

Chapter 4: Continuity

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai
Email: plover@gmail.com

Exercise 4.1. Suppose f is a real function define on \mathbb{R}^1 which satisfies

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [f(x+h) - f(x-h)] = 0$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$. Does this imply that f is continuous?

Proof. $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [f(x+h) - f(x-h)] = 0$ holds if f is continuous. But the converse of this statement and is not true. For example, define $f : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & (x = 0), \\ 0 & (x \neq 0). \end{cases}$$

f is not continuous at $x = 0$ but

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [f(x+h) - f(x-h)] = 0$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$. (The identity holds for $x \neq 0$ since f is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^1 - \{0\}$. Besides, $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [f(0+h) - f(0-h)] = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [0 - 0] = 0$.) \square

Exercise 4.2. If f is a continuous mapping of a metric space X into a metric space Y , prove that $f(\overline{E}) \subseteq \overline{f(E)}$ for every set $E \subseteq X$. (\overline{E} denotes the closure of E .) Show, by an example, that $f(\overline{E})$ can be a proper subset of $\overline{f(E)}$.

Proof.

(1) Since f is continuous and $\overline{f(E)}$ is closed, $f^{-1}(\overline{f(E)})$ is closed. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\overline{f(E)}) &\supseteq f^{-1}(f(E)) && \text{(Monotonicity of } f^{-1}) \\ &\supseteq E, && \text{(Note in Theorem 4.14)} \\ \overline{E} &\subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{f(E)}), && \text{(Monotonicity of closure)} \\ f(\overline{E}) &\subseteq f(f^{-1}(\overline{f(E)})) && \text{(Monotonicity of } f) \\ &\subseteq \overline{f(E)}. && \text{(Note in Theorem 4.14)} \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x}.$$

Consider $E = \mathbb{Z}^+ \subseteq (0, \infty)$. Then $f(E) = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(\overline{E}) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}. \\ \overline{f(E)} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\} \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Supplement (Inverse image).

(1) $E \subseteq f^{-1}[f(E)]$ for $E \subseteq X$.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in E &\implies f(x) \in f(E) \\ &\iff x \in f^{-1}[f(E)]. \quad (\text{Definition of the inverse image}) \end{aligned}$$

□

(2) $f[f^{-1}(E)] \subseteq E$ for $E \subseteq Y$.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall y \in f[f^{-1}(E)] &\iff \exists x \in f^{-1}(E) \text{ such that } y = f(x) \\ &\iff \exists x, f(x) \in E \text{ such that } y = f(x) \\ &\implies \exists x, y = f(x) \in E. \end{aligned}$$

□

Supplement (Continuity). Let f be a map from a topological space on X to a topological space on Y . Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is continuous: For each $x \in X$ and every neighborhood V of $f(x)$, there is a neighborhood U of x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.
- (2) For every open set O in Y , the inverse image $f^{-1}(O)$ is open in X .
- (3) For every closed set C in Y , the inverse image $f^{-1}(C)$ is closed in X .
- (4) $f(A)^\circ \subseteq f(A^\circ)$ for every subset A of X .
- (5) $f^{-1}(B^\circ) \subseteq (f^{-1}(B))^\circ$ for every subset B of Y .
- (6) $f(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$ for every subset A of X .
- (7) $\overline{f^{-1}(B)} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B})$ for every subset B of Y .

Exercise 4.3. Let f be a continuous real function on a metric space X . Let $Z(f)$ (the zero set of f) be the set of all $p \in X$ at which $f(p) = 0$. Prove that $Z(f)$ is closed.

Proof (Corollary to Theorem 4.8). Since f is continuous, $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = Z(f)$ is closed in X for a closed subset $\{0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^1 . \square

Denote the complement of any set E by \widetilde{E} .

Proof (Theorem 4.8). Consider the complement of $Z(f)$ in X ,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{Z(f)} &= \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\} \\ &= f^{-1}((-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)).\end{aligned}$$

Since f is continuous, $f^{-1}((-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)) = \widetilde{Z(f)}$ is open in X for a open subset $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ in \mathbb{R}^1 . \square

Proof (Definition 2.18(d)). Given any limit point p of $Z(f)$. Show that $f(p) = 0$ or $p \in Z(f)$. Since f is continuous, given any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(p)| < \epsilon$ for all $x \in X$ for which $d_X(x, p) < \delta$. Since p is a limit point of $Z(f)$, for such $\delta > 0$ we have a point $q \neq p$ such that $q \in Z(f)$, or $f(q) = 0$. So $|f(p)| < \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. $f(p) = 0$. \square

Proof (Definition 2.18(f)). Consider the complement of $Z(f)$ in X ,

$$\widetilde{Z(f)} = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\} = \{f > 0\} \cup \{f < 0\}$$

where $\{f > 0\} = \{x \in X : f(x) > 0\}$ and $\{f < 0\} = \{x \in X : f(x) < 0\}$. It suffices to show $\{f > 0\}$ is open. ($\{f < 0\}$ is similar.) Given any point p of $\{f > 0\}$ or $f(p) > 0$. Want to show p is an interior point of $\{f > 0\}$. Since f is continuous, given any $\epsilon = \frac{f(p)}{2} > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(p)| < \frac{f(p)}{2}$ for all $x \in X$ for which $d_X(x, p) < \delta$. For such x with $d_X(x, p) < \delta$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}f(p) < f(x) < \frac{3}{2}f(p).$$

That is, $N = \{x : d_X(x, p) < \delta\}$ is a neighborhood p such that $N \subseteq \{f > 0\}$. \square

Exercise 4.4. Let f and g be continuous mappings of a metric space X into a metric space Y , and let E be a dense subset of X . Prove that $f(E)$ is dense in $f(X)$. If $g(p) = f(p)$ for all $p \in E$, prove that $g(p) = f(p)$ for all $p \in X$. (In other words, a continuous mapping is determined by its values on a dense subset of its domain.)

Exercise 4.5. If f is a real continuous function defined on a closed set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^1$, prove that there exist continuous real function g on \mathbb{R}^1 such that $g(x) = f(x)$ for

all $x \in E$. (Such functions g are called **continuous extensions** of f from E to \mathbb{R}^1 .) Show that the result becomes false if the word “closed” is omitted. Extend the result to vector valued functions. (Hint: Let the graph of g be a straight line on each of the segments which constitute the complement of E (compare Exercise 2.29). The result remains true if \mathbb{R}^1 is replaced by any metric space, but the proof is not so simple.)

Supplement (Tietze’s Extension Theorem). If X is a normal topological space and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous map from a closed subset A of X into the real numbers carrying the standard topology, then there exists a continuous map $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g(a) = f(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

Exercise 4.23. A real-valued function f defined in (a, b) is said to be **convex** if

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

whenever $a < x < b$, $a < y < b$, $0 < \lambda < 1$. Prove that every convex function is continuous. Prove that every increasing convex function of a convex function is convex. (For example, if f is convex, so is e^f .)

If f is convex in (a, b) and if $a < s < t < u < b$, show that

$$\frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} \leq \frac{f(u) - f(s)}{u - s} \leq \frac{f(u) - f(t)}{u - t}.$$

Proof.

(1) Show that $\frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} \leq \frac{f(u) - f(s)}{u - s} \leq \frac{f(u) - f(t)}{u - t}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{t - s}{u - s}u + \left(1 - \frac{t - s}{u - s}\right)s \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{u - t}{u - s}\right)u + \frac{u - t}{u - s}s \end{aligned}$$

and $0 < \frac{t - s}{u - s}, \frac{u - t}{u - s} < 1$, by the convexity of f we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &\leq \frac{t - s}{u - s}f(u) + \left(1 - \frac{t - s}{u - s}\right)f(s), \\ f(t) &\leq \left(1 - \frac{u - t}{u - s}\right)f(u) + \frac{u - t}{u - s}f(s). \end{aligned}$$

It is equivalent to

$$\frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} \leq \frac{f(u) - f(s)}{u - s} \leq \frac{f(u) - f(t)}{u - t}.$$

□

- (2) If x, y, x', y' are points of (a, b) with $x \leq x' < y'$ and $x < y \leq y'$, then the chord over (x', y') has larger slope than the chord over (x, y) ; that is,

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{f(y') - f(x')}{y' - x'}.$$

It is a corollary to (1).

- (3) Show that f is continuous. Let $[c, d] \subseteq (a, b)$. Then by (2),

$$\frac{f(c) - f(a)}{c - a} \leq \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq \frac{f(b) - f(d)}{b - d}$$

for x, y in $[c, d]$. Thus $|f(y) - f(x)| \leq M|y - x|$ in $[c, d]$ (where $M = \max\left(\left|\frac{f(c)-f(a)}{c-a}\right|, \left|\frac{f(b)-f(d)}{b-d}\right|\right)$), and so f is absolutely continuous on each closed subinterval of (a, b) . Especially, f is continuous.

- (4) Let f be a convex function, g be an increasing convex function, and $h = g \circ f$. Show that h is convex.

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) &\leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y), && \text{(Convexity of } f) \\ g(f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)) &\leq g(\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)) && \text{(Increasing of } g) \\ &\leq \lambda g(f(x)) + (1 - \lambda)g(f(y)), && \text{(Convexity of } g) \\ h(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) &\leq \lambda h(x) + (1 - \lambda)h(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 4.24. Assume that f is a continuous real function defined in (a, b) such that

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2}$$

for all $x, y \in (a, b)$. Prove that f is convex.

Proof.

- (1) Show that

$$f\left(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n}\right) \leq \frac{f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_n)}{n}$$

whenever $a < x_i < b$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Apply Cauchy induction and use the same argument in proving the AM-GM inequality. As $n = 1, 2$, the inequality holds by assumption. Suppose $n = 2^k$ ($k \geq 1$) the inequality

holds. As $n = 2^{k+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_{2^{k+1}}}{2^{k+1}}\right) \\
&= f\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_{2^k}}{2^k} + \frac{x_{2^k+1} + \cdots + x_{2^{k+1}}}{2^k}\right)\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(f\left(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_{2^k}}{2^k}\right) + f\left(\frac{x_{2^k+1} + \cdots + x_{2^{k+1}}}{2^k}\right)\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_{2^k})}{2^k} + \frac{f(x_{2^k+1}) + \cdots + f(x_{2^{k+1}})}{2^k}\right) \\
&= \frac{f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_{2^k}) + f(x_{2^k+1}) + \cdots + f(x_{2^{k+1}})}{2^{k+1}} \\
&= \frac{f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_{2^{k+1}})}{2^{k+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

As n is not a power of 2, then it is certainly less than some natural power of 2, say $n < 2^m$ for some m . Let

$$x_{n+1} = \cdots = x_{2^m} = \frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n} = \alpha.$$

Then by the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\alpha) &= f\left(\frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n + \alpha + \cdots + \alpha}{2^m}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_n) + f(\alpha) + \cdots + f(\alpha)}{2^m} \\
&\leq \frac{f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_n) + (2^m - n)f(\alpha)}{2^m}, \\
2^m f(\alpha) &\leq f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_n) + (2^m - n)f(\alpha), \\
nf(\alpha) &\leq f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_n),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or } f\left(\frac{1}{n}(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)\right) \leq \frac{1}{n}(f(x_1) + \cdots + f(x_n)).$$

(2) Hence,

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

for any rational λ in $(0, 1)$. (Given any positive integers $p < q$, put $n = q$, $x_1 = \cdots = x_p = x$ and $x_{p+1} = \cdots = x_n = y$ in (1).)

(3) Given any real $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, there is a sequence of rational numbers $\{r_n\} \subseteq (0, 1)$ such that $r_n \rightarrow \lambda$. By (2),

$$f(r_n x + (1 - r_n)y) \leq r_n f(x) + (1 - r_n)f(y)$$

for any rational r_n in $(0, 1)$. Taking limit on the both sides and using the continuity of f , we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y).$$

□

Proof (Reductio ad absurdum). If f were not convex, then there is a subinterval $[c, d] \subseteq (a, b)$ such that

$$\frac{f(d) - f(c)}{d - c} < \frac{f(x_0) - f(c)}{x_0 - c}$$

for some $x_0 \in [c, d]$. Let

$$g(x) = f(x) - f(c) - \frac{f(d) - f(c)}{d - c}(x - c)$$

for $x \in [c, d]$. Therefore,

- (1) $g(x)$ is continuous and midpoint convex.
- (2) $g(c) = g(d) = 0$.
- (3) Let $M = \sup\{g(x) : x \in [c, d]\}$. $\infty > M > 0$ due to the continuity of g and the existence of x_0 . And let $\xi = \inf\{x \in [c, d] : g(x) = M\}$. By the continuity of g , $g(\xi) = M$. $\xi \in (c, d)$ by (2).
- (4) Since (c, d) is open, there is $h > 0$ such that $(\xi - h, \xi + h) \subseteq (c, d)$. By the minimality of ξ and M , $g(\xi - h) < g(\xi)$ and $g(\xi + h) \leq g(\xi)$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\xi - h) + g(\xi + h) &< 2g(\xi), \\ \frac{g(\xi - h) + g(\xi + h)}{2} &< g(\xi) \\ &= g\left(\frac{(\xi - h) + (\xi + h)}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

contrary to the midpoint convexity of g . □

The result becomes false if “continuity of f ” is omitted.

Exercise 4.25. If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and $B \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, define $A + B$ to be the set of all sums $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ with $\mathbf{x} \in A$, $\mathbf{y} \in B$.

- (a) If K is compact and C is closed in \mathbb{R}^k , prove that $K + C$ is closed. (Hint: Take $\mathbf{z} \notin K + C$, put $F = \mathbf{z} - C$, the set of all $\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}$ with $\mathbf{y} \in C$. Then K and F are disjoint. Choose δ as in Exercise 4.21. Show that the open ball with center \mathbf{z} and radius δ does not intersect $K + C$.)
- (b) Let α be an irrational real number. Let C_1 be the set of all integers, let C_2 be the set of all $n\alpha$ with $n \in C_1$. Show that C_1 and C_2 are closed subsets of \mathbb{R}^1 whose sum $C_1 + C_2$ is not closed, by showing that $C_1 + C_2$ is a countable dense subset of \mathbb{R}^1 .

Exercise 4.26. Suppose X, Y, Z are metric spaces, and Y is compact. Let f map X into Y , let g be a continuous one-to-one mapping of Y into Z , and put $h(x) = g(f(x))$ for $x \in X$.

Prove that f is uniformly continuous if h is uniformly continuous. (Hint: g^{-1} has compact domain $g(Y)$, and $f(x) = g^{-1}(h(x))$.)

Prove also that f is continuous if h is continuous.

Show (by modifying Example 4.21, or by finding a different example) that the compactness of Y cannot be omitted from the hypotheses, even when X and Z are compact.