$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Write $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_1)$, $\mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_2)$ for some $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in C$. Note that $\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}_2 \in C$ by the convexity of C. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{f}(\lambda\mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= &\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A(\lambda\mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= &\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + \lambda A\mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda)A\mathbf{x}_2 \\ &= &\lambda (\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A\mathbf{x}_1) + (1 - \lambda)(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A\mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= &\lambda \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_1) + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= &\lambda \mathbf{y}_1 + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathbf{f}(C). \end{aligned} \tag{$A \in L(X, Y)$}$$

Exercise 10.8. Let H be the parallelogram in \mathbb{R}^2 whose vertices are (1,1), (3,2), (4,5), (2,4). Find the affine map T which sends (0,0) to (1,1), (1,0) to (3,2), (1,1) to (4,5), (0,1) to (2,4). Show that $J_T=5$. Use T to convert the integral

$$\alpha = \int_{H} e^{x-y} dx dy$$

to an integral over I^2 and thus compute α .

Proof.

(1) By Affine simplexes 10.26,

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{0}) + A\mathbf{x},$$

where $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$, say $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$. Note that $T : \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus

$$T: \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + ax + by \\ 1 + cx + dy \end{bmatrix}.$$

(2) By $T:(1,0)\mapsto (3,2)$ and $T:(0,1)\mapsto (2,4)$, we can solve A as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify such

$$T: \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{x}} \mapsto \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{T(\mathbf{0})} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}}_{A} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2x + y \\ 1 + x + 3y \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfying our requirement.

(3)
$$J_T = \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = 5.$$

(4)
$$\int_{H} e^{x-y} dx dy = \int_{[0,1]^{2}} e^{(1+2u+v)-(1+u+3v)} |J_{T}| du dv$$

$$= 5 \int_{[0,1]^{2}} e^{u-2v} du dv$$

$$= 5 \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} e^{u} du \right\} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} e^{-2v} dv \right\}$$
 (Theorem 10.2)
$$= \frac{5}{2} (e-1)(1-e^{-2}).$$

Exercise 10.9. ...

Proof.

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.10. ...

Proof.

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.11. ...

Proof.

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.12. ...

Proof.

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.13. ...

Proof.

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.14 (Levi-Civita symbol). Prove $\varepsilon(j_1,\ldots,j_k)=s(j_1,\ldots,j_k),$ where

$$s(j_1, \dots, j_k) = \prod_{p < q} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p).$$

It is usually to define the Levi-Civita symbol by

$$\varepsilon(j_1,\ldots,j_k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (j_1,\cdots,j_k) \text{ is an even permutation of } J, \\ -1 & \text{if } (j_1,\cdots,j_k) \text{ is an odd permutation of } J, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(Basic k-forms 10.14). Thus, it is the sign of the permutation in the case of a permutation, and zero otherwise. So $\varepsilon(j_1,\ldots,j_k)$ is equivalent to an explicit expression $s(j_1,\ldots,j_k)=\prod_{p< q}\operatorname{sgn}(j_q-j_p)$.

Proof.

(1) Induction on k. Base case: Show that $\varepsilon(j_1, j_2) = s(j_1, j_2)$. Since

$$\varepsilon(j_1, j_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_1 < j_2 \\ -1 & \text{if } j_1 > j_2, \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon(j_1, j_2) = \operatorname{sgn}(j_2 - j_1) = s(j_1, j_2).$$

(2) Inductive step: Show that for any $s \geq 2$, if $\varepsilon(j_1, \ldots, j_s) = s(j_1, \ldots, j_s)$ holds, then $\varepsilon(j_1, \ldots, j_{s+1}) = s(j_1, \ldots, j_{s+1})$ also holds.

$$\varepsilon(j_1, \dots, j_{s+1}) = \varepsilon(j_1, \dots, j_s) \prod_{\substack{1 \le p \le s \\ q = s+1}} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p)$$

$$= s(j_1, \dots, j_s) \prod_{\substack{1 \le p \le s \\ q = s+1}} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p)$$

$$= \prod_{1 \le p < q \le s} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p) \prod_{\substack{1 \le p \le s \\ q = s+1}} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p)$$

$$= \prod_{1 \le p < q \le s+1} \operatorname{sgn}(j_q - j_p)$$

$$= s(j_1, \dots, j_{s+1}).$$

(3) Conclusion: Since both the base case and the inductive step have been proved as true, by mathematical induction the statement holds for every integer $k \geq 2$.

Exercise 10.15. If ω and λ are k- and m-forms, respectively, prove that

$$\omega \wedge \lambda = (-1)^{km} \lambda \wedge \omega.$$

Proof.

(1) Write

$$\omega = \sum_{I} b_{I}(\mathbf{x}) dx_{I}, \qquad \lambda = \sum_{I} c_{J}(\mathbf{x}) dx_{J}$$

in the stardard presentations, where I and J range over all increasing k-indices and over all increasing m-indices taken from the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

(2) Show that $dx_I \wedge dx_J = (-1)^{km} dx_J \wedge dx_I$.

$$dx_{I} \wedge dx_{J} = dx_{i_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{k}} \wedge dx_{J}$$

$$= (-1)^{m} dx_{i_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{k-1}} \wedge dx_{J} \wedge dx_{i_{k}}$$

$$= (-1)^{2m} dx_{i_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{k-2}} \wedge dx_{J} \wedge dx_{i_{k-1}} \wedge dx_{i_{k}}$$

$$\dots$$

$$= (-1)^{km} dx_{J} \wedge dx_{i_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{k}}$$

$$= (-1)^{km} dx_{J} \wedge dx_{J}.$$

(3)

$$\omega \wedge \lambda = \sum_{I,J} b_I(\mathbf{x}) c_J(\mathbf{x}) dx_I \wedge dx_J$$
$$= (-1)^{km} \sum_{J,I} c_J(\mathbf{x}) b_I(\mathbf{x}) dx_J \wedge dx_I$$
$$= (-1)^{km} \lambda \wedge \omega.$$

Exercise 10.16. If $k \geq 2$ and $\sigma = [\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k]$ is an oriented affine k-simplex, prove that $\partial^2 \sigma = 0$, directly from the definition of the boundary operator ∂ . Deduce from this that $\partial^2 \Psi = 0$ for every chain Ψ . (Hint: For orientation, do it first for k = 2, k = 3. In general, if i < j, let σ_{ij} be the (k-2)-simplex obtained by deleting \mathbf{p}_i and \mathbf{p}_j from σ . Show that each σ_{ij} occurs twice in $\partial^2 \sigma$, with opposite sign.)

Proof (Brute-force).

(1) Write the boundary of the oriented affine k-simplex $\sigma = [\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k]$ as

$$\partial \sigma = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^{i} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}]$$

where where the oriented (k-1)-simplex $[\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}_i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k]$ is obtained by deleting σ 's *i*-th vertex (Boundaries 10.29).

(2)

$$\partial^{2} \sigma = \partial \left(\sum_{i} (-1)^{i} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}] \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \partial [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}]$$

$$= \sum_{j < i} (-1)^{i} (-1)^{j} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{j}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}]$$

$$+ \sum_{j > i} (-1)^{i} (-1)^{j-1} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{j}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}]$$

$$= \sum_{j < i} (-1)^{i+j} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{j}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}]$$

$$- \sum_{j > i} (-1)^{i+j} [\mathbf{p}_{0}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{j}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{k}].$$

The latter two summations cancel since after switching i and j in the second sum. Therefore $\partial^2 \sigma = 0$.

(3) The boundary of a chain is the linear combination of boundaries of the simplices in the chain. Write $\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i$, where σ_i is an oriented affine simplex. Then

$$\partial^2 \Psi = \partial \left(\partial \sum \sigma_i \right) = \partial \left(\sum \partial \sigma_i \right) = \sum \partial^2 \sigma_i = \sum 0 = 0$$

for any affine chain Ψ .

Exercise 10.17. Put $J^2 = \tau_1 + \tau_2$, where

$$\tau_1 = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2], \qquad \tau_2 = -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1].$$

Explain why it is reasonable to call J^2 the positively oriented unit square in \mathbb{R}^2 . Show that ∂J^2 is the sum of 4 oriented affine 1-simplexes. Find these. What is $\partial(\tau_1 - \tau_2)$?

Proof.

(1) Note that the unit square $I^2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the union of $\tau_1(Q^2)$ and $\tau_2(Q_2)$, where

$$\tau_1(\mathbf{u}) = ([\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2])(\mathbf{u})$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2)$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(\mathbf{u}) &= (-[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1])(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= ([\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2])(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_1(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) + \alpha_2\mathbf{e}_2 \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_1\mathbf{e}_1 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\mathbf{e}_2 \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (as in Equation (78)). Both τ_1 and τ_2 have Jacobian 1 > 0, or positively oriented (Affine simplexes 10.26). So it is reasonable to call J^2 the positively oriented unit square in \mathbb{R}^2 .

(2)

$$\partial \tau_1 = [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] - [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1],
\partial \tau_2 = [\mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2] - [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1]
= [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}] + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2].$$

(3) By (2),

$$\partial J^2 = \partial \tau_1 + \partial \tau_2 = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1] + [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}],$$

which is the positively oriented boundary of I^2 .

(4) By (2),

$$\begin{split} \partial(\tau_1 - \tau_2) = & \partial \tau_1 - \partial \tau_2 \\ = & [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1] + [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}] \\ & + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}]. \end{split}$$

Exercise 10.18. Consider the oriented affine 3-simplex

$$\sigma_1 = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

in \mathbb{R}^3 . Show that σ_1 (regarded as a linear transformation) has determinant 1. Thus σ_1 is positively oriented.

Let $\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_6$ be five other oriented 3-simplexes, obtained as follows: There are five permutations (i_1, i_2, i_3) of (1, 2, 3), distinct from (1, 2, 3). Associate with each (i_1, i_2, i_3) the simplex

$$s(i_1, i_2, i_3)[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}]$$

where s is the sign that occurs in the definition of the determinant. (This is how τ_2 was obtained from τ_1 in Exercise 10.17.) Show that $\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_6$ are positively oriented.

Put $J^3 = \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_6$. Then J^3 may be called the positively oriented unit cube in \mathbb{R}^3 . Show that ∂J^3 is the sum of 12 oriented affine 2-simplexes. (These 12 triangles cover the surface of the unit cube I^3 .)

Show that $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is in the range of σ_1 if and only if $0 \le x_3 \le x_2 \le x_1 \le 1$.

Show that the range of $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$ have disjoint interiors, and that their union covers I^3 . (Compared with Exercise 10.13; note that 3! = 6.)

Proof.

(1) Show that σ_1 (regarded as a linear transformation) has determinant 1.

Given any $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\sigma_{1}(\mathbf{u}) = ([\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{1} + \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{1} + \mathbf{e}_{2} + \mathbf{e}_{3}])(\mathbf{u})$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_{1}\mathbf{e}_{1} + \alpha_{2}(\mathbf{e}_{1} + \mathbf{e}_{2}) + \alpha_{3}(\mathbf{e}_{1} + \mathbf{e}_{2} + \mathbf{e}_{3})$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + (\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3})\mathbf{e}_{1} + (\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3})\mathbf{e}_{2} + \alpha_{3}\mathbf{e}_{3}$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{say } A} \mathbf{u}.$$

So

$$\det(A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$

(2) Show that $\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_6$ are positively oriented. Define the permutation matrix $P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)}$ corresponding to a permutation (i_1,i_2,i_3) of (1,2,3) by

$$P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{i_1} & \mathbf{e}_{i_2} & \mathbf{e}_{i_3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For example,

$$P_{(2,3,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_2 & \mathbf{e}_3 & \mathbf{e}_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the sign $s(i_1, i_2, i_3)$ of the permutation (i_1, i_2, i_3) is exactly the same as the determinant of the permutation matrix $P_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}$. Define a permutation $(j_1, j_2, 3)$ of (1, 2, 3) (for swapping the first and the second coordinates of \mathbf{u}) by

$$(j_1, j_2, 3) = \begin{cases} (1, 2, 3) & \text{if } s(i_1, i_2, i_3) = 1, \\ (2, 1, 3) & \text{if } s(i_1, i_2, i_3) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Write

$$\sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} = s(i_1,i_2,i_3)[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1}+\mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_{i_1}+\mathbf{e}_{i_2}+\mathbf{e}_{i_3}].$$

(So that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{(1,2,3)}$.) Hence,

$$\begin{split} &\sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)}(\mathbf{u}) \\ = &\mathbf{0} + \alpha_{j_1} \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \alpha_{j_2} (\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}) + \alpha_3 (\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}) \\ = &\mathbf{0} + (\alpha_{j_1} + \alpha_{j_2} + \alpha_3) \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + (\alpha_{j_2} + \alpha_3) \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_{i_3} \\ = &\mathbf{0} + P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} A P_{(j_1,j_2,3)} \mathbf{u} \end{split}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. For example,

$$P_{(2,3,1)}AP_{(1,2,3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$\det(P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)}AP_{(j_1,j_2,3)}) = \det(P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)})\det(A)\det(P_{(j_1,j_2,3)})$$

$$= s(i_1,i_2,i_3) \cdot 1 \cdot s(i_1,i_2,i_3)$$

$$= 1.$$

(3) Show that ∂J^3 is the sum of 12 oriented affine 2-simplexes. Note that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_1>i_2}} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} + \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_1< i_2}} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_1>i_2}} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1}+\mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2+\mathbf{e}_3] \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_2>i_1}} -s(i_2,i_1,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_2}+\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_1+\mathbf{e}_2+\mathbf{e}_3] \\ &= \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_2>i_3}} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} + \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_2< i_3}} \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_2>i_3}} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{(i_1,i_2,i_3)\\i_3>i_2}} -s(i_1,i_3,i_2) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ &= \mathbf{0}. \end{split}$$

So

$$\begin{split} \partial J^3 &= \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \partial \sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} \\ &= \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}] \\ &- s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}] \\ &+ s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}] \\ &- s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}] \\ &= \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ &- \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ &+ \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ &- \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}]. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \partial J^3 = & \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2},\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ & - \sum_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} s(i_1,i_2,i_3) [\mathbf{0},\mathbf{e}_{i_1},\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}] \end{split}$$

is the sum of 12 oriented affine 2-simplexes. (Note that 3! = 6.)

- (4) Show that $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is in the range of σ_1 if and only if $0 \le x_3 \le x_2 \le x_1 \le 1$.
 - (a) By (1), \mathbf{x} is in the range of σ_1 if and only if $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{u}$ for $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in Q^3$, or

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \\ u_2 + u_3 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (b) Since $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in Q^3$, $u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \le 1$ and $u_1, u_2, u_3 \ge 0$. Hence $0 \le u_3 \le u_2 + u_3 \le u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \le 1$ or $0 \le x_3 \le x_2 \le x_1 \le 1$.
- (c) Conversely, if $0 \le x_3 \le x_2 \le x_1 \le 1$, we define

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - x_2 \\ x_2 - x_3 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{v} \in Q^3$.

(5) Show that the range of $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$ have disjoint interiors, and that their union covers I^3 . Similar to (4). By (2), $\mathbf{x} = P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)}AP_{(j_1,j_2,3)}\mathbf{u}$, or $P_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)^{-1}}\mathbf{x} = AP_{(j_1,j_2,3)}\mathbf{u}$, or

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{i_1} \\ x_{i_2} \\ x_{i_3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \\ u_{j_2} + u_3 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In any case, we always have $0 \le u_3 \le u_{j_2} + u_3 \le u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \le 1$. Hence $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is in the range of $\sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}$ if and only if

$$0 \le x_{i_3} \le x_{i_2} \le x_{i_1} \le 1.$$

The interior of $\sigma_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)}$ is

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_{i_3} < x_{i_2} < x_{i_1} < 1\},\$$

and thus the range of $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_6$ have disjoint interiors. Also, any $\mathbf{x} \in I^3$ has the relation

$$0 \le x_{i_3} \le x_{i_2} \le x_{i_1} \le 1$$

for some permutation (i_1, i_2, i_3) of (1, 2, 3). Hence

$$I^{3} = \bigcup_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})} \sigma_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})}(Q^{3}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{6} \sigma_{i}(Q^{3}).$$

Exercise 10.19. Let J^2 and J^3 be as in Exercise 10.17 and Exercise 10.18. Define

$$B_{01}(u, v) = (0, u, v),$$
 $B_{11}(u, v) = (1, u, v),$
 $B_{02}(u, v) = (u, 0, v),$ $B_{12}(u, v) = (u, 1, v),$
 $B_{03}(u, v) = (u, v, 0),$ $B_{13}(u, v) = (u, v, 1).$

These are affine, and map \mathbb{R}^2 into \mathbb{R}^3 . Put $\beta_{ri} = B_{ri}(J^2)$, for r = 0, 1, i = 1, 2, 3. Each β_{ri} is an affine-oriented 2-chain. (See Section 10.30.) Verify that

$$\partial J^3 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (-1)^i (\beta_{0i} - \beta_{1i}),$$

in agreement with Exercise 10.18.)

Proof.

(1) A direct calculation shows that

$$B_{01}(\tau_1) - B_{11}(\tau_1) = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] - [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

$$B_{02}(\tau_1) - B_{12}(\tau_1) = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3] - [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

$$B_{03}(\tau_1) - B_{13}(\tau_1) = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] - [\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

$$B_{01}(\tau_2) - B_{11}(\tau_2) = -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] + [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

$$B_{02}(\tau_2) - B_{12}(\tau_2) = -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

$$B_{03}(\tau_2) - B_{13}(\tau_2) = -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

(2) To express the formula in (1) clearly, we define

$$\omega_{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} = [\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] - [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}],$$

and thus

$$-(B_{01}(\tau_1) - B_{11}(\tau_1)) = s(1, 2, 3)\omega_{(1,2,3)}$$

$$B_{02}(\tau_1) - B_{12}(\tau_1) = s(2, 1, 3)\omega_{(2,1,3)}$$

$$-(B_{03}(\tau_1) - B_{13}(\tau_1)) = s(3, 1, 2)\omega_{(3,1,2)}$$

$$-(B_{01}(\tau_2) - B_{11}(\tau_2)) = s(1, 3, 2)\omega_{(1,3,2)}$$

$$B_{02}(\tau_2) - B_{12}(\tau_2) = s(2, 3, 1)\omega_{(2,3,1)}$$

$$-(B_{03}(\tau_2) - B_{13}(\tau_2)) = s(3, 2, 1)\omega_{(3,2,1)}.$$

(3) Note that

$$\beta_{0i} - \beta_{1i} = B_{0i}(J^2) - B_{1i}(J^2)$$

$$= B_{0i}(\tau_1 + \tau_2) - B_{1i}(\tau_1 + \tau_2)$$

$$= B_{0i}(\tau_1) + B_{0i}(\tau_2) - B_{1i}(\tau_1) - B_{1i}(\tau_2)$$

$$= (B_{0i}(\tau_1) - B_{1i}(\tau_1)) + (B_{0i}(\tau_2) - B_{1i}(\tau_2)).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} (-1)^{i} (\beta_{0i} - \beta_{1i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{3} (-1)^{i} (B_{0i}(\tau_{1}) - B_{1i}(\tau_{1})) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} (-1)^{i} (B_{0i}(\tau_{2}) - B_{1i}(\tau_{2}))$$

$$= \sum_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})} s(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}) \omega_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})}$$

$$= \sum_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})} s(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}) [\mathbf{e}_{i_{1}}, \mathbf{e}_{i_{1}} + \mathbf{e}_{i_{2}}, \mathbf{e}_{1} + \mathbf{e}_{2} + \mathbf{e}_{3}]$$

$$- \sum_{(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3})} s(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_{1}}, \mathbf{e}_{i_{1}} + \mathbf{e}_{i_{2}}]$$

$$= \partial J^{3}.$$

Exercise 10.20. State conditions under which the formula

$$\int_{\Phi} f d\omega = \int_{\partial \Phi} f \omega - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega$$

is valid, and show that it generalizes the formula for integration by parts. (Hint: $d(f\omega) = (df) \wedge \omega + f d\omega$.)

Proof.

(1) *If*

(a) Φ is a k-chain of class \mathscr{C}'' in an open set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$,

(b) ω is a (k-1)-form of class \mathscr{C}' in V,

(c) f is a 0-form of class \mathscr{C}' in V,

then

$$\int_{\Phi} f d\omega = \int_{\partial \Phi} f \omega - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega$$

(2) Theorem 10.20(a) implies that

$$d(f\omega) = (df) \wedge \omega + fd\omega.$$

(3) The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) shows that

$$\int_{\Phi} d(f\omega) = \int_{\partial \Phi} f\omega.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Phi} f d\omega = \int_{\Phi} d(f\omega) - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega = \int_{\partial \Phi} f\omega - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega.$$

(4) Define $\Phi: Q^1 = [0,1] \to [a,b]$ by

$$\Phi(\alpha) = a + \alpha(b - a).$$

 Φ is a 1-simplex of class \mathscr{C}'' in an open set $V \supseteq [a,b]$. Also,

$$\partial \Phi = [b] - [a].$$

Let $\omega = g$ be a 0-form of class $\mathscr{C}'(V)$.

(5) Note that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Phi} f d\omega &= \int_{\Phi} f dg = \int_{0}^{1} f(\Phi(t))g'(\Phi(t))\Phi'(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} f(u)g'(u)du, \\ \int_{\partial\Phi} f\omega &= \int_{[b]} fg + \int_{-[a]} fg = f(b)g(b) + (-1)f(a)f(a), \\ \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega &= \int_{\Phi} (df)g = \int_{0}^{1} f'(\Phi(t))g(\Phi(t))\Phi'(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} f'(u)g(u)du. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(u)g'(u)du = f(b)g(b) - f(a)f(a) - \int_{a}^{b} f'(u)g(u)du,$$

which is the same as the integration by parts (Theorem 6.22).

Exercise 10.21. As in Example 10.36, consider the 1-form

$$\eta = \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{ \mathbf{0} \}$.

(a) Carry out the computation that leads to

$$\int_{\gamma} \eta = 2\pi \neq 0,$$

and prove that $d\eta = 0$.

(b)

(c) Take $\Gamma(t) = (a\cos t, b\sin t)$ where a > 0, b > 0 are fixed. Use part (b) to show that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ab}{a^2 \cos^2 t + b^2 \sin^2 t} dt = 2\pi.$$

(d) Show that

$$\eta = d\left(\arctan\frac{y}{x}\right)$$

in any convex open set in which $x \neq 0$, and that

$$\eta = d\left(-\arctan\frac{x}{y}\right)$$

in any convex open set in which $y \neq 0$. Explain why this justifies the notation $\eta = d\theta$, in spite of the fact that η is not exact in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{0\}$.

- (e) Show that (b) can be derived from (d).
- (f) If Γ is any closed \mathscr{C}' -curve in $\mathbb{R}^2 \{\mathbf{0}\}$, prove that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \eta = \operatorname{Ind}(\Gamma).$$

(See Exercise 8.23 for the definition of the index of a curve.)

Proof of (a).

(1)

$$\begin{split} \int_{\gamma} \eta &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{(r\cos t)d(r\sin t) - (r\sin t)d(r\cos t)}{(r\cos t)^{2} + (r\sin t)^{2}} \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{(r\cos t)(r\cos t) - (r\sin t)(-r\sin t)}{(r\cos t)^{2} + (r\sin t)^{2}} dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} dt \\ &= 2\pi. \end{split}$$

(2)

$$d\eta = d\left(\frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2}\right)$$

$$= d\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \wedge dy + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \wedge d^2y$$

$$- d\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \wedge dx - \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} \wedge d^2x$$

$$= d\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \wedge dy - d\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \wedge dx \qquad (d^2 = 0)$$

$$= \left\{D_1\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) dx + D_2\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right) dy\right\} \wedge dy$$

$$- \left\{D_1\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) dx + D_2\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right) dy\right\} \wedge dx$$

$$= D_1\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) dx \wedge dy \qquad (dy \wedge dy = 0)$$

$$- D_2\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right) dy \wedge dx \qquad (dx \wedge dx = 0)$$

Note.

- (1) η is closed and locally exact, that is, $\eta = dt$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 L$ where L is a half-line issuing from $\mathbf{0}$. η is not exact since $\int_{\gamma} \eta = 2\pi \neq 0$.
- (2) (Poincaré's Lemma for 1-form.) Let $\omega = \sum a_i dx_i$ be defined in an open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $d\omega = 0$ if and only if for each $p \in U$ there is a neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of p and a differentiable function $f: V \to \mathbb{R}^1$ with $df = \omega$ (i.e., ω is locally exact).

Proof of (b).

- (1)
- (2)

Proof of (c).

(1) Γ satisfies all conditions described in (b). So

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = 2\pi.$$

(2) A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{split} 2\pi &= \int_{\Gamma} \eta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{x dy - y dx}{x^2 + y^2} \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{a \cos(t) d(b \sin(t)) - b \sin(t) d(a \cos(t))}{(a \cos(t))^2 + (b \sin(t))^2} \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{a b (\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t)}{a^2 \cos^2 t + b^2 \sin^2 t} \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{a b}{a^2 \cos^2 t + b^2 \sin^2 t}. \end{split}$$

Proof of (d).

(1) In any convex open set in which $x \neq 0$, we have

$$d\left(\arctan\frac{y}{x}\right) = \left(D_1 \arctan\frac{y}{x}\right) dx + \left(D_2 \arctan\frac{y}{x}\right) dy$$
$$= -\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy$$
$$= n.$$

(2) In any convex open set in which $y \neq 0$, we have

$$d\left(-\arctan\frac{x}{y}\right) = \left(D_1\left(-\arctan\frac{x}{y}\right)\right)dx + \left(D_2\left(-\arctan\frac{x}{y}\right)\right)dy$$
$$= -\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}dy$$
$$= \eta.$$

(3) By (1)(2), η is locally exact. Note that $\theta_1 = \arctan \frac{y}{x}$ and $\theta_2 = -\arctan \frac{x}{y}$ cannot be patched together to defined a global 0-form θ on $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Proof of (e).

(1)

(2)

Proof of (f).

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.22. As in Example 10.37, define ζ in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ by

$$\zeta = \frac{xdy \wedge dz + ydz \wedge dx + zdx \wedge dy}{r^3}$$

where $r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

Proof of (a).

- (1)
- (2)

Proof of (b).

- (1)
- (2)

Proof of (c) .
(1)
(2)
Proof of (d) .
(1)
(2)
Proof of (e) .
(1)
(2)
Proof of (f) .
(1)
(2)
Proof of (g) .
(1)
(2)
Exercise 10.23
Proof of (a) .
(1)
(2)

Proof of (b).

- (1)
- (2)

Proof of (c).

- (1)
- (2)

Proof of (d).

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.24. Let $\omega = \sum a_i(\mathbf{x}) dx_i$ be a 1-form of class \mathscr{C}'' in a convex open set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume $d\omega = 0$ and prove that ω is exact in E, by completing the following outline:

Fix $\mathbf{p} \in E$. Define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in E).$$

Apply Stokes' theorem to affine-oriented 2-simplexs $[\mathbf{p},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}]$ in E. Deduce that

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i((1-t)\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{y})dt$$

for $\mathbf{x} \in E$, $\mathbf{y} \in E$. Hence $(D_i f)(\mathbf{x}) = a_i(\mathbf{x})$.

Proof.

(1) Fix $\mathbf{p} \in E$. Define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in E).$$

(2) Given any $\mathbf{x} \in E$, $\mathbf{y} \in E$, and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$. The affine-oriented 2-simplexs $\Psi = [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ is in E by the convexity of E. (If E is open but not convex, we can show that $\omega = df$ **locally** as the note in Exercise 10.21(a). That is why we say that ω is locally exact. The proof is exactly the same.)

(3) Note that

$$\partial \Psi = \partial [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] - [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}] + [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}].$$

The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\int_{\Psi} d\omega = \int_{\partial \Psi} \omega \iff \int_{\Psi} 0 = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega + \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega$$

$$\iff 0 = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - f(\mathbf{y}) + f(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\iff f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega.$$

(4) Define $\gamma:[0,1]\to E$ by

$$\gamma(t) = \mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + t(y_i - x_i)$$

(where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$). Hence [0, 1] is the parameter domain of $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ with respect to γ . So

$$\int_{[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}]} \omega = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\gamma(t)) \frac{\partial (x_i + t(y_i - x_i))}{\partial t} dt$$
$$= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}))(y_i - x_i) dt$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i(\mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})) dt.$$

Thus,

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i (\mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})) dt.$$

(5) Note that

$$f(\mathbf{x} + h\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n ((x_i + h\delta_{ij}) - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i (\mathbf{x} + t((\mathbf{x} + h\mathbf{e}_j) - \mathbf{x})) dt$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n h\delta_{ij} \int_0^1 a_i (\mathbf{x} + th\mathbf{e}_j) dt$$
$$= h \int_0^1 a_j (\mathbf{x} + th\mathbf{e}_j) dt.$$

(Here δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.) So

$$(D_j f)(\mathbf{x}) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + h\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x})}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \int_0^1 a_j(\mathbf{x} + th\mathbf{e}_j) dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 a_j(\mathbf{x}) dt \qquad (a_j \in \mathscr{C}'')$$

$$= a_j(\mathbf{x}).$$

Thus,

$$df = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (D_j f)(\mathbf{x}) dx_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j(\mathbf{x}) dx_j = \omega,$$

or ω is exact in E.

Exercise 10.25. Assume ω is a 1-form in an open set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$$

for every closed curve γ in E, of class \mathscr{C}' . Prove that ω is exact in E, by imitating part of the argument sketched in Exercise 10.24.

Proof.

- (1) Assume that E is a **connected** open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Show that ω is exact in E if $\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$ for every closed curve γ in E, of class \mathscr{C}' .
- (2) Fix $\mathbf{p} \in E$. Define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega \qquad (\mathbf{x} \in E).$$

It is well-defined since E is connected and $\int_{\gamma}\omega=0$ for every closed curve γ in E.

(3) Given any $\mathbf{x} \in E$, $\mathbf{y} \in E$, and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$. Let

$$\gamma = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] - [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}] + [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]$$

be a closed curve in E. Hence,

$$0 = \int_{\gamma} \omega$$

$$= \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega + \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega$$

$$= \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - f(\mathbf{y}) + f(\mathbf{x}).$$
(Assumption)

So

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega$$

(4) Similar to (4)(5) in the proof of Exercise 10.24, we have $df = \omega$. So the statement in (1) is proved. In general, we can define each f_{α} on each connected component E_{α} (which is open) of E such that $df_{\alpha} = \omega$ on E_{α} . Take

$$f|_{E_{\alpha}} = f_{\alpha}$$

on E. Hence, $df = \omega$ on the whole E.

Exercise 10.26. Assume ω is a 1-form in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, of class \mathscr{C}' and $d\omega = 0$. Prove that ω is exact in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. (Hint: Every closed continuously differentiable curve in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is the boundary of a 2-surface in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Apply Stokes' theorem and Exercise 10.25.)

Proof.

(1) Let $E = \mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. By Exercise 10.25, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$$

for every closed curve γ in E, of class \mathscr{C}' .

(2) Intuitively, every closed continuously differentiable curve in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is the boundary of a 2-surface in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. So there is some 2-surface Ψ such that $\partial \Psi = \gamma$. The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = \int_{\partial \Psi} \omega = \int_{\Psi} d\omega = \int_{\Psi} 0 = 0.$$

Exercise 10.27. ...

Proof.

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.28. Fix b > a > 0, define

$$\Phi(r,\theta) = (r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$$

for $a \le r \le b$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$. (The range of Φ is an annulus in \mathbb{R}^2 .) Put $\omega = x^3 dy$, and compute both

$$\int_{\Phi} d\omega \qquad and \qquad \int_{\partial \Phi} \omega$$

to verify that they are equal.

Proof.

(1) Note that

$$\frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(r,\theta)} = \det\begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -r\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & r\cos\theta \end{bmatrix} = r.$$

So

$$\int_{\Phi} d\omega = \int_{\Phi} 3x^2 dx \wedge dy \qquad (dy \wedge dy = 0)$$

$$= \int_{[a,b] \times [0,2\pi]} 3(r\cos\theta)^2 \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(r,\theta)} dr d\theta$$

$$= \int_a^b \int_0^{2\pi} 3r^3 (\cos\theta)^2 dr d\theta$$

$$= \frac{3\pi}{4} (b^4 - a^4).$$

(2) Similar to Exercise 10.21(b), write

$$\partial \Phi = \Gamma - \gamma$$
,

where $\Gamma(t) = (b\cos t, b\sin t)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$ and $\gamma(t) = (a\cos t, a\sin t)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial\Phi} \omega &= \int_{\Gamma} \omega - \int_{\gamma} \omega \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} x^3 dy - \int_{\gamma} x^3 dy \\ &= \int_{[0,2\pi]} (b\cos\theta)^3 \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} d\theta - \int_{[0,2\pi]} (a\cos\theta)^3 \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} b^4 (\cos\theta)^4 d\theta - \int_0^{2\pi} a^4 (\cos\theta)^4 d\theta \\ &= \frac{3\pi}{4} (b^4 - a^4). \end{split}$$

(3)
$$\int_{\Phi} d\omega = \int_{\partial \Phi} \omega = \frac{3\pi}{4} (b^4 - a^4).$$

Exercise 10.29. ...

Proof.

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.30. If N is the vector given by

$$\mathbf{N} = (\alpha_2 \beta_3 - \alpha_3 \beta_2) \mathbf{e}_1 + (\alpha_3 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_3) \mathbf{e}_2 + (\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1) \mathbf{e}_3$$

(Equation (135)), prove that

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \end{bmatrix} = |\mathbf{N}|^2$$

Also, verify

$$\mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_1) = \mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_2)$$

(Equation (137)).

Proof.

(1) By Laplace's expansion along the third column,

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (-1)^{1+3} (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2) \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ (-1)^{2+3} (\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3) \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ (-1)^{3+3} (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1) \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1)^2$$

$$= |\mathbf{N}|^2.$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_1) &= (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2, \alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3, \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1) \cdot (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \\ &= (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2)\alpha_1 + (\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1))\alpha_3 \\ &= (\alpha_3\alpha_2 - \alpha_2\alpha_3)\beta_1 + (\alpha_1\alpha_3 - \alpha_3\alpha_1)\beta_2 + (\alpha_2\alpha_1 - \alpha_1\alpha_2)\beta_3 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_{2}) = (\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - \alpha_{3}\beta_{2}, \alpha_{3}\beta_{1} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{3}, \alpha_{1}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{1}) \cdot (\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3})$$

$$= (\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} - \alpha_{3}\beta_{2})\beta_{1} + (\alpha_{3}\beta_{1} - \alpha_{1}\beta_{3})\beta_{2} + (\alpha_{1}\beta_{2} - \alpha_{2}\beta_{1}))\beta_{3}$$

$$= (\beta_{2}\beta_{3} - \beta_{3}\beta_{2})\alpha_{1} + (\beta_{3}\beta_{1} - \beta_{1}\beta_{3})\alpha_{2} + (\beta_{1}\beta_{2} - \beta_{2}\beta_{1})\alpha_{3}$$

$$= 0.$$

Exercise 10.31. ...

Proof of (a).

- (1)
- (2)

Proof of (b).

- (1)
- (2)

Proof of (c).

- (1)
- (2)

Proof of (d).

- (1)
- (2)

Exercise 10.32. ...

 ${\it Proof.}$

- (1)
- (2)