Elaborating F_i^+ to record calculus λ^{rec}

Snow

September 19, 2023

Changes in λ^{rec} 0) Simplify the system, drop polymorphism, and use simple labels

- 1) Type soundness proved
- 2) Use list for record types, so the time cost of concatenation can be moved to compilation.

1 Syntax of λ^{rec}

value tv

Notes 0) Multi-field records are nullable. 1) The whole program evaluates to a record form. 3) l stands for a string.

(Values)

TS-Mergercd

2 Syntax of F_i^+

Notes 0) Fixpoint typing rule updated.

- 1) Type translation (from source type to string) modeled.
- 2) Current focus: converting source type to target type.

2.1 Elaboration rules

1) All top-like values are translated into empty lists.

2) All basic values (that are not merges) are translated into single-field records.

projection

ELA-BASE
$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ELA-ABS} \\ \hline \Gamma, x : A \vdash e \; \Leftarrow \; B \leadsto t \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash b \; \Rightarrow \; \mathbb{B} \leadsto \{ |\mathbb{B}| \Rightarrow b \} \\ \hline \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. \; e : A \to B \; \Rightarrow \; A \to B \leadsto \{ |A \to B| \Rightarrow \lambda x.t \}}{\Gamma \vdash \{ l = e \} \; \Rightarrow \; \{ l : A \} \leadsto \{ |\{ l : A \}| \Rightarrow t \}} \end{array}$$

3) Variables are directly used (unwrap the thunk) and merged terms are simply concatenated, with the expectation that $\cdot \vdash e \Rightarrow A \leadsto t$ implies $t \to^* \{|A| \Rightarrow t'\}$ (or a multi-field record) (i.e. the variable will be substituted by a term of this exact type).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ela-Merge} & & \Gamma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow A \leadsto t_1 \\ x:A \in \Gamma & & \Gamma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow B \leadsto t_2 & A*B \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash x \Rightarrow A \leadsto x & & \hline \Gamma \vdash e_1 \ , \ e_2 \Rightarrow A \& B \leadsto t_1; t_2 \end{array}$$

4) Projection, application, and type application make use of the property that every translated subterms are records. Application is lazy.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ELA-APP} \\ \Gamma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow A \leadsto t_1 \\ \Gamma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow B' \leadsto t_2 \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash e.l \Rightarrow B \leadsto t_2 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{ELA-APP} \\ \Gamma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow A \leadsto t_1 \\ \Gamma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow B' \leadsto t_2 \\ \hline t_1 : A; \{l\} \leadsto t_2 : B' \leadsto t_3 : C \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 \Rightarrow C \leadsto t_3 \end{array}$$

5) Annotated expressions, like fixpoints, rely on the subsumption rule to insert coercions. The coerced expression always evaluates to a record.

2.2 Coercions

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{S-ANDR} \\ \text{S-ANDL} \\ \underline{C \text{ Ordinary}} \\ t:A \& B <: C \leadsto t' \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{S-ANDR} \\ C \text{ Ordinary} \\ \hline t:A \& B <: C \leadsto t' \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} t:A <: B_1 \leadsto t_1 \\ t:A <: B_2 \leadsto t_2 \\ \hline t:B <: C \leadsto t' \\ \hline t:A \& B <: C \leadsto t' \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} t:B_1 \rhd B \vartriangleleft t_2 :B_2 \leadsto t_3 \\ \hline t:A <: B \leadsto t_3 \\ \end{array}$$

M-ArrowR

M-Rcd

$$\frac{\neg |A_1| \quad \neg |A_2|}{t_1.|\{l:A_1\}| : A_1 \rhd A \lhd t_2.|\{l:A_2\}| : A_2 \leadsto t}}{t_1:\{l:A_1\} \rhd \{l:A\} \lhd t_2 : \{l:A_2\} \leadsto \{|\{l:A\}| \Rightarrow t\}}$$

M-RcdL

$$\frac{\neg |A_1| \quad |A_2|}{t_1.|\{l:A_1\}|:A_1\rhd A\lhd \{\}:A_2\leadsto t}$$
$$\overline{t_1:\{l:A_1\}\rhd \{l:A\}\lhd t_2:\{l:A_2\}\leadsto \{|\{l:A\}|\Rightarrow t\}}$$

M-RCDR

$$\frac{\lceil A_1 \lceil \quad \neg \rceil A_2 \lceil}{\{\}: A_1 \rhd A \lhd t_2. | \{l: A_2\}|: A_2 \leadsto t}$$

$$\underline{t_1: \{l: A_1\} \rhd \{l: A\} \lhd t_2: \{l: A_2\} \leadsto \{|\{l: A\}| \Rightarrow t\}}$$

 $t_1:A;t_2:B\leadsto t_3:C$

(Distributive application)

$$\frac{\text{A-Top}}{t_1:A;t_2:B\leadsto\{\}:\top}$$

A-Top
$$\frac{|A|}{t_1:A;t_2:B \leadsto \{\}:\top} \begin{array}{c} A\text{-ARROW} \\ \neg B \lceil \quad t_2:C <:A \leadsto t_3 \\ \hline t_1:A;t_2:B \leadsto \{\}:\top \end{array} \begin{array}{c} t_1:A;t_2:C \leadsto t_3:A' \\ \hline t_1:A;t_2:B \leadsto \{\}:T \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} t_1:A;t_2:C \leadsto t_4:B' \\ \hline t_1:A \& B;t_2:C \leadsto t_3;t_4:A' \& B' \end{array}$$

$$t_1: A; t_2: C \leadsto t_3: A' t_1: B; t_2: C \leadsto t_4: B' \hline t_1: A \& B; t_2: C \leadsto t_3; t_4: A' \& B'$$

A-And

Auxiliary definitions 2.3

$$\frac{A_{k_1} < A_{k_2} < \dots < A_{k_m} \quad \neg \rceil A_k \lceil}{|A_1 \& A_2 \& \dots \& A_n| = |A_{k_1} | \& |A_{k_2} | \& \dots \& |A_{k_m}|}$$

]A[

(Top-like Types)

$$\frac{\text{TL-TOP}}{\boxed{\top}}$$

$$\frac{\text{TL-AND}}{|A|} \frac{|B|}{|A \& B|}$$

$$\frac{\text{TL-ARR}}{|B|}$$

$$\frac{|B|}{|A \to B|}$$

$$\frac{\text{TL-RCD}}{|B|}$$
$$\frac{|B|}{|\{l:B\}|}$$

A Ordinary

(Ordinary Types)

$$\frac{\text{O-INT}}{\mathbb{B} \text{ Ordinary}}$$

$$\frac{B \; \mathsf{Ordinary}}{A \to B \; \mathsf{Ordinary}}$$

$$\frac{ \text{O-RCD}}{B \text{ Ordinary}} \\ \frac{ \{l:B\} \text{ Ordinary}}{ \{l:B\} \text{ Ordinary}}$$

$$A * B$$

(Type Disjointness (Algorithmic))

$B \lhd A \rhd C$

(Split a Type into Two)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \\ \text{Sp-and} \\ \hline (A) \lhd (A \& B) \rhd (B) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c|c} \text{Sp-arrow} & \text{Sp-rcd} \\ \hline B_1 \lhd B \rhd B_2 & B_1 \lhd B \rhd B_2 \\ \hline (A \to B_1) \lhd (A \to B) \rhd (A \to B_2) & \overline{\{l: B_1\}} \lhd \{l: B\} \rhd \{l: B_2\} \end{array}$$

At <: Bt

(Target Subtyping)

TS-TOP TS-REFL TS-ARROW
$$+ At$$
 $+ At$ $+ At$

TS-RCD

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{recTyp} \ Ct' \\ \mathbf{lookup} \ ll \ Ct \Rightarrow Bt \\ Bt <: At \\ (\mathbf{lookup} \ ll \ Ct' \Rightarrow At' \ \land \ At' \approx At) \ \lor \ \mathbf{lookup} \ ll \ Ct' \Rightarrow \\ \hline Ct <: Ct' \\ \hline Ct <: \{ll : At, Ct'\} \end{array}$$

- 1) type soundness of the target calculus
- 2) connecting the source to the target
- type translation function (from source type to string): intersection types are flattened, their toplike parts are filtered, and all the conjuncts are sorted (but no deduplication).
- a relation that characterizes types that corresponds to the same string.
- 3) I need a function that converts source types to target types, to prove that elaboration generates well-typed terms. There are 3 problems:
- Source types and target types are not one-to-one mapped. The typing rule for toplike lambda functions does not split types but the subsumption rule does (via subtyping)

(\x:Int . x : Int) : Int->Top&Top ~> { ->|Top&Top| => \ x }

 $\label{eq:lemma} \mbox{Lemma eqIndTyp_sound_complete:} \quad A \ = B \ \mbox{iff} \ |A| = |B|.$

Lemma disjoint_type_no_eqInd: if A = B and A * B then there is a contradiction.

Lemma translation from source type to target type is a function

 $\text{Lemma cosub_well_typed:} \quad \text{if } t_1:A<:B\leadsto t_2 \text{ and } G||\vdash t1<=|[A]| \text{ then } G||\vdash t2:|[B]|.$

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{Theorem elaboration_well_typed:} \quad \mbox{if } \Gamma \vdash e \; \emph{dirflag} \; A \leadsto t \; \mbox{then} \; |[G]|| \vdash t : |[A]|.$