Enhancements for Monte Carlo Tree Search in The Mario AI Framework

Emil Juul Jacobsen ejuu@itu.dk Rasmus Greve ragr@itu.dk

May 22, 2013

Abstract

(Bare copy/paste-ish fra projektbasen, skal skrives om!) In this experiment we explore different implementations and enhancements of the Monte Carlo Tree Search algorithm for an AI, in order to evaluate their performance and results in the Super Mario AI Benchmark tool. We have implemented the basic MCTS algorithm in the Mario AI Framework and characterised the performance and identification of the strengths and weaknesses of the algorithm relative to the framework. We have identified a set of refinements and alterations of the algorithm and through implementation and evaluation of these individually we came up with compositions that greatly increase the performance of the AI.

1 Introduction

In this experiment we explore different implementations and enhancements of the Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) algorithm for an AI, in order to evaluate their performance and results in the Super Mario AI Benchmark tool. The MCTS algorithm has shown great results in various classical board games but has (to our knowledge) not been tested on a real-time physics-based game like Super Mario. Like some of the games that MCTS has proven effective in, Super Mario has a great branching factor of the state space but differs in that simulating actions is quite computationally heavy. These differences make several modifications of the core algorithm interesting for our experiment because they can help build the tree in a manner that uses the simulations more effectively.

2 Background

Monte Carlo Tree Search has shown surprisingly good results in solving problems with large branching factors. MCTS is a tree search algorithm, where evaluation of a node is done by random sampling in the decision space until an outcome can be determined. A very nice quality of MCTS is that it is an *anytime* algorithm, meaning that it can be halted when a time budget is reached and give the result that looks the most promising at the given time. Furthermore it often requires very little domain knowledge as a naïve implementation only require knowledge of the action space and a means of simulating the outcome of an action.

Searching in MCTS is done by iteratively by building a search tree where the nodes are game states, and the edges are actions. A node is added to the tree in each iteration and based on the value of the new node, the values of parent nodes are updated. An iteration of MCTS consists of four steps:

- 1. Selection (A node to be expanded is chosen)
- 2. Expansion (The node is expanded by simulating the associated action)
- 3. Simulation (The game is simulated with random moves until terminal)
- 4. Back propagation (The result propagates up through the tree)

Choosing which node to expand can be done in multiple ways. Upper Confidence Bound (UCB) is a bandit based approach to choosing the most urgent node to expand. The quality with UCB is that it allows for prioritizing between exploration of less tried nodes, and exploitation of seemingly promising nodes. **OBS!** Præcis hvilken ligning skal vi skrive her????

$$UCB_j = \overline{X}_j + \sqrt{\frac{2 * \log(n)}{n_j}} \tag{1}$$

 X_j is the average (i.e. expected) reward. n is the total number of plays and n_j is the number of times action j was tried.

- Om MCTS [1]
- Om UCB og UCT [1] måske også [4]
- (kort!) Om The Mario AI Framework [5]
- Om forbedringer

3 Approach and Enhancements

In this section we will go over the different implementations and enhancements that we have experimented with. For enhancements including a value that can be tweaked we have tried finding the optimal setting, and in the end we have combined enhancements which complement each other well.

3.1 Monte Carlo Tree Search with UCB

To have a baseline for comparison and an implementation to build on, we started by implementing the basic Monte Carlo Tree Search algorithm with Upper Confidence Bounds for selection of nodes. Source [1]

3.2 Domain knowledge

While MCTS

3.2.1 Limited actions

Hvis vi er nødt til at begrænse ham til ikke at bruge \downarrow til alle de andre implementationer skal det fremgå her!

3.2.2 Hole detection

Hvis vi er nødt til at bruge hulgenkendelse til alle de andre implementationer skal det fremgå her!

3.3 Softmax Backup

$$exploitation = Q * maxReward + (1 - Q) * averageReward$$
 (2)

We use equation 2 to calculate the exploration part for the confidence of nodes

3.4 Macro actions

[3]

3.5 Heuristic Partial Tree Expansion Policy

- 3.6 Checkpoints
- 3.7 (Combination)

4 Results

Method	Score
Softmax backup $q = 0$ (UCT)	34,162
Softmax backup $q = \frac{1}{8}$	-
Softmax backup $q = \frac{1}{4}$	34,387
Softmax backup $q = \frac{1}{2}$	34,147
Softmax backup $q = 1$	26,842

Table 1: Results of using Softmax backup with different q values

Method	Avg. number of nodes	Score
MCTS w/UCT, limit = 0	-	-
MCTS w/ UCT, limit = 1	-	-
MCTS w/ UCT, limit = 2	-	-
MCTS w/ UCT, limit = 4	-	-
MCTS w/ UCT, limit = 8	-	-
MCTS w/ UCT, limit = 16	-	-
MCTS w/ UCT, limit = ∞	-	-

Table 2: Results of using UCT with a different limit for random moves $\frac{1}{2}$

Her er noget mere tekst, reference til figur 1



Figure 1: Mario being followed

5 Conclusion

References

- [1] C. B. Browne, E. Powley, D. Whitehouse, S. M. Lucas, P. I. Cowling, P. Rohlfshagen, S. Tavener, D. Perez, S. Samothrakis, and S. Colton, "A survey of monte carlo tree search methods," Computational Intelligence and AI in Games, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–43, 2012.
- [2] S. R. K. Branavan, D. Silver, and R. Barzilay, "Non-linear monte-carlo search in civilization ii," in Proceedings of the Twenty-Second international joint conference on Artificial Intelligence-Volume Volume Three, 2011, pp. 2404–2410.
- [3] E. J. Powley, D. Whitehouse, and P. I. Cowling, "Monte Carlo Tree Search with macro-actions and heuristic route planning for the Physical Travelling Salesman Problem," in Computational Intelligence and Games (CIG), 2012 IEEE Conference on, 2012, pp. 234–241.
- [4] T. Pepels and M. H. Winands, "Enhancements for Monte-Carlo Tree Search in Ms Pac-Man," in Computational Intelligence and Games (CIG), 2012 IEEE Conference on, 2012, pp. 265–272.
- [5] S. Karakovskiy and J. Togelius, "The mario ai benchmark and competitions," Computational Intelligence and AI in Games, IEEE Transactions on, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 55–67, 2012.