Generalized Linear Models

Let Y be a response variable, and X_1, \dots, X_p , predictor variables.

Suppose there is a function g such that

$$g(\mu) = \beta_o + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_p X_p$$

$$Var(Y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \phi \nu(\mu)$$

The function g is known as a link function.

 ϕ is a dispersion parameter.

Distribution	Family	Link	Variance	
Normal/Gaussian	gaussian	μ	1	
Binomial	binomial $\log(\mu/(1-\mu))$		$\mu(1-\mu)/n$	
Poisson	poisson	log (μ)		
Gamma	gamma	1/μ	μ^2	
Inverse Normal/ Gaussian	inverse.gaussian	1/μ ²	μ^3	
Quasi	quasi	g(µ)	$V(\mu)$	

Logistic Regression

Let p_x denote the probability of cancer given the smoking status of the individual. Then

$$p_x = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1}}$$

In terms of the link function

$$logit(p_x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$$

Denote

$$X_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{Smoker} \\ 0, & \text{Non-smoker} \end{cases}$$

 eta_1 is the $log\ odds\ ratio$ of having cancer for a smoker relative to a non-smoker.

Likelihood Inference

Suppose $y \sim f(y; \theta)$. The log likelihood is given by

$$L(heta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \ln f(y_j; heta)$$

The deviance is defined as

$$D(y;\theta) = 2\phi[L(y) - L(\theta)]$$

where L(y) is the saturated model.

In the Gaussian case, when $\hat{\theta}$ is the m.l.e., the deviance corresponds to the RSS.

Analysis of Deviance

Sums of squares for non-normal data are not appropriate measures of contributions of a sum to total variation.

Suppose θ_1 and θ_2 correspond to two competing models.

Difference in deviance is given by

$$D(\theta_1; \theta_2) = D(y; \theta_1) - D(y; \theta_2)$$

Under θ_1 , $D(\theta_1; \theta_2)$ is approximately χ^2_{ν} , where $\nu = \nu_1 - \nu_2$, the difference in the corresponding model degrees of freedom.

For model selection, one would reject the θ_1 model, if the difference is too large, i.e., the model based on θ_2 fits better.

Residuals

Response residuals

$$r_j^R = (y_j - \hat{\mu}_j)$$

Pearson residuals

$$r_j^P = rac{(y_j - \hat{\mu}_j)}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{\mu}_j)}}$$

Deviance residuals

Let d_j denote the contribution of the j'th observation to the deviance. Then

$$r_j^D = sgn(y_j - \hat{\mu}_j)\sqrt{d_j}$$

indicates the influence of the j'th observation to the fit.

Model Selection in GLM

S-PLUS:

The step.glm() and step() functions allow model selection in glm.

R functions:

- > library(help="MASS")
- > help(stepAIC)

Poisson regression

$$\ln(\mu) = \beta_o + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_p X_p$$

• Most appropriate for rare events, e.g., when $\lambda = 0, 1 \text{ or } 2.$

When λ is large, histogram more symmetric.

Lognormal, gamma or \sqrt{Y} .

- Poisson Regression: Model number of occurrences of an event or rate as a function of the predictors
 - Number of ear infections in infants in a given period.
 - Rate of insurance claims
 - Homicide rate
 - Number of equipment failures in a given period.

Interpretation of Coefficients

Consider the Poisson model:

$$\ln(\mu) = \beta_o + \beta_1 X_1$$

Then e^{β_1} is the multiplicative effect on μ corresponding to a unit change in X_1 .

When X_1 takes the values 1 and 0

Suppose $e^{\hat{\beta}_1} = 0.85$, and Y is the number of seizure attacks per week.

Treatment reduces the mean number of attacks by 15%.

Overdispersion

Sample mean substantially smaller than variance.

- Overdispersion leads to underestimation of SE (inflation of Type I error rate)
- Caused by: Model under-specification (some relevant predictors not in model)
 - Outliers
 - Clustered data (e.g., colony of bacteria)

Overdispersion (cont)

- Measures:

Adjusted SE

$$SE(\hat{\beta})_{adj} = \sqrt{\hat{\phi}} SE(\hat{\beta})_{unadj}$$

where $\hat{\phi} = \text{Deviance (Pearson) } \chi^2/df$. Remove outliers

Check mis-specification

Use negative binomial distribution

- MLE for $\beta's$ still correct

Negative Binomial Distribution

Appropriate for aggregate events.

Example: Distribution of species, people, animals in space

Probability mass function

$$f(k) = \frac{\Gamma(r+k)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(r)} p^r (1-p)^k$$

where r > 0, and 0 are parameters.

Mean:

$$\mu = r \frac{1-p}{p}$$

Variance

$$\sigma^2 = r \frac{1 - p}{p^2}$$

Clearly $\sigma^2 > \mu$.

Special Cases

- Pascal Distribution: In k + r Bernoulli trials, let k = number of failures before the the rth success.
- Geometric Distribution: The probability of k failures before the first success.

Alternatively, if λ has a Gamma distribution with parameters r and (1-p)/p;

and $f(k \mid \lambda)$ is Poisson with mean λ .

Then f(k) is negative binomial.

Implementation

• R

```
glm(formula, family=poisson, data=DATASET, offset ... contrasts=NULL, ...)
```

```
fit1 <- glm(skips ~ ., family = poisson, data =
   solder.balance)</pre>
```

```
anova(fit1, test = "Chi")
```

Implementation

SAS

```
proc genmod data = DATASET;
class VAR1 VAR2;
model Y = VAR1 VAR2 VAR3/ dist = poisson link = log;
estimate 'LABEL' VAR1 1 -1/ exp;
run;
```

If data is given as rates:

$$\ln\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1$$
$$\ln(\mu) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \ln(n)$$

log(y) = x'b + log(offset)

```
proc genmod data = DATASET;
class VAR1 VAR2 ID;
model Y = VAR1/ dist = poisson
link = log
offset=In
type3;
```

In R:

Logit Analysis of Longitudinal (Panel) and other Clustered Data

Example: Subjects randomized to one of two depression drugs. Response recorded as *Improved* or *Not Improved*" at Months 3, 6 and 9 following initial treatment.

Standard logistic regression analysis not appropriate.

- SE underestimated
- Coefficient estimates inefficient.
 There exist estimates with lower SE's.

Approaches

GEE methods

Need to specify link function and "working" correlation matrix.

Examples of "working" correlation matrix: Independence, Exchangeable, Unstructured, AR(1), etc.

Method is robust against misspecification of correlation matrix.

```
proc genmod data = DATASET;
    class VAR1;
    model Y = VAR1/ dist = poisson
                    link = log
                    offset=In
                    type3;
    repeated subject = id/ type = unstr;
    estimate 'LABEL' VAR1 1 -1/ exp; run;
     R Commands:
          library(geepack)
          help(package="geepack")
          help(geeglm)
```

```
trt base age V4 subject period | Ibase
                                                   lage time
 1 5 placebo 11 31 0
                              1 -0.7563538 0.11420370
 2 3 placebo 11 31 0
                         1 2 -0.7563538 0.11420370
                              3 -0.7563538 0.11420370
 3 3 placebo 11 31 0
 4 3 placebo 11 31 1
                              4 -0.7563538 0.11420370
 5 3 placebo 11 30 0
                              1 -0.7563538 0.08141387
                                                          library(MASS)
                                                         attach(epil)
                                                         #Consider Period 4 Data
                                                         > Y4 < -y[V4 == 1]
                                                         > TRT <-trt[V4==1]
                                                         > LOGAGE <-lage[V4==1]
                                                         > LOGBASE <-Ibase[V4==1]
                                                          TRT <-1*(TRT=="progabide")</p>
> summary(glm(Y4~TRT,family="poisson"))
Coefficients:
      Estimate Std. Error z value
                                      Pr(>|z|)
                                     <2e-16 ***
(Intercept) 2.07497 0.06696 30.986
        -0.17142
                   0.09640 -1.778
                                      0.0754.
TRT
                           summary(glm(Y4~LOGBASE*TRT,family="poisson"))
                           Coefficients:
                                  Estimate Std. Error z value
```

Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 1.83844 0.08251 22.281 < 2e-16 ***
LOGBASE 0.93454 0.08991 10.394 < 2e-16 ***
TRT -0.48013 0.12938 -3.711 0.000206 ***
LOGBASE:TRT 0.43 0.13 3.3 0.000860 ***

```
SAS
data epilepsy;input
    y trt $ base age V4 subject period Ibase lage time;
id
cards:
      placebo 11 31 0
                       1 1 -0.75635379 0.11420370
   3 placebo 11 31 0 1 2 -0.75635379 0.11420370
   3 placebo 11 31 0 1 3 -0.75635379 0.11420370
run;
data epi4;set epilepsy;
   if V4=1;
proc genmod data= epi4;
     class trt:
model y = trt / dist=poisson;
run;
                Analysis Of Parameter Estimates
                     Standard Wald 95% Confidence
                                                     Chi-
                                Error
Parameter
                 DF Estimate
                                         Limits
                                                    Square Pr > ChiSq
                                             2.0394 753.69
Intercept
                1 1.9036 0.0693 1.7677
                                                             <.0001
       placebo 1 0.1714 0.0964 -0.0175
                                             0.3603
                                                       3.16
                                                              0.0754
trt
```

0.0000 0.0000

1.0000

1.0000

0 0.0000 0.0000

0.0000

1.0000

trt

Scale

progabid

0

proc genmod data=epilepsy;
 class trt; model y= trt / dist=poisson; repeated subject=subject /
type=exch; run;

GEE Model Information

Correlation Structure	Exchangeable subject (59 levels)		
Subject Effect			
Number of Clusters	59		
Correlation Matrix Dimensi	on 4		
Maximum Cluster Size	4		
Minimum Cluster Size	4		

Parar	meter			% Confidence or Limits		Z Pr > Z	
Interd	cept	2.0744	0.2990	1.4883	2.6604	6.94	<.0001
trt	placebo	0.0751	0.3539	-0.6185	0.7687	0.21	0.8320
trt	progabid	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		

Cumulative Logit Model

Example. Suppose wish to study the association between Y (the response variable denoting the state of depression: None, Mild, Severe) and the explanatory variable Gender.

Denote the categories of Y by j (with j=1, None; 2 Mild; and 3 Severe).

Let p_j denote the probability of falling into category j of Y.

Define the cumulative probabilities

$$F_j = \sum_{m=1}^{j} p_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, J-1$$

i.e. the probability of falling in jth category or lower.

The cumulative logit model

$$\ln(\frac{F_j}{1 - F_j}) = \alpha_j + \beta_1 X_1, \quad j = 1, \dots, J - 1$$

- Interpretation of α_j not relevant.
- As J increases, too many α_j's to estimate.
 Rule-of-thumb: 10 observations per parameter
- Proportional Odds Assumptions

Need whether the ordinal restriction is valid.

Test based on fitting different logistic models, with Y dichotomized differently.

Test whether the coefficients corresponding to the different models are equal.

Implementation in SAS

Multinomial Logit Analysis

- Used when the J categories are not ordinal
- PROC CATMOD in SAS

Probit Regression

An alternative to logistic regression.

Example. In toxicity studies, let p_x denote the probability of death when the dose X = x.

Then
$$p_x = \Phi(\beta_o + \beta_1 x)$$

The link function:

$$\Phi^{-1}(p_x) = \beta_o + \beta_1 x$$

To estimate the median of the tolerance distribution, LD_{50} :

$$\Phi^{-1}(0.50) = \beta_o + \beta_1 x$$

gives $\hat{x}_{50} = -\hat{\beta}_o/\hat{\beta}_1$.

Suppose we use logit link:

$$\ln(\frac{0.50}{1 - 0.50}) = \beta_o + \beta_1 x$$

also gives $\hat{x}_{50} = -\hat{\beta}_o/\hat{\beta}_1$.

NB: Logistic and probit models often similar. When response is concentrated in the tail, the two different.

glm(formula, family=binomial(link=probit),...)

Problem Set 9

- 1. Reading Assignment: Ramsey and Schafer, Chapter 22, pp. 644-668
- 2. Ramsey and Schafer. Problem Number 25, Page 667 (Body Size and Reproductive Success).