## Chapter 8: Some Special Functions

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai Email: plover@gmail.com

Supplement. Fourier coefficients in Definition 8.9.

(1) Write

$$f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx)), x \in \mathbb{R}$$

(as the textbook Rudin, Principles of Mathematical Analysis, Third Edition). Then

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) dx.$$

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cos(nx) dx, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

$$b_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \sin(nx) dx, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

(2) One might write in one different form,

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx)), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The only difference between the new one and the old one is  $a_0$ , so  $a_0$  should be

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) dx.$$

(3) Again, one might write in one different form,

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{\sqrt{2}} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} (a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx)), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Similarly,  $a_0$  should be

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{2}} dx.$$

(4) Recall  $f(x) = \sum_{-N}^{N} c_n e^{inx} \ (x \in \mathbb{R})$  where

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)e^{-inx} dx.$$

The relations among  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$  of this textbook and  $c_n$  are

$$c_0 = a_0$$

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2} (a_n + ib_n), n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Supplement. Parseval's theorem 8.16.

(1) Given

$$f(x) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx)), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = 2a_0^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + b_n^2).$$

(2) Given

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx)), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = \frac{a_0^2}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + b_n^2).$$

(3) Given

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{\sqrt{2}} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx)), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = a_0^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n^2 + b_n^2).$$

Exercise 8.1. Define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} & (x \neq 0), \\ 0 & (x = 0). \end{cases}$$

Prove that f has derivatives of all orders at x = 0, and that  $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$  for n = 1, 2, 3, ...

f(x) is an example of non-analytic smooth function, that is, infinitely differentiable functions are not necessarily analytic. In this exercise, we will show that Taylor series of f at the origin converges everywhere to the zero function. So the Taylor series does not equal f(x) for  $x \neq 0$ . Consequently, f is not analytic

at x = 0.

## Claim 1.

$$\lim_{x \to 0} g(x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = 0$$

for any rational function  $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ .

Proof. Write  $g(x) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$  for some  $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ . Write  $q(x) = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_0$ . q(x) is not identically zero, that is, there exists the unique coefficient of the least power of x in q(x) which is non-zero, say  $b_M \neq 0$ . Now write g(x) as  $g(x) = \frac{p(x)/x^M}{q(x)/x^M}$ . The denominator of g(x) tends to  $b_M \neq 0$  as  $x \to 0$ . By the similar argument of Theorem 8.6(f)  $(\lim_{x\to\infty} x^n e^{-x} = 0$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ),

$$\frac{p(x)}{r^M}e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} \to 0 \text{ as } x \to 0.$$

Hence,  $\lim_{x\to 0} g(x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} = 0$  for any  $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ .  $\square$ 

Claim 2. Given any real  $x \neq 0$ 

$$f^{(n)}(x) = g_n(x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$$

for some rational function  $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ .

*Proof.* Say  $g_0(x) = 1 \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ . Notice that  $\mathbb{R}(x)$  is a field and  $g'(x) \in \mathbb{R}(x)$  for any  $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ . (Write  $g(x) = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$  for some  $p(x), q(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ . Notice that  $p'(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  for any  $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ .) Now we prove by mathematical induction. For n = 1, we have

$$f'(x) = g'_0(x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}} + g_0(x) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{x^2}\right)' e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$$
$$= \left(g'_0(x) + g_0(x) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{x^2}\right)'\right) e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$$
$$= g_1(x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$$

where  $g_1(x) = g_0'(x) + g_0(x) \cdot (-\frac{1}{x^2})' \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ . Now assume n = k holds. For n = k + 1, similar to n = 1,  $f^{(k+1)}(x) = g_{k+1}(x)e^{-\frac{1}{x^2}}$  where  $g_{k+1}(x) = g_k'(x) + g_k(x) \cdot (-\frac{1}{x^2})' \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ .  $\square$ 

*Proof of Exercise 8.1.* Prove by mathematical induction. For n = 1,

$$f'(0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{t^2}} - 0}{t} = 0.$$

(Use Claim 1.) Now assume n = k holds. For n = k + 1,

$$f^{(k+1)}(0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f^{(k)}(t) - f^{(k)}(0)}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{g_k(t)e^{-\frac{1}{t^2}} - 0}{t} = 0.$$

(Use Claim 1 and 2.) Thus,  $f^{(n)}(0) = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .  $\square$ 

**Exercise 8.6.** Suppose f(x)f(y) = f(x+y) for all real x and y. (a) Assuming that f is differentiable and not zero, prove that

$$f(x) = e^{cx}$$

where c is a constant.

- (b) Prove the same thing, assuming only that f is continuous.
- (b) implies (a). We prove (b) directly.

Proof of (b). Since f(x) is not zero, there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x_0) \neq 0$ . So  $f(0)f(x_0) = f(x_0)$ , or f(0) = 1 by cancelling  $f(x_0) \neq 0$ .

Next,  $f(\frac{n}{m}) = f(\frac{1}{m})^n$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Since f is continuous at x = 0, f is positive in the neighborhood of x = 0. That is, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $f(\frac{1}{m}) > 0$  whenever  $|m| \geq N$ . So,  $f(\frac{n}{m}) = f(\frac{1}{m})^n > 0$ . (Since  $f(\frac{n}{m}) = f(\frac{kn}{km})$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we can rescale m to km such that  $|km| \geq N$ .) That is, f is positive on  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$  and f is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , f is positive on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now let  $c=\log f(1)$  (which is well-defined since f>0). We write f(1) in the two ways. Firstly,  $f(1)=f(\frac{n}{n})=f(\frac{1}{n})^n$  where  $n\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ . Secondly,  $f(1)=e^c=(e^{\frac{c}{n}})^n$ . Since the positive n-th root is unique (Theorem 1.21),  $f(\frac{1}{n})=e^{\frac{c}{n}}$  for  $n\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ . By f(x)f(-x)=f(0)=1 or  $f(-x)=\frac{1}{f(x)},\ f(-\frac{1}{n})=\frac{1}{e^{\frac{c}{n}}}=e^{-\frac{c}{n}}$  for  $n\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ . Therefore,

$$f\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) = e^{\frac{c}{m}}$$
 where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By using  $f(\frac{n}{m}) = f(\frac{1}{m})^n$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  again,  $f(\frac{n}{m}) = e^{c\frac{n}{m}}$  where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , or

$$f(x) = e^{cx}$$
 where  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Since  $g(x) = f(x) - e^{cx}$  vanishes on a dense set of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and g is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , g vanishes on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $f(x) = e^{cx}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$ 

Supplement. Proof of (a).

Proof of (a). Since f(x) is not zero, there exists  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x_0) \neq 0$ . So  $f(0)f(x_0) = f(x_0)$ , or f(0) = 1 by cancelling  $f(x_0) \neq 0$ . Since f is differentiable, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x)f(h) - f(x)}{h}$$

$$= f(x) \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(h) - 1}{h}$$

$$= f(x) \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(h) - f(0)}{h}$$

$$= f(x)f'(0).$$

Let c = f'(0) be a constant. Then f'(x) = cf(x). So  $f(x) = e^{cx}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . (To see this, let  $g(x) = \frac{f(x)}{e^{cx}}$  be well-defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . g(0) = 1. g'(x) = 0 since f'(x) = cf(x). So g(x) is a constant, or g(x) = 1 since g(0) = 1. Therefore,  $f(x) = e^{cx}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .)  $\square$ 

Supplement. Cauchy's functional equation.

(1) (Cauchy's functional equation.) Suppose f(x) + f(y) = f(x + y) for all real x and y. Assuming that f is continuous, prove that f(x) = cx where c is a constant.

Notice that we cannot let  $g(x) = \log f(x)$  and apply Cauchy's functional equation on g(x) to prove Exercise 8.6 since f(x) is not necessary positive and thus  $g(x) = \log f(x)$  might be meaningless. However, this wrong approach gives you some useful ideas such as you need to prove that f(x) is positive first, and f(x) should be equal to  $e^{cx}$  where  $c = g(1) = \log f(1)$ .

- (2) Suppose f(xy) = f(x) + f(y) for all positive real x and y. Assuming that f is continuous, prove that  $f(x) = c \log x$  where c is a constant.
- (3) Suppose f(xy) = f(x)f(y) for all positive real x and y. Assuming that f is continuous and positive, prove that  $f(x) = x^c$  where c is a constant.
- (4) Suppose f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) + xy for all real x and y. Assuming that f is continuous, prove that  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + cx$  where c is a constant.
- (5) (USA 2002.) Suppose  $f(x^2 y^2) = xf(x) yf(y)$  for all real x and y. Assuming that f is continuous, prove that f(x) = cx where c is a constant.

**Exercise 8.10.** Prove that  $\sum \frac{1}{p}$  diverges; the sum extends over all primes.

There are many proofs of this result. We provide some of them.

Proof (Due to hint). Given N.

Claim 1. Show that  $\sum_{n\leq N} \frac{1}{n} \leq \prod_{p\leq N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}$ . Proof of Claim 1. By the unique factorization theorem on  $n\leq N$ ,

$$\sum_{n\leq N}\frac{1}{n}\leq \prod_{p\leq N}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}+\cdots\right)=\prod_{p\leq N}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}.$$

By Claim 1 and the fact that  $\sum \frac{1}{n}$  diverges, there are infinitely many primes.

Claim 2. Show that  $\prod_{p \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \leq \exp\left(\sum_{p \leq N} \frac{2}{p}\right)$ . Proof of Claim 2. By applying the inequality  $(1-x)^{-1} < e^{2x}$  where  $x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ on any prime p,

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} < \exp\left(\frac{2}{p}\right).$$

Now multiplying the inequality over all primes  $p \leq N$  and noticing that  $\exp(x)$ .  $\exp(y) = \exp(x+y)$ , we have

$$\prod_{p \le N} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \le \exp\left( \sum_{p \le N} \frac{2}{p} \right).$$

By Claim 1 and Claim 2,

$$\sum_{n \le N} \frac{1}{n} \le \exp\left(\sum_{p \le N} \frac{2}{p}\right).$$

Since  $\sum_{n < N} \frac{1}{n}$  diverges, the result holds.  $\square$ 

Proof (Due to Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen). The proof in Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Second Edition (Theorem 3 in Chapter 2) does not use the inequality  $(1-x)^{-1} < e^{2x}$   $(x \in (0, \frac{1}{2}])$  directly. Instead, the authors take the logarithm on  $(1-p^{-1})^{-1}$ and estimate it. (So the length of proof is longer than the proof due to hint.)

That is,

$$-\log(1-p^{-1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-n}}{n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{p^{-n}}{n}$$

$$< \frac{1}{p} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} p^{-n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{p^{-2}}{1-p^{-1}}$$

$$< \frac{1}{p} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{p^2}.$$

Now we sum over all primes  $p \leq N$ ,

$$\log \left( \prod_{p \le N} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \right) < \sum_{p \le N} \frac{1}{p} + 2 \sum_{p \le N} \frac{1}{p^2}.$$

So

$$\log \sum_{n \le N} \frac{1}{n} < \sum_{p \le N} \frac{1}{p} + 2 \sum_{p \le N} \frac{1}{p^2}.$$

Notice that  $\sum \frac{1}{n}$  diverges and  $\sum \frac{1}{p^2}$  converges (since  $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$  converges). Therefore,  $\sum \frac{1}{p}$  diverges.  $\square$ 

*Proof (Due to I. Niven)*. It is an exercise in Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Second Edition. See Exercise 27 in Chapter 2.

Claim 1. Show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ , the sum being over square free integers, diverges. Proof of Claim 1. For any positive integers n, we can write  $n=a^2b$  where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and b is a square free integer. Given N,

$$\sum_{n \le N} \frac{1}{n} \le \left(\sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^2}\right) \left(\sum_{b \le N}' \frac{1}{b}\right).$$

Notices that  $\sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a^2}$  converges. Since  $\sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \to \infty$  as  $N \to \infty$ ,  $\sum_{b \leq N}' \frac{1}{b} \to \infty$  as  $N \to \infty$ .  $\square$ 

Claim 2. Show that  $\prod_{p \leq N} (1 + \frac{1}{p}) \to \infty$  as  $N \to \infty$ . Proof of Claim 2. By the unique factorization theorem on  $n \leq N$ ,

$$\prod_{p \le N} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \ge \sum_{n \le N} {'\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Since  $\sum_{n\leq N}'\frac{1}{n}\to\infty$  as  $N\to\infty$  (Claim 1), the conclusion is established.  $\square$ 

By applying the inequality  $e^x > 1 + x$  on any prime p,

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) > 1 + \frac{1}{p}.$$

Now multiplying the inequality over all primes  $p \leq N$  and noticing that  $\exp(x) \cdot \exp(y) = \exp(x+y)$ , we have

$$\exp\left(\sum_{p\leq N}\frac{1}{p}\right) > \prod_{p\leq N}\left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

By Claim 2,  $\exp\left(\sum_{p\leq N}\frac{1}{p}\right)\to\infty$  as  $N\to\infty$ , or  $\sum_{p\leq N}\frac{1}{p}\to\infty$  as  $N\to\infty$ .  $\square$ 

Exercise 8.12. Suppose  $0 < \delta < \pi$ ,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \le \delta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \delta < |x| \le \pi, \end{cases}$$

and  $f(x + 2\pi) = f(x)$  for all x.

- (a) Compute the Fourier coefficients of f.
- (b) Compute that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\delta)}{n} = \frac{\pi - \delta}{2} \qquad (0 < \delta < \pi).$$

(c) Deduce from Parseval's theorem that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sin(n\delta))^2}{n^2 \delta} = \frac{\pi - \delta}{2}.$$

(d) Let  $\delta \to 0$  and prove that

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^2 dx = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

(e) Put  $\delta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  in (c). What do you get?

It is a centered square pulse around x=0 with shift  $\delta$ . Besides, f(x) is an even function.

Proof of (a).

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} dx$$
$$= \frac{\delta}{\pi}.$$

For  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x)e^{-inx}dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} e^{-inx}dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{2\sin(n\delta)}{n}$$
$$= \frac{\sin(n\delta)}{n\pi}.$$

**Supplement.** Find  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  of this textbook. By (a),  $a_0 = \frac{\delta}{\pi}$ ,  $a_n = \frac{2\sin(n\delta)}{n\pi}$ ,  $b_n = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Surely, we can compute  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  (n > 0) directly. Since f(x) is an even function,  $b_n = 0$ . And

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) \cos(nx) dx$$
$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\delta} \cos(nx) dx$$
$$= \frac{2 \sin(n\delta)}{n\pi}.$$

*Proof of (b).* Given x=0, there are constants  $\delta'=\delta>0$  and  $M=1<\infty$  such

$$|f(0+t) - f(0)| \le M|t|$$

for all  $t \in (-\delta', \delta')$ . By Theorem 8.14,

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c_n = f(0).$$

Notice that  $c_{-n} = c_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , so

$$\frac{\delta}{\pi} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\delta)}{n\pi} = 1$$
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\delta)}{n} = \frac{\pi - \delta}{2}.$$

We can also use the expression  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  to prove the same thing. Besides, taking  $\delta=1$  yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin n}{n} = \frac{\pi - 1}{2}.$$

*Proof of (c).* Since f(x) is a Riemann-integrable function with period  $2\pi$ , by Parseval's theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n|^2.$$

So

$$\frac{\delta}{\pi} = \frac{\delta^2}{\pi^2} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sin(n\delta))^2}{n^2\pi^2},$$

or

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sin(n\delta))^2}{n^2 \delta} = \frac{\pi - \delta}{2}.$$

Notices that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\sin n)^2}{n^2} = \frac{\pi - 1}{2}$$

as  $\delta = 1$ .

Proof of (d). TODO.  $\square$ 

Proof of (e).

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{8}.$$

Write

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}, \end{split}$$

so

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{4}{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n-1)^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

**Exercise 8.13.** Put f(x) = x if  $0 \le x < 2\pi$ , and apply Parseval's theorem to conclude that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi}{6}.$$

Proof.

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} x dx$$
$$= \pi,$$

For  $n \neq 0$ ,

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} x e^{-inx} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \left[ -\frac{1}{in} x e^{-inx} \right]_{x=0}^{x=2\pi} - \int_0^{2\pi} -\frac{1}{in} e^{-inx} dx \right)$$

$$= \frac{i}{n}.$$

Since f(x) is a Riemann-integrable function with period  $2\pi$ , by Parseval's theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |c_n|^2.$$

So

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{(2\pi)^3}{3} = \pi^2 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2},$$

or

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}.$$

**Supplement.** Put  $f(x) = x^k$  if  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $0 \le x < 2\pi$ . Might show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2k}} = r_k \pi^{2k}, r_k \in \mathbb{Q}.$$