

Some of the Big Ideas in Quantum Mechanics

Joseph Cunningham

Contents

I	Quantum Mechanics	3
1	Measurement theory	4
2	Postulates	5
3	Quantum theory	6
3.1	Quantum statistics	6
3.2	Time evolution	6
3.2.1	Schrödinger and Heisenberg pictures	6
3.2.2	Adiabatic theorem	6
4	Approximations	7
4.1	Approximating eigenvectors	7
4.1.1	Power series expansion of a non-degenerate level	7
4.2	Approximating evolutions	7
5	Investigations of systems	8
5.1	Stepped potentials	8
5.2	Coulomb interaction	8
5.3	Harmonic oscillator	8
II	Quantum Information and computation	9
1	Circuit model	10
2	Eigenpath traversal	11
2.1	Quantum Zeno effect	11
2.2	Adiabatic quantum computation	11
2.3	Evolution through measurement	11
2.3.1	Phase randomisation	11
3	Variational quantum algorithms	12
4	Algorithms	13
4.1	Deutsch's problem	13
4.2	Grover's search algorithm	13
4.2.1	Circuit model	13
4.2.1.1	The oracle	13

4.2.1.2	The algorithm	14
4.2.2	Analogue Grover	14
4.2.3	Adiabatic Grover	14
4.2.3.1	Poisson projective measurement	16

Part I

Quantum Mechanics

Chapter 1

Measurement theory

Chapter 2

Postulates

Chapter 3

Quantum theory

3.1 Quantum statistics

3.2 Time evolution

3.2.1 Schrödinger and Heisenberg pictures

Lemma I.1. *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $\{e_i\}$ an orthonormal basis. Let U be a unitary operator on \mathcal{H} . Then $\{Ue_i\}$ is also an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} .*

$\{e_i\}$ gives Schrödinger and $\{Ue_i\}$ gives Heisenberg.

3.2.2 Adiabatic theorem

Chapter 4

Approximations

4.1 Approximating eigenvectors

4.1.1 Power series expansion of a non-degenerate level

4.2 Approximating evolutions

Chapter 5

Investigations of systems

5.1 Stepped potentials

(Use density to solve general potentials?)

5.2 Coulomb interaction

5.3 Harmonic oscillator

Part II

Quantum Information and computation

Chapter 1

Circuit model

Chapter 2

Eigenpath traversal

2.1 Quantum Zeno effect

Proposition II.1. *Consider a path of states $|\psi(s)\rangle$ where $s \in [0, 1]$. Assume that, for fixed d and all δ ,*

$$|\langle\psi(s)|\psi(s+\delta)\rangle|^2 \geq 1 - d^2\delta^2.$$

Then

2.2 Adiabatic quantum computation

2.3 Evolution through measurement

2.3.1 Phase randomisation

$$|\psi_0\rangle = |E_0(0)\rangle = \sum_i \alpha_i |E_i(s_1)\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(s_1) &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-iH(s_1)t} |\psi_0\rangle \langle\psi_0| e^{iH(s_1)t} dt \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j^* \frac{1}{T} \left(\int_0^T e^{-i(E_i - E_j)t} dt \right) |E_i\rangle \langle E_j| \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j^* \left(\frac{i(e^{-i(E_i - E_j)T} - 1)}{T(E_i - E_j)} \right) |E_i\rangle \langle E_j| \end{aligned}$$

Theorem II.2 (Randomised dephasing). *Let $|\psi(s)\rangle$ be a nondegenerate eigenstate of $H(s)$ and $\{\omega_j\}$ the energy differences to the other eigenstates $|\psi_j(s)\rangle$. Let T be a random variable. Then, for all states ρ , we have*

$$\left\| (M_l - e^{-iH(s)T} \rho e^{-iH(s)T}) \right\|_{tr} \leq \epsilon = \sup_{\omega_j} |\Phi(\omega_j)|$$

Chapter 3

Variational quantum algorithms

Chapter 4

Algorithms

4.1 Deutsch's problem

4.2 Grover's search algorithm

Suppose we have a set \mathcal{N} of N items, some of which are marked. WLOG we can take \mathcal{N} to be the set of bit strings of length ν . The marked items form a subset \mathcal{M} of size M . Suppose we have an oracle that tells us whether an object is marked or not:

$$f : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\} : x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & (x \in \mathcal{M}) \\ 1 & (x \notin \mathcal{M}) \end{cases}$$

Now we want to find a marked item. Classically we need to check $\Theta(N/M)$ items on average.

4.2.1 Circuit model

Let $\{|n\rangle \mid n \in \mathcal{N}\}$ be a basis of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_N and let \mathcal{H}_2 be a qubit space.

4.2.1.1 The oracle

We would like to use the operator

$$U_f : \mathcal{H}_N \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_N : |n\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{f(n)} |n\rangle.$$

This can be constructed from the oracle

$$O_f : \mathcal{H}_N \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_N \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 : |n\rangle \otimes |q\rangle \mapsto |n\rangle \otimes |f(n) \oplus q\rangle,$$

where \oplus is addition modulo 2, by preparing the qubit in the superposition $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} O_f \left(|n\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle) \right) &= |n\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|f(n)\rangle - |f(n) \oplus 1\rangle) \\ &= (-1)^{f(n)} |n\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

The operator U_f can also be written

$$U_f = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_N} - 2 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} |m\rangle \langle m|.$$

4.2.1.2 The algorithm

We construct the an initial state which is a superposition of all possible solutions

$$|\mathcal{N}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} |n\rangle.$$

This can be prepared by applying a Hadamard gate W to each qubit in the register. We also define the state

$$|\mathcal{M}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} |m\rangle.$$

We define the operation

$$U_0 = W^{\otimes \nu} (\text{id} - 2|0\rangle\langle 0|) W^{\otimes \nu} = \text{id} - 2|\mathcal{N}\rangle\langle \mathcal{N}|.$$

Now both U_0 and U_f leave $\text{span}\{\}$

4.2.2 Analogue Grover

Why does analogue Grover work?

4.2.3 Adiabatic Grover

$$H_0 = \mathbf{1} - |\mathcal{N}\rangle\langle \mathcal{N}| = \mathbf{1} - \mathbb{J}/N$$

$$H_f = \mathbf{1} - \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} |m\rangle\langle m| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{N-M} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$H(s) = (1-s)H_0 + sH_f = \begin{pmatrix} (1-s)\mathbf{1} + \frac{s-1}{N}\mathbb{J} & \frac{s-1}{N}\mathbb{J} \\ \frac{s-1}{N}\mathbb{J} & \mathbf{1} + \frac{s-1}{N}\mathbb{J} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (1-s)\mathbf{1}_M & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{1}_{N-M} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{s-1}{N}\mathbb{J}.$$

Then, setting $A = \begin{pmatrix} (1-s-\lambda)\mathbf{1}_M & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\lambda)\mathbf{1}_{N-M} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(H(s) - \lambda) &= \det\left(A + \frac{s-1}{N}\mathbb{J}^{n \times 1}\mathbb{J}^{1 \times n}\right) \\ &= \det(A) \det\left(\mathbf{1}_N + \frac{s-1}{N}A^{-1}\mathbb{J}^{n \times 1}\mathbb{J}^{1 \times n}\right) \\ &= \det(A) \det\left(1 + \frac{s-1}{N}\mathbb{J}^{1 \times n}A^{-1}\mathbb{J}^{n \times 1}\right) \\ &= (1-s-\lambda)^M(1-\lambda)^{N-M} \left(1 + \frac{M(s-1)}{(1-s-\lambda)N} + \frac{(N-M)(s-1)}{(1-\lambda)N}\right) \\ &= (1-s-\lambda)^{M-1}(1-\lambda)^{N-M-1} \left(\lambda^2 - \lambda + s(1-s)\frac{N-M}{N}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the matrix determinant lemma to simplify the calculation.

We see that there are four distinct eigenvalues:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{0,1} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{N + 4(Ns^2 - Ns - Ms^2 + Ms)}}{\sqrt{N}} \right) && \text{with multiplicity } 1 \\ \lambda_2 &= 1 - s && \text{with multiplicity } M - 1 \\ \lambda_3 &= 1 && \text{with multiplicity } N - M - 1.\end{aligned}$$

Next we are interested in the eigen vectors associated to $\lambda_{0,1}$. Let Q_1 be a unitary transfor-

mation that maps $\mathbb{J}^{M \times 1}$ to $\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{M} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^M$. Similarly let Q_2 be a unitary transformation that maps $\mathbb{J}^{(N-M) \times 1}$ to $\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{N-M} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(N-M)}$ and define $Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_2 \end{pmatrix}$, which is also unitary.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}QH(s)Q^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} (1-s)\mathbb{1}_M & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_{N-M} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{s-1}{N} Q \mathbb{J}^{N \times 1} (\mathbb{J}^{N \times 1})^* Q^* \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (1-s)\mathbb{1}_M & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_{N-M} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{s-1}{N} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{M} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{N-M} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{M} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{N-M} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}^*.\end{aligned}$$

All but two of the eigenvectors are just elements of \mathcal{N} . To study the other two we can simplify by “removing the zeros”. Now the eigenvalue problem becomes

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1-s-\lambda_{0,1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1-\lambda_{0,1} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{s-1}{N} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{M} \\ \sqrt{N-M} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{M} \\ \sqrt{N-M} \end{pmatrix}^* \right) \mathbf{v} = 0.$$

Setting $\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ gives us the equations

$$0 = (1-s-\lambda_{0,1})x_1 + \frac{s-1}{N}(Mx_1 + \sqrt{M}\sqrt{N-M}x_2)0 = (1-\lambda_{0,1})x_2 + \frac{s-1}{N}(\sqrt{M}\sqrt{N-M}x_1 + (N-M)x_2)$$

reshuffling and dividing these equations gives

$$\frac{(1-s-\lambda_{0,1})x_1}{(1-s-\lambda_{0,1})x_2} = \frac{-\frac{s-1}{N}(Mx_1 + \sqrt{M}\sqrt{N-M}x_2)}{-\frac{s-1}{N}(\sqrt{M}\sqrt{N-M}x_1 + (N-M)x_2)} = \frac{\sqrt{M}(\sqrt{M}x_1 + \sqrt{M}\sqrt{N-M}x_2)}{\sqrt{N-M}(\sqrt{M}x_1 + \sqrt{N-M}x_2)} = \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{N-M}}.$$

So we have eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_{0,1} = (\sqrt{M}(1-\lambda_{0,1}), \sqrt{N-M}(1-s-\lambda_{0,1}))^T$ of $QH(s)Q^{-1}$. The corresponding eigenvectors of $H(s)$ are then given by

$$Q^* \mathbf{v}_{0,1} = \begin{pmatrix} (1-\lambda_{0,1})\mathbb{J}^{M \times 1} \\ (1-s-\lambda_{0,1})\mathbb{J}^{(N-M) \times 1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For computational ease we will keep on working with the eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_{0,1}$ of $QH(s)Q^{-1}$. We denote by $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ the normalisation of $\mathbf{v}_{0,1}$.

4.2.3.1 Poisson projective measurement

The dynamics of the system is governed by the differential equation

$$\frac{d\rho}{ds} = \Lambda(|0\rangle\langle 0|\rho|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|\rho|1\rangle\langle 1| - \rho).$$

Now we can rewrite $\frac{d\rho}{ds}$ in the basis $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$: let $i, j, k, l = 0, 1 \pmod 2$ with implicit summation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho}{ds} &= \frac{d}{ds} (|i\rangle\langle i|\rho|j\rangle\langle j|) \\ &= \frac{d}{ds} (\langle i|\rho|j\rangle) |i\rangle\langle j| + \langle i|\rho|j\rangle \frac{d}{ds} (|i\rangle\langle j|) \\ &= \frac{d}{ds} (\langle i|\rho|j\rangle) |i\rangle\langle j| + \langle i|\rho|j\rangle \langle k|\langle k| \frac{d}{ds} |i\rangle\langle j| - \langle i|\rho|j\rangle |i\rangle\langle j| \frac{d}{ds} |l\rangle\langle l| \\ &= \frac{d}{ds} (\langle i|\rho|j\rangle) |i\rangle\langle j| + \langle i|\rho|j\rangle |i+1\rangle\langle i+1| \frac{d}{ds} |i\rangle\langle j| - \langle i|\rho|j\rangle |i\rangle\langle j| \frac{d}{ds} |j+1\rangle\langle j+1| \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{ds} (\langle i|\rho|j\rangle) + \langle i+1|\rho|j\rangle \langle i| \frac{d}{ds} |i+1\rangle - \langle i|\rho|j+1\rangle \langle j+1| \frac{d}{ds} |j\rangle \right) |i\rangle\langle j|. \end{aligned}$$

For each $|i\rangle\langle j|$ we get an equation, four in total. One of these is redundant by the zero trace requirement. Writing $y_{i,j} := \langle i|\rho|j\rangle$ and $\omega_{ij} := \langle i| \frac{d}{ds} |j\rangle$ the three remaining equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho_{00}}{ds} &= -\rho_{10}\omega_{01} + \rho_{01}\omega_{10} \\ \frac{d\rho_{01}}{ds} &= -\Lambda\rho_{01} - (1 - \rho_{00})\omega_{01} + \rho_{00}\omega_{01} \\ \frac{d\rho_{10}}{ds} &= -\Lambda\rho_{10} - \rho_{00}\omega_{10} + (1 - \rho_{00})\omega_{10} \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\omega = \omega_{10} = -\omega_{01}$ and $y = \rho_{00} - 1/2$ we obtain the equation

$$\frac{d^2 y}{ds^2} = \left(\frac{\frac{d\omega}{ds}}{\omega} - \Lambda \right) \frac{dy}{ds} - 4\omega^2 y.$$

Setting $\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \end{pmatrix}$ this second order differential equation is equivalent to the first order system

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -4 & -\Lambda/\omega \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{y},$$

which is in the form $\mathbf{y}' = A\mathbf{y}$. Now A is similar to $\begin{pmatrix} \omega/\Lambda & 0 \\ -4 & -\Lambda/\omega \end{pmatrix}$, so it is bounded if both Λ and Λ^{-1} are bounded functions.

Then by the Picard-Lindelöf theorem the initial value problem has a unique solution on $[0, 1]$. In addition let y_1 be the solution obtained using Λ_1 and y_2 using Λ_2 . Then

$$\Lambda_1 \leq \Lambda_2 \implies y_1 \leq y_2.$$

To see this, we may first remark that

$$\{t \in [0, 1] \mid y_1(t) \leq y_2(t) \wedge y_1'(t) \leq y_2'(t)\} = (y_2 - y_1)^{-1} [0, +\infty[\cap (y_2' - y_1')^{-1} [0, +\infty[$$

is a closed and bounded set that contains 0. Let t_1 be its supremum. This means that $y_1(t_1) \leq y_2(t_1)$ and $y_1'(t_1) \leq y_2'(t_1)$, but $y_1(t) > y_2(t)$ or $y_1'(t) > y_2'(t)$ on some open set $]t_1, t_1 + \delta[$.