

Chapter 1: The Real And Complex Number Systems

Author: Meng-Gen Tsai

Email: plover@gmail.com

Integers

Exercise 1.1 Prove that there is no largest prime. (A proof was known to Euclid.)

There are many proofs of this result. We provide some of them.

Proof (Due to Euclid). If p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t were all primes, then write

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t + 1$$

and there were a prime number p dividing n .

- (1) p can not be any of $p_i (1 \leq i \leq t)$, otherwise p would divide the difference $n - p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t = 1$.
- (2) This prime p is another prime $\neq p_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, which is absurd.

□

Proof (Unique factorization theorem). Given N .

- (1) Show that $\sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \leq \prod_{p \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}$.

By the unique factorization theorem on $n \leq N$,

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \frac{1}{n} \leq \prod_{p \leq N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \cdots\right) = \prod_{p \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}.$$

- (2) By (1) and the fact that $\sum \frac{1}{n}$ diverges, there are infinitely many primes.

□

Proof (Due to Eckford Cohen).

- (1) $\text{ord}_p n! = \left[\frac{n}{p}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^2}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^3}\right] + \cdots$. For any $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we can express k as $k = p^s t$ where $s = \text{ord}_p k$ is a non-negative integer and $(t, p) = 1$. There are $\left[\frac{n}{p^a}\right]$ numbers such that $p^a \mid k$ for $a = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore, there are

$$\left[\frac{n}{p^a}\right] - \left[\frac{n}{p^{a+1}}\right]$$

numbers such that $\text{ord}_p k = a$ for $a = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ord}_p n! &= \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \right\rfloor \right) + 2 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^3} \right\rfloor \right) + 3 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^3} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^4} \right\rfloor \right) + \dots \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^3} \right\rfloor + \dots.\end{aligned}$$

(2) $\text{ord}_p n! \leq \frac{n}{p-1}$ and that $n!^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ord}_p n! &= \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^3} \right\rfloor + \dots \\ &\leq \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n}{p^2} + \frac{n}{p^3} + \dots \\ &= \frac{\frac{n}{p}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \frac{n}{p-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$n! = \prod_{p|n!} p^{\text{ord}_p n!} \leq \prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{n}{p-1}} = \left(\prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \right)^n,$$

or

$$n!^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$$

- (3) $(n!)^2 \geq n^n$. Write $(n!)^2 = \prod_{k=1}^n k \prod_{k=1}^n (n+1-k) = \prod_{k=1}^n k(n+1-k)$, and $n^n = \prod_{k=1}^n n$. It suffices to show that $k(n+1-k) \geq n$ for each $1 \leq k \leq n$. Notice that $k(n+1-k) - n = (n-k)(k-1) \geq 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. The inequality holds.
- (4) By (3)(4), $\prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \geq \sqrt{n}$. Assume that there are finitely many primes, the value $\prod_{p|n!} p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ is a finite number whenever the value of n . However, $\sqrt{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which leads to a contradiction. Hence there are infinitely many primes.

□

Proof (Formula for $\phi(n)$). If p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t were all primes, then let $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t$ and all numbers between 2 and n are NOT relatively prime to n . Thus, $\phi(n) = 1$ by the definition of ϕ . By the formula for ϕ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(n) &= n \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_t} \right) \\ 1 &= (p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_t} \right) \\ &= (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_t - 1) > 1,\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction (since 3 is a prime). Hence there are infinitely many primes. \square

Exercise 1.2 If n is a positive integer, prove the algebraic identity

$$a^n - b^n = (a - b) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-1-k}.$$

Proof.

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} (a - b) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-1-k} &= a \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-1-k} - b \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-1-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{k+1} b^{n-1-k} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Arrange index in summation symbols.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{k+1} b^{n-1-k} &= \sum_{k=1}^n a^k b^{n-k} = a^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k} &= b^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) By (1)(2),

$$\begin{aligned} (a - b) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-1-k} &= \left(a^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k} \right) - \left(b^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a^k b^{n-k} \right) \\ &= a^n - b^n. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Supplement. Some exercises without proof.

- (1) Let x be a nilpotent element of A . Show that $1 + x$ is a unit of A . Deduce that the sum of a nilpotent element and a unit is a unit. (Exercise 1.1 in Atiyah and Macdonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra.)
- (2) Prove that $1^k + 2^k + \cdots + (p-1)^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if $p-1 \nmid k$ and $-1 \pmod{p}$ if $p-1 \mid k$. (Exercise 4.11 in Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Second Edition)

- (3) Use the existence of a primitive root to give another proof of Wilson's theorem $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. (Exercise 4.12 in Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Second Edition)
- (4) Suppose n and F are integers and $n, F > 0$. Show that

$$B_n(Fx) = F^{n-1} \sum_{a=0}^{F-1} B_n\left(x + \frac{a}{F}\right).$$

where $B_n(x)$ are Bernoulli polynomials. (Exercise 15.19 in Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Second Edition)

□

Exercise 1.3 If $2^n - 1$ is a prime, prove that n is prime. A prime of the form $2^p - 1$, where p is prime, is called a Mersenne prime.

It suffices to prove that: If $a^n - 1$ is a prime, show that $a = 2$ and that n is a prime. Primes of the form $2^p - 1$ are called Mersenne primes. For example, $2^3 - 1 = 7$ and $2^5 - 1 = 31$. It is not known if there are infinitely many Mersenne primes.

Proof.

- (1) n is a prime. Assume n were not prime, say $n = rs$ for some $r, s > 1$. By Exercise 1.2, $a^{rs} - 1 = (a^s - 1)(\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} a^{sk})$. $a^s - 1 = 1$ since $a^s - 1 < a^{rs} - 1$ and $a^{rs} - 1$ is a prime. Hence $s = 1$ and $(a = 2)$, which is absurd.
- (2) $a = 2$. If a is odd, then $a^p - 1 > 2$ is even, which is not a prime. If $a > 2$ is even, $a^p - 1 = (a - 1)(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a^k)$. Both $a - 1 > 1$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a^k > 1$, which is absurd.

By (1)(2), $a = 2$ and that n is a prime if $a^n - 1$ is a prime. □

Rational and irrational numbers

Exercise 1.11 Given any real $x > 0$, prove that there is an irrational number between 0 and x .

Proof. There are only two possible cases: x is rational, or x is irrational.

- (1) x is rational. Pick $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{89}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. y is irrational.

(2) x is irrational. Pick $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{64}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. y is irrational.

□

Proof (Exercise 4.12). Pick

$$y = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos^{2n}(m!\pi x)] \cdot \frac{x}{\sqrt{89}} + (1 - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} [\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos^{2n}(m!\pi x)]) \cdot \frac{x}{\sqrt{64}}.$$

(1) x is rational. $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{89}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is irrational.

(2) x is irrational. $y = \frac{x}{\sqrt{64}} \in (0, x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is irrational.

□