## Lecture notes in Derived Algebraic Geometry Session 4

Course by F. Binda, notes by E. Hecky

21 February 2025

The aim of this last session is to finish the construction of the cotangent complex, and to discuss a bit about how to globalize the ring-theoretic construction that were introduced in the previous session.

## 1 Square-zero extensions and the cotangent complex

This section is actually the continuation of the last section of the previous lesson. Recall that we defined an augmented A-algebra  $A \oplus M$  for every animated module M over an animated ring A, satisfying intuitive basic properties.

**Lemma 1.1.** There exists a fiber sequence

$$M \to A \oplus M \to A$$

where the second map is an effective epimorphism, and a commutative diagram of  $\infty$ -categories

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \operatorname{Ani}(\operatorname{CRMod}^{\heartsuit}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ani}(\operatorname{CRMod}^{\heartsuit}) & & (A,M) & \longmapsto & (A,0) \\ & & & & & \downarrow^{\operatorname{pr}_1} & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & \downarrow^{\operatorname{pr}_1} & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & & & A \oplus M & \longmapsto & A \end{array}$$

Remember that classically,  $\operatorname{Der}(A, M) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{/A}(A, A \oplus M)$ . This motivates the definition of derivations in the animated context.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $(A, M) \in \text{Ani}(CRMod^{\heartsuit})$ . Then define

$$\operatorname{Der}(A, M) = \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{CAlg}^{\operatorname{ani}}_{/A}}(A, A \oplus M).$$

**Remark 1.3.**  $A \oplus M$  is a loop space! Indeed, there is a pullback square of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A \oplus M & \longrightarrow & A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A & \longrightarrow & A \oplus M[1]
\end{array}$$

Also, Der(A, M) is the fiber of the map

$$\operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{CAlg}^{\operatorname{ani}}}(A, A \oplus M) \to \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{CAlg}^{\operatorname{ani}}}(A, A).$$

## Proposition 1.4. The functor

$$\operatorname{Der}(A, -) : \operatorname{Mod}_A \to \mathcal{S}\operatorname{pc}$$

is accessible and preserves limits. Therefore, it has a left adjoint

$$G: \mathcal{S}pc \to Mod_A$$
.

*Proof.* For the accessibility, it is enough to observe that Map(A, -) is accessible since  $M \mapsto A \oplus M$  commutes with sifted colimits by design (it is an animation), so it is accessible.

To show that the functor preserves limits, notice that the forgetful functor  $\operatorname{CAlg}^{\operatorname{ani}}_{/A} \to \operatorname{CAlg}^{\operatorname{ani}}$  detects limits, so it is enough to show that if  $p: K \to \operatorname{Mod}_A$  is a diagram of A-modules, then

$$\operatorname{Map}_{/A}(A[\underline{T}], A \oplus \lim_{K} p) \simeq \lim_{K} \operatorname{Map}_{/A}(A[\underline{T}], A \oplus p).$$

Why is this the case? Recall that there is an adjunction:

$$\mathbb{L}\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathbf{Z}}:\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathrm{ani}}\rightleftarrows\mathrm{CAlg}^{\mathrm{ani}}:\mathrm{for}$$

between the (derived) free symmetric algebra and forgetful functors. Apply this adjunction and recall that small limits in  $\operatorname{Mod}_A$  commute with  $\oplus$  (which is simply the product in  $\operatorname{Mod}_A$ ) to obtain :

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{CAlg}_{/A}}(A[\underline{T}],A \oplus \lim_K p) &\simeq \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{Mod}_A}(A^{\oplus n},\operatorname{for}(A \oplus \lim_K p)) \\ &\simeq \lim_K \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{Mod}_A}(A^{\oplus n},\operatorname{for}(A \oplus p)) \\ &\simeq \lim_K \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{CAlg}_{/A}}(\mathbb{L}\operatorname{Sym}(A^{\oplus n}) = A[\underline{T}],A \oplus p). \end{split}$$

One can now define the cotangent complex.

**Definition 1.5.** The absolute cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_A$  is the module G(\*).

**Remark 1.6.** There is another, spectral way to construct the cotangent complex. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a symmetric monoidal stable  $\infty$ -category, then one can define a functor  $G: \mathrm{CAlg}^{\mathrm{aug}}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$  sending an augmented algebra  $f: A \to \mathbf{1}$  to its cofiber. There is a theorem stating that  $G^1$  induces an equivalence

$$\partial G: \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{CAlg}^\mathrm{aug}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \mathcal{S}\mathrm{p}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C}$$

which can be used to define the cotangent complex. The advantage of this approach is that it lets us defined  $A \oplus M$  for any non-necessarily animated  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -ring spectrum A.

**Theorem 1.7.** The construction  $A \mapsto \mathbb{L}_A$  extends to a functor

$$CAlg^{ani} \to Ani(CRMod^{\heartsuit})$$

sending  $f: A \to B$  to  $B \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{L}_A \to \mathbb{L}_B$ . If A is a polynomial algebra then  $\mathbb{L}_A \simeq \Omega_{A/\mathbf{Z}}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>or more precisely, its Goodwillie derivative

**Definition 1.8.** For an animated ring map  $f: A \to B$ , its relative cotangent complex  $\mathbb{L}_{B/A}$  is the cofiber of the map  $\mathbb{L}_A \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} B \to \mathbb{L}_B$ .

Proposition 1.9. There is an equivalence

$$\operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{Mod}_B}(\mathbb{L}_{B/A}, N) \simeq \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{CAlg}_{A//B}}(B, B \oplus N).$$

Define the space  $Der_A(B, N)$  of A-linear derivations from B to N to be this space.

**Proposition 1.10.** The cotangent complex satisfies the following basic properties:

- 1. (Base change) If  $B \simeq B' \otimes_{A'} A$ , then  $\mathbb{L}_{B/A} \simeq \mathbb{L}_{B'/A'} \otimes B$ ;
- 2. If  $A \to B \to C$  is a fiber sequence, then there is a fiber sequence

$$C \otimes_B \mathbb{L}_{B/A} \to \mathbb{L}_{C/A} \to \mathbb{L}_{C/B}$$
;

3. For every map  $f: A \to B$ , there is an associated map  $\varepsilon(f): B \otimes_A \operatorname{Cof}(f) \to \mathbb{L}_{B/A}$ . We have the following connectivity estimate: if the fiber of f is connective, then the fiber of  $\varepsilon(f)$  is 2-connective.

An important "slogan" in derived algebraic geometry which gives even more importance to this object is the idea that all animated rings can be built from discrete rings with square-zero extensions.

**Definition 1.11.** A map  $S' \to S$  in CAlg<sup>ani</sup> is a *square-zero extension* if there is an S-module M and a derivation  $d \in \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}_{/S}(S, S \oplus M[1])$  such that the following square is cartesian :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
S' & \longrightarrow & S \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow d_0 \\
S & \xrightarrow{d} & S \oplus M[1]
\end{array}$$

Here,  $d_0$  denotes the trivial derivation  $S \to S \oplus M[1]$  which is just the inclusion of the first factor

**Proposition 1.12** (Lurie, DAG). Let M be an animated module over S. If S' is a square-zero extension of S by M and if  $R \to S$  is any ring map, then the space of lifts  $\operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{CAlg}^{\operatorname{ani}}/S}(R,S')$  is a torsor under  $\operatorname{Der}(R,M)$ .

Concretely, this means that to get a lift  $R \to S'$  in the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{cccc} R & \longrightarrow & S' & \longrightarrow & S \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow d_0 \\ & S & \longrightarrow & S \oplus M[1] \end{array}$$

it is sufficient and necessary that the derivation  $R \to S \xrightarrow{d} S \oplus M[1]$  in  $\pi_0 \operatorname{Der}(R, M[1]) = \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{Mod}_R}(\mathbb{L}_R, M[1])$  is the trivial derivation.

**Example 1.13.** Postnikov towers give rise to square zero extensions! Recall that for every  $n \geq 0$ , there is a functor

$$\tau_{\leqslant n}: \mathrm{CAlg}^{\mathrm{ani}} \to \mathrm{CAlg}^{\mathrm{ani}}.$$

Then  $\tau_{\leq n}R$  is a square-zero extension of  $\tau_{\leq n-1}R$  by  $(\pi_nR)[n]$ , i.e. there is a pullback square :

$$\tau_{\leqslant n}R \xrightarrow{\int} \tau_{\leqslant n-1}R$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow d_0$$

$$\tau_{\leqslant n-1}R \xrightarrow{-\bar{\underline{a}_d}} \tau_{\leqslant n-1}R \oplus (\pi_n R)[n+1]$$

This explains the philosophy of derived algebraic geometry, that after passing from varieties to schemes by adding non-reduced points, we pass from schemes to derived schemes by adding finer infinitesimal information. This Postnikov construction allows for handy inductive arguments using the cotangent complex.