Strongly convex functions. Optimality conditions.

Seminar

Optimization for ML. Faculty of Computer Science. HSE University



₹ C Ø

Convex Function

The function f(x), which is defined on the convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, is called convex on S, if:

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2)$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in S$ and $0 \le \lambda \le 1$.

If the above inequality holds as strict inequality $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then the function is called **strictly convex** on S.




Figure 1: Difference between convex and non-convex function

Strong Convexity

f(x), defined on the convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, is called μ -strongly convex (strongly convex) on S, if:

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \le \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2) - \frac{\mu}{2}\lambda(1 - \lambda)||x_1 - x_2||^2$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in S$ and $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ for some $\mu > 0$.




Figure 2: Strongly convex function is greater or equal than global quadratic lower bound at any point


First-order differential criterion of convexity

The differentiable function f(x) defined on the convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex if and only if $\forall x,y \in S$:

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f^{T}(x)(y - x)$$

Let $y=x+\Delta x$, then the criterion will become more tractable:

$$f(x + \Delta x) \ge f(x) + \nabla f^{T}(x) \Delta x$$



୬ ମ **ଡ**

Second-order differential criterion of strong convexity

Twice differentiable function f(x) defined on the convex set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is μ -strongly convex if and only if $\forall x \in \mathbf{int}(S) \neq \emptyset$:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq \mu I$$

In other words:

$$\langle y, \nabla^2 f(x)y \rangle \ge \mu \|y\|^2$$

Motivational Experiment with JAX



Question

Show, that f(x) = ||x|| is convex on \mathbb{R}^n .

Question

Show, that $f(x) = x^{\top} A x$, where $A \succeq 0$ - is convex on \mathbb{R}^n .

Question

Show, that if f(x) is convex on \mathbb{R}^n , then $\exp(f(x))$ is convex on \mathbb{R}^n .

Question

If f(x) is convex nonnegative function and $p \ge 1$. Show that $g(x) = f(x)^p$ is convex.



Question

Show that, if f(x) is concave positive function over convex S, then $g(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)}$ is convex.

Question

Show, that the following function is convex on the set of all positive denominators

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x_1 - \frac{1}{x_2 - \frac{1}{x_3 - \frac{1}{x_3}}}}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Question

Let $S=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid x\succ 0, \|x\|_\infty\leq M\}$. Show that $f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\log x_i$ is $\frac{1}{M}$ -strongly convex.

Polyak-Lojasiewicz (PL) Condition

PL inequality holds if the following condition is satisfied for some $\mu>0$,

$$\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \ge \mu(f(x) - f^*) \forall x$$

The example of a function, that satisfies the PL-condition, but is not convex.

$$f(x,y) = \frac{(y - \sin x)^2}{2}$$

Example of PI non-convex function **@**Open in Colab.



Optimality Conditions. Important notions recap

$$f(x) \to \min_{x \in S}$$

A set S is usually called a budget set.

- A point x^* is a global minimizer if $f(x^*) \leq f(x)$ for all x.
- A point x^* is a local minimizer if there exists a neighborhood N of x^* such that $f(x^*) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in N$.
- ullet A point x^* is a strict local minimizer (also called a strong local minimizer) if there exists a neighborhood N of x^* such that $f(x^*) < f(x)$ for all $x \in N$ with $x \neq x^*$.
- We call x^* a stationary point (or critical) if $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$. Any local minimizer must be a stationary point.




Figure 4: Illustration of different stationary (critical) points

Unconstrained optimization recap

First-Order Necessary Conditions

If x^{st} is a local minimizer and f is continuously differentiable in an open neighborhood, then

$$\nabla f(x^*) = 0$$

Second-Order Sufficient Conditions

Suppose that $abla^2 f$ is continuous in an open neighborhood of x^* and that

$$\nabla f(x^*) = 0 \quad \nabla^2 f(x^*) \succ 0.$$

Then x^* is a strict local minimizer of f.

(1)

(2)

Lagrange multipliers recap

Consider simple yet practical case of equality constraints:

$$f(x) o \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$
 s.t. $h_i(x) = 0, i = 1, \dots, p$

The basic idea of Lagrange method implies the switch from conditional to unconditional optimization through increasing the dimensionality of the problem:

$$L(x, \nu) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \nu_i h_i(x) \to \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^p}$$



Question

Function $f:E\to\mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$f(x) = \ln\left(-Q(x)\right)$$

where $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Q(x) < 0\}$ and

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{\mathsf{T}}Ax + b^{\mathsf{T}}x + c$$

with $A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Find the maximizer x^* of the function f.

Question

Give an explicit solution of the following task.

$$\langle c, x \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \log x_i \to \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++}, c \neq 0$.



Adversarial Attacks as Constrained Optimization




Figure 5: Any neural network can be fooled with invisible pertubation

Targetted Adversarial Attack:

$$\rho(x,x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$
 s.t. $y(x+r) = {\sf target_class},$





Adversarial Attacks as Constrained Optimization




Figure 5: Any neural network can be fooled with invisible pertubation

Targetted Adversarial Attack:

Non-targetted Adversarial Attack:

$$\begin{split} \rho(x,x+r) &\to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} & \rho(x,x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \\ \text{s.t. } y(x+r) &= \mathsf{target_class}, & \text{s.t. } y(x+r) &= y(x), \end{split}$$



$$\rho(x, x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

$$\mathrm{s.t.}\ y(x+r) = \mathrm{target_class},$$



Solution from Szegedy et al, "Intriguing properties of neural networks"

Targetted Adversarial Attack Task:

$$\rho(x,x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$
 s.t. $y(x+r) = {\sf target_class},$

$$||r||^2 - c \log p(y = \mathsf{target_class} \,|\, x + r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$



Targetted Adversarial Attack Task:

$$\begin{split} \rho(x,x+r) &\to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} & \rho(x,x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n} \\ \text{s.t. } y(x+r) &= \mathsf{target_class}, & \text{s.t. } y(x+r) &= y(x), \end{split}$$

$$||r||^2 - c \log p(y = \mathsf{target_class} \,|\, x + r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$



Targetted Adversarial Attack Task:

$$\rho(x,x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$
 s.t. $y(x+r) = \mathsf{target_class},$

• Targetted Lagrange function $L(r, c \mid x)$:

$$||r||^2 - c \log p(y = \mathsf{target_class} \,|\, x + r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

$$\rho(x,x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$
 s.t. $y(x+r) = y(x)$.

$$||r||^2 + c \log p(y = y_{\text{origin}} | x + r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$



Targetted Adversarial Attack Task:

$$\rho(x,x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$
 s.t. $y(x+r) = \mathsf{target_class},$

• Targetted Lagrange function $L(r, c \mid x)$:

$$||r||^2 - c \log p(y = \mathsf{target_class} \,|\, x + r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

$$\rho(x,x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$
 s.t. $y(x+r) = y(x)$.

$$||r||^2 + c \log p(y = y_{\text{origin}} | x + r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$



Targetted Adversarial Attack Task:

$$\rho(x,x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$
 s.t. $y(x+r) = {\sf target_class},$

• Targetted Lagrange function $L(r, c \mid x)$:

$$||r||^2 - c \log p(y = \mathsf{target_class} \,|\, x + r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

$$\rho(x,x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$
 s.t. $u(x+r) = u(x)$.

$$||r||^2 + c \log p(y = y_{\text{origin}} | x + r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

- Method Problems
 - 1. Attack success or not there is no guarantee the method will work:
 - 2. Simple optimizers may not work due to nonconvexity of Neural Networks (authors use L-BFGS);



Solution from Szegedy et al, "Intriguing properties of neural networks"

Pargetted Adversarial Attack Task:

• Non-targetted Adversarial Attack Task:

$$\rho(x, x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

 $\text{s.t. } y(x+r) = \mathsf{target_class},$

• Targetted Lagrange function $L(r, c \mid x)$:

$$||r||^2 - c\log p(y = \mathsf{target_class}\,|\,x + r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

$$\rho(x, x+r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

s.t. y(x+r) = y(x),

$$||r||^2 + c \log p(y = y_{\text{origin}} | x + r) \to \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

- Method Problems
 - 1. Attack success or not there is no guarantee the method will work;
- 2. Simple optimizers may not work due to nonconvexity of Neural Networks (authors use L-BFGS);
- i More sophisticated methods
 - Fast Gradient Sign Method (FGSM)
 - Deep Fool