In this second preliminary chapter, we present the basic tools of the theory of pure motives: algebraic cycles, adequate equivalences and Weil cohomologies.

0.1 Algebraic cycles and adequate relations

0.1.1 Let k be a base field and denote $\mathcal{P}(k)$ the category of smooth projective schemes over k, also sometimes called smooth projective k-varieties from now on.

For each $X \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ let $\mathcal{Z}^*(X)$ be the graded group of algebraic cycles on X, that is, the free abelian group generated by the integral closed subschemes Z of X and graded by codimension¹. Denote by [Z] the image of the subscheme Z in $\mathcal{Z}^*(X)$ (and in its quotients).

For each commutative ring F, the elements of

$$\mathcal{Z}^r(X)_F = \mathcal{Z}^r(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} F$$

are called the algebraic cycles of codimension² r with coefficients in F.

Intersection theory constructs a partially defined bilinear composition law on algebraic cycles: the intersection product, counting multiplicities, of two cycles whose components intersect properly (see [?]). To extend this definition to all cycles, the classical approach consists in using an adequate equivalence relation, see [?].

- **0.1.1.1 Definition.** An equivalence relation \sim on algebraic cycles is said to be *adequate* if it satisfies the following conditions for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{P}(k)$:
 - 1) \sim is compatible with the F-linear structure and with the grading,
 - 2) for each $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{Z}^*(X)_F$ there exists an $\alpha' \sim \alpha$ such that α' and β intersect properly (so that the intersection product $\alpha' \cdot \beta$ is well-defined),
 - 3) for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}^*(X)_F$ and each $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}^*(X \times Y)_F$ intersecting properly $(\operatorname{pr}_X^{XY})^{-1}(\alpha)$, we have $\alpha = 0 \implies \gamma_*(\alpha) \sim 0$ where

$$\gamma_*(\alpha) = \operatorname{pr}_Y^{XY}(\gamma \cdot (\operatorname{pr}_X^{XY})^{-1}(\alpha)).$$

0.1.1.2 Exercise. Show that these conditions ensure that an "external" product $\alpha \times \beta$ (on $X \times Y$) is ~ 0 as soon as $\alpha \sim 0$ or $\beta \sim 0$.

 $^{^{1}}$ we do not ask of X that it is connected or even equidimensional, the codimension of an integral subscheme is still well-defined.

²we avoid the word "degree" to prevent any confusion with the degree of 0-cycles defined in ?? or with the degree of the cycle class in cohomology, which is twice the codimension. Furthermore, it is also useful to consider the grading defined by dimension instead of codimension.