

Chapter 10: Integration of Differential Forms

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai

Email: plover@gmail.com

Exercise 10.1. Let H be a compact convex set in \mathbb{R}^k , with nonempty interior. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(H)$, put $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ in the complement of H , and define $\int_H f$ as in Definition 10.3. Prove that $\int_H f$ is independent of the order in which the k integrations are carried out. (Hint: Approximate f by functions that are continuous on \mathbb{R}^k and whose supports are in H , as was done in Example 10.4.)

Proof.

(1)

(2)

□

Exercise 10.2. For $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, let $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^1)$ have support in $(2^{-i}, 2^{1-i})$, such that $\int \varphi_i = 1$. Put

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \varphi_i(y)$$

Then f has compact support in \mathbb{R}^2 , f is continuous except at $(0, 0)$, and

$$\int dy \int f(x, y) dx = 0 \quad \text{but} \quad \int dx \int f(x, y) dy = 1.$$

Observe that f is unbounded in every neighborhood of $(0, 0)$.

Proof.

(1) If $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ are two functions, then

(a) $\text{supp}(fg) \subseteq \text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(g)$.

(b) $\text{supp}(f + g) \subseteq \text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g)$.

(2) Note that $f(x, y)$ is well-defined on \mathbb{R}^2 since only finitely many terms are nonzero for each fixed point $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (by (1)). Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{supp}([\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)]\varphi_i(y)) \\ & \subseteq \{(x, y) : x \in \text{supp}(\varphi_i) \cup \text{supp}(\varphi_{i+1}), y \in \text{supp}(\varphi_i)\} \\ & \subseteq \{(x, y) : x \in (2^{-i}, 2^{-i+1}) \cup (2^{-i-1}, 2^{-i}), y \in (2^{-i}, 2^{-i+1})\} \\ & \subseteq \{(x, y) : x \in (0, 1), y \in (0, 1)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. So $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq (0, 1)^2$, or $\text{supp}(f)$ is bounded. As $\text{supp}(f)$ is closed (by definition), $\text{supp}(f)$ is compact (Theorem 2.41).

(3) Show that $f(x, y)$ is not continuous at $(0, 0)$.

(a) Note that $f(0, 0) = 0$ since $(0, 0) \notin \text{supp}(f) \subseteq (0, 1)^2$. It suffices to show that there exists a sequence $\{(t_n, t_n)\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $(t_n, t_n) \neq (0, 0)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (t_n, t_n) = (0, 0)$ but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t_n, t_n)$ does not converge to 0 (Theorem 4.2).

(b) For any $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$1 = \int \varphi_n = \int_{2^{-n}}^{2^{-n+1}} \varphi(t) dt \leq 2^{-n} \sup_{t \in [2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}]} \varphi(t),$$

or $\sup_{t \in [2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}]} \varphi(t) \geq 2^n$. By the continuity of φ_n , there exists $t_n \in [2^{-n}, 2^{-n+1}]$ such that $\varphi_n(t_n) \geq 2^n$ (Theorem 4.16).

(c) We construct $\{(t_n, t_n)\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 by (b) for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Clearly, $(t_n, t_n) \neq (0, 0)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (t_n, t_n) = (0, 0)$. However,

$$f(t_n, t_n) = [\varphi_n(t_n) - \varphi_{n+1}(t_n)]\varphi_n(t_n) = \varphi_n(t_n)^2 \geq 2^{2n}$$

does not converge to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(4) Show that $f(x, y)$ is continuous at $\mathbf{x}_0 = (x_0, y_0) \neq (0, 0)$. Consider an open neighborhood $B(\mathbf{x}_0; r)$ of \mathbf{x}_0 with $r = \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_0\|}{64} > 0$. Hence,

$$f(x, y)|_{B(\mathbf{x}_0; r)} = \sum_{i=1}^N [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)]\varphi_i(y)$$

is the sum of finitely many terms where $N = \log_2 \frac{89}{\|\mathbf{x}_0\|} \geq 1$ (since $[\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)]\varphi_i(y) = 0$ on $B(\mathbf{x}_0; r)$ whenever $i \geq N$). Therefore, $f(x, y)|_{B(\mathbf{x}_0; r)}$ is continuous by the continuity of φ_i .

(5) Show that $\int dy \int f(x, y) dx = 0$. For any fixed y , there is a positive integer $N(y)$ such that $\varphi_{N(y)+1}(y) = \varphi_{N(y)+2}(y) = \dots = 0$ and

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(y)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)]\varphi_i(y).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\int f(x, y) dx &= \int \sum_{i=1}^{N(y)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \varphi_i(y) dx \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N(y)} \varphi_i(y) \int [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] dx \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N(y)} \varphi_i(y) \left(\int \varphi_i(x) dx - \int \varphi_{i+1}(x) dx \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N(y)} \varphi_i(y) (1 - 1) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\int dy \int f(x, y) dx = \int 0 dy = 0.$$

- (6) *Show that $\int dx \int f(x, y) dy = 0$. For any fixed x , there is a positive integer $N(x)$ such that $\varphi_{N(x)+1}(x) = \varphi_{N(x)+2}(x) = \dots = 0$ and*

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(x)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \varphi_i(y).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\int f(x, y) dy &= \int \sum_{i=1}^{N(x)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \varphi_i(y) dy \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N(x)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \int \varphi_i(y) dy \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N(x)} [\varphi_i(x) - \varphi_{i+1}(x)] \\
&= \varphi_1(x),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\int dx \int f(x, y) dy = \int \varphi_1(x) dx = 1.$$

□

Exercise 10.3.

- (a) If \mathbf{F} is as in Theorem 10.7, put $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0})$, $\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})$. Then $\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$. Show that

$$\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{G}_n \circ \mathbf{G}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{x})$$

in some neighborhood of $\mathbf{0}$, for certain primitive mappings $\mathbf{G}_1, \dots, \mathbf{G}_n$. This gives another version of Theorem 10.7:

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{G}_n \circ \mathbf{G}_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{x}).$$

- (b) Prove that the mapping $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$ of \mathbb{R}^2 onto \mathbb{R}^2 is not the composition of any two primitive mappings, in any neighborhood of the origin. (This shows that the flips B_i cannot be omitted from the statement of Theorem 10.7.)

Proof of (a).

- (1) Suppose \mathbf{F} is a \mathcal{C}' -mapping of an open set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ into \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathbf{0} \in E$, $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$, and $\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0})$ is invertible.
- (2) Similar to the proof of Theorem 10.7. Put $\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathbf{F}$.
- (3) As $m = 1$, there is an open neighborhood $V_1 \subseteq E$ of $\mathbf{0}$ such that $\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{0}) = (\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0}))^{-1}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{F}'_1(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$ is invertible, and

$$\mathbf{F}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{e}_i,$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are real \mathcal{C}' -functions in V_1 . Hence

$$\mathbf{F}'_1(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (D_1\alpha_i)(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{e}_i.$$

Note that $(D_1\alpha_1)(\mathbf{0}) = 1 \neq 0$, and we might pick $B_1 = \mathbf{I}$. Thus we can define

$$\mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + [\alpha_1(\mathbf{x}) - x_1]\mathbf{e}_1 \quad (\mathbf{x} \in V_1).$$

Then $\mathbf{G}_1 \in \mathcal{C}'(V_1)$, \mathbf{G}_1 is primitive, and $\mathbf{G}'_1(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$ is invertible.

- (4) Now we make the induction hypothesis for $1 \leq m \leq n-1$.
- (5) Since $\mathbf{G}'_m(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$ is invertible, the inverse function theorem shows that there is an open set U_m , with $\mathbf{0} \in U_m \subseteq V_m$, such that \mathbf{G}_m is an injective mapping of U_m onto a neighborhood V_{m+1} of $\mathbf{0}$, in which $\mathbf{G}_m^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}'(V_{m+1})$. Define \mathbf{F}_{m+1} by

$$\mathbf{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{F}_m \circ \mathbf{G}_m^{-1}(\mathbf{y}) \quad (\mathbf{y} \in V_{m+1}).$$

Then $\mathbf{F}_{m+1} \in \mathcal{C}'(V_{m+1})$, $\mathbf{F}_m(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$, and $\mathbf{F}'_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$ is invertible by the chain rule and the inverse function theorem. So

$$\mathbf{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}) = P_m \mathbf{x} + \sum_{i=m+1}^n \alpha_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are real \mathcal{C}' -functions in V_{m+1} . Hence

$$\mathbf{F}'_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}) \mathbf{e}_{m+1} = \sum_{i=m+1}^n (D_{m+1} \alpha_i)(\mathbf{0}) \mathbf{e}_i.$$

Note that $(D_{m+1} \alpha_{m+1})(\mathbf{0}) = 1 \neq 0$, and we might pick $B_{m+1} = \mathbf{I}$. Thus we can define

$$\mathbf{G}_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} + [\alpha_{m+1}(\mathbf{x}) - x_{m+1}] \mathbf{e}_{m+1} \quad (\mathbf{x} \in V_{m+1}).$$

Then $\mathbf{G}_{m+1} \in \mathcal{C}'(V_{m+1})$, \mathbf{G}_{m+1} is primitive, and $\mathbf{G}'_{m+1}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{I}$ is invertible. Our induction hypothesis holds therefore with $m+1$ in place of m .

(6) Note that

$$\mathbf{F}_m(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{F}_{m+1}(\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{x})) \quad (\mathbf{x} \in U_m).$$

If we apply this with $m = 1, \dots, n-1$, we successively obtain

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = \mathbf{F}_n \circ \mathbf{G}_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{G}_1$$

in some open neighborhood of $\mathbf{0}$. Note that \mathbf{F}_n is primitive since

$$\mathbf{F}_n(\mathbf{x}) = P_{n-1} \mathbf{x} + \alpha_n(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{e}_n.$$

This completes the proof.

□

Proof of (b).

(1) For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, define

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = (y, x).$$

(2) (Reductio ad absurdum) If $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1$ for some primitive mappings \mathbf{G}_i ($i = 1, 2$) in some neighborhood V_i of the origin, $\mathbf{G}_i(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ and \mathbf{G}'_i is invertible, then we may assume that

$$\mathbf{G}_1(x, y) = (x, g_1(x, y)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{G}_2(x, y) = (g_2(x, y), y).$$

Here the case $\mathbf{G}_1(x, y) = (g_1(x, y), y)$ and $\mathbf{G}_2(x, y) = (x, g_2(x, y))$ is similar to the above case. Besides, $\mathbf{G}_1(x, y) = (x, g_1(x, y))$ and $\mathbf{G}_2(x, y) = (x, g_2(x, y))$ implies that

$$\mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1(x, y) = (x, g_2(x, g_1(x, y))) \neq (y, x) = \mathbf{F}(x, y).$$

Same reason for $\mathbf{G}_1(x, y) = (g_1(x, y), y)$ and $\mathbf{G}_2(x, y) = (g_2(x, y), y)$.

(3) Note that

$$\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since

$$\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{G}'_2(\mathbf{G}_1(\mathbf{0}))\mathbf{G}'_1(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{G}'_2(\mathbf{0})\mathbf{G}'_1(\mathbf{0}),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} D_1g_2(0,0) & D_2g_2(0,0) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ D_1g_1(0,0) & D_2g_1(0,0) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ D_1g_1(0,0) & D_2g_1(0,0) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

So $D_1g_1(0,0) = 1$ and $D_2g_1(0,0) = 0$, and thus $\mathbf{G}'_1(\mathbf{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is not invertible, which is absurd.

□

Exercise 10.4. For $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, define

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = (e^x \cos y - 1, e^x \sin y)$$

Prove that $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_1(x, y) &= (e^x \cos y - 1, y) \\ \mathbf{G}_2(u, v) &= (u, (1 + u) \tan v) \end{aligned}$$

are primitive in some neighborhood of $(0, 0)$. Compute the Jacobians of \mathbf{G}_1 , \mathbf{G}_2 , \mathbf{F} at $(0, 0)$. Define

$$\mathbf{H}_2(x, y) = (x, e^x \sin y)$$

and find

$$\mathbf{H}_1(u, v) = (h(u, v), v)$$

so that $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{H}_1 \circ \mathbf{H}_2$ is in some neighborhood of $(0, 0)$.

Proof.

(1) By Definition 10.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}_1(x, y) &= (e^x \cos y - 1)\mathbf{e}_1 + y\mathbf{e}_2, \\ \mathbf{G}_2(u, v) &= u\mathbf{e}_1 + ((1 + u) \tan v)\mathbf{e}_2 \end{aligned}$$

are primitive in some neighborhood of $(0, 0)$.

(2) Show that $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1$. Given any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{G}_2 \circ \mathbf{G}_1)(x, y) &= \mathbf{G}_2(\mathbf{G}_1(x, y)) \\
&= \mathbf{G}_2(e^x \cos y - 1, y) \\
&= (e^x \cos y - 1, (1 + (e^x \cos y - 1)) \tan y) \\
&= (e^x \cos y - 1, e^x \sin y) \\
&= \mathbf{F}(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

(3) Since

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\mathbf{G}_1}(x, y) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} e^x \cos y & -e^x \sin y \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = e^x \cos y \\
J_{\mathbf{G}_2}(x, y) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \tan y & (1 + x) \sec^2 y \end{bmatrix} = (1 + x) \sec^2 y \\
J_{\mathbf{F}}(x, y) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} e^x \cos y & -e^x \sin y \\ e^x \sin y & e^x \cos y \end{bmatrix} = e^{2x},
\end{aligned}$$

$$J_{\mathbf{G}_1}(0, 0) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

$$J_{\mathbf{G}_2}(0, 0) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1$$

$$J_{\mathbf{F}}(0, 0) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$

(4) Define $h(u, v) = \sqrt{e^{2u} - v^2} - 1$ on

$$B\left((0, 0); \frac{1}{64}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2.$$

$h(u, v)$ is well-defined since $e^{2u} - v^2 > 0$ for all $(u, v) \in B\left((0, 0); \frac{1}{64}\right)$.

(5) Given any $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{H}_1 \circ \mathbf{H}_2)(x, y) &= \mathbf{H}_1(\mathbf{H}_2(x, y)) \\
&= \mathbf{H}_1(x, e^x \sin y) \\
&= (\sqrt{e^{2x} - (e^x \sin y)^2} - 1, e^x \sin y) \\
&= (e^x \cos y - 1, e^x \sin y) \\
&= \mathbf{F}(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 10.5. Formulate and prove an analogue of Theorem 10.8, in which K is a compact subset of an arbitrary metric space. (Replace the functions φ_i that occur in the proof of Theorem 10.8 by functions of the type constructed in Exercise 4.22.)

Proof (Theorem 10.8).

- (1) (Partitions of unity.) Suppose K is a compact subset of a metric space X , and $\{V_\alpha\}$ is an open cover of K . Then there exist functions $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_s \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that

- (a) $0 \leq \psi_i \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$.
- (b) each ψ_i has its support in some V_α , and
- (c) $\psi_1(x) + \dots + \psi_s(x) = 1$ for every $x \in K$.

- (2) It is trivial that some $V_\alpha = X$ by taking $s = 1$ and $\psi_1(x) = 1 \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Now we assume that all $V_\alpha \subsetneq X$.

- (3) Associate with each $x \in K$ an index $\alpha(x)$ so that $x \in V_{\alpha(x)}$. Then there are open balls $B(x)$ and $W(x)$, centered at x , with

$$x \in B(x) \subseteq \overline{B(x)} \subseteq W(x) \subseteq \overline{W(x)} \subseteq V_{\alpha(x)}$$

(Since $V_{\alpha(x)}$ is open, there exists $r > 0$ such that $B(x; r) \subseteq V_{\alpha(x)}$. Take $B(x) = B(x; \frac{r}{89})$ and $W(x) = B(x; \frac{r}{64})$.)

- (4) Since K is compact, there are finitely many points $x_1, \dots, x_s \in K$ such that

$$K \subseteq B(x_1) \cup \dots \cup B(x_s).$$

Note that

- (a) $\overline{B(x_i)}$ is a nonempty closed set since $x_i \in B(x_i) \subseteq \overline{B(x_i)}$.
- (b) $X - W(x_i) \supseteq X - V_{\alpha(x_i)}$ is a nonempty closed set by the assumption in (2).
- (c) $\overline{B(x_i)} \cap (X - W(x_i)) \subseteq W(x_i) \cap (X - W(x_i)) = \emptyset$.

By Exercise 4.22, there is a function

$$\varphi_i(x) = \frac{\rho_{\overline{B(x_i)}}(x)}{\rho_{\overline{B(x_i)}}(x) + \rho_{X - W(x_i)}(x)} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$$

such that $\varphi_i(x) = 1$ on $\overline{B(x_i)}$, $\varphi_i(x) = 0$ outside $W(x_i)$, and $0 \leq \varphi_i(x) \leq 1$ on X for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

- (5) Define $\psi_1 = \varphi_1 \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ and

$$\psi_{i+1} = (1 - \varphi_1) \cdots (1 - \varphi_i) \varphi_{i+1} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$. Properties (a) and (b) in (1) are clear. Also,

$$\psi_1(x) + \cdots + \psi_s(x) = 1 - (1 - \varphi_1(x)) \cdots (1 - \varphi_s(x))$$

by the construction of ψ_i . If $x \in K$, then $x \in B(x_i)$ for some i , hence $\varphi_i(x) = 1$, and the product $(1 - \varphi_1(x)) \cdots (1 - \varphi_s(x)) = 0$. This proves property (c) in (1).

□

Exercise 10.6. *Strengthen the conclusion of Theorem 10.8 by showing that the functions ψ_i can be made differentiable, and even infinitely differentiable. (Use Exercise 8.1 in the construction of the auxiliary functions ψ_i .)*

Proof (Theorem 10.8).

- (1) It is trivial that some $V_\alpha = \mathbb{R}^n$ by taking $s = 1$ and $\psi_1(\mathbf{x}) = 1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now we assume that all $V_\alpha \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$.
- (2) Associate with each $\mathbf{x} \in K$ an index $\alpha(x)$ so that $\mathbf{x} \in V_{\alpha(x)}$. Then there are open n -cells $B(\mathbf{x})$ and $W(\mathbf{x})$ (Definition 10.1), centered at \mathbf{x} , with

$$\mathbf{x} \in B(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \overline{B(\mathbf{x})} \subseteq W(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \overline{W(\mathbf{x})} \subseteq V_{\alpha(\mathbf{x})}$$

(Since $V_{\alpha(\mathbf{x})}$ is open, there exists $r > 0$ such that $B(\mathbf{x}; r) \subseteq V_{\alpha(\mathbf{x})}$. Take

$$B(\mathbf{x}) = I\left(\mathbf{x}; \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}\right), \quad W(\mathbf{x}) = I\left(\mathbf{x}; \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

where $I(\mathbf{p}; r)$ is the open n -cell centered at $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ defined by

$$I(\mathbf{p}; r) = (p_1 - r, p_1 + r) \times \cdots \times (p_n - r, p_n + r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- (3) Define

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{y^2}} & (y > 0), \\ 0 & (y \leq 0). \end{cases}$$

$f(y) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$ by applying the similar argument in Exercise 8.1.

- (4) Given any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K$ and construct $B(\mathbf{x})$ and $W(\mathbf{x})$ as in (2). Define

$$g_{x_j}(y_j) = \frac{f(y_j)}{f(y_j) + f\left(\frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}} - y_j\right)}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$. g_{x_j} is well-defined and $g_{x_j} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$. So

$$g_{x_j}(y_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_j \leq 0, \\ \text{strictly increasing} & \text{if } 0 \leq y_j \leq \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } y_j \geq \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}. \end{cases}$$

Next, define

$$h_{x_j}(y_j) = g_{x_j} \left(y_j - x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} \right) g_{x_j} \left(x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} - y_j \right)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$. $h_{x_j} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$. So

$$h_{x_j}(y_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_j \leq x_j - \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}, \\ \text{strictly increasing} & \text{if } x_j - \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}} \leq y_j \leq x_j - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x_j - \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}} \leq y_j \leq x_j + \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}}, \\ \text{strictly decreasing} & \text{if } x_j + \frac{r}{89\sqrt{n}} \leq y_j \leq x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } y_j \geq x_j + \frac{r}{64\sqrt{n}}. \end{cases}$$

Finally we define $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ by

$$\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) = \prod_{j=1}^n h_{x_j}(y_j)$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence, $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (Theorem 9.21). Also, $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) = 1$ on $B(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) = 0$ outside $W(\mathbf{x})$, and $0 \leq \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) \leq 1$.

- (5) Since K is compact, there are finitely many points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_s \in K$ such that

$$K \subseteq B(\mathbf{x}_1) \cup \dots \cup B(\mathbf{x}_s).$$

Take

$$\varphi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{x}_i}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

- (6) The rest are the same as the proof of Theorem 10.8 or Exercise 10.5.

□

Exercise 10.7.

- (a) Show that the simplex Q^k is the smallest convex subset of \mathbb{R}^k such that contains $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$.
- (b) Show that affine mappings take convex sets to convex sets.

Proof of (a).

- (1) Show that Q^k contains $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$. Recall

$$Q^k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : x_1 + \dots + x_k \leq 1 \text{ and } x_1, \dots, x_k \geq 0\}$$

(Example 10.14). Hence $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0) \in Q^k$ and

$$\mathbf{e}_i = (0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{i\text{th coordinate}}, \dots, 0) \in Q^k.$$

- (2) Show that Q^k is a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^k . Given any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in Q^k$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in Q^k$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Hence

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y} = (\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) y_1, \dots, \lambda x_k + (1 - \lambda) y_k) \in Q^k$$

since each $\lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda) y_i \geq 0$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda) y_i) = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \leq \lambda + (1 - \lambda) = 1.$$

- (3) Given any convex set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ containing $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$. Show that $E \supseteq Q^k$.

- (a) Induction on k . Base case: $k = 1$. Given any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1) \in Q^1$. We have $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1$ by the definition of Q^1 . So that $\mathbf{x} = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + (1 - x_1) \mathbf{0} \in E$ since $\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1 \in E$ and E is convex.
- (b) Inductive step: suppose the statement holds for $k = n$. Given any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \in Q^{n+1}$. If $x_{n+1} = 1$, then $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$ by the definition of Q^{n+1} . So $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_{n+1} \in E$ by the assumption of E . If $0 \leq x_{n+1} < 1$, then $x_1 + \dots + x_n \leq 1 - x_{n+1}$ or

$$\frac{x_1}{1 - x_{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{x_n}{1 - x_{n+1}} \leq 1.$$

So the point

$$\left(\frac{x_1}{1 - x_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1 - x_{n+1}} \right) \in Q^n,$$

or

$$\left(\frac{x_1}{1 - x_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1 - x_{n+1}}, 0 \right), \text{ say } \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \in E$$

by the induction hypothesis. Note that $\mathbf{e}_{n+1} \in E$. Hence

$$\mathbf{x} = x_{n+1} \mathbf{e}_{n+1} + (1 - x_{n+1}) \hat{\mathbf{x}} \in E$$

by the convexity of E .

- (c) Conclusion: Since both the base case and the inductive step have been proved as true, by mathematical induction the statement holds.

□

Proof of (b).

- (1) Let \mathbf{f} be an affine mapping that carries a vector space X into a vector space Y such that

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A\mathbf{x}$$

for some $A \in L(X, Y)$.

- (2) Given any convex subset C of X . To show that $\mathbf{f}(C)$ is convex, it suffices to show that

$$\lambda \mathbf{y}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathbf{f}(C)$$

for any $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathbf{f}(C)$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. Write $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_1)$, $\mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_2)$ for some $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in C$. Note that $\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2 \in C$ by the convexity of C . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{f}(\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A(\lambda \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + \lambda A \mathbf{x}_1 + (1 - \lambda) A \mathbf{x}_2 & (A \in L(X, Y)) \\ &= \lambda(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A \mathbf{x}_1) + (1 - \lambda)(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{0}) + A \mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= \lambda \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_1) + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= \lambda \mathbf{y}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathbf{f}(C). \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 10.8. Let H be the parallelogram in \mathbb{R}^2 whose vertices are $(1, 1)$, $(3, 2)$, $(4, 5)$, $(2, 4)$. Find the affine map T which sends $(0, 0)$ to $(1, 1)$, $(1, 0)$ to $(3, 2)$, $(1, 1)$ to $(4, 5)$, $(0, 1)$ to $(2, 4)$. Show that $J_T = 5$. Use T to convert the integral

$$\alpha = \int_H e^{x-y} dx dy$$

to an integral over I^2 and thus compute α .

Proof.

- (1) By Affine simplexes 10.26,

$$T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{0}) + A\mathbf{x},$$

where $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2)$, say $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$. Note that $T : \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus

$$T : \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + ax + by \\ 1 + cx + dy \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (2) By $T : (1, 0) \mapsto (3, 2)$ and $T : (0, 1) \mapsto (2, 4)$, we can solve A as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify such

$$T : \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{x}} \mapsto \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{T(\mathbf{0})} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + 2x + y \\ 1 + x + 3y \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfying our requirement.

(3)

$$J_T = \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = 5.$$

(4) By Example 10.4 and Theorem 10.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_H e^{x-y} dx dy &= \int_{I^2} e^{(1+2u+v)-(1+u+3v)} |J_T| du dv \\ &= 5 \int_{I^2} e^{u-2v} du dv \\ &= 5 \left\{ \int_0^1 e^u du \right\} \left\{ \int_0^1 e^{-2v} dv \right\} \quad (\text{Theorem 10.2}) \\ &= \frac{5}{2} (e-1)(1-e^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 10.9. Define $(x, y) = T(r, \theta)$ on the rectangle

$$0 \leq r \leq a, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$$

by the equations

$$x = r \cos \theta, \quad y = r \sin \theta.$$

Show that T maps this rectangle onto the closed disc D with center at $(0, 0)$ and radius a , that T is one-to-one in the interior of the rectangle, and that $J_T(r, \theta) = r$. If $f \in \mathcal{C}(D)$, prove the formula for integration in polar coordinates:

$$\int_D f(x, y) dx dy = \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} f(T(r, \theta)) r dr d\theta.$$

(Hint: Let D_0 be the interior of D , minus the interval from $(0, 0)$ to $(0, a)$. As it stands, Theorem 10.9 applies to continuous functions f whose support lies in D_0 . To remove this restriction, proceed as in Example 10.4.)

Proof.

(1)

(2)

□

Exercise 10.10. Let $a \rightarrow \infty$ in Exercise 10.9 and prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, y) dx dy = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} f(T(r, \theta)) r dr d\theta,$$

for continuous functions f that decrease sufficiently rapidly as $|x| + |y| \rightarrow \infty$.
(Find a more precise formulation.) Apply this to

$$f(x, y) = \exp(-x^2 - y^2)$$

to derive formula

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-s^2} ds = \sqrt{\pi}.$$

Proof.

(1)

(2)

□

Exercise 10.11. Define $(u, v) = T(s, t)$ on the strip

$$0 < s < \infty, \quad 0 < t < 1$$

by setting $u = s - st$, $v = st$. Show that T is a 1-1 mapping of the strip onto the positive quadrant Q in \mathbb{R}^2 . Show that $J_T(s, t) = s$. For $x > 0$, $y > 0$, integrate

$$u^{x-1} e^{-u} v^{y-1} e^{-v}$$

over Q , use Theorem 10.9 to convert the integral to one over the strip, and derive

$$\int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$$

in this way. (For this application, Theorem 10.9 has to be extended so as to cover certain improper integrals. Provide this extension.)

Proof.

(1)

(2)

□

Exercise 10.12. Let I^k be the set of all $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $0 \leq u_i \leq 1$ for all i ; let Q^k be the set of all $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $x_i \geq 0$, $\sum x_i \leq 1$. (I^k is the unit cube; Q^k is the standard simplex in \mathbb{R}^k .) Define $\mathbf{x} = T(\mathbf{u})$ by

$$x_1 = u_1$$

$$x_2 = (1 - u_1)u_2$$

$$\dots$$

$$x_k = (1 - u_1) \cdots (1 - u_{k-1})u_k.$$

Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - u_i).$$

Show that T maps I^k onto Q^k , that T is 1-1 in the interior of I^k , and that its inverse S is defined in the interior of Q^k by $u_1 = x_1$ and

$$u_i = \frac{x_i}{1 - x_1 - \cdots - x_{i-1}}$$

for $i = 2, \dots, k$. Show that

$$J_T(\mathbf{u}) = (1 - u_1)^{k-1} (1 - u_2)^{k-2} \cdots (1 - u_{k-1}),$$

and

$$J_S(\mathbf{x}) = [(1 - x_1)(1 - x_1 - x_2) \cdots (1 - x_1 - \cdots - x_{k-1})]^{-1}.$$

Proof.

(1) Show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - u_i)$$

for all $1 \leq m \leq k$. Induction on m . Base case: $x_1 = 1 - (1 - u_1)$. Inductive step: Suppose the case $m = h$ is true. Consider the case $m = h + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{h+1} x_i &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^h x_i \right) + x_{h+1} \\ &= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^h (1 - u_i) + x_{h+1} && \text{(Induction hypothesis)} \\ &= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^h (1 - u_i) + u_{h+1} \prod_{i=1}^h (1 - u_i) && \text{(Definition of } x_{h+1}) \\ &= 1 - (1 - u_{h+1}) \prod_{i=1}^h (1 - u_i) \\ &= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{h+1} (1 - u_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since both the base case and the inductive step have been proved as true, by mathematical induction the statement is established.

- (2) Show that T maps I^k onto Q^k . Given any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in Q^k$. It is equivalent to solve $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ from

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= u_1 \\ x_2 &= (1 - u_1)u_2 \\ &\dots \\ x_k &= (1 - u_1) \cdots (1 - u_{k-1})u_k \end{aligned}$$

in terms of $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$. It is clear that $u_1 = x_1$ and

$$u_i = \begin{cases} x_i(1 - x_1 - \cdots - x_{i-1})^{-1} & \text{if } x_1 + \cdots + x_{i-1} \neq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_1 + \cdots + x_{i-1} = 1. \end{cases}$$

for $i = 2, \dots, k$. (If $x_1 + \cdots + x_{i-1} \neq 1$, by (1) we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - u_j) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_j \neq 0$$

and thus

$$u_i = x_i \left\{ \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - u_j) \right\}^{-1} = x_i (1 - x_1 - \cdots - x_{i-1})^{-1}.$$

If $x_1 + \cdots + x_{i-1} = 1$, then $x_i = \cdots = x_k = 0$. We may take $u_i = 0$ to set the expression $x_i = (1 - u_1) \cdots (1 - u_{i-1})u_i$ to zero.) Note that the solution $\mathbf{u} \in I^k$ is well-defined by construction, or $T(I^k) = Q^k$.

- (3) Show that T is 1-1 in the interior of I^k . Suppose $T(\mathbf{u}) = T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{x}$ with $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \text{int}(I^k)$. Then we consider the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= u_1 = v_1 \\ x_2 &= (1 - u_1)u_2 = (1 - v_1)v_2 \\ &\dots \\ x_k &= (1 - u_1) \cdots (1 - u_{k-1})u_k = (1 - v_1) \cdots (1 - v_{k-1})v_k. \end{aligned}$$

By (1),

$$\mathbf{x} \in \text{int}(Q^k) = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : x_i > 0, \sum x_i < 1 \right\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= v_1 = x_1 \\ u_2 &= v_1 = x_2(1 - x_1)^{-1} \\ &\dots \\ u_k &= v_k = x_k(1 - x_1 - \cdots - x_{k-1})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here all $(1 - x_1)^{-1}, \dots, (1 - x_1 - \cdots - x_i)^{-1}$ are well-defined since $\mathbf{x} \in \text{int}(Q^k)$. Therefore, T is injective on $\text{int}(I^k)$.

- (4) By (2)(3), T maps $\text{int}(I^k)$ onto $\text{int}(Q^k)$. That is, given any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \text{int}(Q^k)$, we can pick

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= x_1 \\ u_i &= x_i(1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{i-1})^{-1} \quad (i = 2, \dots, k) \end{aligned}$$

such that $\mathbf{u} \in \text{int}(I^k)$ and $T(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{x}$.

- (5) Note that $T(\mathbf{u}) = (u_1, (1 - u_1)u_2, \dots, (1 - u_1) \cdots (1 - u_{k-1})u_k)$ on $\text{int}(I^k)$. So

$$T'(\mathbf{u}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & (1 - u_1) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & * & \prod_{i=1}^2 (1 - u_i) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & \cdots & \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - u_i) \end{bmatrix}$$

is a lower triangular matrix. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} J_T(\mathbf{u}) &= \det T'(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= 1 \cdot (1 - u_1) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^2 (1 - u_i) \cdots \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - u_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - u_i)^{k-i}. \end{aligned}$$

- (6) Similar to (5). $S(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1, x_2(1 - x_1)^{-1}, \dots, x_k(1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})^{-1})$ on $\text{int}(Q^k)$. So

$$S'(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & (1 - x_1)^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & * & (1 - x_1 - x_2)^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & \cdots & (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a lower triangular matrix. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} J_S(\mathbf{x}) &= \det S'(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= 1 \cdot (1 - x_1)^{-1} \cdot (1 - x_1 - x_2)^{-1} \cdots (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})^{-1} \\ &= [(1 - x_1)(1 - x_1 - x_2) \cdots (1 - x_1 - \dots - x_{k-1})]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 10.13. Let r_1, \dots, r_k be nonnegative integers, and prove that

$$\int_{Q^k} x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_k^{r_k} d\mathbf{x} = \frac{r_1! \cdots r_k!}{(k + r_1 + \cdots + r_k)!}$$

(Hint: Use Exercise 10.12, Theorems 10.9 and 8.20.) Note that the special case $r_1 = \cdots = r_k = 0$ shows that the volume of Q^k is $\frac{1}{k!}$.

Proof.

(1) Define $T : I^k$ onto Q^k as in Exercise 10.12, and $f : Q^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_k^{r_k} = \prod_{i=1}^k x_i^{r_i}.$$

(2) By Exercise 10.12, Example 10.4 and Theorems 10.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q^k} x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_k^{r_k} d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{Q^k} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{I^k} f(T(\mathbf{u})) |J_T(\mathbf{u})| d\mathbf{u} \\ &= \int_{I^k} \prod_{i=1}^k \left(u_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - u_j) \right)^{r_i} \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - u_i)^{k-i} d\mathbf{u} \\ &= \int_{I^k} \prod_{i=1}^k u_i^{r_i} (1 - u_i)^{k-i+\sum_{j=i+1}^k r_j} d\mathbf{u} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k \int_0^1 u_i^{r_i} (1 - u_i)^{k-i+\sum_{j=i+1}^k r_j} du_i && \text{(Theorem 10.2)} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{r_i! \left(k - i + \sum_{j=i+1}^k r_j \right)!}{\left(k - i + 1 + \sum_{j=i}^k r_j \right)!} && \text{(Theorem 8.20)} \\ &= \frac{r_1! \cdots r_k!}{(k + r_1 + \cdots + r_k)!}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 10.14 (Levi-Civita symbol). Prove $\varepsilon(j_1, \dots, j_k) = s(j_1, \dots, j_k)$, where

$$s(j_1, \dots, j_k) = \prod_{p < q} \text{sgn}(j_q - j_p).$$

It is usually to define the Levi-Civita symbol by

$$\varepsilon(j_1, \dots, j_k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (j_1, \dots, j_k) \text{ is an even permutation of } J, \\ -1 & \text{if } (j_1, \dots, j_k) \text{ is an odd permutation of } J, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(Basic k -forms 10.14). Thus, it is the sign of the permutation in the case of a permutation, and zero otherwise. So $\varepsilon(j_1, \dots, j_k)$ is equivalent to an explicit expression $s(j_1, \dots, j_k) = \prod_{p < q} \text{sgn}(j_q - j_p)$.

Proof.

- (1) Induction on k . Base case: Show that $\varepsilon(j_1, j_2) = s(j_1, j_2)$. Since

$$\varepsilon(j_1, j_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j_1 < j_2 \\ -1 & \text{if } j_1 > j_2, \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon(j_1, j_2) = \text{sgn}(j_2 - j_1) = s(j_1, j_2).$$

- (2) Inductive step: Show that for any $s \geq 2$, if $\varepsilon(j_1, \dots, j_s) = s(j_1, \dots, j_s)$ holds, then $\varepsilon(j_1, \dots, j_{s+1}) = s(j_1, \dots, j_{s+1})$ also holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(j_1, \dots, j_{s+1}) &= \varepsilon(j_1, \dots, j_s) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq s \\ q=s+1}} \text{sgn}(j_q - j_p) \\ &= s(j_1, \dots, j_s) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq s \\ q=s+1}} \text{sgn}(j_q - j_p) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq s} \text{sgn}(j_q - j_p) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq s \\ q=s+1}} \text{sgn}(j_q - j_p) \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq p < q \leq s+1} \text{sgn}(j_q - j_p) \\ &= s(j_1, \dots, j_{s+1}). \end{aligned}$$

- (3) Conclusion: Since both the base case and the inductive step have been proved as true, by mathematical induction the statement holds for every integer $k \geq 2$.

□

Exercise 10.15. If ω and λ are k - and m -forms, respectively, prove that

$$\omega \wedge \lambda = (-1)^{km} \lambda \wedge \omega.$$

Proof.

(1) Write

$$\omega = \sum_I b_I(\mathbf{x}) dx_I, \quad \lambda = \sum_J c_J(\mathbf{x}) dx_J$$

in the standard presentations, where I and J range over all increasing k -indices and over all increasing m -indices taken from the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

(2) Show that $dx_I \wedge dx_J = (-1)^{km} dx_J \wedge dx_I$.

$$\begin{aligned} dx_I \wedge dx_J &= dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k} \wedge dx_J \\ &= (-1)^m dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{k-1}} \wedge dx_J \wedge dx_{i_k} \\ &= (-1)^{2m} dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{k-2}} \wedge dx_J \wedge dx_{i_{k-1}} \wedge dx_{i_k} \\ &\dots \\ &= (-1)^{km} dx_J \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k} \\ &= (-1)^{km} dx_J \wedge dx_I. \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \wedge \lambda &= \sum_{I,J} b_I(\mathbf{x}) c_J(\mathbf{x}) dx_I \wedge dx_J \\ &= (-1)^{km} \sum_{J,I} c_J(\mathbf{x}) b_I(\mathbf{x}) dx_J \wedge dx_I \\ &= (-1)^{km} \lambda \wedge \omega. \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 10.16. If $k \geq 2$ and $\sigma = [\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k]$ is an oriented affine k -simplex, prove that $\partial^2 \sigma = 0$, directly from the definition of the boundary operator ∂ . Deduce from this that $\partial^2 \Psi = 0$ for every chain Ψ . (Hint: For orientation, do it first for $k = 2$, $k = 3$. In general, if $i < j$, let σ_{ij} be the $(k-2)$ -simplex obtained by deleting \mathbf{p}_i and \mathbf{p}_j from σ . Show that each σ_{ij} occurs twice in $\partial^2 \sigma$, with opposite sign.)

Proof (Brute-force).

(1) Write the boundary of the oriented affine k -simplex $\sigma = [\mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k]$ as

$$\partial \sigma = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i [\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k]$$

where where the oriented $(k-1)$ -simplex $[\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k]$ is obtained by deleting σ 's i -th vertex (Boundaries 10.29).

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^2 \sigma &= \partial \left(\sum_i (-1)^i [\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k] \right) \\
&= \sum_i (-1)^i \partial [\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k] \\
&= \sum_{j < i} (-1)^i (-1)^j [\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_j, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k] \\
&\quad + \sum_{j > i} (-1)^i (-1)^{j-1} [\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_j, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k] \\
&= \sum_{j < i} (-1)^{i+j} [\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_j, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k] \\
&\quad - \sum_{j > i} (-1)^{i+j} [\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_i, \dots, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_j, \dots, \mathbf{p}_k].
\end{aligned}$$

The latter two summations cancel since after switching i and j in the second sum. Therefore $\partial^2 \sigma = 0$.

- (3) The boundary of a chain is the linear combination of boundaries of the simplices in the chain. Write $\Psi = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i$, where σ_i is an oriented affine simplex. Then

$$\partial^2 \Psi = \partial \left(\partial \sum \sigma_i \right) = \partial \left(\sum \partial \sigma_i \right) = \sum \partial^2 \sigma_i = \sum 0 = 0$$

for any affine chain Ψ .

□

Exercise 10.17. Put $J^2 = \tau_1 + \tau_2$, where

$$\tau_1 = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2], \quad \tau_2 = -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1].$$

Explain why it is reasonable to call J^2 the positively oriented unit square in \mathbb{R}^2 . Show that ∂J^2 is the sum of 4 oriented affine 1-simplexes. Find these. What is $\partial(\tau_1 - \tau_2)$?

Proof.

- (1) Note that the unit square $I^2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the union of $\tau_1(Q^2)$ and $\tau_2(Q_2)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_1(\mathbf{u}) &= ([\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2])(\mathbf{u}) \\
&= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 (\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) \\
&= \mathbf{0} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 \\
&= \mathbf{0} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_2(\mathbf{u}) &= (-[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1])(\mathbf{u}) \\
&= ([\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2])(\mathbf{u}) \\
&= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_1(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) + \alpha_2\mathbf{e}_2 \\
&= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_1\mathbf{e}_1 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\mathbf{e}_2 \\
&= \mathbf{0} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{e}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (as in Equation (78)). Both τ_1 and τ_2 have Jacobian $1 > 0$, or positively oriented (Affine simplexes 10.26). So it is reasonable to call J^2 the positively oriented unit square in \mathbb{R}^2 .

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial\tau_1 &= [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] - [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1], \\
\partial\tau_2 &= [\mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2] - [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_1] \\
&= [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}] + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2].
\end{aligned}$$

(3) By (2),

$$\partial J^2 = \partial\tau_1 + \partial\tau_2 = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1] + [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}],$$

which is the positively oriented boundary of I^2 .

(4) By (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial(\tau_1 - \tau_2) &= \partial\tau_1 - \partial\tau_2 \\
&= [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1] + [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}] \\
&\quad + [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{0}].
\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 10.18. Consider the oriented affine 3-simplex

$$\sigma_1 = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]$$

in \mathbb{R}^3 . Show that σ_1 (regarded as a linear transformation) has determinant 1. Thus σ_1 is positively oriented.

Let $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_6$ be five other oriented 3-simplexes, obtained as follows: There are five permutations (i_1, i_2, i_3) of $(1, 2, 3)$, distinct from $(1, 2, 3)$. Associate with each (i_1, i_2, i_3) the simplex

$$s(i_1, i_2, i_3)[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}]$$

where s is the sign that occurs in the definition of the determinant. (This is how τ_2 was obtained from τ_1 in Exercise 10.17.) Show that $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_6$ are positively oriented.

Put $J^3 = \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_6$. Then J^3 may be called the positively oriented unit cube in \mathbb{R}^3 . Show that ∂J^3 is the sum of 12 oriented affine 2-simplexes. (These 12 triangles cover the surface of the unit cube I^3 .)

Show that $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is in the range of σ_1 if and only if $0 \leq x_3 \leq x_2 \leq x_1 \leq 1$.

Show that the range of $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_6$ have disjoint interiors, and that their union covers I^3 . (Compared with Exercise 10.13; note that $3! = 6$.)

Proof.

- (1) Show that σ_1 (regarded as a linear transformation) has determinant 1. Given any $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(\mathbf{u}) &= ([\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3])(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2) + \alpha_3(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3) \\ &= \mathbf{0} + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)\mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{say } A} \mathbf{u}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\det(A) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$

- (2) Show that $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_6$ are positively oriented. Define the permutation matrix $P_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}$ corresponding to a permutation (i_1, i_2, i_3) of $(1, 2, 3)$ by

$$P_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} = [\mathbf{e}_{i_1} \quad \mathbf{e}_{i_2} \quad \mathbf{e}_{i_3}].$$

For example,

$$P_{(2,3,1)} = [\mathbf{e}_2 \quad \mathbf{e}_3 \quad \mathbf{e}_1] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the sign $s(i_1, i_2, i_3)$ of the permutation (i_1, i_2, i_3) is exactly the same as the determinant of the permutation matrix $P_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}$. Define a

permutation $(j_1, j_2, 3)$ of $(1, 2, 3)$ (for swapping the first and the second coordinates of \mathbf{u}) by

$$(j_1, j_2, 3) = \begin{cases} (1, 2, 3) & \text{if } s(i_1, i_2, i_3) = 1, \\ (2, 1, 3) & \text{if } s(i_1, i_2, i_3) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Write

$$\sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} = s(i_1, i_2, i_3)[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}].$$

(So that $\sigma_1 = \sigma_{(1,2,3)}$.) Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}(\mathbf{u}) \\ &= \mathbf{0} + \alpha_{j_1} \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \alpha_{j_2}(\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}) + \alpha_3(\mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}) \\ &= \mathbf{0} + (\alpha_{j_1} + \alpha_{j_2} + \alpha_3) \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + (\alpha_{j_2} + \alpha_3) \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_{i_3} \\ &= \mathbf{0} + P_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} A P_{(j_1, j_2, 3)} \mathbf{u} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{u} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. For example,

$$P_{(2,3,1)} A P_{(1,2,3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \det(P_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} A P_{(j_1, j_2, 3)}) &= \det(P_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}) \det(A) \det(P_{(j_1, j_2, 3)}) \\ &= s(i_1, i_2, i_3) \cdot 1 \cdot s(i_1, i_2, i_3) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Show that ∂J^3 is the sum of 12 oriented affine 2-simplexes. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} \sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \\ i_1 > i_2}} \sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} + \sum_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \\ i_1 < i_2}} \sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \\ i_1 > i_2}} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \\ i_2 > i_1}} -s(i_2, i_1, i_3) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ &= \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} \sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} &= \sum_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \\ i_2 > i_3}} \sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} + \sum_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \\ i_2 < i_3}} \sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \\ i_2 > i_3}} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{(i_1, i_2, i_3) \\ i_3 > i_2}} -s(i_1, i_3, i_2) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\
&= \mathbf{0}.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial J^3 &= \sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} \partial \sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} \\
&= \sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}] \\
&\quad - s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}] \\
&\quad + s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}] \\
&\quad - s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}] \\
&= \sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\
&\quad - \underbrace{\sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]}_{=0} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]}_{=0} \\
&\quad - \sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial J^3 &= \sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\
&\quad - \sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}]
\end{aligned}$$

is the sum of 12 oriented affine 2-simplexes. (Note that $3! = 6$.)

- (4) Show that $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is in the range of σ_1 if and only if $0 \leq x_3 \leq x_2 \leq x_1 \leq 1$.

- (a) By (1), \mathbf{x} is in the range of σ_1 if and only if $\mathbf{x} = A\mathbf{u}$ for $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in Q^3$, or

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \\ u_2 + u_3 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (b) Since $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in Q^3$, $u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \leq 1$ and $u_1, u_2, u_3 \geq 0$. Hence $0 \leq u_3 \leq u_2 + u_3 \leq u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \leq 1$ or $0 \leq x_3 \leq x_2 \leq x_1 \leq 1$.
(c) Conversely, if $0 \leq x_3 \leq x_2 \leq x_1 \leq 1$, we define

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - x_2 \\ x_2 - x_3 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{v} \in Q^3$.

- (5) Show that the range of $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_6$ have disjoint interiors, and that their union covers I^3 . Similar to (4). By (2), $\mathbf{x} = P_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} A P_{(j_1, j_2, 3)} \mathbf{u}$, or $P_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}^{-1} \mathbf{x} = A P_{(j_1, j_2, 3)} \mathbf{u}$, or

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{i_1} \\ x_{i_2} \\ x_{i_3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \\ u_{j_2} + u_3 \\ u_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In any case, we always have $0 \leq u_3 \leq u_{j_2} + u_3 \leq u_1 + u_2 + u_3 \leq 1$. Hence $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is in the range of $\sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}$ if and only if

$$0 \leq x_{i_3} \leq x_{i_2} \leq x_{i_1} \leq 1.$$

The interior of $\sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}$ is

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < x_{i_3} < x_{i_2} < x_{i_1} < 1\},$$

and thus the range of $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_6$ have disjoint interiors. Also, any $\mathbf{x} \in I^3$ has the relation

$$0 \leq x_{i_3} \leq x_{i_2} \leq x_{i_1} \leq 1$$

for some permutation (i_1, i_2, i_3) of $(1, 2, 3)$. Hence

$$I^3 = \bigcup_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} \sigma_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)}(Q^3) = \bigcup_{i=1}^6 \sigma_i(Q^3).$$

□

Exercise 10.19. Let J^2 and J^3 be as in Exercise 10.17 and Exercise 10.18. Define

$$\begin{aligned} B_{01}(u, v) &= (0, u, v), & B_{11}(u, v) &= (1, u, v), \\ B_{02}(u, v) &= (u, 0, v), & B_{12}(u, v) &= (u, 1, v), \\ B_{03}(u, v) &= (u, v, 0), & B_{13}(u, v) &= (u, v, 1). \end{aligned}$$

These are affine, and map \mathbb{R}^2 into \mathbb{R}^3 . Put $\beta_{ri} = B_{ri}(J^2)$, for $r = 0, 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Each β_{ri} is an affine-oriented 2-chain. (See Section 10.30.) Verify that

$$\partial J^3 = \sum_{i=1}^3 (-1)^i (\beta_{0i} - \beta_{1i}),$$

in agreement with Exercise 10.18.)

Proof.

(1) A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} B_{01}(\tau_1) - B_{11}(\tau_1) &= [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] - [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ B_{02}(\tau_1) - B_{12}(\tau_1) &= [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3] - [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ B_{03}(\tau_1) - B_{13}(\tau_1) &= [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] - [\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ B_{01}(\tau_2) - B_{11}(\tau_2) &= -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] + [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ B_{02}(\tau_2) - B_{12}(\tau_2) &= -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3] + [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\ B_{03}(\tau_2) - B_{13}(\tau_2) &= -[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2] + [\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3]. \end{aligned}$$

(2) To express the formula in (1) clearly, we define

$$\omega_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} = [\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] - [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_{i_2} + \mathbf{e}_{i_3}],$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} -(B_{01}(\tau_1) - B_{11}(\tau_1)) &= s(1, 2, 3)\omega_{(1, 2, 3)} \\ B_{02}(\tau_1) - B_{12}(\tau_1) &= s(2, 1, 3)\omega_{(2, 1, 3)} \\ -(B_{03}(\tau_1) - B_{13}(\tau_1)) &= s(3, 1, 2)\omega_{(3, 1, 2)} \\ -(B_{01}(\tau_2) - B_{11}(\tau_2)) &= s(1, 3, 2)\omega_{(1, 3, 2)} \\ B_{02}(\tau_2) - B_{12}(\tau_2) &= s(2, 3, 1)\omega_{(2, 3, 1)} \\ -(B_{03}(\tau_2) - B_{13}(\tau_2)) &= s(3, 2, 1)\omega_{(3, 2, 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{0i} - \beta_{1i} &= B_{0i}(J^2) - B_{1i}(J^2) \\ &= B_{0i}(\tau_1 + \tau_2) - B_{1i}(\tau_1 + \tau_2) \\ &= B_{0i}(\tau_1) + B_{0i}(\tau_2) - B_{1i}(\tau_1) - B_{1i}(\tau_2) \\ &= (B_{0i}(\tau_1) - B_{1i}(\tau_1)) + (B_{0i}(\tau_2) - B_{1i}(\tau_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^3 (-1)^i (\beta_{0i} - \beta_{1i}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^3 (-1)^i (B_{0i}(\tau_1) - B_{1i}(\tau_1)) + \sum_{i=1}^3 (-1)^i (B_{0i}(\tau_2) - B_{1i}(\tau_2)) \\
&= \sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) \omega_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} \\
&= \sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_3] \\
&\quad - \sum_{(i_1, i_2, i_3)} s(i_1, i_2, i_3) [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \mathbf{e}_{i_1} + \mathbf{e}_{i_2}] \\
&= \partial J^3.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 10.20. *State conditions under which the formula*

$$\int_{\Phi} f d\omega = \int_{\partial\Phi} f\omega - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega$$

is valid, and show that it generalizes the formula for integration by parts. (Hint: $d(f\omega) = (df) \wedge \omega + f d\omega$.)

Proof.

(1) *If*

- (a) Φ is a k -chain of class \mathcal{C}'' in an open set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$,
- (b) ω is a $(k-1)$ -form of class \mathcal{C}' in V ,
- (c) f is a 0-form of class \mathcal{C}' in V ,

then

$$\int_{\Phi} f d\omega = \int_{\partial\Phi} f\omega - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega$$

(2) Theorem 10.20(a) implies that

$$d(f\omega) = (df) \wedge \omega + f d\omega.$$

(3) The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) shows that

$$\int_{\Phi} d(f\omega) = \int_{\partial\Phi} f\omega.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Phi} f d\omega = \int_{\Phi} d(f\omega) - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega = \int_{\partial\Phi} f\omega - \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega.$$

(4) Define $\Phi : Q^1 = [0, 1] \rightarrow [a, b]$ by

$$\Phi(\alpha) = a + \alpha(b - a).$$

Φ is a 1-simplex of class \mathcal{C}'' in an open set $V \supseteq [a, b]$. Also,

$$\partial\Phi = [b] - [a].$$

Let $\omega = g$ be a 0-form of class $\mathcal{C}'(V)$.

(5) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Phi} f d\omega &= \int_{\Phi} f dg = \int_0^1 f(\Phi(t))g'(\Phi(t))\Phi'(t)dt = \int_a^b f(u)g'(u)du, \\ \int_{\partial\Phi} f\omega &= \int_{[b]} fg + \int_{-[a]} fg = f(b)g(b) + (-1)f(a)f(a), \\ \int_{\Phi} (df) \wedge \omega &= \int_{\Phi} (df)g = \int_0^1 f'(\Phi(t))g(\Phi(t))\Phi'(t)dt = \int_a^b f'(u)g(u)du. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_a^b f(u)g'(u)du = f(b)g(b) - f(a)f(a) - \int_a^b f'(u)g(u)du,$$

which is the same as the integration by parts (Theorem 6.22).

□

Exercise 10.21. *As in Example 10.36, consider the 1-form*

$$\eta = \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

(a) *Carry out the computation that leads to*

$$\int_{\gamma} \eta = 2\pi \neq 0,$$

and prove that $d\eta = 0$.

- (b) Let $\gamma(t) = (r \cos t, r \sin t)$, for some $r > 0$, and let Γ be a \mathcal{C}'' -curve in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, with parameter interval $[0, 2\pi]$, with $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma(2\pi)$, such that the intervals $[\gamma(t), \Gamma(t)]$ do not contain $\mathbf{0}$ for any $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Prove that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = 2\pi.$$

(Hint: For $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq u \leq 1$, define

$$\Phi(t, u) = (1 - u)\Gamma(t) + u\gamma(t).$$

Then Φ is a 2-surface in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ whose parameter domain is the indicated rectangle. Because of cancellations (as in Example 10.32),

$$\partial\Phi = \Gamma - \gamma.$$

Use Stokes' theorem to deduce that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = \int_{\gamma} \eta$$

because $d\eta = 0$.)

- (c) Take $\Gamma(t) = (a \cos t, b \sin t)$ where $a > 0$, $b > 0$ are fixed. Use part (b) to show that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ab}{a^2 \cos^2 t + b^2 \sin^2 t} dt = 2\pi.$$

- (d) Show that

$$\eta = d\left(\arctan \frac{y}{x}\right)$$

in any convex open set in which $x \neq 0$, and that

$$\eta = d\left(-\arctan \frac{x}{y}\right)$$

in any convex open set in which $y \neq 0$. Explain why this justifies the notation $\eta = d\theta$, in spite of the fact that η is not exact in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

- (e) Show that (b) can be derived from (d).

- (f) If Γ is any closed \mathcal{C}' -curve in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, prove that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \eta = \text{Ind}(\Gamma).$$

(See Exercise 8.23 for the definition of the index of a curve.)

Proof of (a).

(1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\gamma} \eta &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(r \cos t)d(r \sin t) - (r \sin t)d(r \cos t)}{(r \cos t)^2 + (r \sin t)^2} \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(r \cos t)(r \cos t) - (r \sin t)(-r \sin t)}{(r \cos t)^2 + (r \sin t)^2} dt \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} dt \\
&= 2\pi.
\end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
d\eta &= d\left(\frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \\
&= d\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \wedge dy - d\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \wedge dx \quad (d^2 = 0) \\
&= D_1\left(\frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) dx \wedge dy \quad (dy \wedge dy = 0) \\
&\quad - D_2\left(\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}\right) dy \wedge dx \quad (dx \wedge dx = 0) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} - \frac{2x^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}\right) dx \wedge dy \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{x^2 + y^2} - \frac{2y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}\right) dx \wedge dy \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

□

Note.

- (1) η is closed and locally exact, that is, $\eta = dt$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 - L$ where L is a half-line issuing from $\mathbf{0}$. η is not exact since $\int_{\gamma} \eta = 2\pi \neq 0$.
- (2) (*Poincaré's Lemma for 1-form.*) Let $\omega = \sum a_i dx_i$ be defined in an open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $d\omega = 0$ if and only if for each $p \in U$ there is a neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of p and a differentiable function $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ with $df = \omega$ (i.e., ω is locally exact).

Proof of (b).

- (1) For $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq u \leq 1$, define

$$\Phi(t, u) = (1 - u)\Gamma(t) + u\gamma(t).$$

Then Φ is a 2-surface in $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ whose parameter domain $D = \{(t, u) : 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq u \leq 1\}$ is the indicated rectangle.

(2) Similar to Example 10.32,

$$\partial\Phi = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1(t) &= \Phi(t, 0) = \Gamma(t), \\ \gamma_2(u) &= \Phi(2\pi, u) = (1-u)\Gamma(2\pi) + u\gamma(2\pi), \\ \gamma_3(t) &= \Phi(2\pi - t, 1) = \gamma(2\pi - t), \\ \gamma_4(u) &= \Phi(0, 1-u) = u\Gamma(0) + (1-u)\gamma(0).\end{aligned}$$

Because of cancellations (as in Example 10.32), $\gamma(0) = \gamma(2\pi)$ and $\Gamma(0) = \Gamma(2\pi)$, $\gamma_4 = -\gamma_2$ and $\gamma_3 = -\gamma_1$. Hence,

$$\partial\Phi = \Gamma - \gamma.$$

(3) The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\int_{\Phi} d\eta = \int_{\partial\Phi} \eta = \int_{\Gamma-\gamma} \eta = \int_{\Gamma} \eta - \int_{\gamma} \eta.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = \int_{\gamma} \eta$$

(since $d\eta = 0$ by (a)).

□

Proof of (c).

(1) Γ satisfies all conditions described in (b). So

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = 2\pi.$$

(2) A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}2\pi &= \int_{\Gamma} \eta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{a \cos(t)d(b \sin(t)) - b \sin(t)d(a \cos(t))}{(a \cos(t))^2 + (b \sin(t))^2} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ab(\cos^2 t + \sin^2 t)}{a^2 \cos^2 t + b^2 \sin^2 t} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{ab}{a^2 \cos^2 t + b^2 \sin^2 t}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (d).

(1) In any convex open set in which $x \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\arctan \frac{y}{x}\right) &= \left(D_1 \arctan \frac{y}{x}\right) dx + \left(D_2 \arctan \frac{y}{x}\right) dy \\ &= -\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy \\ &= \eta. \end{aligned}$$

(2) In any convex open set in which $y \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(-\arctan \frac{x}{y}\right) &= \left(D_1 \left(-\arctan \frac{x}{y}\right)\right) dx + \left(D_2 \left(-\arctan \frac{x}{y}\right)\right) dy \\ &= -\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2} dx + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} dy \\ &= \eta. \end{aligned}$$

(3) By (1)(2), η is locally exact. Note that $\theta_1 = \arctan \frac{y}{x}$ and $\theta_2 = -\arctan \frac{x}{y}$ cannot be patched together to defined a global 0-form θ on $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

□

Proof of (e).

(1) Partition $[0, 2\pi]$ into five subintervals

$$I_i = \left[\frac{(2i-3)\pi}{4}, \frac{(2i-1)\pi}{4} \right] \cap [0, 2\pi].$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} \eta &= \sum_{i=1}^5 \int_{\gamma(I_i)} \eta \\ &= \sum_{i=1,3,5} \int_{\gamma(I_i)} d\left(\arctan \frac{y}{x}\right) + \sum_{i=2,4} \int_{\gamma(I_i)} d\left(-\arctan \frac{x}{y}\right). \end{aligned}$$

(2) The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma(I_1)} d\left(\arctan \frac{y}{x}\right) &= \int_{\partial\gamma(I_1)} \arctan \frac{y}{x} \\ &= \left[\arctan \frac{r \cos t}{r \sin t} \right]_{t=0}^{t=\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ &= [\arctan(\tan(t))]_{t=0}^{t=\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\gamma(I_2)} d\left(-\arctan \frac{x}{y}\right) &= \int_{\partial\gamma(I_2)} -\arctan \frac{x}{y} \\
&= \left[\arctan \frac{r \sin t}{r \cos t} \right]_{t=\frac{\pi}{4}}^{t=\frac{3\pi}{4}} \\
&= [\arctan(\cot(t))]_{t=\frac{\pi}{4}}^{t=\frac{3\pi}{4}} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\gamma(I_3)} d\left(\arctan \frac{y}{x}\right) &= \frac{\pi}{2} \\
\int_{\gamma(I_4)} d\left(-\arctan \frac{x}{y}\right) &= \frac{\pi}{2} \\
\int_{\gamma(I_5)} d\left(\arctan \frac{y}{x}\right) &= \frac{\pi}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

(3) Therefore,

$$\int_{\gamma} \eta = \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) + \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 2\pi.$$

□

Proof of (f).

(1) Regard $\Gamma(t)$ as a plane curve $(\Gamma_1(t), \Gamma_2(t))$ over \mathbb{R}^2 or $\Gamma_1(t) + i\Gamma_2(t)$ over \mathbb{C}^1 . Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)} &= \frac{\Gamma'_1(t) + i\Gamma'_2(t)}{\Gamma_1(t) + i\Gamma_2(t)} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma'_1(t)\Gamma_1(t) + \Gamma'_2(t)\Gamma_2(t)}{\Gamma_1(t)^2 + \Gamma_2(t)^2} + i \frac{\Gamma_1(t)\Gamma'_2(t) - \Gamma_2(t)\Gamma'_1(t)}{\Gamma_1(t)^2 + \Gamma_2(t)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\operatorname{Im} \left(\frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)} \right) = \frac{\Gamma_1(t)\Gamma'_2(t) - \Gamma_2(t)\Gamma'_1(t)}{\Gamma_1(t)^2 + \Gamma_2(t)^2}.$$

(2) By Exercise 8.23,

$$\operatorname{Ind}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)} dt$$

is always an integer. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Ind}(\Gamma) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \text{Im} \left(\frac{\Gamma'(t)}{\Gamma(t)} \right) dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma_1(t)\Gamma_2'(t) - \Gamma_2(t)\Gamma_1'(t)}{\Gamma_1(t)^2 + \Gamma_2(t)^2} dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \eta.
 \end{aligned}$$

(Note that $\text{Ind}(\Gamma) = 1$ if Γ is defined as in (c). Hence the integral in (c) is equal to $2\pi\text{Ind}(\Gamma) = 2\pi$.)

□

Exercise 10.22. As in Example 10.37, define ζ in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ by

$$\zeta = \frac{xdy \wedge dz + ydz \wedge dx + zdx \wedge dy}{r^3}$$

where $r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, let D be the rectangle given by $0 \leq u \leq \pi$, $0 \leq v \leq 2\pi$, and let Σ be the 2-surface in \mathbb{R}^3 , with parameter domain D , given by

$$x = \sin u \cos v, \quad y = \sin u \sin v, \quad z = \cos u.$$

- (a) Prove that $d\zeta = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$.
- (b) Let S denote the restriction of Σ to a parameter domain $E \subseteq D$. Prove that

$$\int_S \zeta = \int_E \sin u \, du \, dv = A(S),$$

where A denotes area, as in Section 10.43. Note that this contains

$$\int_{\Sigma} \zeta = \int_D \sin u \, du \, dv = 4\pi \neq 0$$

as a special case.

- (c) Suppose g, h_1, h_2, h_3 , are \mathcal{C}'' -functions on $[0, 1]$, $g > 0$. Let $(x, y, z) = \Phi(s, t)$ define a 2-surface Φ , with parameter domain I^2 , by

$$x = g(t)h_1(s), \quad y = g(t)h_2(s), \quad z = g(t)h_3(s).$$

Prove that

$$\int_{\Phi} \zeta = 0,$$

directly from Equation (35) in Chapter 10. Note the shape of the range of Φ : For fixed s , $\Phi(s, t)$ runs over an interval on a line through $\mathbf{0}$. The range of Φ thus lies in a “cone” with vertex at the origin.

- (d) Let E be a closed rectangle in D , with edges parallel to those of D . Suppose $f \in \mathcal{C}''(D)$, $f > 0$. Let Ω be the 2-surface with parameter domain E , defined by

$$\Omega(u, v) = f(u, v)\Sigma(u, v).$$

Define S as in (b) and prove that

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta = \int_S \zeta = A(S).$$

(Since S is the “radical projection” of Ω into the unit sphere, this result makes it reasonable to call $\int_{\Omega} \zeta$ the “solid angle” subtended by the range of Ω at the origin.) (Hint: Consider the 3-surface Ψ given by

$$\Psi(t, u, v) = [1 - t + tf(u, v)]\Sigma(u, v),$$

where $(u, v) \in E$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. For fixed v , the mapping $(t, u) \mapsto \Psi(t, u, v)$ is a 2-surface Φ to which (c) can be applied to show that $\int_{\Phi} \zeta = 0$. The same thing holds when u is fixed. By (a) and Stokes’ theorem,

$$\int_{\partial\Psi} \zeta = \int_{\Psi} d\zeta = 0.)$$

- (e) Put $\lambda = -\frac{z}{r}\eta$, where

$$\eta = \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2},$$

as in Exercise 10.21. Then λ is a 1-form in the open set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ in which $x^2 + y^2 > 0$. Show that ζ is exact in V by showing that

$$\zeta = d\lambda.$$

- (f) Derive (d) from (e), without using (c). (Hint: To begin with, assume $0 < u < \pi$ on E . By (e),

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta = \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \int_S \zeta = \int_{\partial S} \lambda.$$

Show that the two integrals of λ are equal, by using part (d) of Exercise 10.21, and by noting that $\frac{z}{r}$ is the same at $\Sigma(u, v)$ as at $\Omega(u, v)$.)

- (g) Is ζ exact in the complement of every line through the origin?

Proof of (a).

(1) Note that ζ is well-defined on $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
d\zeta &= d\left(\frac{xdy \wedge dz + ydz \wedge dx + zdx \wedge dy}{r^3}\right) \\
&= d\left(\frac{x}{r^3}\right) \wedge dy \wedge dz + d\left(\frac{y}{r^3}\right) \wedge dz \wedge dx + d\left(\frac{z}{r^3}\right) \wedge dx \wedge dy \\
&= D_1\left(\frac{x}{r^3}\right) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz + D_2\left(\frac{y}{r^3}\right) dy \wedge dz \wedge dx + D_3\left(\frac{z}{r^3}\right) dz \wedge dx \wedge dy \\
&= \frac{r^3 - 3rx^2}{r^6} dx \wedge dy \wedge dz + \frac{r^3 - 3ry^2}{r^6} dy \wedge dz \wedge dx + \frac{r^3 - 3rz^2}{r^6} dz \wedge dx \wedge dy \\
&= \left(\frac{r^3 - 3rx^2}{r^6} + \frac{r^3 - 3ry^2}{r^6} + \frac{r^3 - 3rz^2}{r^6}\right) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \\
&= 0 dx \wedge dy \wedge dz \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

(2) Or write

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{x}{r^3} \mathbf{e}_1 + \frac{y}{r^3} \mathbf{e}_2 + \frac{z}{r^3} \mathbf{e}_3$$

as in Vector fields 10.42. So

$$\omega_{\mathbf{F}} = \zeta$$

and

$$d\omega_{\mathbf{F}} = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$$

as in the proof of the divergence theorem (Theorem 10.51). Note that the divergence of \mathbf{F} is zero.

□

Proof of (b).

(1)

(2)

□

Proof of (c).

(1)

(2)

□

Proof of (d).

(1)

(2)

□

Proof of (e).

(1) Note that

$$d\left(-\frac{z}{r}\right) = \frac{xz}{r^3}dx + \frac{yz}{r^3}dy - \frac{r^2 - z^2}{r^3}dz = \frac{xz}{r^3}dx + \frac{yz}{r^3}dy - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{r^3}dz$$

since $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$.

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} d\lambda &= d\left(-\frac{z}{r}\eta\right) \\ &= \underbrace{d\left(-\frac{z}{r}\right)}_{\text{apply (1)}} \wedge \eta + (-1)^1 \left(-\frac{z}{r}\right) \wedge \underbrace{d\eta}_{=0} \\ &= \left(\frac{xz}{r^3}dx + \frac{yz}{r^3}dy - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{r^3}dz\right) \wedge \left(\frac{-ydx + xdy}{x^2 + y^2}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{x(x^2 + y^2)}{r^3(x^2 + y^2)}\right) dy \wedge dz + \left(\frac{y(x^2 + y^2)}{r^3(x^2 + y^2)}\right) dz \wedge dx + \left(\frac{x^2 z + y^2 z}{r^3(x^2 + y^2)}\right) dx \wedge dy \\ &= \left(\frac{x}{r^3}\right) dy \wedge dz + \left(\frac{y}{r^3}\right) dz \wedge dx + \left(\frac{z}{r^3}\right) dx \wedge dy \\ &= \zeta. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (f).

(1)

(2)

□

Proof of (g).

(1)

(2)

□

Exercise 10.23. Fix n . Define $r_k = (x_1^2 + \cdots + x_k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$, let E_k be the set of all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ at which $r_k > 0$, and let ω_k be the $(k-1)$ -form defined in E_k by

$$\omega_k = (r_k)^{-k} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} x_i dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k$$

Note that $\omega_2 = \eta$, $\omega_3 = \zeta$ in the terminology of Exercise 10.21 and Exercise 10.22. Note also that

$$E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq E_n = \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- (a) Prove that $d\omega_k = 0$ in E_k .
(b) For $k = 2, \dots, n$, prove that ω_k is exact in E_{k-1} , by showing that

$$\omega_k = d(f_k \omega_{k-1}) = df_k \wedge \omega_{k-1}$$

where $f_k(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^k g_k\left(\frac{x_k}{r_k}\right)$ where

$$g_k(t) = \int_{-1}^t (1-s^2)^{\frac{k-3}{2}} ds \quad (-1 < t < 1).$$

(Hint: f_k satisfies the differential equations

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot (\nabla f_k)(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

and

$$(D_k f_k)(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(-1)^k (r_{k-1})^{k-1}}{(r_k)^k}.)$$

- (c) Is ω_n exact in E_n ?
(d) Note that (b) is a generalization of part (e) of Exercise 10.22. Try to extend some of the other assertions of Exercise 10.21 and Exercise 10.22 to ω_n , for arbitrary n .

Proof of (a).

- (1) Note that

$$D_i r_k = \frac{1}{2r_k} \cdot (2x_i) = \frac{x_i}{r_k}.$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
d\omega_k &= \sum_{i=1}^k d \left((-1)^{i-1} (r_k)^{-k} x_i dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k D_i \left((-1)^{i-1} (r_k)^{-k} x_i \right) dx_i \wedge dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \left((r_k)^{-k} \cdot 1 + \underbrace{(-k)(r_k)^{-k-1} \frac{x_i}{r_k}}_{\text{chain rule}} \cdot x_i \right) \underbrace{(-1)^{i-1} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k}_{\text{anticommutative relation}} \\
&= (r_k)^{-k-2} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^k ((r_k)^2 - kx_i^2)}_{=0} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (b).

(1) Note that

$$D_i \left(\frac{x_k}{r_k} \right) = \frac{\delta_{ik}(r_k)^2 - x_i x_k}{(r_k)^3}$$

where δ_{ik} is the Kronecker delta. So

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_i f_k)(\mathbf{x}) &= D_i \left((-1)^k g_k \left(\frac{x_k}{r_k} \right) \right) \\
&= D_i \left((-1)^k \int_{-1}^{\frac{x_k}{r_k}} (1-s^2)^{\frac{k-3}{2}} ds \right) \\
&= (-1)^k D_i \left(\frac{x_k}{r_k} \right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{x_k}{r_k} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{k-3}{2}} \\
&= (-1)^k \frac{\delta_{ik}(r_k)^2 - x_i x_k}{(r_k)^3} \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-3}}{(r_k)^{k-3}} \\
&= (-1)^k \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-3}}{(r_k)^k} (\delta_{ik}(r_k)^2 - x_i x_k).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(D_k f_k)(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^k \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-3}}{(r_k)^k} ((r_k)^2 - (x_k)^2) = (-1)^k \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}}{(r_k)^k}$$

(since $(r_k)^2 - (x_k)^2 = (r_{k-1})^2$).

(2) Since

$$\sum_i x_i (\delta_{ik} (r_k)^2 - x_i x_k) = (r_k)^2 \underbrace{\sum_i x_i \delta_{ik}}_{=x_k} - x_k \underbrace{\sum_i x_i^2}_{=(r_k)^2} = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} \cdot (\nabla f_k)(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_i x_i (D_i f_k)(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \sum_i x_i (-1)^k \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-3}}{(r_k)^k} (\delta_{ik} (r_k)^2 - x_i x_k) \\ &= (-1)^k \frac{(r_{k-1})^{k-3}}{(r_k)^k} \sum_i x_i (\delta_{ik} (r_k)^2 - x_i x_k) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(3) On $E_{k-1} \subsetneq E_k$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & d(f_k \omega_{k-1}) \\ &= (df_k) \wedge \omega_{k-1} + (-1)^0 f_k \wedge \underbrace{(d\omega_{k-1})}_{=0} \\ &= (df_k) \wedge \omega_{k-1} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k D_i f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_i \right\} \wedge \\ & \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ 1 \leq j \leq k-1}} (-1)^{j-1} x_j D_i f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_i \wedge dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j D_j f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_j \wedge dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j D_k f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_k \wedge dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) By (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j D_j f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_j \wedge dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} x_j D_j f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} (-D_k f_k(x) x_k) dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1} \\
&= \frac{-D_k f_k(\mathbf{x})}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} x_k dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1} \wedge \widehat{dx_k} \\
&= (r_k)^{-k} (-1)^{k-1} x_k dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1} \wedge \widehat{dx_k} \tag{(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j D_k f_k(\mathbf{x}) dx_k \wedge dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^k D_k f_k(\mathbf{x})}{(r_{k-1})^{k-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k \\
&= (r_k)^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k \tag{(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(5) Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(f_k \omega_{k-1}) \\
&= (r_k)^{-k} (-1)^{k-1} x_k dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{k-1} \wedge \widehat{dx_k} \\
&\quad + (r_k)^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{j-1} x_j dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k \\
&= (r_k)^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} x_j dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_k \\
&= \omega_k.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (c).

(1) ω_n is not exact in E_n (though it is locally exact).

(2) Let

$$\begin{aligned}
S^{n-1} &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1\} \\
B^n &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \leq 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \omega_n = \frac{n\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \neq 0.$$

Therefore, ω_n is not exact in E_n .

(3) Note that

$$\omega_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} x_i dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$$

on S^{n-1} (and that's why we pick S^{n-1}). The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\int_{\partial B^n} \omega_n = \int_{B^n} d\omega_n.$$

Here $\partial B^n = S^{n-1}$ and

$$d\omega_n = n dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$$

(in the sense of Volume elements 10.44). Thus,

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \omega_n = n \int_{B^n} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n = n \text{Vol}(B^n),$$

where $\text{Vol}(B^n)$ is the volume of B^n . Thus *it suffices to show that*

$$\text{Vol}(B^n) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)} \neq 0.$$

There are many proofs for this. We give a direct integration in spherical coordinates.

(4) Similar to Exercise 10.9. The spherical coordinate system has a radial coordinate r and angular coordinates $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}$, where the domain of each $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-2}$ is $[0, \pi]$ and the domain of φ_{n-1} is $[0, 2\pi]$. That is,

$$x_i = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \sin \varphi_j \right) \cos \varphi_i.$$

The spherical volume element is

$$r^{n-1} \sin(\varphi_1)^{n-2} \sin(\varphi_2)^{n-3} \cdots \sin(\varphi_{n-2}) dr d\varphi_1 d\varphi_2 \cdots d\varphi_{n-1}.$$

Thus by Some consequences 8.21,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Vol}(B^n) &= \int_{B^n} dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_0^\pi \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} r^{n-1} \sin(\varphi_1)^{n-2} \cdots \sin(\varphi_{n-2}) dr d\varphi_1 \cdots d\varphi_{n-1} \\
&= \left(\int_0^1 r^{n-1} dr \right) \left(\int_0^\pi \sin(\varphi_1)^{n-2} d\varphi_1 \right) \cdots \left(\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_{n-1} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-2}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2})} \cdots \frac{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \cdot 2\pi \\
&= \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of (d).

(1)

(2)

□

Exercise 10.24. Let $\omega = \sum a_i(\mathbf{x})dx_i$ be a 1-form of class \mathcal{C}'' in a convex open set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume $d\omega = 0$ and prove that ω is exact in E , by completing the following outline:

Fix $\mathbf{p} \in E$. Define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega \quad (\mathbf{x} \in E).$$

Apply Stokes' theorem to affine-oriented 2-simplexes $[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ in E . Deduce that

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i((1-t)\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{y}) dt$$

for $\mathbf{x} \in E, \mathbf{y} \in E$. Hence $(D_i f)(\mathbf{x}) = a_i(\mathbf{x})$.

Proof.

(1) Fix $\mathbf{p} \in E$. Define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega \quad (\mathbf{x} \in E).$$

- (2) Given any $\mathbf{x} \in E$, $\mathbf{y} \in E$, and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$. The affine-oriented 2-simplex $\Psi = [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ is in E by the convexity of E . (If E is open but not convex, we can show that $\omega = df$ **locally** as the note in Exercise 10.21(a). That is why we say that ω is locally exact. The proof is exactly the same.)

- (3) Note that

$$\partial\Psi = \partial[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] - [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}] + [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}].$$

The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Psi} d\omega &= \int_{\partial\Psi} \omega \iff \int_{\Psi} 0 = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega + \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega \\ &\iff 0 = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - f(\mathbf{y}) + f(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\iff f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega. \end{aligned}$$

- (4) Define $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t) &= \mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + t(y_i - x_i) \end{aligned}$$

(where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$). Hence $[0, 1]$ is the parameter domain of $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ with respect to γ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega &= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\gamma(t)) \frac{\partial(x_i + t(y_i - x_i))}{\partial t} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(\mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}))(y_i - x_i) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i(\mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i(\mathbf{x} + t(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})) dt.$$

- (5) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x} + h\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n ((x_i + h\delta_{ij}) - x_i) \int_0^1 a_i(\mathbf{x} + t((\mathbf{x} + h\mathbf{e}_j) - \mathbf{x})) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n h\delta_{ij} \int_0^1 a_i(\mathbf{x} + t h\mathbf{e}_j) dt \\ &= h \int_0^1 a_j(\mathbf{x} + t h\mathbf{e}_j) dt. \end{aligned}$$

(Here δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.) So

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_j f)(\mathbf{x}) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + h\mathbf{e}_j) - f(\mathbf{x})}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_0^1 a_j(\mathbf{x} + th\mathbf{e}_j) dt \\
&= \int_0^1 a_j(\mathbf{x}) dt \quad (a_j \in \mathcal{C}'') \\
&= a_j(\mathbf{x}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$df = \sum_{j=1}^n (D_j f)(\mathbf{x}) dx_j = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(\mathbf{x}) dx_j = \omega,$$

or ω is exact in E .

□

Exercise 10.25. Assume ω is a 1-form in an open set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$$

for every closed curve γ in E , of class \mathcal{C}' . Prove that ω is exact in E , by imitating part of the argument sketched in Exercise 10.24.

Proof.

(1) Assume that E is a **connected** open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Show that ω is exact in E if $\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$ for every closed curve γ in E , of class \mathcal{C}' .

(2) Fix $\mathbf{p} \in E$. Define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega \quad (\mathbf{x} \in E).$$

It is well-defined since E is connected and $\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0$ for every closed curve γ in E .

(3) Given any $\mathbf{x} \in E$, $\mathbf{y} \in E$, and $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$. Let

$$\gamma = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] - [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}] + [\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]$$

be a closed curve in E . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_{\gamma} \omega && \text{(Assumption)} \\
&= \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega + \int_{[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{x}]} \omega \\
&= \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega - f(\mathbf{y}) + f(\mathbf{x}).
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]} \omega$$

- (4) Similar to (4)(5) in the proof of Exercise 10.24, we have $df = \omega$. So the statement in (1) is proved. In general, we can define each f_α on each connected component E_α (which is open) of E such that $df_\alpha = \omega$ on E_α . Take

$$f|_{E_\alpha} = f_\alpha$$

on E . Hence, $df = \omega$ on the whole E .

□

Exercise 10.26. Assume ω is a 1-form in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$, of class \mathcal{C}' and $d\omega = 0$. Prove that ω is exact in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. (Hint: Every closed continuously differentiable curve in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is the boundary of a 2-surface in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Apply Stokes' theorem and Exercise 10.25.)

Proof.

- (1) Let $E = \mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. By Exercise 10.25, it suffices to show that

$$\int_\gamma \omega = 0$$

for every closed curve γ in E , of class \mathcal{C}' .

- (2) Intuitively, every closed continuously differentiable curve in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is the boundary of a 2-surface in $\mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$. So there is some 2-surface Ψ such that $\partial\Psi = \gamma$. The Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\int_\gamma \omega = \int_{\partial\Psi} \omega = \int_\Psi d\omega = \int_\Psi 0 = 0.$$

□

Exercise 10.27. Let E be an open 3-cell in \mathbb{R}^3 , with edges parallel to the coordinate axes. Suppose $(a, b, c) \in E$, $f_i \in \mathcal{C}'(E)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\omega = f_1 dy \wedge dz + f_2 dz \wedge dx + f_3 dx \wedge dy,$$

and assume that $d\omega = 0$ in E . Define

$$\lambda = g_1 dx + g_2 dy$$

where

$$g_1(x, y, z) = \int_c^z f_2(x, y, s)ds - \int_b^y f_3(x, t, c)dt$$

$$g_2(x, y, z) = - \int_c^z f_1(x, y, s)ds,$$

for $(x, y, z) \in E$. Prove that $d\lambda = \omega$ in E . Evaluate these integrals when $\omega = \zeta$ and thus find the form λ that occurs in part (e) of Exercise 10.22.

Proof.

(1) Let $\mathbf{F} = f_1\mathbf{e}_1 + f_2\mathbf{e}_2 + f_3\mathbf{e}_3$ as in Vector fields 10.42. Then

$$d\omega = (\nabla \cdot F)dx \wedge dy \wedge dz.$$

As $d\omega = 0$ by assumption, $\nabla \cdot F = D_1f_1 + D_2f_2 + D_3f_3 = 0$.

(2) As

$$\begin{aligned} d\lambda &= d(g_1dx + g_2dy) \\ &= (D_1g_1dx + D_2g_1dy + D_3g_1dz) \wedge dx \\ &\quad + (D_1g_2dx + D_2g_2dy + D_3g_2dz) \wedge dy \\ &= (-D_3g_2)dy \wedge dz + (D_3g_1)dz \wedge dx + (D_1g_2 - D_2g_1)dx \wedge dy, \end{aligned}$$

it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= -D_3g_2, \\ f_2 &= D_3g_1, \\ f_3 &= D_1g_2 - D_2g_1 \end{aligned}$$

on E .

(3) Theorem 6.20 implies that

$$-D_3g_2 = D_3 \int_c^z f_1(x, y, s)ds = f_1(x, y, z)$$

and

$$D_3g_1 = D_3 \int_c^z f_2(x, y, s)ds - D_3 \int_b^y f_3(x, t, c)dt = f_2(x, y, z).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_1 g_2 - D_2 g_1 \\
&= D_1 \left(- \int_c^z f_1(x, y, s) ds \right) \\
&\quad - D_2 \left(\int_c^z f_2(x, y, s) ds - \int_b^y f_3(x, t, c) dt \right) \\
&= - \int_c^z D_1 f_1(x, y, s) ds \quad (f_1 \in \mathcal{C}') \\
&\quad - \int_c^z D_2 f_2(x, y, s) ds + f_3(x, y, c) \quad (f_2 \in \mathcal{C}', \text{ Theorem 6.20}) \\
&= \int_c^z D_3 f_3(x, y, s) ds + f_3(x, y, c) \quad ((1)) \\
&= f_3(x, y, z) \quad (\text{Theorem 6.21}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d\lambda = \omega$ in E .

(4) When $\omega = \zeta = r^{-3}(xdy \wedge dz + ydz \wedge dx + zdx \wedge dy)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1(x, y, z) &= x(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \\
f_2(x, y, z) &= y(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \\
f_3(x, y, z) &= z(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_c^z f_2(x, y, s) ds &= \left[ys(x^2 + y^2)^{-1}(x^2 + y^2 + s^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{s=c}^{s=z}, \\
\int_b^y f_3(x, t, c) dt &= \left[ct(x^2 + c^2)^{-1}(x^2 + t^2 + c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{t=b}^{t=y}, \\
\int_c^z f_1(x, y, s) ds &= \left[xs(x^2 + y^2)^{-1}(x^2 + y^2 + s^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{s=c}^{s=z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda &= g_1 dx + g_2 dy \\
&= \left[ys(x^2 + y^2)^{-1}(x^2 + y^2 + s^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{s=c}^{s=z} dx \\
&\quad - \left[ct(x^2 + c^2)^{-1}(x^2 + t^2 + c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{t=b}^{t=y} dx \\
&\quad + \left[xs(x^2 + y^2)^{-1}(x^2 + y^2 + s^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]_{s=c}^{s=z} dy \\
&= - \left[zr^{-1} - c(x^2 + y^2 + c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \eta \quad (\text{Definition of } \eta) \\
&\quad - c(x^2 + c^2)^{-1} \left[y(x^2 + y^2 + c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - b(x^2 + b^2 + c^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] dx.
\end{aligned}$$

As we pick $(a, b, c) = (a, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3 - \{\mathbf{0}\}$ (or $a \neq 0$), we have $\lambda = -zr^{-1}\eta$ such that $d\lambda = \omega = \zeta$, which is the same as part (e) in Exercise 10.22.

□

Exercise 10.28. Fix $b > a > 0$, define

$$\Phi(r, \theta) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$$

for $a \leq r \leq b$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. (The range of Φ is an annulus in \mathbb{R}^2 .) Put $\omega = x^3 dy$, and compute both

$$\int_{\Phi} d\omega \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\partial\Phi} \omega$$

to verify that they are equal.

Proof.

(1) Note that

$$\frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(r, \theta)} = \det \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -r \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} = r.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Phi} d\omega &= \int_{\Phi} 3x^2 dx \wedge dy & (dy \wedge dy = 0) \\ &= \int_{[a, b] \times [0, 2\pi]} 3(r \cos \theta)^2 \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(r, \theta)} dr d\theta \\ &= \int_a^b \int_0^{2\pi} 3r^3 (\cos \theta)^2 dr d\theta \\ &= \frac{3\pi}{4} (b^4 - a^4). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Similar to Exercise 10.21(b), write

$$\partial\Phi = \Gamma - \gamma,$$

where $\Gamma(t) = (b \cos t, b \sin t)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$ and $\gamma(t) = (a \cos t, a \sin t)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Phi} \omega &= \int_{\Gamma} \omega - \int_{\gamma} \omega \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} x^3 dy - \int_{\gamma} x^3 dy \\ &= \int_{[0, 2\pi]} (b \cos \theta)^3 \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} d\theta - \int_{[0, 2\pi]} (a \cos \theta)^3 \frac{\partial y}{\partial \theta} d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} b^4 (\cos \theta)^4 d\theta - \int_0^{2\pi} a^4 (\cos \theta)^4 d\theta \\ &= \frac{3\pi}{4} (b^4 - a^4). \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\int_{\Phi} d\omega = \int_{\partial\Phi} \omega = \frac{3\pi}{4}(b^4 - a^4).$$

□

Exercise 10.29. ...

Proof.

(1)

(2)

□

Exercise 10.30. If \mathbf{N} is the vector given by

$$\mathbf{N} = (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3)\mathbf{e}_2 + (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1)\mathbf{e}_3$$

(Equation (135)), prove that

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \end{bmatrix} = |\mathbf{N}|^2$$

Also, verify

$$\mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_1) = \mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_2)$$

(Equation (137)).

Proof.

(1) By Laplace's expansion along the third column,

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & \alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{1+3}(\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2) \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \quad + (-1)^{2+3}(\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3) \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 \end{bmatrix} \\ & \quad + (-1)^{3+3}(\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1) \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2)^2 + (\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3)^2 + (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1)^2 \\ &= |\mathbf{N}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_1) &= (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2, \alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3, \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1) \cdot (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \\
&= (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2)\alpha_1 + (\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3)\alpha_2 + (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1)\alpha_3 \\
&= (\alpha_3\alpha_2 - \alpha_2\alpha_3)\beta_1 + (\alpha_1\alpha_3 - \alpha_3\alpha_1)\beta_2 + (\alpha_2\alpha_1 - \alpha_1\alpha_2)\beta_3 \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{N} \cdot (T\mathbf{e}_2) &= (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2, \alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3, \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1) \cdot (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \\
&= (\alpha_2\beta_3 - \alpha_3\beta_2)\beta_1 + (\alpha_3\beta_1 - \alpha_1\beta_3)\beta_2 + (\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1)\beta_3 \\
&= (\beta_2\beta_3 - \beta_3\beta_2)\alpha_1 + (\beta_3\beta_1 - \beta_1\beta_3)\alpha_2 + (\beta_1\beta_2 - \beta_2\beta_1)\alpha_3 \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 10.31. Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ be open, suppose $g \in \mathcal{C}''(E)$, $h \in \mathcal{C}''(E)$, and consider the vector field

$$\mathbf{F} = g\nabla h$$

(a) Prove that

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = g\nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)$$

where $\nabla^2 h = \nabla \cdot (\nabla h) = \sum \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_i^2}$ is the so-called “Laplacian” of h .

(b) If Ω is a closed subset of E with positively oriented boundary $\partial\Omega$ (as in Theorem 10.51), prove that

$$\int_{\Omega} [g\nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)] dV = \int_{\partial\Omega} g \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dA$$

where (as is customary) we have written $\frac{\partial h}{\partial n}$ in place of $(\nabla h) \cdot \mathbf{n}$. (Thus $\frac{\partial h}{\partial n}$ is the directional derivative of h in the direction of the outward normal to $\partial\Omega$, the so-called **normal derivative** of h .) Interchange g and h , subtract the resulting formula from the first one, to obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (g\nabla^2 h - h\nabla^2 g) dV = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(g \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} - h \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} \right) dA.$$

These two formulas are usually called **Green’s identities**.

(c) Assume that h is **harmonic** in E ; this means that $\nabla^2 h = 0$. Take $g = 1$ and conclude that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dA = 0.$$

Take $g = h$, and conclude that $h = 0$ in Ω if $h = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

(d) Show that Green's identities are also valid in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof of (a).

(1) Since

$$\mathbf{F} = g\nabla h = g \left(\sum (D_i h) \mathbf{e}_i \right) = \sum g(D_i h) \mathbf{e}_i,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} &= \nabla \cdot \left(\sum g(D_i h) \mathbf{e}_i \right) \\ &= \sum D_i(g(D_i h)) \\ &= \sum \{(D_i g)(D_i h) + g D_i(D_i h)\} \\ &= \sum (D_i g)(D_i h) + g \sum D_i(D_i h). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Also,

$$\begin{aligned} g\nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h) &= g\nabla \cdot (\nabla h) + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h) \\ &= g\nabla \cdot \left(\sum (D_i h) \mathbf{e}_i \right) + \left(\sum (D_i g) \mathbf{e}_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum (D_i h) \mathbf{e}_i \right) \\ &= g \sum D_i(D_i h) + \sum (D_i g)(D_i h). \end{aligned}$$

(3) By (1)(2), the result is established.

□

Proof of (b).

(1) The divergence theorem (Theorem 10.51) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) dV &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n}) dA \\ \implies \int_{\Omega} [g\nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)] dV &= \int_{\partial\Omega} g \underbrace{\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{n}}_{=\frac{\partial h}{\partial n}} dA. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Green's identities are a set of three identities in vector calculus relating the bulk with the boundary of a region on which differential operators act. (*Green's third identity.*) Assume that h is harmonic in E . If $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)$ is the Green's function, then

$$h(\mathbf{x}_0) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[h(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)}{\partial n} - G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) \frac{\partial h(\mathbf{x})}{\partial n} \right] dA.$$

For example, in \mathbb{R}^3

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) = -\frac{1}{4\pi \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|}.$$

□

Proof of (c). Assume $\nabla^2 h = 0$.

- (1) Take $g = 1$ in

$$\int_{\Omega} [g \nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)] dV = \int_{\partial\Omega} g \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dA$$

to get the conclusion. (Here $\nabla g = \mathbf{0}$ as $g = 1$.)

- (2) Assume $h = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Take $g = h$ in

$$\int_{\Omega} [g \nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)] dV = \int_{\partial\Omega} g \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dA$$

to get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla h|^2 dV = \int_{\partial\Omega} h \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} dA = 0$$

(since $h = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$). Since $h \in \mathcal{C}'(\Omega)$, Exercise 6.2 implies that $|\nabla h|^2 = 0$ on Ω . So $D_1 h = D_2 h = D_3 h = 0$ on Ω . Since $h \in \mathcal{C}'(\Omega)$, Theorem 9.21 implies that $h = 0$ on Ω , or h is locally constant in Ω (Exercise 9.9). Note that $h = 0$ globally on $\partial\Omega$, and thus $h = 0$ globally on Ω .

□

Proof of (d).

- (1) (*The divergence theorem in \mathbb{R}^2 .*) If $\mathbf{F} = F_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + F_2 \mathbf{e}_2$ is a vector field of class \mathcal{C}' in an open set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, and if Ω is a closed subset of E with positively oriented boundary $\partial\Omega$ then

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) dA = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n}) ds.$$

Define a 1-form by

$$\omega_{\mathbf{F}} = F_1 dy - F_2 dx.$$

So

$$d\omega_{\mathbf{F}} = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) dx \wedge dy = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) dA.$$

Hence the Stokes' theorem (Theorem 10.33) implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}) dA = \int_{\Omega} d\omega_{\mathbf{F}} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \omega_{\mathbf{F}} = \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{n}) ds.$$

- (2) Note that

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = g \nabla^2 h + (\nabla g) \cdot (\nabla h)$$

is also true in \mathbb{R}^2 . Similar to (b), two Green's identities are also true in \mathbb{R}^2 . (In \mathbb{R}^1 , the Green's first identity is the integration by parts (Theorem 6.22).)

□

Exercise 10.32 (Möbius band). Fix δ , $0 < \delta < 1$. Let D be the set of all $(\theta, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, $-\delta \leq t \leq \delta$. Let Φ be the 2-surface in \mathbb{R}^3 , with parameter domain D , given by

$$\begin{aligned}x &= (1 - t \sin \theta) \cos(2\theta) \\y &= (1 - t \sin \theta) \sin(2\theta) \\z &= t \cos \theta\end{aligned}$$

where $(x, y, z) = \Phi(\theta, t)$. Note that $\Phi(\pi, t) = \Phi(0, -t)$, and that Φ is one-to-one on the rest of D .

The range $M = \Phi(D)$ of Φ is known as a **Möbius band**. It is the simplest example of a nonorientable surface.

Prove the various assertions made in the following description: Put $\mathbf{p}_1 = (0, -\delta)$, $\mathbf{p}_2 = (\pi, -\delta)$, $\mathbf{p}_3 = (\pi, \delta)$, $\mathbf{p}_4 = (0, \delta)$, $\mathbf{p}_5 = \mathbf{p}_1$. Put $\gamma_i = [\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{i+1}]$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, and put $\Gamma_i = \Phi \circ \gamma_i$. Then

$$\partial\Phi = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4.$$

Put $\mathbf{a} = (1, 0, -\delta)$, $\mathbf{b} = (1, 0, \delta)$. Then

$$\Phi(\mathbf{p}_1) = \Phi(\mathbf{p}_3) = \mathbf{a}, \quad \Phi(\mathbf{p}_2) = \Phi(\mathbf{p}_4) = \mathbf{b},$$

and $\partial\Phi$ can be described as follows.

- (1) Γ_1 spirals up from \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{b} ; its projection into the (x, y) -plane has winding number $+1$ around the origin. (See Exercise 8.23.)
- (2) $\Gamma_2 = [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}]$.
- (3) Γ_3 spirals up from \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{b} ; its projection into the (x, y) -plane has winding number -1 around the origin.
- (4) $\Gamma_4 = [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}]$.

Thus $\partial\Phi = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3 + 2\Gamma_2$.

If we go from \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{b} along Γ_1 and continue along the “edge” of M until we return to \mathbf{a} , the curve traced out is

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_3,$$

which may also be represented on the parameter interval $[0, 2\pi]$ by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}x &= (1 + \delta \sin \theta) \cos(2\theta) \\y &= (1 + \delta \sin \theta) \sin(2\theta) \\z &= -\delta \cos \theta.\end{aligned}$$

It should be emphasized that $\Gamma \neq \partial\Phi$: Let $\eta = \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2}$ be the 1-form discussed in Exercise 10.21 and Exercise 10.22. Since $d\eta = 0$, Stokes' theorem shows that

$$\int_{\partial\Phi} \eta = 0.$$

But although Γ is the “geometric” boundary of M , we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} \eta = 4\pi.$$

In order to avoid this possible source of confusion, Stokes' formula (Theorem 10.50) is frequently stated only for orientable surfaces Φ .

Proof.

- (1) Show that $\partial\Phi = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4$.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\Phi &= \Phi \circ (\partial D) \\ &= \Phi \circ (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4) \\ &= \Phi \circ \gamma_1 + \Phi \circ \gamma_2 + \Phi \circ \gamma_3 + \Phi \circ \gamma_4 \\ &= \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4. \end{aligned}$$

- (2) It is trivial that $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_1) = \Phi(\mathbf{p}_3) = \mathbf{a} = (1, 0, -\delta)$ and $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_2) = \Phi(\mathbf{p}_4) = \mathbf{b} = (1, 0, \delta)$ by the definition of Φ .

- (3) Show that Γ_1 spirals up from \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{b} ; its projection into the (x, y) -plane has winding number $+1$ around the origin. By definition, $\Gamma_1 = \Phi \circ \gamma_1 = \Phi([\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2])$. That is, Γ_1 spirals up from $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_1) = \mathbf{a}$ to $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_2) = \mathbf{b}$. Besides, the projection P_{Γ_1} of Γ_1 into the (x, y) -plane ($z = 0$) can be parameterized as

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \left(1 + \delta \sin \frac{t}{2}\right) \cos t \\ y &= \left(1 + \delta \sin \frac{t}{2}\right) \sin t \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$. Note that P_{Γ_1} satisfies the condition in Exercise 10.21(b). Hence $\int_{P_{\Gamma_1}} \eta = 2\pi$. (Here η is well-defined.) Apply Exercise 10.21(f) to get

$$\text{Ind}(P_{\Gamma_1}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{P_{\Gamma_1}} \eta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot 2\pi = 1.$$

- (4) Show that $\Gamma_2 = [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}]$. By definition, $\Gamma_2 = \Phi \circ \gamma_2 = \Phi([\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3])$ is $[\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}]$ exactly.

- (5) Show that Γ_3 spirals up from \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{b} ; its projection into the (x, y) -plane has winding number -1 around the origin. Similar to (3), Γ_3 spirals up from $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_3) = \mathbf{a}$ to $\Phi(\mathbf{p}_4) = \mathbf{b}$. Now we consider $-\Gamma_3$ instead of Γ_3 . The projection $P_{-\Gamma_3}$ of $-\Gamma_3$ into the (x, y) -plane ($z = 0$) can be parameterized as

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \left(1 - \delta \sin \frac{t}{2}\right) \cos t \\y &= \left(1 - \delta \sin \frac{t}{2}\right) \sin t\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$. Similar to (3), $\text{Ind}(P_{-\Gamma_3}) = 1$. Therefore,

$$\text{Ind}(\Gamma_3) = -\text{Ind}(-\Gamma_3) = -\text{Ind}(P_{-\Gamma_3}) = -1.$$

- (6) Show that $\Gamma_4 = [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}]$. Similar to (4).
 (7) Show that $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_3$ is the trace of from \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{b} along Γ_1 and continue along the “edge” of M until we return to \mathbf{a} . By definition, Γ can be parameterized as

$$\begin{aligned}x &= (1 + \delta \sin t) \cos(2t) \\y &= (1 + \delta \sin t) \sin(2t) \\z &= -\delta \cos t\end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$. Thus, Γ is Γ_1 if $t \in [0, \pi]$ and Γ is $-\Gamma_3$ if $t \in [\pi, 2\pi]$ by (3)(5). So $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_3$.

- (8) Show that $\int_{\partial\Phi} \eta = 0$. Note that η is well-defined since M does not intersect the z -axis. So the Stokes’ theorem (Theorem 10.33) and $d\eta = 0$ on M implies that

$$\int_{\partial\Phi} \eta = \int_{\Phi} d\eta = 0.$$

- (9) Show that $\int_{\Gamma} \eta = 4\pi$.

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Gamma} \eta &= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{xdy - ydx}{x^2 + y^2} \\&= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{x(t)y'(t) - y(t)x'(t)}{x(t)^2 + y(t)^2} dt \\&= \int_0^{2\pi} 2 dt \\&= 4\pi.\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

(So the winding number of Γ around of $\mathbf{0}$ is 2.)

- (10) By (8)(9), $\Gamma \neq \partial\Phi$.

□