## Chapter 6: Quadratic Gauss Sums

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai Email: plover@gmail.com

**Exercise 6.1.** Show that  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$  is an algebraic integer.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha=\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}$ . So  $\alpha-\sqrt{2}=\sqrt{3}$ . Eliminating  $\sqrt{3}$  by squaring:  $(\alpha-\sqrt{2})^2=(\sqrt{3})^2$ , or  $\alpha^2-2\sqrt{2}\alpha+2=3$ , or  $\alpha^2-1=2\sqrt{2}\alpha$ . Eliminating  $\sqrt{2}$  by squaring again:  $(\alpha^2-1)^2=(2\sqrt{2}\alpha)^2$ , or  $\alpha^4-2\alpha^2+1=8\alpha^2$ , or  $\alpha^4-10\alpha^2+1=0$ . That is,  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^4-10x^2+1=0$ , i.e.,  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer.  $\square$ 

Actually, 
$$x^4 - 10x^2 + 1 = (x - \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3})(x + \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3})(x - \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})(x + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

Proof (Proposition 6.1.5). Since  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{3}$  are algebraic integers, then  $\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}$  is an algebraic integer by Proposition 6.1.5. (The set of algebraic integers forms a ring.)  $\square$ 

**Exercise 6.2.** Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic number. Show that there is an integer n such that  $n\alpha$  is an algebraic integer.

It is trivial if taking n=0. So we assume that  $n\neq 0$ .

*Proof.* There exists a polynomial  $f(x) = a_0 x^m + a_1 x^{m-1} + \dots + a_m \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  with  $a_0 \neq 0$ , such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . There exists an integer  $d \neq 0$  such that  $b_i = d \cdot a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., m. Therefore,

$$b_0\alpha^m + b_1\alpha^{m-1} + \dots + b_m = 0,$$

which is not necessary a monic polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . So we need to do a trick to absort  $b_0$  into  $\alpha$ , and that is why we come out multipling  $\alpha$  by an non-zero integer  $b_0 = d \cdot a_0$ .

Multiply  $b_0^{m-1}$  on the both sides.

$$b_0^m \alpha^m + b_0^{m-1} b_1 \alpha^{m-1} + b_0^{m-1} b_2 \alpha^{m-2} + \dots + b_0^{m-1} b_m = 0.$$
  

$$(b_0 \alpha)^m + b_1 (b_0 \alpha)^{m-1} + b_0 b_2 (n \alpha)^{m-1} + \dots + b_0^{m-1} b_m = 0.$$

That is, the monic polynomial  $g(x) = x^m + c_1 x^{m-1} + c_2 x^{m-2} + \cdots + c_m \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  (with  $c_i = b_0^{i-1} b_i$  for i = 1, 2, ..., m) has a root  $x = b_0 \alpha$ , i.e.,  $b_0 \alpha$  is an algebraic integer for some integer  $b_0$ .  $\square$ 

**Exercise 6.4.** A polynomial  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is said to be primitive if the greatest common divisor of its coefficients is 1. Prove that the product of primitive polynomials is again primitive. This is one of the many results knows as Gauss' lemma.

Proof. Let

$$f(x) = a_0 x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n,$$
  

$$g(x) = b_0 x^m + b_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + b_m$$

be primitive.

(1) Given prime p. Let  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  be the coefficients with the smallest index such that  $p \nmid a_i$  and  $p \nmid b_j$  respectively. Consider the coefficient of  $x^{i+j}$  in f(x)g(x),

$$(\cdots + a_{i-1}b_{j+1}) + a_ib_j + (a_{i+1}b_{j-1} + \cdots).$$

 $p \nmid a_i b_j$  since p is a prime.  $p \mid (\cdots + a_{i-1} b_{j+1})$  by the definition of index i.  $p \mid (a_{i+1} b_{j-1} + \cdots)$  by the definition of index j. That is, the coefficient of  $x^{i+j}$  in f(x)g(x) is not divided by p.

(2) If h(x) = f(x)g(x) is not primitive, there exists a prime p such that p divides all coefficients of h(x). By (1), such i or j does not exist. That is, p is a factor of the greatest common divisor of f(x)'s or g(x)'s coefficients. So f(x) or g(x) is not primitive, which is absurd.

**Exercise 6.16.** Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic number with minimal polynomial f(x). Show that f(x) does not have repeated roots  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Assume not true, write  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)^2 g(x)$ , where  $g(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ . Differentiating f(x) to get new polynomial  $f'(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  and

$$f'(x) = 2(x - \alpha)g(x) + (x - \alpha)^2 g'(x)$$
  
=  $(x - \alpha)(2g(x) + (x - \alpha)g'(x)).$ 

So  $f'(\alpha) = 0$ . Notices that  $\deg f(x) \ge 2$  and thus  $\deg f'(x) = \deg f(x) - 1 \ge 1$ . f'(x) is not zero. Thus  $f(x) \mid f'(x)$  by Proposition 6.1.7, which contradicts the fact  $0 < \deg f'(x) < \deg f(x)$ .  $\square$ 

**Exercise 6.17.** Show that the minimal polynomial for  $\sqrt[3]{2}$  is  $x^3 - 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = x^3 - 2$ .  $f(\sqrt[3]{2}) = 0$ . By Eisenstein's irreducibility criterion, f(x) is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By Proposition 6.1.7,  $f(x) = x^3 - 2$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt[3]{2}$ .  $\square$ 

Exercise 6.18. Show that there exist algebraic numbers of arbitrarily high degree.

A generalization to Exercise 6.17.

If p is a prime, then  $x^n - p$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , by Eisenstein's irreducibility criterion, so  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[n]{p}):\mathbb{Q}] = n$ . (Example 1.16 in Patrick Morandi, Field and Galois Theory.)

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = \sqrt[n]{p}$  for any positive integer n with  $n \geq 2$  and prime p. Apply the similar argument in Exercise 6.17 to show that  $f(x) = x^n - p$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\sqrt[n]{p}$ .  $\square$ 

**Exercise 6.23.** If  $f(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and p is a prime such that  $p \mid a_i$ , i = 1, ..., n,  $p^2 \nmid a_n$ . Show that f(x) is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (Eisenstein's irreducibility criterion).

Proof.

(1) If  $f(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and p is a prime such that  $p \mid a_i$ , i = 1, ..., n,  $p^2 \nmid a_n$ . Then f(x) is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Assume not true. Write f(x) = g(x)h(x) as a product of two non-trivial polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,

$$g(x) = b_0 x^s + b_1 x^{s-1} + \dots + b_s,$$
  

$$h(x) = c_0 x^t + c_1 x^{t-1} + \dots + c_t,$$

where  $b_0 = c_0 = 1$ , 0 < s < n, and 0 < t < n.

Since  $p \nmid b_0$ , there exists largest index i such that  $p \nmid b_i$ . (Therefore  $p \mid b_{i+1}$ ,  $p \mid b_{i+2}$ , and so on.) Similarly, there exists largest index j such that  $p \nmid c_j$ .  $(p \mid c_{j+1}, p \mid c_{j+2}, \text{ and so on.})$  Now we consider the coefficient  $a_{i+j}$ .

$$a_{i+j} = (\cdots + b_{i-1}c_{j+1}) + b_ic_j + (b_{i+1}c_{j-1} + \cdots).$$

 $p \nmid b_i c_j$  since p is a prime.  $p \mid (b_{i+1} c_{j-1} + \cdots)$  by the definition of index i.  $p \mid (\cdots + b_{i-1} c_{j+1})$  By the definition of index j. Thus,  $p \nmid a_{i+j}$ . Hence i = 0 and j = 0. Especially,  $p \mid b_s$  and  $p \mid c_t$ .  $p^2 \mid b_s c_t$ , or  $p^2 \mid a_n$  which contradicts.  $\square$ 

(2) f(x) is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  if f(x) is primitive and irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Assume  $f(x) = g(x)h(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  is reducible. Let a and b be the least common multiple of the denominators of g(x) and h(x) respectively. Then

$$ab \cdot f(x) = (a \cdot g(x))(b \cdot h(x)) = cg_0(x)dh_0(x),$$

where  $g_0(x)$ ,  $h_0(x)$  are primitive polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , and c and d are the greatest common divisor of  $(a \cdot g(x))$ 's and  $(b \cdot h(x))$ 's coefficients respectively Since  $g_0(x)h_0(x)$  is again primitive (Exercise 4),

$$ab = \pm cd, f(x) = g_0(x)h_0(x).$$

Notice that  $\deg(g_0(x)) = \deg(g(x))$  and  $\deg(h_0(x)) = \deg(h(x))$ . So f(x) is reducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which is absurd.