

Optimality conditions. Optimization with equality / inequality conditions. KKT.

Seminar

Optimization for ML. Faculty of Computer Science. HSE University

Optimality Conditions. Important notions recap

$$f(x) \rightarrow \min_{x \in S}$$

A set S is usually called a budget set.

- A point x^* is a global minimizer if $f(x^*) \leq f(x)$ for all x .
- A point x^* is a local minimizer if there exists a neighborhood N of x^* such that $f(x^*) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in N$.
- A point x^* is a strict local minimizer (also called a strong local minimizer) if there exists a neighborhood N of x^* such that $f(x^*) < f(x)$ for all $x \in N$ with $x \neq x^*$.
- We call x^* a stationary point (or critical) if $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$. Any local minimizer must be a stationary point.



Figure 1: Illustration of different stationary (critical) points

Unconstrained optimization recap

💡 First-Order Necessary Conditions

If x^* is a local minimizer and f is continuously differentiable in an open neighborhood, then

$$\nabla f(x^*) = 0 \quad (1)$$

💡 Second-Order Sufficient Conditions

Suppose that $\nabla^2 f$ is continuous in an open neighborhood of x^* and that

$$\nabla f(x^*) = 0 \quad \nabla^2 f(x^*) \succ 0. \quad (2)$$

Then x^* is a strict local minimizer of f .

Optimization with equality conditions

Consider simple yet practical case of equality constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \\ \text{s.t. } h_i(x) &= 0, i = 1, \dots, p \end{aligned}$$

Lagrange multipliers recap

The basic idea of Lagrange method implies the switch from conditional to unconditional optimization through increasing the dimensionality of the problem:

$$L(x, \nu) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(x) = f(x) + \nu^T h(x) \rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^p}$$

Lagrange multipliers recap

The basic idea of Lagrange method implies the switch from conditional to unconditional optimization through increasing the dimensionality of the problem:

$$L(x, \nu) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(x) = f(x) + \nu^T h(x) \rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^p}$$

Necessary conditions:

$$\nabla_x L(x^*, \nu^*) = 0$$

$$\nabla_\nu L(x^*, \nu^*) = 0$$

Sufficient conditions:

$$\langle y, \nabla_{xx}^2 L(x^*, \nu^*) y \rangle > 0,$$

$$\forall y \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla h_i(x^*)^T y = 0$$

Optimization with inequality conditions

Consider simple yet practical case of inequality constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \\ \text{s.t. } g(x) &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Optimization with inequality conditions

Consider simple yet practical case of inequality constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \\ \text{s.t. } g(x) &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$g(x) \leq 0$ is **inactive**. $g(x^*) < 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x^*) &< 0 \\ \nabla f(x^*) &= 0 \\ \nabla^2 f(x^*) &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

$g(x) \leq 0$ is **active**. $g(x^*) = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x^*) &= 0 \\ -\nabla f(x^*) &= \lambda \nabla g(x^*), \lambda > 0 \\ \langle y, \nabla_{xx}^2 L(x^*, \lambda^*) y \rangle &> 0, \\ \forall y \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla g(x^*)^\top y &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

General formulation

General problem of mathematical programming:

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x) &\rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \\ \text{s.t. } f_i(x) &\leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ h_i(x) &= 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p \end{aligned}$$

General formulation

General problem of mathematical programming:

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x) &\rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \\ \text{s.t. } f_i(x) &\leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ h_i(x) &= 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, p \end{aligned}$$

The solution involves constructing a Lagrange function:

$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(x)$$

KKT Necessary conditions

Let x^* , (λ^*, ν^*) be a solution to a mathematical programming problem with zero duality gap (the optimal value for the primal problem p^* is equal to the optimal value for the dual problem d^*). Let also the functions f_0, f_i, h_i be differentiable.

KKT Necessary conditions

Let x^* , (λ^*, ν^*) be a solution to a mathematical programming problem with zero duality gap (the optimal value for the primal problem p^* is equal to the optimal value for the dual problem d^*). Let also the functions f_0, f_i, h_i be differentiable.

$$(1) \nabla_x L(x^*, \lambda^*, \nu^*) = 0$$

$$(2) \nabla_\nu L(x^*, \lambda^*, \nu^*) = 0$$

$$(3) \lambda_i^* \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$(4) \lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$(5) f_i(x^*) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$$

KKT Some regularity conditions

These conditions are needed in order to make KKT solutions the necessary conditions. Some of them even turn necessary conditions into sufficient. For example, Slater's condition:

KKT Some regularity conditions

These conditions are needed in order to make KKT solutions the necessary conditions. Some of them even turn necessary conditions into sufficient. For example, Slater's condition:

If for a convex problem (i.e., assuming minimization, f_0, f_i are convex and h_i are affine), there exists a point x such that $h(x) = 0$ and $f_i(x) < 0$ (existence of a strictly feasible point), then we have a zero duality gap and KKT conditions become necessary and sufficient.

KKT Sufficient conditions

For smooth, non-linear optimization problems, a second order sufficient condition is given as follows. The solution x^*, λ^*, ν^* , which satisfies the KKT conditions (above) is a constrained local minimum if for the Lagrangian,

$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(x)$$

the following conditions hold:

$$\langle y, \nabla_{xx}^2 L(x^*, \lambda^*, \nu^*) y \rangle > 0$$

$$\forall y \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nabla h_i(x^*)^\top y = 0, \nabla f_0(x^*)^\top y \leq 0, \nabla f_j(x^*)^\top y = 0$$

$$i = 1, \dots, p \quad \forall j : f_j(x^*) = 0$$

Problem 1

i Question

Function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$f(x) = \ln(-Q(x))$$

where $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Q(x) < 0\}$ and

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^\top Ax + b^\top x + c$$

with $A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Find the maximizer x^* of the function f .

Problem 2

Question

Give an explicit solution of the following task.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) = x + y &\rightarrow \min \\ \text{s.t. } x^2 + y^2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Problem 3

i Question

Give an explicit solution of the following task.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c, x \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i &\rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \\ \text{s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}_{++}^n, c \neq 0$.

Problem 4

Question

Let $A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n, b > 0$ show that:

$$\det(X) \rightarrow \max_{X \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n} \text{ s.t. } \langle A, X \rangle \leq b$$

Has a unique solution and find it.

Problem 5

i Question

Given $y \in \{-1, 1\}$, and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, the Support Vector Machine problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \rightarrow \min_{w, w_0, \xi_i} \\ & \text{s.t. } \xi_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n \\ & \quad y_i(x_i^T w + w_0) \geq 1 - \xi_i, i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

find the KKT stationarity condition.

Problem 6

Question

Show that the following constrained optimization task has unique solution and find it.

$$\langle C^{-1}, X \rangle - \log \det(X) \rightarrow \min_{X \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n} \text{ s.t. } a^T X a \leq 1$$

$$C \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n, a \neq 0$$

You should avoid explicit inverse of matrix C in the answer.

Problem 7 (BONUS)

For some $\Sigma, \Sigma_0 \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$ define a KL Divergence between two Gaussian distributions as:

$$D(\Sigma, \Sigma_0) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle \Sigma_0^{-1}, \Sigma \rangle - \log \det(\Sigma_0^{-1} \Sigma) - n)$$

Now let $H \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$ and $y, x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y, s \rangle > 0$

We would like to solve the following constrained minimization task.

$$\min_{X \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n} \{D(X^{-1}, H^{-1}) | Xy = s\}$$

Prove that it has a unique solution and it is equal to:

$$(I_n - \frac{sy^T}{y^T s})H(I_n - \frac{ys^T}{y^T s}) + \frac{ss^T}{y^T s}$$

Problem 8 (BONUS)

Question

Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a standart basis in \mathbb{R}^n . Show that:

$$\max_{X \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n} \det(X) : \|Xe_i\| \leq 1 \forall i \in 1, \dots, n$$

Has a unique solution I_n , and derive the Hadamard inequality:

$$\det(X) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \|Xe_i\| \forall X \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$$

Adversarial Attacks

Definition: Adversarial attacks are techniques used to fool DL models by adding small perturbations to the input data. We can frame adversarial attacks as a constrained optimization problem where the goal is to minimize/maximize the loss function while keeping the perturbation within a certain limit (norm constraint).

The Fast Gradient Sign Method (FGSM) is the most simple such technique, that generates adversarial examples by applying a small perturbation in the direction of the gradient of the loss function. Formally:

$$x' = x + \varepsilon \cdot \text{sgn}(\nabla_x L(x, y)), \text{ s.t. } \|x - x'\| \leq \varepsilon$$

So in a nutshell we perform a gradient ascent on an image (== maximizing loss w.r.t to that image).




Figure 2: Illustration of different stationary (critical) points

Here is the code to try it out yourself! 