Chapter 1: Affine Algebraic Sets

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai Email: plover@gmail.com

Problem 1.1*. Let R be a domain.

- (a) If F, G are forms of degree r, s respectively in $R[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$, show that FG is a form of degree r + s.
- (b) Show that any factor of a form in $R[X_1, ..., X_n]$ is also a form.

Proof of (a).

(1) Write

$$F = \sum_{(i)} a_{(i)} X^{(i)},$$
$$G = \sum_{(j)} b_{(j)} X^{(j)},$$

$$G = \sum_{(j)} b_{(j)} X^{(j)},$$

where $\sum_{(i)}$ is the summation over $(i) = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ with $i_1 + \dots + i_n = r$ and $\sum_{(j)}$ is the summation over $(j) = (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ with $j_1 + \dots + j_n = s$.

(2) Hence,

$$FG = \sum_{(i)} \sum_{(j)} a_{(i)} b_{(j)} X^{(i)} X^{(j)}$$
$$= \sum_{(i),(j)} a_{(i)} b_{(j)} X^{(k)}$$

where $(k)=(i_1+j_1,\ldots,i_n+j_n)$ with $(i_1+j_1)+\cdots+(i_n+j_n)=r+s$. Each $X^{(k)}$ is the form of degree r+s and $a_{(i)}b_{(j)}\in R$. Hence FG is a form of degree r + s.

Proof of (b).

- (1) Given any form $F \in R[X_1, ..., X_n]$, and write F = GH. It suffices to show that G (or H) is a form as well.
- (2) Write

$$G = G_0 + \dots + G_r,$$

$$H = H_0 + \dots + H_s$$

where $G_r \neq 0$ and $H_s \neq 0$. So

$$F = GH = G_0H_0 + \cdots + G_rH_s.$$

Since R is a domain, $R[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ is a domain and thus $G_r H_s \neq 0$. The maximality of r and s implies that $\deg(F) = r + s$. Therefore, by the maximality of r + s, $F = G_r H_s$, or $G = G_r$, or G is a form.

Problem 1.5*. Let k be any field. Show that there are an infinitely number of irreducible monic polynomials in k[X]. (Hint: Suppose F_1, \ldots, F_n were all of them, and factor $F_1 \cdots F_n + 1$ into irreducible factors.)

Proof (Due to Euclid).

(1) If F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n were all irreducible monic polynomials, then write

$$G = F_1 F_2 \cdots F_n + 1 \in k[X]$$

and there were an irreducible monic polynomial F dividing G since

$$\deg G = \deg F_1 + \deg F_2 + \dots + \deg F_n \ge 1.$$

(2) F can not be any of F_i for all i; otherwise F would divide the difference $G - F_1 F_2 \cdots F_n = 1$. That is, $F \neq F_i$ for all i, contrary to the assumption.