Chapter 1: Roots of Commutative Algebra

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai
Email: plover@gmail.com

Exercise 1.1. *Prove that the following conditions on a module M over a commutative ring R are equivalent (the fourth is Hilbert's original formulation; the first and the third are the ones most often used). The case $M = R$ is the case of ideals.*

(1) *M is Noetherian (that is, every submodule of M is finitely generated).*

(2) *Every ascending chain of submodules of M terminates ("ascending chain condition").*

(3) *Every set of submodules of M contains elements maximal under inclusion.*

(4) *Given any sequence of elements $f_1, f_2, \ldots \in M$, there is a number m such that for each $n > m$ there is an expression $f_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i f_i$ with $a_i \in R$.*

Idea. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

Proof of (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Given any ascending chain of submodules $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \cdots$, let

$$N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i.$$

(a) *N is a submodule.* By the ascending chain condition, each pair of elements in N are in a common N_m.

(b) N is finitely generated by assumption. By the ascending chain condition again, all generators of N are in a common N_m. So $N = N_m$ for some m.

(c) Since $N_m = N \supseteq N_n$ whenever $n \geq m$, $N_m = N_{m+1} = \cdots$.

□

Proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Let N_k be generated by $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k$.

(a) $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \cdots$ is an ascending chain of submodules of M.

(b) By assumption there is a number m such that $N_m = N_{m+1} = \cdots$.

(c) Given any $n \geq m$, $f_n \in N_n = N_m$. So we can write $f_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i f_i$ with $a_i \in R$ since N_m is generated by $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_m$.

$\square$

Proof of (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3). *It suffices to show that* $\neg(3) \Rightarrow \neg(4)$. There exists a nonempty collection Σ of submodules of M containing no maximal element under inclusion.

(a) Start with any submodule N_1 in Σ, and recursively pick submodule $N_2, N_3, \ldots$ such that $N_1 \subsetneq N_2 \subsetneq N_3 \subsetneq \cdots$.

(b) Pick $f_1 \in N_1$ and $f_i \in N_i - N_{i-1} \neq \varnothing$ for $i \geq 2$. The sequence of elements $f_1, f_2, \ldots \in M$ is what we want.

$\square$

Proof of (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). *Show that N is finitely generated if N is any submodule of M.* Let Σ be the set of all finitely generated submodules of N.

(a) $\Sigma \neq \varnothing$ since 0 is a finitely generated submodules of N.

(b) By assumption, there exists a maximal element N_0 of Σ. N_0 is finitely generated.

(c) (Reductio ad absurdum) If N_0 were not equal to N, there is $x \in N - N_0$. Clearly the submodule $N_0 + xR$ of N is finitely generated and $N_0 + xR \supsetneq N_0$, contrary to the maximality of N_0.

$\square$

Proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). It is the part (a) of the proof of (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3). $\square$

Proof of (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Given any ascending chain of submodules $N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \cdots$. The set

$$\Sigma = \{N_i\}_{i \geq 1}$$

has a maximal element, say N_m. Hence $N_m = N_{m+1} = \cdots$ by the maximality of N_m. $\square$

Remark. In general, let Σ be a set partially ordered by a relation $\leq$. Then the following conditions on Σ are equivalent:

(1) Every increasing sequence $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \in \Sigma$ is stationary.

(2) Every non-empty subset of Σ has a maximal element.

Exercise 1.2 (Emmy Noether). *Prove that if R is Noetherian, and $I \subsetneq R$ is an ideal, then among the primes of R containing I there are only finitely many that are minimal with respect to inclusion (these are usually called the* ***minimal primes of*** *I, or the* ***primes minimal over*** *I) as follows: Assuming that the* *proposition fails, the Noetherian hypothesis guarantees the existence of an ideal I maximal among ideals in R for which it fails. Show that I cannot be prime, so we can find elements f and g in R, not in I, such that $fg \in I$. Now show that every prime minimal over I is minimal over one of the larger ideals (I, f) and (I, g).*

Note. With Hilbert's basis theorem and the Nullstellensatz (see Exercise 1.9), Exercise 1.2 gives one of the fundamental finiteness theorems of algebraic geometry: An algebraic set can have only finitely many irreducible components. Originally the result was proved by difficult inductive arguments and elimination theory. For a further discussion of the significance of this reslt see the beginning of Chapter 3, and particularly example 2 there. The result of this exercise is strengthened in Theorem 3.1.

Lemma. *For any $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$.*

Proof of Lemma.

(1) If $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$. We are done.

(2) If $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{a}$, there exists $a \in \mathfrak{a} - \mathfrak{p}$. So for any $b \in \mathfrak{b}$, $b \in \mathfrak{p}$ since $ab \in \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal, that is, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$.

By (1)(2), $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ or $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}$. □

Proof. (Reductio ad absurdum)

(1) Assuming that the proposition fails, the Noetherian hypothesis of R guarantees the existence of an ideal I maximal among ideals in R for which it fails.

(2) *Show that I cannot be prime.* (Reductio ad absurdum) If I were prime, then there were only one minimal prime I itself, which is absurd.

(3) Therefore, there exist elements $f, g \in R$ such that $fg \in I$ but $f \notin I$ and $g \notin I$. $(I, f) \supsetneq I$, $(I, g) \supsetneq I$ and $(I, f)(I, g) \subseteq I$.

(4) By Lemma, any prime containing I must contain either (I, f) or (I, g). In particular, any prime minimal over I is minimal over either (I, f) or (I, g). However, by the choice of I, both (I, f) and (I, g) have only finitely many minimal primes, which is absurd.

□

Exercise 1.3. *Let M' be a submodule of M. Show that M is Noetherian iff both M' and M/M' are Noetherian.*

Proof.

(1) ($\Longrightarrow$)

(a) *Show that M' is Noetherian if M is Noetherian.* This is an immediate consequence of the definition of a Noetherian module since a submodule of a submodule is a submodule.

(b) *Show that M/M' is Noetherian if M is Noetherian.* Every submodule of M/M' has the form M''/M' where M'' is a submodule of M with $M' \subseteq M'' \subseteq M$. Since M is Noetherian, M'' is finitely generated, and the reduction of those generators mod M' will generate M''/M' as a finitely generated module.

(2) ($\Longleftarrow$)

(a) Given any submodule M'' of M. Then the image of M'' in M/M' is finitely generated and $M'' \cap M'$ is finitely generated too.

(b) Say $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in M''$ generate the image of M'' in M/M' and say $y_1, \ldots, y_h \in M''$ generate $M'' \cap M'$.

(c) Given any $x \in M''$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \equiv r_1 x_1 + \cdots + r_k x_k \pmod{M'} \text{ for some } r_i \in R \\
\Longrightarrow & x - \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i x_k \equiv 0 \pmod{M'} \\
\Longrightarrow & x - \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i x_k \in M' \\
\Longrightarrow & x - \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i x_k \in M'' \cap M' \\
\Longrightarrow & x - \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i x_k = \sum_{j=1}^{h} s_j y_j \text{ for some } s_j \in R \\
\Longrightarrow & x = \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i x_k + \sum_{j=1}^{h} s_j y_j \\
\Longrightarrow & x \text{ is generated by } x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_h
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence M'' is finitely generated for any submodule M'' of M, that is, M is Noetherian.

$\square$