MAT1002 Lecture 4, Tuesday, Jan/17/2023

Outline

- · Alternating series test (10.6)
- · Alternating series approximation (10.6)
- · Conditional convergence and rearrangement

Alternating Series

Definition

An alternating series is a series of the form $\sum (-1)^{n+1}u_n$, where $u_n > 0$ for all n.

Remark

The starting index does not have to be 1 (so the first term can be negative). For example, both

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \dots$$
 and $-1 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} - \dots$

are alternating series.

10.6.15

THEOREM 15—The Alternating Series Test The series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} u_n = u_1 - u_2 + u_3 - u_4 + \cdots$$

converges if all three of the following conditions are satisfied:

- **1.** The u_n 's are all positive.
- **2.** The positive u_n 's are (eventually) nonincreasing: $u_n \ge u_{n+1}$ for all $n \ge N$, for some integer N.
- **3.** $u_n \to 0$.

e.9 The atternating p-series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n^p}$ converges for all p>0, since:

- \cdot $\left(\frac{1}{nP}\right)$ positive and \supset ;
- $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^p} = 0$.

In particular, the alternating harmonic series $S(1)^{n+1}$ converges.

Remark:

If we have an alternating series that starts with a negative term, may multiply the series by -1, then use the test.

1.g., -1+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2}=-\left(1-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right).

That is, we do not need to worry whether the series starts with a "f" or "-" term.

e.g. For $\Sigma(1)^{n+1}\frac{\eta^2}{\eta^2+1}$:

· Let - f(x) := \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{12}.

• Since $f'(x) = \frac{(\chi^3 + 1)2x - \chi^2(3\chi^2)}{(\chi^3 + 1)^2} = \frac{2x - \chi^4}{(\chi^3 + 1)^2} = \frac{\chi(2 - \chi^3)}{(\chi^3 + 1)^2}$

<0 on $(3\sqrt{2},\infty)$,

 $a_n = \frac{n^2}{n^2+1}$ is I starting at n=2.

· Series converges by alternating series test, since

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{N^2}{N^3+1}=0.$$

Alternating Series Test: Proof

Fact: If $\{a_1, a_2, a_5, \dots\}$ and $\{a_2, a_4, a_6, \dots\}$ both Converge to the limit L, then so does $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots 3\}$, i.e., $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = L$. Proof: Omitted. $(\{0.1, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}, \{0.1\}$

Proof (of the Alternating series test): (For notational convenience, assume N=1.)

- · Let Sn be the partial sum. Consider bk:= Szk.
- $\forall k \ge 1$, $b_k = S_{2k} = (U_1 U_2) + (U_3 U_4) + \dots + (U_{2k-1} U_{2k})$, So $\{b_k\}$ is nondecreasing, since $U_{2i-1} - U_{2i} \ge 0$, $\forall i$.
- · flox) is bounded, since

$$0 \leq (U_1 - U_2) + \dots + (U_{2k-1} - U_{2k})$$

$$= U_1 - (U_2 - U_3) - (U_4 - U_5) - \dots - (U_{2k-2} - U_{2k-1}) - U_{2k}$$

$$\leq U_1.$$

- · By Monotonic Sequence Theorem, (bx)=(Szk) Converges, Say Szk > L.
- · Consider the sequence $\{S_{2k-1}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Then $S_{2k} = S_{2k-1} U_{2k} \implies S_{2k-1} = S_{2k} + U_{2k}$ $\implies \lim_{k \to \infty} S_{2k-1} = \lim_{k \to \infty} S_{2k} + \lim_{k \to \infty} U_{2k} = L + 0 = L.$
- · By Fact before proof, lim Sn = L, so series converges.

Alternating Suries Approximation

Suppose for a given series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} U_n$, we have

- · Un >0 , \n>1; · {Un} is nonincreasing;
- · Un to as n to.

Then $\Sigma(1)^{n+1}U_n = L$ for some $L \in \mathbb{R}$. If we want to approximate L using the sum of the first K terms (SK), then

- · 1 is between SK and SK+1;
- · | L-SK | < UKH ; first unused term, in absolute value
- · L-Sk has same sign as first unused term.

Intuition:

$$\begin{array}{c} | L - S_5 | = S_5 - L \\ \hline 0 S_2 S_4 S_6 S_8 S_3 S_1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} | L - S_5 | = S_5 - L \\ \hline < S_5 - S_6 = U_6 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} | L - S_5 | = S_5 - L \\ \hline < S_7 - S_6 = U_6 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} | L - S_7 | = S_7 - L \\ \hline < S_7 - S_6 = U_6 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$|L-S_{5}| = S_{5}-L$$

 $< S_{5}-S_{6} = U_{6}$

· First unused term: -U6.

e.g. Find an approximated value of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$ with error less than 0.001.

Sol: Un = (1-1)! is positive, nonincreasing.

- · For n>2, 0< (n-1)! < 1-1 > 0, So lim un = 0.
- · Hence series converges (by alternating series test).

- · When K=8, $\frac{1}{(k-v)!} = \frac{1}{7!} = \frac{1}{5040} < \frac{1}{5000} = 0.0002$.
- Take $S_7 = \frac{1}{0!} \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{z!} \frac{1}{3!} + \dots + \frac{1}{6!} = 0.36805\dots$ as an approximated value.

Fact: exact value is $0.367879... = e^{-1}$

Conditional Convergence

Def: A series \leq an is said to be convergent conditionally if \leq an converges but \leq $|a_n|$ diverges.

e.g. $\sum_{n} f_{n}^{n+1} \int_{n}^{n} S$ converges absolutely, $f_{n}^{n} f_{n}^{n} f_{n}$

Q: What is the key difference between absolute convergence and Conditional convergence?

Re-arrangements (optional)

Consider the Series 1-2+3-4+5-6+..., which converges (conditionally). Let

Since 3-4, 5-6, --, 2k-1-2k, -- are positive, L>0.

Consider rearranging the terms in $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n}$ as follows.

- 1 - 4 - 6 + 5 - 8 - 10 + 7 - 12 - 14 + - - . (One odd term followed by two

Note that $\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{6} = \frac{4-3-2}{12} < 0$, $\frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{8} - \frac{1}{10} = \frac{8-5-4}{40} < 0$,

 $\frac{1}{2kt} - \frac{1}{4k} - \frac{1}{4kt^2} = \frac{-1}{(2kt)(4k)} < 0$, so

rearranged series connot converge to L, since L>0.

Fait: Changing the order of the terms of a conditionally convergent Series may change its value. In fact, a conditionally convergent Series can converge to ANY value by rearranging its tems.

In contrast, an absolutely convergent series can only converge to one value, no matter how you order its terms.

Fact: If & an converges absolutely, and U: Z+ > Z+ is any bijection (i.e., permutation of positive integers), then

= injective + surjective $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$.

We omit the proofs of the facts.