

The FREQ Procedure

Analysis Value (C)

AVALC	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Complete Response (CR)	18	5.64	18	5.64
Non-CR/Non-PD	121	37.93	139	43.57
Partial Response (PR)	77	24.14	216	67.71
Progressive Disease (PD)	33	10.34	249	78.06
Stable Disease (SD)	68	21.32	317	99.37
Unknown	2	0.63	319	100.00

The FREQ Procedure

Analysis Value (C)

AVALC	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Non-CR/Non-PD	48	40.00	48	40.00
Partial Response (PR)	2	1.67	50	41.67
Progressive Disease (PD)	35	29.17	85	70.83
Stable Disease (SD)	30	25.00	115	95.83
Unknown	5	4.17	120	100.00

The FREQ Procedure

Analysis Value (C)

AVALC	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Y	95	100.00	95	100.00

The FREQ Procedure

Analysis Value (C)

AVALC	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Y	2	100.00	2	100.00

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Conditional Analysis

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.ADRS02	
Response Variable	AVALC	Analysis Value (C)
Number of Response Levels	2	
Number of Strata	14	
Number of Uninformative Strata	3	
Frequency Uninformative	13	
Model	binary logit	
Optimization Technique	Newton-Raphson ridge	

Number of Observations Read	439
Number of Observations Used	439
Number of Observations Informative	426

Response Profile

Ordered	Total
Value	Frequency
1	342
2	97

Probability modeled is AVALC='Y'.

Class Level Information

Class	Value	Design
		Variables
TRTN	1	1
	2	-1

Strata Summary

Response	AVALC		Number of	Frequency
	N	Y		
Pattern			Strata	

1	2	0	2	4
2	2	3	1	5
3	4	1	1	5
4	4	3	1	7
5	5	2	1	7
6	5	3	1	8
7	8	1	1	9
8	9	0	1	9
9	17	5	1	22
10	49	7	1	56
11	46	16	1	62
12	72	24	1	96
13	117	32	1	149

Newton-Raphson Ridge Optimization

Without Parameter Scaling

Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics

Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
AIC	412.019	359.621
SC	412.019	363.705

-2 Log L 412.019 357.621

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0

Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	54.3982	1	<.0001
Score	39.9050	1	<.0001
Wald	19.7692	1	<.0001

Type 3 Analysis of Effects

		Wald	
Effect	DF	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
TRTN	1	19.7692	<.0001

Analysis of Conditional Maximum Likelihood Estimates

			Standard	Wald		
Parameter	DF	Estimate	Error	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
TRTN	1	1	1.6092	0.3619	19.7692	<.0001

Odds Ratio Estimates

		Point	95% Wald
Effect		Estimate	Confidence Limits

TRTN 1 vs 2 24.987 6.048 103.239

Odds Ratio Estimates and Wald Confidence Intervals

Odds Ratio	Estimate	95% Confidence Limits	p-Value
TRTN 1 vs 2	24.987	6.048 103.239	<.0001

The FREQ Procedure

Analysis Value (C)

AVALC	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Y	284	100.00	284	100.00

The FREQ Procedure

Analysis Value (C)

AVALC	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percent
Y	80	100.00	80	100.00

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Conditional Analysis

Model Information

Data Set WORK.ADRS02

Response Variable AVALC Analysis Value (C)

Number of Response Levels

2

Number of Strata

14

Number of Uninformative Strata

3

Frequency Uninformative

15

Model

binary logit

Optimization Technique

Newton-Raphson ridge

Number of Observations Read

439

Number of Observations Used

439

Number of Observations Informative

424

Response Profile

Ordered

Total

Value AVALC

Frequency

1 N

75

2 Y

364

Probability modeled is AVALC='Y'.

Class Level Information

Design

Class Value Variables

TRTN	1	1
	2	-1

Strata Summary

Response Pattern	AVALC		Number of Strata	Frequency
	N	Y		
1	1	1	1	2
2	2	0	1	2
3	0	5	1	5
4	1	4	1	5
5	1	6	1	7
6	3	4	1	7
7	0	8	1	8
8	4	5	1	9
9	5	4	1	9
10	11	11	1	22
11	10	46	1	56
12	14	48	1	62
13	16	80	1	96
14	7	142	1	149

Newton-Raphson Ridge Optimization

Without Parameter Scaling

Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics

Criterion	Without Covariates	With Covariates
AIC	307.267	274.019
SC	307.267	278.103
-2 Log L	307.267	272.019

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0

Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr > ChiSq
Likelihood Ratio	35.2484	1	<.0001
Score	37.9319	1	<.0001
Wald	32.9955	1	<.0001

Type 3 Analysis of Effects

Effect	DF	Wald	
		Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
TRTN	1	32.9955	<.0001

Analysis of Conditional Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
TRTN	1	1	0.8782	0.1529	32.9955	<.0001

Odds Ratio Estimates

Effect	Point Estimate	95% Wald Confidence Limits
TRTN 1 vs 2	5.792	3.181 10.546

Odds Ratio Estimates and Wald Confidence Intervals

Odds Ratio	Estimate	95% Confidence Limits	p-Value
TRTN 1 vs 2	5.792	3.181 10.546	<.0001

The LIFETEST Procedure

Stratum 1: TRTN = 1

Product-Limit Survival Estimates

Number	Number at Risk	Observed Events	Survival	Failure	Standard Error	Survival Number Failed
0.0000	95	0	1.0000	0	0	0

0.00009501.0000000

Anonymized Page 10

95						
1.6099*	95	0				0
94						
1.7741*	94	0				0
93						
1.8727*		0				0
92						
1.8727*	93	0				0
91						
1.9384*	91	0				0
90						
2.5626*	90	0				0
89						
2.8255*		0				0
88						
2.8255*	89	0				0
87						
3.7125	87	1	0.9885	0.0115	0.0114	1
86						
3.7782	86	1	0.9770	0.0230	0.0161	2
85						
4.1396	85	1	0.9655	0.0345	0.0196	3
84						
4.4682	84	1	0.9540	0.0460	0.0225	4
83						
4.5010*	83	0				4
82						
4.6324*	82	0				4
81						
4.6653*	81	0				4
80						
4.7310*	80	0				4
79						

4.7967 78	79	1	0.9419	0.0581	0.0252	5
4.8296* 77	78	0				5
4.8624 76	77	1	0.9297	0.0703	0.0277	6
4.8624* 75		0				6
5.3552 74	75	1	0.9173	0.0827	0.0300	7
5.3881* 73	74	0				7
5.4538* 72	73	0				7
5.5524 71						8
5.5524 70						9
5.5524 69	72	3	0.8791	0.1209	0.0359	10
5.5524* 68		0				10
5.5524* 67		0				10
5.6838 66	67	1	0.8660	0.1340	0.0377	11
5.8152 65	66	1	0.8529	0.1471	0.0394	12
5.8809 64	65	1	0.8397	0.1603	0.0409	13
5.9138* 63	64	0				13
6.0123 62	63	1	0.8264	0.1736	0.0424	14

61 6.0452	62	1	0.8131	0.1869	0.0437	15
60 6.4723	61	1	0.7997	0.2003	0.0450	16
59 6.5051	60	1	0.7864	0.2136	0.0462	17
58 6.5051*		0				17
57 6.7023	58	1	0.7729	0.2271	0.0473	18
56 6.8665	57	1	0.7593	0.2407	0.0484	19
55 6.9322	56	1	0.7457	0.2543	0.0494	20
54 7.0637	55	1	0.7322	0.2678	0.0503	21
53 7.1622	54	1	0.7186	0.2814	0.0512	22
52 7.1951	53	1	0.7051	0.2949	0.0520	23
51 7.2936	52	1	0.6915	0.3085	0.0527	24
50 7.3265*	51	0				24
49 7.4579	50	1	0.6777	0.3223	0.0535	25
48 8.0821*	49	0				25
47 8.1478*	48	0				25
46 8.2464	47	1	0.6633	0.3367	0.0542	26
46 8.3121	46	1	0.6488	0.3512	0.0549	27

45						
8.3450*	45	0				27
44						
8.5092*	44	0				27
43						
8.5749	43	1	0.6337	0.3663	0.0557	28
42						
8.7064*	42	0				28
41						
9.1006	41	1	0.6183	0.3817	0.0564	29
40						
9.1663	40	1	0.6028	0.3972	0.0571	30
39						
9.1992*	39	0				30
38						
9.2320	38	1	0.5870	0.4130	0.0578	31
37						
9.2320*		0				31
36						
9.4949	36	1	0.5707	0.4293	0.0584	32
35						
9.5277	35	1	0.5544	0.4456	0.0590	33
34						
9.6263	34	1	0.5381	0.4619	0.0595	34
33						
9.7906						35
32						
9.7906	33	2	0.5054	0.4946	0.0602	36
31						
9.8234	31	1	0.4891	0.5109	0.0604	37
30						
9.9877	30	1	0.4728	0.5272	0.0605	38
29						

10.0205 28	29	1	0.4565	0.5435	0.0606	39
10.1191 27	28	1	0.4402	0.5598	0.0606	40
10.1848* 26	27	0				40
10.8419 25						41
10.8419 24	26	2	0.4064	0.5936	0.0605	42
10.8419* 23		0				42
11.0390 22	23	1	0.3887	0.6113	0.0604	43
11.0390* 21		0				43
11.1047* 20	21	0				43
11.6632 19	20	1	0.3693	0.6307	0.0604	44
11.8604* 18	19	0				44
11.9918* 17	18	0				44
12.2218* 16	17	0				44
12.9117* 15	16	0				44
12.9446* 14	15	0				44
14.0945* 13	14	0				44
14.5216* 12	13	0				44

14.6201* 11	12	0				44
14.7187* 10	11	0				44
14.8172* 9	10	0				44
14.8501* 8	9	0				44
15.5072 7	8	1	0.3231	0.6769	0.0682	45
17.3799* 6	7	0				45
17.4456* 5	6	0				45
17.5113* 4		0				45
17.5113* 3	5	0				45
17.9055* 2	3	0				45
17.9713* 1	2	0				45
18.0041 0	1	1	0	1.0000		46

NOTE: The marked survival times are censored observations.

Summary Statistics for Time Variable AVAL

Quartile Estimates

Point	95% Confidence Interval
-------	-------------------------

Percent	Estimate	Transform	[Lower	Upper)
---------	----------	-----------	--------	--------

75	18.0041	LOGLOG	15.5072	18.0041
----	---------	--------	---------	---------

50	9.8234	LOGLOG	9.1006	11.6632
----	--------	--------	--------	---------

25	6.9322	LOGLOG	5.8809	8.3121
----	--------	--------	--------	--------

Standard

Mean	Error
------	-------

11.4698	0.6220
---------	--------

The LIFETEST Procedure

Stratum 2: TRTN = 2

Product-Limit Survival Estimates

Survival

Standard	Number
----------	--------

Number

Number

Observed

AVAL
Left

at Risk

Events

Survival

Failure

Error

Failed

0.0000
2

2

0

1.0000

0

0

0

6.2752*
1

2

0

0

10.6448
0

1

1

0

1.0000

1

NOTE: The marked survival times are censored observations.

Summary Statistics for Time Variable AVAL

Quartile Estimates

Percent	Point Estimate	95% Confidence Interval Transform	[Lower Upper)
---------	----------------	-----------------------------------	---------------

75	10.6448	LOGLOG	
----	---------	--------	--

50	10.6448	LOGLOG	
----	---------	--------	--

25	10.6448	LOGLOG	
----	---------	--------	--

Standard

Mean Error

10.6448

Summary of the Number of Censored and Uncensored Values

Stratum	TRTN	Total	Failed	Censored	Percent Censored
1	1	95	46	49	51.58
2	2	2	1	1	50.00

Total		97	47	50	51.55



The LIFETEST Procedure

Testing Homogeneity of Survival Curves for AVAL over Strata

Rank Statistics

TRTN	Log-Rank	Wilcoxon
1	0.02530	29.000
2	-0.02530	-29.000

Covariance Matrix for the Log-Rank Statistics

TRTN	1	2
1	0.994570	-.994570
2	-.994570	0.994570

Covariance Matrix for the Wilcoxon Statistics

TRTN	1	2
1	3336.16	-3336.16
2	-3336.16	3336.16

Test of Equality over Strata

Test	Chi-Square	DF	Pr >
			Chi-Square
Log-Rank	0.0006	1	0.9798
Wilcoxon	0.2521	1	0.6156
-2Log(LR)	0.0017	1	0.9673



The FREQ Procedure

Event or Censoring Description

Cumulative

Cumulative

EVNTDESC

Frequency

Percent

Frequency

Percent

—

Death

17

36.96

17

36.96

Radiographic disease progression

29

63.04

46

100.00



The FREQ Procedure

Event or Censoring Description

Cumulative

Cumulative

EVNTDESC

Frequency

Percent

Frequency

Percent

—

Radiographic disease progression

1

100.00

1

100.00



The FREQ Procedure

Event or Censoring Description

Cumulative

Cumulative

EVNTDESC

Frequency

Percent

Frequency

Percent

documented after 2 or more missed tumor assessments	11	Event 22.45
without event	38	Ongoing 77.55
49		

The FREQ Procedure

Event or Censoring Description				Cumulative	Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Frequency	Percent
EVNTDESC					
Ongoing without event	1	100.00		1	100.00

The LIFEREG Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.ADTTE02
Dependent Variable	Log(AVAL) Analysis Value
Censoring Variable	CNSR Censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Number of Observations	97
Noncensored Values	47
Right Censored Values	50
Left Censored Values	0
Interval Censored Values	0
Number of Parameters	1
Name of Distribution	Exponential

Log Likelihood -86.8841731

Number of Observations Read 97

Number of Observations Used 97

Fit Statistics

-2 Log Likelihood 173.768

AIC (smaller is better) 175.768

AICC (smaller is better) 175.810

BIC (smaller is better) 178.343

Fit Statistics (Unlogged Response)

-2 Log Likelihood 363.713

Exponential AIC (smaller is better) 365.713

Exponential AICC (smaller is better) 365.756

Exponential BIC (smaller is better) 368.288

Algorithm converged.

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Limits	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	2.8693	0.1459	2.5834 3.1552	386.94	<.0001

Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Weibull Scale	1	17.6245	2.5708	13.2421	23.4573
Weibull Shape	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000

Lagrange Multiplier Statistics

Parameter	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
-----------	------------	------------

Scale

The LIFEREG Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.ADTTE02
Dependent Variable	Log(AVAL) Analysis Value
Censoring Variable	CNSR Censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Number of Observations	95
Noncensored Values	46
Right Censored Values	49
Left Censored Values	0
Interval Censored Values	0
Number of Parameters	1
Name of Distribution	Exponential
Log Likelihood	-85.41991122

Number of Observations Read	95
-----------------------------	----

Number of Observations Used 95

Fit Statistics

-2 Log Likelihood	170.840
AIC (smaller is better)	172.840
AICC (smaller is better)	172.883
BIC (smaller is better)	175.394

Fit Statistics (Unlogged Response)

-2 Log Likelihood	356.055
Exponential AIC (smaller is better)	358.055
Exponential AICC (smaller is better)	358.098
Exponential BIC (smaller is better)	360.609

Algorithm converged.

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Limits	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	2.8702	0.1474	2.5812 3.1591	378.94	<.0001
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000 1.0000		
Weibull Scale	1	17.6398	2.6009	13.2127 23.5504		
Weibull Shape	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000 1.0000		

Lagrange Multiplier Statistics

Parameter	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
-----------	------------	------------

Scale

The LIFEREG Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.ADTTE02	
Dependent Variable	Log(AVAL)	Analysis Value
Censoring Variable	CNSR	Censor
Censoring Value(s)	1	
Number of Observations	2	
Noncensored Values	1	
Right Censored Values	1	
Left Censored Values	0	
Interval Censored Values	0	
Number of Parameters	1	
Name of Distribution	Exponential	
Log Likelihood	-1.463423385	

Number of Observations Read	2
-----------------------------	---

Number of Observations Used	2
-----------------------------	---

Fit Statistics

-2 Log Likelihood	2.927
AIC (smaller is better)	4.927
AICC (smaller is better)	
BIC (smaller is better)	3.620

Fit Statistics (Unlogged Response)

-2 Log Likelihood	7.657
Exponential AIC (smaller is better)	9.657
Exponential AICC (smaller is better)	
Exponential BIC (smaller is better)	8.350

Algorithm converged.

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Limits	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	2.8285	1.0000	0.8685 4.7885	8.00	0.0047
Scale	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000 1.0000		
Weibull Scale	1	16.9199	16.9199	2.3834 120.1157		
Weibull Shape	0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000 1.0000		

Lagrange Multiplier Statistics

Parameter	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
-----------	------------	------------

Scale

The LIFEREG Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.ADTTE02	
Dependent Variable	Log(AVAL)	Analysis Value
Censoring Variable	CNSR	Censor
Censoring Value(s)	1	
Number of Observations	97	
Noncensored Values	47	
Right Censored Values	50	
Left Censored Values	0	
Interval Censored Values	0	
Number of Parameters	2	
Name of Distribution	Lognormal	
Log Likelihood	-63.68407818	

Number of Observations Read	97
-----------------------------	----

Number of Observations Used	97
-----------------------------	----

Fit Statistics

-2 Log Likelihood	127.368
-------------------	---------

AIC (smaller is better)	131.368
-------------------------	---------

AICC (smaller is better) 131.496

BIC (smaller is better) 136.518

Fit Statistics (Unlogged Response)

-2 Log Likelihood 317.313

Lognormal AIC (smaller is better) 321.313

Lognormal AICC (smaller is better) 321.441

Lognormal BIC (smaller is better) 326.463

Algorithm converged.

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Limits		Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	2.3759	0.0695	2.2398	2.5121	1169.74	<.0001
Scale	1	0.5434	0.0583	0.4403	0.6706		

The LIFEREG Procedure

Model Information

Data Set WORK.ADTTE02

Dependent Variable Log(AVAL) Analysis Value

Censoring Variable CNSR Censor

Censoring Value(s) 1

Number of Observations	95
Noncensored Values	46
Right Censored Values	49
Left Censored Values	0
Interval Censored Values	0
Number of Parameters	2
Name of Distribution	Lognormal
Log Likelihood	-63.1913833

Number of Observations Read	95
-----------------------------	----

Number of Observations Used	95
-----------------------------	----

Fit Statistics

-2 Log Likelihood	126.383
AIC (smaller is better)	130.383
AICC (smaller is better)	130.513
BIC (smaller is better)	135.491

Fit Statistics (Unlogged Response)

-2 Log Likelihood	311.598
Lognormal AIC (smaller is better)	315.598
Lognormal AICC (smaller is better)	315.728
Lognormal BIC (smaller is better)	320.705

Algorithm converged.

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Limits	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	2.3770	0.0711	2.2376 2.5164	1116.31	<.0001
Scale	1	0.5509	0.0599	0.4452 0.6818		

The LIFEREG Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.ADTTE02
Dependent Variable	Log(AVAL) Analysis Value
Censoring Variable	CNSR Censor
Censoring Value(s)	1
Number of Observations	2
Noncensored Values	1
Right Censored Values	1
Left Censored Values	0
Interval Censored Values	0
Number of Parameters	2
Name of Distribution	Lognormal
Log Likelihood	7.6245283879

Number of Observations Read	2
Number of Observations Used	2

Fit Statistics

-2 Log Likelihood	-15.249
AIC (smaller is better)	-11.249
AICC (smaller is better)	
BIC (smaller is better)	-13.863

Fit Statistics (Unlogged Response)

-2 Log Likelihood	-10.519
Lognormal AIC (smaller is better)	-6.519
Lognormal AICC (smaller is better)	
Lognormal BIC (smaller is better)	-9.133

WARNING: Iteration limit exceeded.

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Limits	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	2.3650	0.0002	2.3647 2.3654	1.565E8	<.0001
Scale	0	0.0002	0.0000	0.0002 0.0002		

The LIFEREG Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.ADTTE02	
Dependent Variable	Log(AVAL)	Analysis Value
Censoring Variable	CNSR	Censor
Censoring Value(s)	1	
Number of Observations	97	
Noncensored Values	47	
Right Censored Values	50	
Left Censored Values	0	
Interval Censored Values	0	
Number of Parameters	2	
Name of Distribution	Weibull	
Log Likelihood	-69.74260168	

Number of Observations Read	97
Number of Observations Used	97

Fit Statistics

-2 Log Likelihood	139.485
AIC (smaller is better)	143.485
AICC (smaller is better)	143.613
BIC (smaller is better)	148.635

Fit Statistics (Unlogged Response)

-2 Log Likelihood	329.430
Weibull AIC (smaller is better)	333.430
Weibull AICC (smaller is better)	333.558
Weibull BIC (smaller is better)	338.580

Algorithm converged.

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Limits	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	2.5982	0.0674	2.4662 2.7303	1486.99	<.0001
Scale	1	0.4516	0.0508	0.3623 0.5629		
Weibull Scale	1	13.4398	0.9056	11.7772 15.3372		
Weibull Shape	1	2.2143	0.2489	1.7764 2.7601		

The LIFEREG Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.ADTTE02	
Dependent Variable	Log(AVAL)	Analysis Value
Censoring Variable	CNSR	Censor
Censoring Value(s)	1	
Number of Observations	95	

Noncensored Values	46
Right Censored Values	49
Left Censored Values	0
Interval Censored Values	0
Number of Parameters	2
Name of Distribution	Weibull
Log Likelihood	-69.23016487

Number of Observations Read	95
-----------------------------	----

Number of Observations Used	95
-----------------------------	----

Fit Statistics

-2 Log Likelihood	138.460
-------------------	---------

AIC (smaller is better)	142.460
-------------------------	---------

AICC (smaller is better)	142.591
--------------------------	---------

BIC (smaller is better)	147.568
-------------------------	---------

Fit Statistics (Unlogged Response)

-2 Log Likelihood	323.675
-------------------	---------

Weibull AIC (smaller is better)	327.675
---------------------------------	---------

Weibull AICC (smaller is better)	327.806
----------------------------------	---------

Weibull BIC (smaller is better)	332.783
---------------------------------	---------

Algorithm converged.

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Limits	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	2.6023	0.0692	2.4666 2.7379	1413.73	<.0001
Scale	1	0.4584	0.0522	0.3666 0.5731		
Weibull Scale	1	13.4942	0.9339	11.7825 15.4547		
Weibull Shape	1	2.1814	0.2486	1.7447 2.7274		

The LIFEREG Procedure

Model Information

Data Set	WORK.ADTTE02
Dependent Variable	Log(AVAL)
Censoring Variable	CNSR
Censoring Value(s)	1
Number of Observations	2
Noncensored Values	1
Right Censored Values	1
Left Censored Values	0
Interval Censored Values	0
Number of Parameters	2
Name of Distribution	Weibull
Log Likelihood	10.363823595

Number of Observations Read	2
Number of Observations Used	2

Fit Statistics

-2 Log Likelihood	-20.728
AIC (smaller is better)	-16.728
AICC (smaller is better)	
BIC (smaller is better)	-19.341

Fit Statistics (Unlogged Response)

-2 Log Likelihood	-15.998
Weibull AIC (smaller is better)	-11.998
Weibull AICC (smaller is better)	
Weibull BIC (smaller is better)	-14.611

WARNING: Iteration limit exceeded.

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates

Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	95% Confidence Limits	Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept	1	2.3651	0.0000	2.3650 2.3651	4.06E10	<.0001
Scale	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000 0.0000		

Weibull Scale 1 10.6448 0.0001 10.6445 10.6450

Weibull Shape 0 86171.89 0.0000 86171.89 86171.89

Disclosed by Health Canada for non-commercial purposes and subject to the Terms of Use
clinical-information.canada.ca/ci-rc/terms
Divulgué par Santé Canada à des fins non commerciales et sous réserve des conditions d'utilisation
renseignements-cliniques.canada.ca/ci-rc/conditions