

## Chapter 2: Modules

*Author: Meng-Gen Tsai*  
*Email: plover@gmail.com*

**Exercise 2.1.** Show that  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  if  $m, n$  are coprime.

It suffices to show that

$$(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$$

where  $d$  is the greatest common divisor of  $m$  and  $n$ .

*Outlines.*

- (1) Define  $\tilde{\varphi}$  by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\varphi}: & (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \\ & \Downarrow & \Downarrow \\ & (x + m\mathbb{Z}, y + n\mathbb{Z}) & \longmapsto xy + d\mathbb{Z}. \end{array}$$

$\tilde{\varphi}$  is well-defined and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear.

- (2) By the universal property,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  factors through a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear map

$$\varphi: (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$$

(such that  $\varphi(x \otimes y) = \tilde{\varphi}(x, y)$ ).

- (3) To show that  $\varphi$  is isomorphic, might find the inverse map  $\psi: \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  of  $\varphi$ . Define  $\psi$  by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi: & \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \\ & \Downarrow & \Downarrow \\ & z + d\mathbb{Z} & \longmapsto (z + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

$\psi$  is well-defined and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear.

- (4)  $\psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}$ .

- (5)  $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}$ .

*Proof of (1).*

(a)  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is well-defined. Say  $x' = x + am$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $y' = y + bn$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $x'y' - xy = yam + xbn + abmn \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ . That is,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is independent of coset representative.

(b)  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear.

(i) For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda x, y) = \tilde{\varphi}(x, \lambda y) = \lambda \tilde{\varphi}(x, y)$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varphi}(\lambda(x + m\mathbb{Z}), y + n\mathbb{Z}) &= \tilde{\varphi}(\lambda x + m\mathbb{Z}, y + n\mathbb{Z}) = \lambda xy + d\mathbb{Z}, \\ \tilde{\varphi}(x + m\mathbb{Z}, \lambda(y + n\mathbb{Z})) &= \tilde{\varphi}(x + m\mathbb{Z}, \lambda y + n\mathbb{Z}) = \lambda xy + d\mathbb{Z}, \\ \tilde{\varphi}(x_1 + m\mathbb{Z}, y + n\mathbb{Z}) &= \lambda(xy + d\mathbb{Z}) = \lambda xy + d\mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\tilde{\varphi}(x_1 + x_2, y) = \tilde{\varphi}(x_1, y) + \tilde{\varphi}(x_2, y)$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\varphi}((x_1 + x_2) + m\mathbb{Z}, y + n\mathbb{Z}) &= (x_1 + x_2)y + d\mathbb{Z}, \\ \tilde{\varphi}(x_1 + m\mathbb{Z}, y + n\mathbb{Z}) + \tilde{\varphi}(x_2 + m\mathbb{Z}, y + n\mathbb{Z}) &= (x_1y + d\mathbb{Z}) + (x_2y + d\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= (x_1 + x_2)y + d\mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $\tilde{\varphi}(x, y_1 + y_2) = \tilde{\varphi}(x, y_1) + \tilde{\varphi}(x, y_2)$ . Similar to (ii).

□

*Proof of (3).*

(a)  $\psi$  is well-defined. Say  $z' = z + cd$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $d = \alpha m + \beta n$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(z' + d\mathbb{Z}) &= \psi(z + cd + d\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \psi(z + c(\alpha m + \beta n) + d\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= (z + c(\alpha m + \beta n) + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= (z + c\beta n + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= (z + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}) + (c\beta n + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \psi(z + d\mathbb{Z}) + (1 + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (c\beta n + n\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= \psi(z + d\mathbb{Z}).\end{aligned}$$

(b)  $\psi$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear.

(i) For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\psi(\lambda z) = \lambda \psi(z)$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\lambda(z + d\mathbb{Z})) &= \psi(\lambda z + d\mathbb{Z}) = (\lambda z + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}), \\ \lambda \psi(z + d\mathbb{Z}) &= \lambda((z + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z})) = (\lambda z + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}).\end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\psi(z_1 + z_2) = \psi(z_1) + \psi(z_2)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\psi((z_1 + z_2) + d\mathbb{Z}) &= (z_1 + z_2 + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}), \\ \psi(z_1 + d\mathbb{Z}) + \psi(z_2 + d\mathbb{Z}) &= (z_1 + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}) + (z_2 + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= (z_1 + z_2 + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}).\end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of (4).* For any  $(x + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (y + n\mathbb{Z}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\varphi((x + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (y + n\mathbb{Z}))) &= \psi(xy + d\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= (xy + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z}) \\ &= (x + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (y + n\mathbb{Z}).\end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of (5).* For any  $z + d\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\psi(z + d\mathbb{Z})) &= \varphi((z + m\mathbb{Z}) \otimes (1 + n\mathbb{Z})) \\ &= z + d\mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 2.2.** Let  $A$  be a ring,  $\mathfrak{a}$  an ideal,  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Show that  $(A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A M$  is isomorphic to  $M/\mathfrak{a}M$ . (Hint: Tensor the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0$  with  $M$ .)

*Proof (Hint).* There is a natural exact sequence  $E$ :

$$E : 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{\pi} A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $i$  is the inclusion map (and  $\pi$  is the projection map). Tensor  $E$  with  $M$ :

$$E' : \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{i \otimes 1} A \otimes_A M \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes 1} (A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, or

$$(A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A M \cong A \otimes_A M / \text{im}(i \otimes 1).$$

By Proposition 2.14, There is an unique isomorphism  $A \otimes_A M \rightarrow M$  defined by  $a \otimes x \mapsto ax$ . This isomorphism sends  $\text{im}(i \otimes 1)$  to  $\mathfrak{a}M$ . Therefore,

$$(A/\mathfrak{a}) \otimes_A M \cong M/\mathfrak{a}M.$$

□

*Proof (Brute-force).*

(1) Define  $\tilde{\varphi}$  by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\tilde{\varphi} : & A/\mathfrak{a} \times M & \longrightarrow M/\mathfrak{a}M \\ & \Downarrow & \Downarrow \\ & (a + \mathfrak{a}, x) & \longmapsto ax + \mathfrak{a}M.\end{array}$$

$\tilde{\varphi}$  is well-defined and  $A$ -bilinear.

- (2) By the universal property,  $\tilde{\varphi}$  factors through a  $A$ -bilinear map

$$\varphi : A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{a}M$$

(such that  $\varphi(a \otimes x) = \tilde{\varphi}(a, x)$ ).

- (3) To show that  $\varphi$  is isomorphic, might find the inverse map  $\psi : M/\mathfrak{a}M \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M$  of  $\varphi$ . Define  $\psi$  by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi : & M/\mathfrak{a}M & \longrightarrow & A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & x + \mathfrak{a}M & \longmapsto & (1 + \mathfrak{a}) \otimes x. \end{array}$$

$\psi$  is well-defined and  $A$ -linear.

- (4)  $\psi \circ \varphi = \text{id}$ .  
(5)  $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}$ .

□

**Exercise 2.3.** Let  $A$  be a local ring,  $M$  and  $N$  finitely generated  $A$ -modules. Prove that if  $M \otimes_A N = 0$ , then  $M = 0$  or  $N = 0$ . (Hint: Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal,  $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$  the residue field. Let  $M_k = k \otimes_A M \cong M/\mathfrak{m}M$  by Exercise 2.2. By Nakayama's lemma,  $M_k = 0 \implies M = 0$ . But  $M \otimes_A N = 0 \implies (M \otimes_A N)_k = 0 \implies M_k \otimes_k N_k = 0 \implies M_k = 0$  or  $N_k = 0$  since  $M_k, N_k$  are vector spaces over a field.)

The conclusion might be false if  $A$  is not local. For example, Exercise 2.1.

*Proof (Hint).* Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal,  $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$  the residue field. Let  $M_k = k \otimes_A M$ .

- (1) (*Base extension*) Show that  $(M \otimes_A N)_k = M_k \otimes_k N_k$ . In fact, by Proposition 2.14

$$\begin{aligned} (M \otimes_A N)_k &= k \otimes_A (M \otimes_A N) \\ &= (k \otimes_A M) \otimes_A N \\ &= M_k \otimes_A N \\ &= (M_k \otimes_k k) \otimes_A N \\ &= M_k \otimes_k (k \otimes_A N) \\ &= M_k \otimes_k N_k. \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
M \otimes_A N = 0 &\implies (M \otimes_A N)_k = 0 \\
&\implies M_k \otimes_k N_k = 0 && ((1)) \\
&\implies M_k = 0 \text{ or } N_k = 0 && (M_k, N_k: \text{ vector spaces}) \\
&\implies M/\mathfrak{m}M = 0 \text{ or } N/\mathfrak{m}N = 0 && (\text{Exercise 2.2}) \\
&\implies M = 0 \text{ or } N = 0. && (\text{Nakayama's lemma})
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Exercise 2.5.** Let  $A[x]$  be the ring of polynomials in one indeterminate over a ring  $A$ . Prove that  $A[x]$  is a flat  $A$ -algebra. (Hint: Use Exercise 2.4.)

*Proof (Hint).*

(1)  $A$  is a flat  $A$ -module by Proposition 2.14(iv).

(2) As an  $A$ -module,

$$A[x] \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} Ax^n \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} A$$

(since  $Ax^n \cong A$ ).

(3) By Exercise 2.4,  $A[x] \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} A$  is flat.

□

**Exercise 2.8.**

(i) If  $M$  and  $N$  are flat  $A$ -modules, then so is  $M \otimes_A N$ .

(ii) If  $B$  is a flat  $A$ -algebra and  $N$  is a flat  $B$ -module, then  $N$  is flat as  $A$ -module.

*Proof of (i).* Given any exact sequence of  $A$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow N_3 \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $M$  is flat,

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes_A M \rightarrow N_2 \otimes_A M \rightarrow N_3 \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since  $N$  is flat,

$$0 \rightarrow (N_1 \otimes_A M) \otimes_A N \rightarrow (N_2 \otimes_A M) \otimes_A N \rightarrow (N_3 \otimes_A M) \otimes_A N \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. By Proposition 2.14 (ii),

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes_A (M \otimes_A N) \rightarrow N_2 \otimes_A (M \otimes_A N) \rightarrow N_3 \otimes_A (M \otimes_A N) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, or  $M \otimes_A N$  is flat.  $\square$

*Proof of (ii).* Given any exact sequence of  $A$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow N_3 \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $B$  is a flat  $A$ -algebra ( $A$ -module),

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes_A B \rightarrow N_2 \otimes_A B \rightarrow N_3 \otimes_A B \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since  $N$  is a flat  $B$ -module,

$$0 \rightarrow (N_1 \otimes_A B) \otimes_B N \rightarrow (N_2 \otimes_A B) \otimes_B N \rightarrow (N_3 \otimes_A B) \otimes_B N \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. By “Exercise 2.15” on page 27,

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes_A (B \otimes_B N) \rightarrow N_2 \otimes_A (B \otimes_B N) \rightarrow N_3 \otimes_A (B \otimes_B N) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. By Proposition 2.14 (iv),

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \otimes_A N \rightarrow N_2 \otimes_A N \rightarrow N_3 \otimes_A N \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, or  $N$  is flat.  $\square$