

# DM552 exercises

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
University of Southern Denmark

September 27, 2017

1. In the *Data.List* module, one finds some useful functions on lists:

```
nub :: Eq a => [a] -> [a]
nub [] = []
nub (x : xs) = x : nub [y | y <- xs, x /= y]

delete :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> [a]
delete y [] = []
delete y (x : xs) = if x == y then xs else x : delete y xs

(\\) :: Eq a => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
(\\) = foldl (flip delete)

union :: Eq a => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
union xs ys = xs ++ (ys \\ xs)

intersect :: Eq a => [a] -> [a] -> [a]
intersect xs ys = [x | x <- xs, x `elem` ys]
```

- (a) For each of the functions, describe what they do, and their time complexities.
- (b) Give an alternative definition of *nub*, using *filter* instead of the list comprehension
- (c) Give an alternative definition of *delete*, using *foldr* instead of explicit recursion
- (d) Can *delete* be defined in terms of *filter* or a list comprehension?
- (e) Give an alternative definition of *(\\)*, using explicit recursion instead of *foldl*
- (f) Give an alternative definition of *intersect*, using *filter* instead of the list comprehension.

2. Corresponding to the fold right for lists,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{foldr } \_ z [] &= z \\ \text{foldr } f z (x : xs) &= f x (\text{foldr } f z xs) \end{aligned}$$

we can define a *foldTree* that folds over tree structures:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{data} \text{ Tree } a &= \text{Nil} \mid \text{Node } a (\text{Tree } a) (\text{Tree } a) \\ \text{foldTree } f z \text{ Nil} &= z \\ \text{foldTree } f z (\text{Node } a l r) &= f a (\text{foldTree } f z l) (\text{foldTree } f z r) \end{aligned}$$

- What is the type of *foldTree*?
- Give functions *f* such that
  - *height* = *foldTree* *f* 0 :: *Tree* *a* → *Int*
  - *size* = *foldTree* *f* 0 :: *Tree* *a* → *Int*
  - *treesum* = *foldTree* *f* 0 :: *Num* *a* ⇒ *Tree* *a* → *a*
  - *flatten* = *foldTree* *f* [] :: *Tree* *a* → [*a*]

3. For a type constructor *F* to be a functor, there should be defined a function *fmap*

$$\text{fmap} :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow F a \rightarrow F b$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fmap } id &= id \\ \text{fmap } (f \circ g) &= \text{fmap } f \circ \text{fmap } g \end{aligned}$$

A pointful version (an  $\eta$ -converted version) of the above statement is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fmap } id h &= id h = h \\ \text{fmap } (f \circ g) h &= (\text{fmap } f \circ \text{fmap } g) h = \text{fmap } f (\text{fmap } g h) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the definitions of *id* and ( $\circ$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} id x &= x \\ (f \circ g) x &= f (g x) \end{aligned}$$

Show that *fmap* = ( $\circ$ ) makes the type constructor

$$F a = r \rightarrow a$$

a functor. (HINT: Use the pointful version of the *fmap*-conditions, and the definitions of *id* and ( $\circ$ ).)

4. Convert the following list comprehensions to combinatory style (version using *map/filter/concat*)

$$\begin{aligned} & [(x, y) \mid x \leftarrow [1..n], \text{odd } x, y \leftarrow [1..n]] \\ & [(x, y) \mid x \leftarrow [1..n], y \leftarrow [1..n], \text{odd } x] \end{aligned}$$

Are they equal? Compare the costs of evaluating the two expressions

5. Recall the definition of *transpose*.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{transpose} &:: [[a]] \rightarrow [[a]] \\ \text{transpose } [] &= [] \\ \text{transpose } ([]:xss) &= \text{transpose } xss \\ \text{transpose } ((x:xs):xss) &= (x:[h \mid (h:_)\leftarrow xss]) \\ &\quad : \text{transpose } (xs:[t \mid (-:t)\leftarrow xss]) \end{aligned}$$

Give an alternative definition, which does not use list comprehensions.

6. The function *remdups* removes adjacent duplicates from a list. For example, *remdups*  $[1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 1, 1] \equiv [1, 2, 3, 1]$ . Define *remdups* using either *foldl* or *foldr*. What type does it have?