

# Gradient Descent. Convergence rates

Daniil Merkulov

Optimization methods. MIPT

## Previously

- Gradient Descent





Figure 1: Steepest Descent

Open In Colab 

## Previously

- Gradient Descent
- Steepest descent





Figure 1: Steepest Descent

Open In Colab 

## Previously

- Gradient Descent
- Steepest descent
- Convergence rates (no proof)



Figure 1: Steepest Descent

Open In Colab 

## Previously

- Gradient Descent
- Steepest descent
- Convergence rates (no proof)
- If  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $L$ -smooth then for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|y - x\|^2.$$



Figure 1: Steepest Descent

Open In Colab 

## Previously

- Gradient Descent
- Steepest descent
- Convergence rates (no proof)
- If  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $L$ -smooth then for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|y - x\|^2.$$

- Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a twice differentiable  $L$ -smooth function. Then, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , for every eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\nabla^2 f(x)$ , we have

$$|\lambda| \leq L.$$





Figure 1: Steepest Descent

Open In Colab 

# Convergence rates

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \qquad x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k \nabla f(x_k)$$

smooth	convex	smooth & convex	smooth & strongly convex (or PL)
$\ \nabla f(x_k)\ ^2 \approx \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$	$f(x_k) - f^* \approx \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}\right)$	$f(x_k) - f^* \approx \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$	$\ x_k - x^*\ ^2 \approx \mathcal{O}\left(\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right)^k\right)$

## Coordinate shift for strongly convex quadratics

Consider the following quadratic optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} x^\top A x - b^\top x + c, \text{ where } A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^d.$$




# Coordinate shift for strongly convex quadratics

Consider the following quadratic optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} x^\top A x - b^\top x + c, \text{ where } A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^d.$$

- Firstly, without loss of generality we can set  $c = 0$ , which will or affect optimization process.



# Coordinate shift for strongly convex quadratics

Consider the following quadratic optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} x^\top A x - b^\top x + c, \text{ where } A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^d.$$

- Firstly, without loss of generality we can set  $c = 0$ , which will not affect optimization process.
- Secondly, we have a spectral decomposition of the matrix  $A$ :

$$A = Q\Lambda Q^\top$$



# Coordinate shift for strongly convex quadratics


Consider the following quadratic optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} x^\top A x - b^\top x + c, \text{ where } A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^d.$$

- Firstly, without loss of generality we can set  $c = 0$ , which will not affect optimization process.
- Secondly, we have a spectral decomposition of the matrix  $A$ :

$$A = Q \Lambda Q^\top$$

- Let's show, that we can switch coordinates in order to make an analysis a little bit easier. Let  $\hat{x} = Q^\top(x - x^*)$ , where  $x^*$  is the minimum point of initial function, defined by  $Ax^* = b$ . At the same time  $x = Q\hat{x} + x^*$ .



# Coordinate shift for strongly convex quadratics

Consider the following quadratic optimization problem:


$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} x^\top A x - b^\top x + c, \text{ where } A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^d.$$

- Firstly, without loss of generality we can set  $c = 0$ , which will not affect optimization process.
- Secondly, we have a spectral decomposition of the matrix  $A$ :

$$A = Q \Lambda Q^\top$$

- Let's show, that we can switch coordinates in order to make an analysis a little bit easier. Let  $\hat{x} = Q^\top(x - x^*)$ , where  $x^*$  is the minimum point of initial function, defined by  $Ax^* = b$ . At the same time  $x = Q\hat{x} + x^*$ .

$$f(\hat{x}) = \frac{1}{2} (Q\hat{x} + x^*)^\top A (Q\hat{x} + x^*) - b^\top (Q\hat{x} + x^*)$$



# Coordinate shift for strongly convex quadratics

Consider the following quadratic optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} x^\top A x - b^\top x + c, \text{ where } A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^d.$$

- Firstly, without loss of generality we can set  $c = 0$ , which will not affect optimization process.
- Secondly, we have a spectral decomposition of the matrix  $A$ :

$$A = Q \Lambda Q^\top$$

- Let's show, that we can switch coordinates in order to make an analysis a little bit easier. Let  $\hat{x} = Q^\top(x - x^*)$ , where  $x^*$  is the minimum point of initial function, defined by  $Ax^* = b$ . At the same time  $x = Q\hat{x} + x^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(\hat{x}) &= \frac{1}{2} (Q\hat{x} + x^*)^\top A (Q\hat{x} + x^*) - b^\top (Q\hat{x} + x^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \hat{x}^\top Q^\top A Q \hat{x} + (x^*)^\top A Q \hat{x} + \frac{1}{2} (x^*)^\top A (x^*) - b^\top Q \hat{x} - b^\top x^* \end{aligned}$$



# Coordinate shift for strongly convex quadratics

Consider the following quadratic optimization problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} x^\top A x - b^\top x + c, \text{ where } A \in \mathbb{S}_{++}^d.$$

- Firstly, without loss of generality we can set  $c = 0$ , which will not affect optimization process.
- Secondly, we have a spectral decomposition of the matrix  $A$ :

$$A = Q \Lambda Q^\top$$

- Let's show, that we can switch coordinates in order to make an analysis a little bit easier. Let  $\hat{x} = Q^\top(x - x^*)$ , where  $x^*$  is the minimum point of initial function, defined by  $Ax^* = b$ . At the same time  $x = Q\hat{x} + x^*$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f(\hat{x}) &= \frac{1}{2} (Q\hat{x} + x^*)^\top A (Q\hat{x} + x^*) - b^\top (Q\hat{x} + x^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \hat{x}^\top Q^\top A Q \hat{x} + (x^*)^\top A Q \hat{x} + \frac{1}{2} (x^*)^\top A (x^*) - b^\top Q \hat{x} - b^\top x^* \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \hat{x}^\top \Lambda \hat{x} \end{aligned}$$



## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k)$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k$$



## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k$$

$$= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \text{ For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \text{ For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0, \lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \text{ For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha \mu| < 1$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \text{ For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0, \lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha \mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha \mu < 1$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha \mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha \mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha \mu > 0$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha\mu| < 1 \qquad |1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha\mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \qquad \alpha\mu > 0$$



## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha\mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha\mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha\mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0, \lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha\mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha\mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha\mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{L} \quad \alpha L > 0$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0, \lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha\mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha\mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha\mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{L} \quad \alpha L > 0$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha\mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha\mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha\mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{L} \quad \alpha L > 0$$

$\alpha < \frac{2}{L}$  is needed for convergence.

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Now we would like to choose  $\alpha$  in order to choose the best (lowest) convergence rate

$$\rho^* = \min_{\alpha} \rho(\alpha)$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha \mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha \mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha \mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{L} \quad \alpha L > 0$$

$\alpha < \frac{2}{L}$  is needed for convergence.

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Now we would like to choose  $\alpha$  in order to choose the best (lowest) convergence rate

$$\rho^* = \min_{\alpha} \rho(\alpha) = \min_{\alpha} \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}|$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha\mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha\mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha\mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{L} \quad \alpha L > 0$$

$\alpha < \frac{2}{L}$  is needed for convergence.

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Now we would like to choose  $\alpha$  in order to choose the best (lowest) convergence rate

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^* &= \min_{\alpha} \rho(\alpha) = \min_{\alpha} \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| \\&= \min_{\alpha} \{|1 - \alpha \mu|, |1 - \alpha L|\}\end{aligned}$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha \mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha \mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha \mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{L} \quad \alpha L > 0$$

$\alpha < \frac{2}{L}$  is needed for convergence.

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda) x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)}) x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0, \lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha \mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha \mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha \mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{L} \quad \alpha L > 0$$

$\alpha < \frac{2}{L}$  is needed for convergence.

Now we would like to choose  $\alpha$  in order to choose the best (lowest) convergence rate

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^* &= \min_{\alpha} \rho(\alpha) = \min_{\alpha} \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| \\&= \min_{\alpha} \{|1 - \alpha \mu|, |1 - \alpha L|\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha^* : 1 - \alpha^* \mu = \alpha^* L - 1$$



## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda) x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)}) x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha \mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha \mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha \mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{L} \quad \alpha L > 0$$

$\alpha < \frac{2}{L}$  is needed for convergence.

Now we would like to choose  $\alpha$  in order to choose the best (lowest) convergence rate

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^* &= \min_{\alpha} \rho(\alpha) = \min_{\alpha} \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| \\&= \min_{\alpha} \{|1 - \alpha \mu|, |1 - \alpha L|\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha^* : 1 - \alpha^* \mu = \alpha^* L - 1$$

$$\alpha^* = \frac{2}{\mu + L}$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0, \lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha\mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha\mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha\mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{L} \quad \alpha L > 0$$

$\alpha < \frac{2}{L}$  is needed for convergence.

Now we would like to choose  $\alpha$  in order to choose the best (lowest) convergence rate

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^* &= \min_{\alpha} \rho(\alpha) = \min_{\alpha} \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| \\&= \min_{\alpha} \{|1 - \alpha\mu|, |1 - \alpha L|\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha^* : 1 - \alpha^* \mu = \alpha^* L - 1$$

$$\alpha^* = \frac{2}{\mu + L} \quad \rho^* = \frac{L - \mu}{L + \mu}$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha\mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha\mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha\mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{L} \quad \alpha L > 0$$

$\alpha < \frac{2}{L}$  is needed for convergence.

Now we would like to choose  $\alpha$  in order to choose the best (lowest) convergence rate

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^* &= \min_{\alpha} \rho(\alpha) = \min_{\alpha} \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| \\&= \min_{\alpha} \{|1 - \alpha\mu|, |1 - \alpha L|\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha^* : 1 - \alpha^* \mu = \alpha^* L - 1$$

$$\alpha^* = \frac{2}{\mu + L} \quad \rho^* = \frac{L - \mu}{L + \mu}$$

$$x^{k+1} = \left( \frac{L - \mu}{L + \mu} \right)^k x^0$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

Now we can work with the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \Lambda x$  with  $x^* = 0$  without loss of generality (drop the hat from the  $\hat{x}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}x^{k+1} &= x^k - \alpha^k \nabla f(x^k) = x^k - \alpha^k \Lambda x^k \\&= (I - \alpha^k \Lambda)x^k\end{aligned}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})x_{(i)}^k \quad \text{For } i\text{-th coordinate}$$

$$x_{(i)}^{k+1} = (1 - \alpha^k \lambda_{(i)})^k x_{(i)}^0$$

Let's use constant stepsize  $\alpha^k = \alpha$ . Convergence condition:

$$\rho(\alpha) = \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| < 1$$

Remember, that  $\lambda_{\min} = \mu > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\max} = L \geq \mu$ .

$$|1 - \alpha\mu| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha\mu < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{\mu} \quad \alpha\mu > 0$$

$$|1 - \alpha L| < 1$$

$$-1 < 1 - \alpha L < 1$$

$$\alpha < \frac{2}{L} \quad \alpha L > 0$$

$\alpha < \frac{2}{L}$  is needed for convergence.

Now we would like to choose  $\alpha$  in order to choose the best (lowest) convergence rate

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^* &= \min_{\alpha} \rho(\alpha) = \min_{\alpha} \max_i |1 - \alpha \lambda_{(i)}| \\&= \min_{\alpha} \{|1 - \alpha\mu|, |1 - \alpha L|\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha^* : 1 - \alpha^* \mu = \alpha^* L - 1$$

$$\alpha^* = \frac{2}{\mu + L} \quad \rho^* = \frac{L - \mu}{L + \mu}$$

$$x^{k+1} = \left(\frac{L - \mu}{L + \mu}\right)^k x^0 \quad f(x^{k+1}) = \left(\frac{L - \mu}{L + \mu}\right)^{2k} f(x^0)$$

## Strongly convex quadratics

So, we have a linear convergence in domain with rate  $\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa+1} = 1 - \frac{2}{\kappa+1}$ , where  $\kappa = \frac{L}{\mu}$  is sometimes called *condition number* of the quadratic problem.

$\kappa$	$\rho$	Iterations to decrease domain gap 10 times	Iterations to decrease function gap 10 times
1.1	0.05	1	1
2	0.33	3	2
5	0.67	6	3
10	0.82	12	6
50	0.96	58	29
100	0.98	116	58
500	0.996	576	288
1000	0.998	1152	576

## Polyak- Lojasiewicz condition. Linear convergence of gradient descent without convexity

PL inequality holds if the following condition is satisfied for some  $\mu > 0$ ,

$$\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \geq 2\mu(f(x) - f^*) \quad \forall x$$

It is interesting, that Gradient Descent algorithm has

The following functions satisfy the PL-condition, but are not convex. [🔗Link to the code](#)

$$f(x) = x^2 + 3\sin^2(x)$$



# Polyak- Lojasiewicz condition. Linear convergence of gradient descent without convexity

PL inequality holds if the following condition is satisfied for some  $\mu > 0$ ,

$$\|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \geq 2\mu(f(x) - f^*) \quad \forall x$$

It is interesting, that Gradient Descent algorithm has


The following functions satisfy the PL-condition, but are not convex. [🔗Link to the code](#)

$$f(x) = x^2 + 3\sin^2(x)$$



$$f(x, y) = \frac{(y - \sin x)^2}{2}$$

Non-convex PL function



# Gradient Descent convergence. Polyak-Łojasiewicz case

## Theorem

Consider the Problem

$$f(x) \rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$$

and assume that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -Polyak-Łojasiewicz and  $L$ -smooth, for some  $L \geq \mu > 0$ .

Consider  $(x^t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence generated by the gradient descent constant stepsize algorithm, with a stepsize satisfying  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{L}$ . Then:

$$f(x^t) - f^* \leq (1 - \alpha\mu)^t (f(x^0) - f^*).$$



## Gradient Descent convergence. Polyak-Lojasiewicz case

We can use  $L$ -smoothness, together with the update rule of the algorithm, to write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^{t+1}) &\leq f(x^t) + \langle \nabla f(x^t), x^{t+1} - x^t \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x^{t+1} - x^t\|^2 \\ &= f(x^t) - \alpha \|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2 + \frac{L\alpha^2}{2} \|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2 \\ &= f(x^t) - \frac{\alpha}{2} (2 - L\alpha) \|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2 \\ &\leq f(x^t) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used our hypothesis on the stepsize that  $\alpha L \leq 1$ .

## Gradient Descent convergence. Polyak-Lojasiewicz case

We can use  $L$ -smoothness, together with the update rule of the algorithm, to write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^{t+1}) &\leq f(x^t) + \langle \nabla f(x^t), x^{t+1} - x^t \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x^{t+1} - x^t\|^2 \\ &= f(x^t) - \alpha \|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2 + \frac{L\alpha^2}{2} \|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2 \\ &= f(x^t) - \frac{\alpha}{2} (2 - L\alpha) \|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2 \\ &\leq f(x^t) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\nabla f(x^t)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used our hypothesis on the stepsize that  $\alpha L \leq 1$ .

We can now use the Polyak-Lojasiewicz property to write:

$$f(x^{t+1}) \leq f(x^t) - \alpha\mu(f(x^t) - f^*).$$

The conclusion follows after subtracting  $f^*$  on both sides of this inequality, and using recursion.

# Gradient Descent convergence. Smooth convex case

## Theorem

Consider the Problem

$$f(x) \rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$$

and assume that  $f$  is convex and  $L$ -smooth, for some  $L > 0$ .

Let  $(x^t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence of iterates generated by the gradient descent constant stepsize algorithm, with a stepsize satisfying  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{L}$ . Then, for all  $x^* \in \operatorname{argmin} f$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that

$$f(x^t) - f^* \leq \frac{\|x^0 - x^*\|^2}{2\alpha t}.$$

# Gradient Descent convergence. Smooth convex case

# Gradient Descent convergence. Smooth $\mu$ -strongly convex case

## Theorem

Consider the Problem

$$f(x) \rightarrow \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$$

and assume that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $L$ -smooth, for some  $L \geq \mu > 0$ . Let  $(x^t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence of iterates generated by the gradient descent constant stepsize algorithm, with a stepsize satisfying  $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{L}$ . Then, for  $x^* = \operatorname{argmin} f$  and for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$\|x^{t+1} - x^*\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha\mu)^{t+1} \|x^0 - x^*\|^2.$$

# Gradient Descent convergence. Smooth $\mu$ -strongly convex case

# Gradient Descent for Linear Least Squares aka Linear Regression



Figure 4: Illustration

In a least-squares, or linear regression, problem, we have measurements  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and seek a vector  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $X\theta$  is close to  $y$ . Closeness is defined as the sum of the squared differences:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (x_i^\top \theta - y_i)^2 = \|X\theta - y\|_2^2 \rightarrow \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

For example, we might have a dataset of  $m$  users, each represented by  $n$  features. Each row  $x_i^\top$  of  $X$  is the features for user  $i$ , while the corresponding entry  $y_i$  of  $y$  is the measurement we want to predict from  $x_i^\top$ , such as ad spending. The prediction is given by  $x_i^\top \theta$ .

# Linear Least Squares aka Linear Regression <sup>1</sup>

1. Is this problem convex? Strongly convex?



# Linear Least Squares aka Linear Regression <sup>1</sup>

1. Is this problem convex? Strongly convex?
2. What do you think about convergence of Gradient Descent for this problem?

---


<sup>1</sup>Take a look at the  example of real-world data linear least squares problem

## $l_2$ -regularized Linear Least Squares

In the underdetermined case, it is often desirable to restore strong convexity of the objective function by adding an  $l_2$ -penalty, also known as Tikhonov regularization,  $l_2$ -regularization, or weight decay.

$$\|X\theta - y\|_2^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}\|\theta\|_2^2 \rightarrow \min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n}$$

Note: With this modification the objective is  $\mu$ -strongly convex again.

Take a look at the code