שלש רגלים OF THE שיוטים

The recital of פיומים on the שלש רגלים is an example of an aspect of Jewish Prayer that has undergone major changes only recently. In the 20th Century, particularly in the United States, Hebrew book publishers began removing the majority of the פֿינשים that had customarily been recited during the שלש רגלים of the שלש רגלים. The change may have been prompted by economic reasons and for convenience; the drive to publish one prayer book that provides all the prayers that need to be recited on both שבת and יום מוב including the תורה reading for יום מוב. To avoid the prayer book being too cumbersome, the editors removed the majority of the פיומים for each of the שלש רגלים. The Rabbinical Council Of America De Sola Poole edition of the is one example of that type of prayer book. Artscroll then followed in those steps. Should the removal of the שלש רגלים for the שלש רגלים be viewed as a positive or a negative development in the history of Jewish Prayer? It is not likely that anyone shed a tear when the שיום were removed. Since most of the פיומים were recited only once a year, they were not well studied. It is therefore probable that a majority of the congregation did not understand the plain meaning of their wording. The fact that few took the time to understand the פיוטים should not diminish the important function that the ביושים served; i.e. to enhance the prayers of each holiday by referring to the individual aspects of each holiday. Today, the prayers of each of the מובים מובים are hardly distinguishable. Only the line that names and briefly describes the holiday differentiates the versions of שמונה עשרה that are recited on each holiday.

Two שיומים within the prayer service survived the publishers' axe; i.e. the י–ה א–לי וגאולי וגאולי ונאולי וואולי is not recited which is recited before קריאת התורה on days of יובור when יום טוב is not recited (נוסח אשכנו) and the פיום of ברח דודי of פיום אשכנו) and the פיום אחלה משבת חול המועד פסח, just before the ברכה of ישראל אונה מועד פסח.

י-ה א-לי ונאולי

In קריאת התורה אשרבי is recited before ישרבי after קריאת התורה on days of יום מוב on which יום מוב is not recited. Who is the author of the פיום and when was it incorporated into the חנ"ל, on page? Professor Daniel Goldschmidt, "ל, on page of his introduction to his edition of the מחוור for חובות footnote 80, provides the following information concerning the פיום:

מחזור סוכות–וכאן יש להעיר על העובדה המשונה שבתקופה מאוחרת מאד נולדה המנהג לומר ברגלים (בימים מובים שאין מזכירים בהם נשמות) את הפיוט י–ה א–לי וגאולי (דוידון י 758) לפני 'אשרי' שלפני מוסף. פיוט זה אינו נמצא בכי"י והוא מופיע כנראה לראשונה בסידור 'שער שמים'

^{1.} Artscroll Siddur page 672.

עם פירוש השל"ה (אמסמרדם תע"ז, ח"ב, דף רלז ע"ב). שם הוא מודפס לאחר כל היוצרות (כולל חתונה וברית מילה) עם הכותרת 'בשלוש רגלים קודם אשרי אומרים זה'. משם עבר (עם קצת שינויים) למחזור מנהג פולין וכו', זולצבך תקי"ח. גם שם הוא עוד לא שולב במחזור עצמו אלא הודפס לגמרי בסוף (לאחר יוצר לברית מילה). היידנהיים אינו מביאו במחזוריו ולכן לא נאמר אלא בקהילות מזרח אירופה וגם שם לא בכולן. תוכן הפיום הוא קבלי (הא-ל הוא 'דק על דק' וברא את עולמו בל"ב נתיבות החכמה) והנחנו את פירושו לחוקרי תורת הסוד. הפיום פגום בלשונו ובצורתו וכנראה כך הוא מיום חיבורו (במאה הי"ז?).

Translation: Here is the point at which to note an unusual development in the History of Jewish prayer; in a late era, the custom to recite on holidays (on days in which Yizkor is not said) the Piyutt, Kah Aili V'Go'Ali (Davidson 10, 758) before Ashrei in advance of Tefilas Mussaf. This Piyutt is not found in handwritten copies of old Siddurim. The Piyutt appears for the first time in the Siddur Shaar Ha'Shamayim, containing the commentary of the Shlah (Amsterdam 1717, Second Part, page 237, side 2). There the Piyutt appears after a collection of morning Piyuttim (including those to be recited on days on which a wedding or a Bris Milah took place) with the note: to be recited on the holidays before Ashrei in advance of Tefilas Mussaf. From there it was transplanted, with some minor changes, into the Machzor for those who followed the Polish customs, Zulbach edition, 1758. Even in that Machzor, the Piyutt is not placed among the prayers but is located at the very end of the Machzor (after the morning Piyutt to be recited on days in which a Bris Milah takes place). Heidenheim does not include the Piyutt in his Machzorim. That explains why the Piyutt was only recited in communities in Eastern Europe and even there, not in all of them. The content of the Piyutt is Kabbalistic (G-d is beyond the understanding of mankind and created His world using 32 paths of wisdom). I will leave the interpretation of this Piyutt to the Kabbalists. The Piyutt consists of poorly chosen words and its structure is weak. It appears that the Piyutt has been in that form since it was first composed.

Apparently, others shared Professor's Goldshmidt's opinion that the פיום is difficult to understand. The following represents one attempt to explain the first paragraph of the שומר מיום. It was authored by Rabbi Shaul Horowitz, "וֹ, of Tarnopol, Ukraine, in an article entitled: הערות found on page 'בּס of a collection of articles published as שומר ציון and published by E. Grossman's Publishing House, הנאמן:

ג) בתפלת י-ה א-לי שמשוררים במקהלות ישראל ברגלים קודם תפלת מוסף נשתבש הנוסחא.
 עד כי אין הבין בכל שירה הזאת. כלה כספר החתום ואין יודע מוצאה ומובנה. והשליח ציבור אך כסוס ועגור יצפצף באין כוונה, דבר-ובל ידע מה! יזמר ובל יבין לזמרתו. והנראה כי נפלו בה מעותים אשר יראו לעינים, והנוסחא כן צריכה להיות י-ה א-לי וגואלי אתיצבה לקראתיך. היה ויהיה, וכל גוי אדמתך (והו' חסרה) לתודה, ולעולה וכו' ושעור המאמר לפי זה י-ה א-לי וגואלי אשר היה והוה וגם יהיה אתיצבה לפניך אני וכל הגוים והיצורים אשר בראת על פני האדמה עמדי למען הקריב עצמינו כאחד הקרבנות. ותודה צריך לומר לתודה כנראה בכל השמות הבאם אחריו שהם עם היחס שאליו. ואל יקשה בעיניך אדוני! כי היה וכו' הוא מפריד את המשפט; כי נוכח י-ה א-לי העמיד התוארים האלה ובעבור המליצה היא זאת.

Translation: The prayer: Kah Ai-Li that choirs in synagogues sing on holidays before Tefilas Mussaf appears to have had its wording transposed to the point that its original meaning has been lost. The Piyutt has become like a

להבין את התפלה

closed book that no one can explain. The prayer leader sounds like a neighing horse who is reciting something he hardly understands. He speaks but knows not what he is saying! He sings without knowing what he is singing. It appears that errors entered the prayer that few noticed. This is how the words of the prayer should be read: G-d, my G-d and Savior. I will stand before You. G-d who has always been, always is and always will be and all the nations of the world (omit the word: and He); for the Korban Todah, the Korban Olah, etc. The proper explanation of the paragraph is as follows: G-d, my G-d and Savior who has always been, always is and always will be, I and all the nations and all the creatures that You created will stand before You in order to join in bringing the sacrifices. Instead of saying "and the Todah offering", it should read "for the purpose of bringing the Today offering" since it appears that the Todah offering is just one of the offerings that will be brought. Do not let what I write appear wrong in your eyes! The words: who has always been, always is, etc. were placed incorrectly and interfere with the meaning of the sentence. They were placed there as part of the description of G-d, my G-d and my Savior and was done for lyrical purposes only.

ברה דודי

Dr. Philip Birnbaum, ז"ל, on page 107 of his book: A Book Of Jewish Concepts, Hebrew Publishing Company, 1964, provides the following introduction to the ברה דודי: פיום:

Three prayer-poems, composed by three different payyetanim or liturgical poets, consist of three, five and six stanzas, respectively, each of which begins with the phrase 'ברה דוד' (make haste, my friend). They are recited in conjunction with the benediction, גאל ישראל, immediately before the Amidah prayer of the Pesah morning service, and are referred to as Geullah (באולה) piyyutim.

The first of these, comprising three stanzas to be chanted on the first day of *Pesah*, was written by Rabbi Shelomoh ben Yehudah ha-Bavli, tenth-century liturgist and author of many *piyyutim*. It has been suggested that he was a native of Rome, which medieval Jewish writers included under the designation of Babylon; hence, his surname *ha-Bavli*. Various phrases from the Song of Songs are interwoven in the texture of this poem, pleading for deliverance and liberation of the people of Israel.

The second *Brah Dodi*, recited on the second day of *Pesah* and consisting of four stanzas, is like the preceding one in content, structure and form. It was composed by Rabbi Meshullam ben Kalonymus, a native of eleventh-century Italy. It is a plea for the restoration of Jerusalem and the liberation of Israel, "as at the first month of *Nisan* in days of old."

The third *Brah Dodi*, recited on the Sabbath of *Hol ha-Mo'ed Pesah*, is by Rabbi Simeon ben Isaac ben Abun of Mayence, one of the most prolific liturgists of the eleventh century. He is said to have used his prodigious political influence in preventing persecutions and unfavorable laws inflicted upon his people. Like the preceding two *piyyutim*, it is a prayer for prompt and complete redemption ("Thou who art our only strength, our comforter and liberator, we look to Thee to free our captive people").

Each of the three *Geullah* poems concludes with the passage בגלל אבות תושיע בנים, (For the sake of the fathers Thou wilt save the children, and bring liberation to their children's children'). This is directly connected with the *Amidah*

^{2.} Artscroll Siddur page 710.

prayer, the first benediction of which refers to the merits of our forefathers, for the sake of whom God will bring a redeemer.

For the seventh day of *Pesah*, the famous *Geullah* יום ליבשה by Rabbi Judah Halevi (1086-1140) of Spain, whose liturgical poems number more than three hundred, is chanted ("The redeemed sang a new song when the sea was turned to dry land"). The name of the author, יהודה הלוי, is signed in the form of an acrostic at the beginning of the nine stanzas.

Rabbi Zeligman Baer, ז"ל, in his שראל שרודת ישראל, explains the change as follows:

סדר עבודת ישראל – 216 – אמת ויציב, המנהג באשכנז כשאומרים פיום קודם עזרת אבותינו

המכונה בשם זולת אז אמת ויציב וכו' עד אין א – להים זולתך בנוסחה מקוצרה, ובפולין אין

מקצרים הנוסחה רק מן על הראשנים ואילך. אמנם בני ספרד יש להם לכל השנה הנוסחה הנהוגה

ואין להם נוסחא קצרה כלל. והנה מנהג זה של אמת ויציב מקוצר נזכר בס' חסידים ס' רנ"ח

(והעתיקו תלמידו הרוקה בסימן ר' גם מהרי'ל בהלכות ר"ה והלבוש לא"ח ס' תקפ"ד) ששם אמר כי בר"ה וי"כ אין אומרים זולת לפי שצריכים לומר אמת ויציב כהלכתו כדי להזכיר ו' פעמים אמת,

ואילו היינו אומרים זולת היו מחסרים פעמים אמת; הרי מבואר שבזמן ר' יהודה החסיד היה כבר המנהג פשום לומר אמת ויציב קצרה באמירת זולת, אבל המעם למה זה משנים הנוסחה לא מצאתי.

Translation: It is the practice in Minhag Ashkenaz that when a Piyut is recited before the paragraph of Ezras Avoseinu, known as a Zulas, the paragraph of Emes V'Yatziv until the words: Al Ha'Rishonim is abbreviated. In Poland, they do not shorten the paragraph of Emes V'Yatziv but they do abbreviate the paragraph of Al Ha'Rishonim. Among Sephardim, they always recite the same wording and never have occasion to shorten either paragraph. The practice of reciting an abbreviated form of the paragraph of Emes V'Yatziv is mentioned in the Sefer Ha'Chasidim, Siman 358 (his student, the Rokeach, copied the rule into Siman 300 of his book. The Maharil in Hilchos Rosh Hashonah refers to the practice as does the Levush in Orach Chayim Siman 584). In the Sefer Ha'Chasidim, he writes that on Rosh Hashonah and on Yom Kippur, it was his practice not to recite a Zulas because on those days it is critical to recite the full version of Emes V'Yatziv in order that a person mentions the word Emes (truth) six times. If we say a Zulas on those days, we would then omit two references to the word: Emes. This is evidence that during the era of Rabbi Yehudah Ha'Chasid (1200's) it was already the practice to recite an abbreviated version of Emes V'Yatziv on days in which a Zulas was recited. The reason to say an abbreviated version of Emes V'Yatziv on days in which a Zulas is recited still eludes me.