# SDM4 in R: Sampling Distribution Models (Chapter 17)

Nicholas Horton (nhorton@amherst.edu) and Sarah McDonald June 13, 2018

# Introduction and background


This document is intended to help describe how to undertake analyses introduced as examples in the Fourth Edition of *Stats: Data and Models* (2014) by De Veaux, Velleman, and Bock. More information about the book can be found at http://wps.aw.com/aw\_deveaux\_stats\_series. This file as well as the associated R Markdown reproducible analysis source file used to create it can be found at http://nhorton.people.amherst.edu/sdm4.


This work leverages initiatives undertaken by Project MOSAIC (http://www.mosaic-web.org), an NSF-funded effort to improve the teaching of statistics, calculus, science and computing in the undergraduate curriculum. In particular, we utilize the mosaic package, which was written to simplify the use of R for introductory statistics courses. A short summary of the R needed to teach introductory statistics can be found in the mosaic package vignettes (http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mosaic). A paper describing the mosaic approach was published in the R Journal: https://journal.r-project.org/archive/2017/RJ-2017-024.


# Chapter 17: Sampling Distribution Models

## Section 17.1: Sampling distribution of a proportion

Let's regenerate Figure 17.1 (page 444).







### Section 17.2: When does the normal model work?

We can replicate the example from page 449:

```
p <- 0.22
n <- 200
pnorm(.155, mean = p, sd = sqrt(p*(1-p)/n)) # normal approximation


## [1] 0.0132


pbinom(31, size = n, prob = p) # exact value</pre>
```


# Section 17.3: The sampling distribution of other statistics

Let's replicate the display on page 451:

## [1] 0.0139








Neither of the sampling distributions of the variance or the minimums are normally distributed.

### Section 17.4: Central Limit Theorem


Let's replicate the displays on pages 453-454:

```
## min Q1 median Q3 max mean sd n missing ## 0 3885 6968 13361 131190 10476 11462 500 0
```

Note that Figure 17.11 seems to be off by a factor of 10!




```
samp10 <- do(2000)*mean(~ Pay, data = sample(CEO, 10))
gf_histogram(~ mean, xlab = "means of CEO Compensation (samples of size n = 10)",
binwidth = 2000, center = 2000/2, data = samp10)</pre>
```




samp50 <- do(2000)\*mean(~ Pay, data = sample(CEO, 50))</pre>

```
gf_histogram(~ mean, xlab = "means of CEO Compensation (samples of size n = 50)",
binwidth = 1000, center = 1000/2, data = samp50)
```



```
samp100 <- do(2000)*mean(~ Pay, data = sample(CEO, 100))
gf_histogram(~ mean, xlab = "means of CEO Compensation (samples of size n = 100)",
binwidth = 500, center = 500/2, data = samp100)</pre>
```



```
samp200<- do(2000)*mean(~ Pay, data = sample(CEO, 200))
gf_histogram(~ mean, xlab = "means of CEO Compensation (samples of size n = 200)",
binwidth = 500, center = 500/2, data = samp200)</pre>
```



Note how the axis limits get narrower as the sample size increases (since the means are less variable when the sample size increases:

```
mysd <- sd(~ Pay, data = CEO)
mysd
## [1] 11462
```

## [1] 3695

sd(~ mean, data = samp10) # what we observed

```
mysd/sqrt(10)  # what we would expect

## [1] 3625

We can repeat this comparison for each of the sets of samples.

sd(~ mean, data = samp50)

## [1] 1550

sd(~ mean, data = samp100)

## [1] 1008

sd(~ mean, data = samp200)
```