

that are multiples

of 45°)

Break ties randomly, or have votes weighted by inverse distance (closer points get more weight). For a random tie-breaker, put more probability on closer points.

1 How should we measure distance?

It depends on the problem! Again, use cross validation.

You have a lot of options: · Euclidean distance "straight-line" Minkowski Distances 6,00 $[(x_1-y_1)^2 + (x_2-y_2)^2 + ... + (x_1-y_1)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ P = 2 · Manhattan distance "taxicab" [(x,-y,1'+ |x2-y2|'+ ...+ |xp-yp1']" P = 1 · Chessboard distance Movement on max | xi-yil 15 isp | xi-yil R use m for dimension of space an integer P = 00 lattice / approx workhouse crane (only moves on angles

· Minkowski distance

[(x,-y,1) + ... + (xm-ym)] "P

Varies between taxical and straight-line for 15p52 · Problem - specific distances are also possible

See Elements of Statistical Learning for more details on kNN.

```
131 Implementing k-Neorest Neighbors
What do we stort with?
    Test points (data frame), training points (data frame), labels, k
What's the out put?
    Predictions
 So write a function:
     | knn = function (test, train, labels, k) {
     return (predictions)
Suppose there's just one test point. How do we make a
prediction?
     1 Compute distance to all training points.
With dist() this step is easy to generalize to many test points (and doesn't depend on k).
    2 Order the training points by distance.
                                                     order ()
    3 Get labels for top k.
                                                      []
    (1) Vote, breaking tres if necessary.
                                                     table (), max ()
The order () function gives back indexes - use them to
 subset labels.
Suggestion: write a function for steps 2-3 on a single test point. Then use apply.
     | vote = function (distances, labels) {
     return (prediction)
 Keeping the distance calculation separate will make
 cross validation much more efficient! We'll discuss
 this next week.
```