

## Part C: Experiments

- a)** For the multi-processes application, I run the code with  $n = 10000000$  lines of randomly generated inputs with a different number of processes while preserving the number of inputs 10000000. I did separate inputs into files in order to create many processes but did not change input  $n$  while doing this. Time elapsed counted in microseconds to get better insight.

(all time elapsed outputs belong to different run)

with 1 process running:

174521 ms

128575 ms

99952 ms

with 2 processes running:

325466 ms

238094 ms

377879 ms

with 4 processes running:

296163 ms

105984 ms

213649 ms

with 8 processes running:

280280 ms

235488 ms

196600 ms

In general, we see the results as we expected. Because my computer has only 1 CPU so additional processes did not speed up the program. I also expected slowdown because of context switches. Even though it is not obvious we can see some slowdown with the increase of process number.

For multi-threaded program

(all time elapsed outputs belong to different run)

with 1 thread running:

99380 ms

160131 ms

159677 ms

with 2 threads running:

160011 ms

166511 ms

209943 ms

with 4 threads running:

216130 ms

780121 ms

221739 ms

with 8 threads running:

143928 ms

837191 ms

303927 ms

again speed up did not occur and program slowdown occurred as number n threads are increasing.

**b)** for 2 processes

with n = 10000000:

504687 ms

830012 ms

723022 ms

with n = 5000000:

325466 ms

238094 ms

377879 ms

with n = 2500000:

271223 ms

124530 ms

147241 ms

with n = 1250000:

174136 ms

384917 ms

224249 ms

By recursion I estimated running time of my algorithm as  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n$  so by substitution theorem run time is  $T(n) = \theta(n \log n)$ . So i expected a speed up for time elapsed while n approaches 0. The program somewhat gave my expectations with the exception of last n input.