

COMS 4236 Homework 5

Mengqi Zong < *mz2326@columbia.edu* >

April 18, 2012

Problem 1

1. Here is a family of examples that this algorithm takes exponential time:

$$E = \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (a_{i+1}x_{i+1} + a_i x_i)$$

As we can see, each step we eliminate one pair of parentheses, the size of E doubles. This is because all the new terms are different. In total, this algorithm takes exponential time.

2. Guess an assignment $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$ that E_1 and E_2 are different. If $E_1(X) = E_2(X)$, then accept. If not, reject.

This algorithm does not place this problem in RP, because in order to make $\text{Prob}(M \text{ accepts when two equations are equal}) \geq 1/2$, we have to try at least 2^{m-1} different assignments. In this case, the total running time is exponential, not a constant.

This algorithm does not place this problem in coRP, because $\text{Prob}(M \text{ accepts when two equations are equal})$ is not 1.

This algorithm does not place this problem in BPP, same reason as that of RP.

Question 2

a) $1 \Rightarrow 2$

Since $L \in RP$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in L &\Rightarrow Pr(acc) \geq 1/2, Pr(rej) \leq 1/2 \\ \forall x \notin L &\Rightarrow Pr(acc) = 0\end{aligned}$$

Since $L \in coRP$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in L &\Rightarrow Pr(acc) = 1, Pr(rej) = 0 \\ \forall x \notin L &\Rightarrow Pr(acc) \leq 1/2, Pr(rej) \geq 1/2\end{aligned}$$

Since $L \in ZPP = RP \cap coRP$, combine the results together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) \geq 1/2, Pr(No) = 0 \\ \forall x \notin L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) = 0, Pr(No) \geq 1/2\end{aligned}$$

Add $Pr(?)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) \geq 1/2, Pr(No) = 0, Pr(?) \leq 1/2 \\ \forall x \notin L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) = 0, Pr(No) \geq 1/2, Pr(?) \leq 1/2\end{aligned}$$

b) $2 \Rightarrow 3$

We can run the Turing Machine M in part two n times. If in the n times, there is at least one “Yes”, we accept. If not, we reject. As a result, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) \geq 1 - 2^{-n}, Pr(No) = 0, Pr(?) \leq 2^{-n} \\ \forall x \notin L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) = 0, Pr(No) \geq 1 - 2^{-n}, Pr(?) \leq 2^{-n}\end{aligned}$$

c) $3 \Rightarrow 1$

From part 3, since

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) \geq 1 - 2^{-n} \geq 1/2 \\ \forall x \notin L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) = 0\end{aligned}$$

So $L \in RP$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in L &\Rightarrow Pr(No) = 0 \\ \forall x \notin L &\Rightarrow Pr(No) \geq 1 - 2^{-n}, Pr(?) \leq 2^{-n}\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) = 1 \\ \forall x \notin L &\Rightarrow Pr(No) \leq 1 - 2^{-n} \leq 1/2, Pr(?) \leq 2^{-n}\end{aligned}$$

So $L \in coRP$.

To sum up, $L \in ZPP = RP \cap coRP$.

Question 3

a) If L is in ZPP , then it is decided by a probabilistic Turing Machine N that runs in expected polynomial time.

From condition 3 of Problem 2, we know that if L is in ZPP , there is a probabilistic Turing Machine M which runs in polynomial time and return either “Yes” or “No” or “?” such that

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \in L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) \geq 1 - 2^{-n}, Pr(No) = 0, Pr(?) \leq 2^{-n} \\ \forall x \notin L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) = 0, Pr(No) \geq 1 - 2^{-n}, Pr(?) \leq 2^{-n}\end{aligned}$$

So, the probability of M giving an correct answer is $Pr_s \geq 1 - 2^{-n}$. Let $T_M(x)$ denote the time that M runs once on input x , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{T}_N(x) &= Pr_s \cdot T_M(x) + (1 - Pr_s)Pr_s \cdot 2T_M(x) + (1 - Pr_s)^2 Pr_s \cdot 3T_M(x) + \dots \\
&= Pr_s T_M(x)(1 + 2(1 - Pr_s) + 3(1 - Pr_s)^2 + 4(1 - Pr_s)^3 + \dots)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $q = (1 - Pr_s) \leq 2^{-n}$, $S = 1 + 2q + 3q^2 + 4q^3 + \dots$. Since $q < 1$, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
qS &= q + 2q^2 + 3q^3 + 4q^4 + \dots \\
(1 - q)S &= 1 + q + q^2 + q^3 + \dots \\
&\leq \frac{1}{1 - q} \\
S &\leq \frac{1}{(1 - q)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the last inequality, we then get

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{T}_N(x) &= Pr_s T_M(x)(1 + 2(1 - Pr_s) + 3(1 - Pr_s)^2 + 4(1 - Pr_s)^3 \dots) \\
&\leq \frac{Pr_s T_M(x)}{(1 - (1 - Pr_s))^2} \\
&= \frac{Pr_s T_M(x)}{Pr_s^2} \\
&= \frac{T_M(x)}{Pr_s} \\
&\leq P(|x|)
\end{aligned}$$

So, M is the Turing Machine we are looking at.

b) If L can be decided by a probabilistic Turing Machine N that runs in expected polynomial time, then L is in ZPP.

If L can be decided by a probabilistic Turing Machine N that runs in expected polynomial time, then it means every computation of N terminates with the correct answer Yes or No.

Let p denote the probability of Turing Machine N giving an correct answer in the polynomial time $P(|x|)$. Let Pr_s denote the probability of M giving an correct answer, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr_s = 1 - (1 - p)^m &\geq \frac{1}{2} \\
(1 - p)^m &\leq \frac{1}{2} \\
m &\geq \log_{1-p} \frac{1}{2} \\
m &\geq -\log_{1-p} 2 \\
m &\geq -\frac{\log_2 2}{\log_2 (1 - p)} \\
m &\geq -\frac{1}{\log_2 (1 - p)}
\end{aligned}$$

As we can see, in order to make Pr_s greater than $1/2$, we must run the Turing Machine at least $m \cdot P(|x|)$ time. That is, $(-\frac{1}{\log_2 (1-p)}) \cdot P(|x|)$. If the M runs longer than this time, we can terminate the computation and note the result as “?”. Still, we can get $Pr_s \geq 1/2$. In this case, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall x \in L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) \geq 1/2, Pr(No) = 0, Pr(?) \leq 1/2 \\
\forall x \notin L &\Rightarrow Pr(Yes) = 0, Pr(No) \geq 1/2, Pr(?) \leq 1/2
\end{aligned}$$

That is, L is in ZPP.

To sum up, L is in ZPP, if and only if it is decided by a probabilistic Turing Machine N that runs in expected polynomial time.

Question 4

1. From $A \leq_p^T B$, we know that $A = L(M^B)$. From $B \leq_p^T C$, we know that $B = L(M^C)$. Then $A = L(M^{L(M^C)})$. Since polynomial times polynomial is still polynomial, $A = L(M^C)$. That is, $A \leq_P^T C$.

2.

a) P is closed under Cook reductions. This has been shown in the class, $P^P = P$.

b) NP is closed under Cook reductions. Since B is in NP, we know that B can be verified in polynomial time. Since polynomial times polynomial is still polynomial, then we can verify A in polynomial time. So A is in NP.

c) coNP is closed under Cook reductions. Since B is in coNP, we know that \overline{B} can be verified in polynomial time. Since polynomial times polynomial is still polynomial, then we can verify \overline{A} in polynomial time. So A is in coNP.

d) Δ_2 is closed under Cook reductions. $\Delta_2 = P^{\Sigma_1} = P^{NP}$. And $P^{\Delta_2} = P^{P^{NP}} = P^{NP}$. Since B is in Δ_2 , then A is also in Δ_2 .

e) PH is closed under Cook reductions. $PH = \bigcup \Delta_i = \bigcup P^{\Sigma_{i-1}}$. So $P^{PH} = \bigcup P^{P^{\Sigma_{i-1}}} = P^{\Sigma_{i-1}}$. Since B is in PH, then A is also in PH.

f) PSPACE is closed under Cook reductions. We know that B is in PSPACE. Polynomial time Polynomial is still polynomial, so A is also in PSPACE.

Question 5

a) $NP \subseteq NP^{NP \cap coNP}$

We know that $NP = NP^P$. Since $P \subseteq NP \cap coNP$, we get $NP \subseteq NP^{NP \cap coNP}$.

b) $NP^{NP \cap coNP} \subseteq NP$

$L \in NP \cap coNP$ means that

$\forall x \in L$, x can be verified in poly-time using a NTM

$\forall x \notin L$, x can be verified in poly-time using a NTM

In this case, any input $x \in L$ can be verified in poly-time using a NTM. Then for $L' \in NP^{NP \cap coNP}$, any input $x' \in L'$ can be verified in poly-time using a NTM. Because polynomial times polynomial is still a polynomial. So we get $NP^{NP \cap coNP} \subseteq NP$

To sum up, $NP^{NP \cap coNP} = NP$.