# Chapter 1: The Real And Complex Number Systems

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai Email: plover@gmail.com

## **Integers**

Exercise 1.1. Prove that there is no largest prime. (A proof was known to Euclid.)

There are many proofs of this result. We provide some of them.

*Proof (Due to Euclid).* If  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_t$  were all primes, then we consider

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t + 1.$$

Thus there is a prime number p dividing n. p can not be any of  $p_i$  for  $1 \le i \le t$ ; otherwise p would divide the difference  $n - p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t = 1$ . That is,  $p \ne p_i$  for  $1 \le i \le t$ , contrary to the assumption.  $\square$ 

#### Supplement (Due to Euclid).

(1) Show that k[x], with k a field, has infinitely many irreducible polynomials. If  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_t$  were all irreducible polynomials, then we consider

$$g = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_t + 1 \in k[x].$$

So there is an irreducible polynomial f dividing g (since  $\deg g = \deg f_1 + \deg f_2 + \cdots + \deg f_t \geq 1$ ). f can not be any of  $c_i f_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and  $c_i \in k - \{0\}$ ; otherwise f would divide the difference  $g - f_1 f_2 \cdots f_t = 1$ . That is,  $f \neq c_i f_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and  $c_i \in k - \{0\}$ , contrary to the assumption.

(2) Show that any algebraically closed field is infinite. Let k be an algebraically closed field. If  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  were all elements in k, then we consider a monic polynomials

$$F(X) = (X - a_1) \cdots (X - a_n) + 1 \in k[X].$$

Since k is algebraically closed, there is an element  $a \in k$  such that F(a) = 0. By assumption,  $a = a_i$  for some  $1 \le i \le n$ , and thus  $F(a) = F(a_i) = 1$ , contrary to the fact that a field is a commutative ring where  $0 \ne 1$  and all nonzero elements are invertible.

Proof (Unique factorization theorem). Given N.

(1) Show that  $\sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \leq \prod_{p \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}$ . By the unique factorization theorem on  $n \leq N$ ,

$$\sum_{n\leq N}\frac{1}{n}\leq \prod_{p\leq N}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^2}+\cdots\right)=\prod_{p\leq N}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}.$$

(2) By (1) and the fact that  $\sum \frac{1}{n}$  diverges, there are infinitely many primes.

Proof (Due to Eckford Cohen).

(1)  $\operatorname{ord}_p n! = \left[\frac{n}{p}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^2}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^3}\right] + \cdots$ . For any  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , we can express k as  $k = p^s t$  where  $s = \operatorname{ord}_p k$  is a non-negative integer and (t, p) = 1. There are  $\left[\frac{n}{p^a}\right]$  numbers such that  $p^a \mid k$  for  $a = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Therefore, there are

$$\left[\frac{n}{p^a}\right] - \left[\frac{n}{p^{a+1}}\right]$$

numbers such that  $\operatorname{ord}_{p}k = a$  for  $a = 1, 2, \ldots$  Hence,

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} n! = \left( \left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{n}{p^{2}} \right] \right) + 2 \left( \left[ \frac{n}{p^{2}} \right] - \left[ \frac{n}{p^{3}} \right] \right) + 3 \left( \left[ \frac{n}{p^{3}} \right] - \left[ \frac{n}{p^{4}} \right] \right) + \cdots$$
$$= \left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] + \left[ \frac{n}{p^{2}} \right] + \left[ \frac{n}{p^{3}} \right] + \cdots$$

(2)  $ord_p n! \le \frac{n}{p-1}$  and that  $n!^{\frac{1}{n}} \le \prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ .

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} n! = \left[\frac{n}{p}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^{2}}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^{3}}\right] + \cdots$$

$$\leq \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n}{p^{2}} + \frac{n}{p^{3}} + \cdots$$

$$= \frac{\frac{n}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}}$$

$$= \frac{n}{p - 1}.$$

Thus,

$$n! = \prod_{p|n!} p^{\operatorname{ord}_p n!} \le \prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{n}{p-1}} = \left(\prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right)^n,$$

or

$$n!^{\frac{1}{n}} \le \prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

- (3)  $(n!)^2 \ge n^n$ . Write  $(n!)^2 = \prod_{k=1}^n k \prod_{k=1}^n (n+1-k) = \prod_{k=1}^n k(n+1-k)$ , and  $n^n = \prod_{k=1}^n n$ . It suffices to show that  $k(n+1-k) \ge n$  for each  $1 \le k \le n$ . Notice that  $k(n+1-k) n = (n-k)(k-1) \ge 0$  for  $1 \le k \le n$ . The inequality holds.
- (4) By (3)(4),  $\prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \geq \sqrt{n}$ . Assume that there are finitely many primes, the value  $\prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$  is a finite number whenever the value of n. However,  $\sqrt{n} \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ , which leads to a contradiction. Hence there are infinitely many primes.

Proof (Formula for  $\phi(n)$ ). If  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_t$  were all primes, then let  $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t$  and all numbers between 2 and n are NOT relatively prime to n. Thus,  $\phi(n) = 1$  by the definition of  $\phi$ . By the formula for  $\phi$ ,

$$\phi(n) = n \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \cdots \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_t} \right)$$

$$1 = (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \cdots \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_t} \right)$$

$$= (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_t - 1) > 1,$$

which is a contradiction (since 3 is a prime). Hence there are infinitely many primes.  $\Box$ 

**Exercise 1.2.** If n is a positive integer, prove the algebraic identity

$$a^{n} - b^{n} = (a - b) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{k} b^{n-1-k}.$$

Proof.

(1)

$$(a-b)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-1-k} = a\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-1-k} - b\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-1-k}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{k+1} b^{n-1-k} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k}.$$

(2) Arrange summation index:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{k+1} b^{n-1-k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a^k b^{n-k} = a^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k},$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k} = b^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k}.$$

(3) By (1)(2),

$$(a-b)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-1-k} = \left(a^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k}\right) - \left(b^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k}\right)$$
$$= a^n - b^n.$$

Supplement. Some exercises without proof.

- (1) Let x be a nilpotent element of A. Show that 1+x is a unit of A. Deduce that the sum of a nilpotent element and a unit is a unit. (Exercise 1.1 in the textbook: Atiyah and Macdonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra)
- (2) Prove that  $1^k + 2^k + \cdots + (p-1)^k \equiv 0$  (p) if  $p-1 \nmid k$  and -1(p) if  $p-1 \mid k$ . (Exercise 4.11 in the textbook: Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, 2nd edition)
- (3) Use the existence of a primitive root to give another proof of Wilson's theorem  $(p-1)! \equiv -1$  (p). (Exercise 4.12 in the textbook: Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, 2nd edition)
- (4) Suppose n and F are integers and n, F > 0. Show that

$$B_n(Fx) = F^{n-1} \sum_{a=0}^{F-1} B_n \left( x + \frac{a}{F} \right).$$

where  $B_n(x)$  are Bernoulli polynomials. (Exercise 15.19 in the textbook: Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, 2nd edition)

- (5) Exercise 1.3.
- (6) Exercise 1.4.

**Exercise 1.3.** If  $2^n - 1$  is a prime, prove that n is prime. A prime of the form  $2^p - 1$ , where p is prime, is called a Mersenne prime.

It suffices to prove that: If  $a^n - 1$  is a prime, show that a = 2 and that n is a prime. Primes of the form  $2^p - 1$  are called Mersenne primes. For example,  $2^3 - 1 = 7$  and  $2^5 - 1 = 31$ . It is not known if there are infinitely many Mersenne primes.

#### Proof.

- (1) n is a prime. Assume n were not prime, say n = rs for some r, s > 1. By Exercise 1.2,  $a^{rs} 1 = (a^s 1)(\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} a^{sk})$ .  $a^s 1 = 1$  since  $a^s 1 < a^{rs} 1$  and  $a^{rs} 1$  is a prime. Hence s = 1 and (a = 2), which is absurd.
- (2) a = 2. If a is odd, then  $a^p 1 > 2$  is even, which is not a prime. If a > 2 is even,  $a^p 1 = (a 1)(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a^k)$ . Both a 1 > 1 and  $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a^k > 1$ , which is absurd.

By (1)(2), a=2 and that n is a prime if  $a^n-1$  is a prime.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 1.6.** Prove that every nonempty set of positive integers contains a smallest member. This is called the well-ordering principle.

*Proof.* Use mathematical induction to establish that the well-ordering principle.

- (1) Given a set S of positive integers, let P(n) be the proposition 'If  $m \in S$  for some  $m \leq n$ , then S has a least element'. Want to show P(n) is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
  - (a) P(1) is true. For  $m \in S$  with  $m \le n = 1$ , or m = 1 by the minimality of  $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , S has a least element 1 (m itself) in  $\mathbb{N}$ .
  - (b) Suppose P(n) is true. If  $n+1 \in S$ , then there are only two possible cases.
    - (i) There is a positive integer  $m \in S$  less than n+1. So  $n \ge m \in S$ . Since P(n) is true, S has a least element.
    - (ii) There is no positive integer  $m \in S$  less than n + 1. In this case n + 1 is the least element in S.

In any cases (i)(ii), S has a least element, or P(n+1) is true.

By mathematical induction, P(n) is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(2) Show that the well-ordering principle holds. Let T be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , so there exists a positive integer  $k \in T$ . Notice that P(k) is true by (1), thus T has a least element since  $k \leq k$ .

**Supplement.** Show that the well-ordering principle implies the principle of mathematical induction.

Proof. Suppose that

- (1) P(n) be a proposition defined for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- (2) P(1) is true,
- (3)  $[P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)]$  is true.

Consider the set

$$S = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : P(n) \text{ is false}\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}.$$

Want to show S is empty, or the principle of mathematical induction holds. If S were nonempty, by the well-ordering principle S has a smallest element m. m cannot be 1 by (2). Say m > 1. Therefore,  $m - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and P(m - 1) is true by the minimality of m. By (3), P((m - 1) + 1) = P(m) is true, which is absurd.  $\square$ 

### Rational and irrational numbers

**Exercise 1.11.** Given any real x > 0, prove that there is an irrational number between 0 and x.

*Proof.* There are only two possible cases: x is rational, or x is irrational.

- (1) x is rational. Pick  $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{89}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . y is irrational.
- (2) x is irrational. Pick  $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{64}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . y is irrational.

Proof (Exercise 4.12). Pick

$$y = \lim_{m \to \infty} \left[\lim_{n \to \infty} \cos^{2n}(m!\pi x)\right] \cdot \frac{x}{\sqrt{89}} + \left(1 - \lim_{m \to \infty} \left[\lim_{n \to \infty} \cos^{2n}(m!\pi x)\right]\right) \cdot \frac{x}{\sqrt{64}}.$$

- (1) x is rational.  $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{89}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is irrational.
- (2) x is irrational.  $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{64}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is irrational.

## Upper bounds

## Inequalities

Exercise 1.23. Prove Lagrange's identity for real numbers:

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k\right)^2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k\right)^2 - \sum_{1 \le k < j \le n} (a_k b_j - a_j b_k)^2.$$

Note that this identity implies the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

*Proof.* Put  $(a_k, b_k, A_k, B_k) \mapsto (a_k, b_k, a_k, b_k)$  in the following generalization (Binet-Cauchy identity).  $\square$ 

Generalization (Binet-Cauchy identity).

$$\sum_{1 \le k < j \le n} (a_k b_j - a_j b_k) (A_k B_j - A_j B_k)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k A_k\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k B_k\right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k B_k\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k A_k\right).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} (a_k b_j - a_j b_k) (A_k B_j - A_j B_k) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} (a_k b_j A_k B_j + a_j b_k A_j B_k) - \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} (a_k b_j A_j B_k - a_j b_k A_k B_j) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} (a_k A_k b_j B_j + a_j A_j b_k B_k) - \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} (a_k B_k b_j A_j + a_j B_j b_k A_k) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n} a_k A_k b_j B_j - \sum_{1 \leq k \neq j \leq n} a_k B_k b_j A_j \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k, j \leq n} a_k A_k b_j B_j - \sum_{1 \leq k, j \leq n} a_k B_k b_j A_j \\ &\text{(since } a_k A_k b_j B_j - a_k B_k b_j A_j = 0 \text{ as } k = j) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k A_k\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j B_j\right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k B_k\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_j A_j\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k A_k\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k B_k\right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k B_k\right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k A_k\right). \end{split}$$

Supplement ( $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ ). As n=2,  $(a_1^2+a_2^2)(b_1^2+b_2^2)=(a_1b_1+a_2b_2)^2+(a_1b_2-a_2b_1)^2$ .

Define  $N: \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{Z}$  by  $N(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2$ .

- (1) Verify that for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ ,  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$ , either by direct computation or using the fact that N(a+bi) = (a+bi)(a-bi). Conclude that if  $\alpha \mid \gamma$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , then  $N(\alpha) \mid N(\gamma)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Show that  $\alpha$  is a unit iff  $N(\alpha) = 1$ . Conclude that the only unit are  $\pm 1$  and  $\pm i$ .
- (3) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Show that if  $N(\alpha)$  is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$  then  $\alpha$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ . Show that the same conclusion holds if  $N(\alpha) = p^2$ , where p is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (4) Show that 1-i is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and that  $2=u(1-i)^2$  for some unit u.
- (5) Show that every nonzero, non-unit Gaussian integer  $\alpha$  is a product of irreducible elements, by induction on  $N(\alpha)$ .
- (6) Use the unique factorization in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  to prove that every prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is a sum of two squares.
- (7) Describe all irreducible elements in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .

#### Complex numbers

Exercise 1.48. Prove Lagrange's identity for complex numbers:

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k \right|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} |b_k|^2 - \sum_{1 \le k < j \le n} |a_k \overline{b_j} - a_j \overline{b_k}|^2.$$

*Proof.* Put  $(a_k, b_k, A_k, B_k) \mapsto (a_k, \overline{b_k}, \overline{a_k}, b_k)$  in the generalization to Exercise 1.23 (Binet-Cauchy identity) and use the identity  $|z| = z\overline{z}$ .