Chapter 3: L^p -Spaces

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai Email: plover@gmail.com

Exercise 3.3. Assume that φ is a continuous real function on (a,b) such that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \le \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(y)$$

for all x and $y \in (a,b)$. Prove that φ is convex. (The conclusion does not follow if continuity is omitted from the hypotheses.)

Proof.

(1) Show that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x_1+\cdots+x_n}{n}\right) \le \frac{\varphi(x_1)+\cdots+\varphi(x_n)}{n}$$

whenever $a < x_i < b \ (1 \le i \le n)$. Apply Cauchy induction and use the same argument in proving the AM-GM inequality. As n = 1, 2, the inequality holds by assumption. Suppose $n = 2^k \ (k \ge 1)$ the inequality holds. As $n = 2^{k+1}$,

$$\begin{split} & \varphi\left(\frac{x_1+\dots+x_{2^{k+1}}}{2^{k+1}}\right) \\ =& \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x_1+\dots+x_{2^k}}{2^k}+\frac{x_{2^k+1}+\dots+x_{2^{k+1}}}{2^k}\right)\right) \\ \leq& \frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi\left(\frac{x_1+\dots+x_{2^k}}{2^k}\right)+\varphi\left(\frac{x_{2^k+1}+\dots+x_{2^{k+1}}}{2^k}\right)\right) \\ \leq& \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\varphi(x_1)+\dots+\varphi(x_{2^k})}{2^k}+\frac{\varphi(x_{2^k+1})+\dots+\varphi(x_{2^{k+1}})}{2^k}\right) \\ =& \frac{\varphi(x_1)+\dots+\varphi(x_{2^k})+\varphi(x_{2^k+1})+\dots+\varphi(x_{2^{k+1}})}{2^{k+1}} \\ =& \frac{\varphi(x_1)+\dots+\varphi(x_{2^{k+1}})}{2^{k+1}}. \end{split}$$

As n is not a power of 2, then it is certainly less than some natural power of 2, say $n < 2^m$ for some m. Let

$$x_{n+1} = \dots = x_{2^m} = \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n} = \alpha.$$

Then by the induction hypothesis,

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n + \alpha + \dots + \alpha}{2^m}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\varphi(x_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(\alpha) + \dots + \varphi(\alpha)}{2^m}$$

$$\leq \frac{\varphi(x_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_n) + (2^m - n)\varphi(\alpha)}{2^m},$$

$$2^m \varphi(\alpha) \leq \varphi(x_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_n) + (2^m - n)\varphi(\alpha),$$

$$n\varphi(\alpha) \leq \varphi(x_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_n),$$

or
$$\varphi\left(\frac{1}{n}(x_1+\cdots+x_n)\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}(\varphi(x_1)+\cdots\varphi(x_n)).$$

(2) Hence,

$$\varphi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda \varphi(x) + (1 - \lambda)\varphi(y)$$

for any rational λ in (0,1). (Given any positive integers p < q, put n = q, $x_1 = \cdots = x_p = x$ and $x_{p+1} = \cdots = x_n = y$ in (1).)

(3) Given any real $\lambda \in (0,1)$, there is a sequence of rational numbers $\{r_n\} \subseteq (0,1)$ such that $r_n \to \lambda$. By (2),

$$\varphi(r_n x + (1 - r_n)y) \le r_n \varphi(x) + (1 - r_n)\varphi(y)$$

for any rational r_n in (0,1). Taking limit on the both sides and using the continuity of f, we have

$$\varphi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) < \lambda \varphi(x) + (1 - \lambda)\varphi(y).$$

Proof (Reductio ad absurdum). If φ were not convex, then there is a subinterval $[c,d]\subseteq (a,b)$ such that

$$\frac{\varphi(d) - \varphi(c)}{d - c} < \frac{\varphi(x_0) - \varphi(c)}{x_0 - c}$$

for some $x_0 \in [c, d]$. Let

$$\psi(x) = \varphi(x) - \varphi(c) - \frac{\varphi(d) - \varphi(c)}{d - c}(x - c)$$

for $x \in [c, d]$. Therefore,

- (1) $\psi(x)$ is continuous and midpoint convex.
- (2) $\psi(c) = \psi(d) = 0$.
- (3) Let $M = \sup\{\psi(x) : x \in [c,d]\}$. $\infty > M > 0$ due to the continuity of ψ and the existence of x_0 . And let $\xi = \inf\{x \in [c,d] : \psi(x) = M\}$. By the continuity of g, $\psi(\xi) = M$. $\xi \in (c,d)$ by (2).

(4) Since (c,d) is open, there is h>0 such that $(\xi-h,\xi+h)\subseteq (c,d)$. By the minimality of ξ and $M,\,\psi(\xi-h)<\psi(\xi)$ and $\psi(\xi+h)\leq \psi(\xi)$.

Therefore,

$$\psi(\xi - h) + \psi(\xi + h) < 2\psi(\xi),$$

$$\frac{\psi(\xi - h) + \psi(\xi + h)}{2} < \psi(h)$$

$$= \psi\left(\frac{(\xi - h) + (\xi + h)}{2}\right),$$

contrary to the midpoint convexity of ψ . \square