

## Assignment 6 - Due Monday 23

1. (2 points) Liam is interested in making a one sample  $z$ -interval for the proportion of adults who claim they are good at math. In order to estimate  $p$ , the proportion of parents who claim to be 'math-heads', what is the smallest sample size possible to obtain a margin of error of  $\leq 0.05$ ?

• Assume 95% confidence.

$$\hat{p} \pm \underbrace{Z^* \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}}_{0.05}$$

So  $Z^* = \text{invNorm}(0.975)$   
 $\quad \quad \quad = 1.96$

need

$$Z^* \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}} \leq 0.05$$

• we are not given a estimated value for  $p$ ,  
 so we will use  $p = 0.5$  which will  
 maximize  $p(1-p)$ .

so we have:

$$1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.5(0.5)}{n}} \leq 0.05$$

$$\frac{(1.96)^2 \cdot (0.5)^2}{n} \leq (0.05)^2$$

$$n \geq \frac{(1.96)^2 (0.5)^2}{(0.05)^2} = 384.16$$

so you should sample  
 385 people.

2. (2 points) Members of an online gaming league play thousands of games over the course of a year. Suppose that scores of individual games have a known standard deviation of  $\sigma = 30$  points. Raunak plans on taking a random sample of  $n$  games from this population to make a 95% confidence interval for the mean score. He wants the margin of error to be no more than 10 points. What is the smallest approximate sample size required?

$$\sigma = 30$$

$$Z^* = 1.96 \quad \text{as } \alpha = 0.05 \\ = \text{invNorm}(0.975)$$

for a confidence interval for  $\mu$  with known  $\sigma$  we have.

$$\bar{x} \pm \underbrace{Z^* \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}_{10}$$

$$1.96 \cdot \frac{30}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 10$$

$$\frac{(1.96)^2 \cdot (30)^2}{n} \leq 100$$

$$n \geq \frac{(1.96)^2 \cdot (30)^2}{100} = 34.5744$$

So Raunak will need to sample 35 people.

3. (2 points) Peter works at a toy panda factory and would like to estimate the mean weight in grams of the factory's toy pandas. he'll sample  $n$  pandas to build a 90% confidence interval for the mean with a margin of error of no more than 15 g. Preliminary data suggests that  $\sigma = 60$  is a reasonable estimate for the standard deviation of these weights.

given  $\sigma$  known  $\sigma = 60$  so C.I. is  
given by:

$$\bar{x} \pm \overbrace{Z^* \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}^{15g}$$

$$Z^* = \text{invNorm}(0.95) = 1.645$$

$$Z^* \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 15$$

$$1.645 \cdot \frac{60}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 15$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{1.645 \cdot 60} \geq \frac{1}{15}$$

$$\sqrt{n} \geq \frac{1.645 \cdot 60}{15}$$

$$n \geq \left( \frac{1.645 \cdot 60}{15} \right)^2 = 43.2964$$

So you must sample  $n = 44$  toy  
Pandas.

4. (2 points) Anika wants to use a one-sample  $z$ -interval to estimate what proportion of voters in a country plan on voting for a certain candidate. She wants the margin of error to be no more than  $\pm 3\%$  at 99% confidence. What is the smallest sample size required to obtain the estimate?

no estimated proportion was proposed,  
so we will use a conservative value  
0.05 which maximizes  $p(1-p)$ .

$$\text{with } \alpha = 0.01, \quad Z^* = \text{invNorm}(0.995) \\ = 2.576$$

we have :

$$\hat{p} \pm Z^* \underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}}_{0.03}$$

$$2.576 \sqrt{\frac{(0.5)^2}{n}} \leq 0.03$$

$$\frac{(0.5)}{\sqrt{n}} \leq 0.03$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(2.576)(0.5)} \geq \frac{1}{(0.03)}$$

$$\sqrt{n} \geq \frac{(2.576)(0.5)}{(0.03)}$$

$$n \geq \left( \frac{(2.576)(0.5)}{(0.03)} \right)^2 = 1843.27$$

so sample at least 1844 people

5. A simple random sample of 34 legendary Pokemon and 28 non-legendary Pokemon have attack means  $\bar{x}_1 = 71.4$ ,  $\bar{x}_2 = 109$ , with  $s_1^2 = 935$ , and  $s_2^2 = 966$ . Is there statistical evidence supporting a significant difference between the true mean of legendary and non-legendary Pokemon?

$$n_1 = 34$$

$$\bar{x}_1 = 71.4$$

$$\underbrace{s_1^2 = 935}_{\text{Legendary}}$$

$$n_2 = 28$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = 109$$

$$\underbrace{s_2^2 = 966}_{\text{Non-Legendary}}$$

Appears I put these backwards as legendary Pokemon appear significantly weaker.

Procedure: 2-sample t-test for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ ,

$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \mu_1 = \mu_2$$

$$H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \mu_1 > \mu_2$$

Conditions: We do have a simple random sample,  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are clearly  $< 10\%$  of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  in this case. we have  $n = 34 > 30$  Legendary Pokemon, but only  $n = 28 < 30$  Non-legendary Pokemon. Note 28 is NOT large enough for CLT to apply. We will assume attacks are normally distributed for non-legendary Pokemon.

• For this example it appears  $S_1 \approx S_2$  so we are using a pooled t-test.

• Using 2-sample T-test on calculator gives:

$$t\text{-calc} = -4.7828 \quad \text{note } df = 60$$

$$p = 0.999 \dots$$

Conclusion: Since we have a very large p-value  $0.999 > \alpha = 0.05$ , there is not

sufficient evidence to reject  $H_0$ .

In other words there is not evidence to suggest that legendary

Pokemon have higher attack values than non-legendary.