

Websites Report

1.Gaming sites

Pubg Vs FreeFire

Pubg

Client side: **JavaScript**

Server side: **PHP**

Framework: **jQuery**

Content Management: **WordPress, Zendesk**

Web Server: **Nginx**

Web Hosting Server: **WP Engine, Zendesk**

Data center provider: **Amazon**

Network Security: **Cloudflare**

Advertising Network: **Google Ads**




FreeFire

Client side: **JavaScript**

Framework: **jQuery**

Web Server: **Nginx**

Web Hosting Server: **Amazon**



- Both Pubg and Freefire are online multiplayer battle royale games.
- Pubg website is designed using Javascript for client side and PHP for server side. On the other hand FreeFire website is designed using Javascript for client side.
- I find Pubg website more attractive than Freefire website because of its unique design. Freefire has more users than pubg but still their website is just as simple as it can.
- The homepage of Pubg site contains their upcoming update features and its release dates, their patch notices and their social media links whereas in Freefire site, they have only provided with their app download link and their latest news.

2. Movie Review Websites

IMDb Vs Rotten Tomatoes

Rotten Tomatoes

Client side: **JavaScript**

Server side: **PHP**


Framework: **Bootstrap, jQuery**

Content Management: **WordPress**

Web Server: **Apache**

Network Security: **Akamai**

Advertising Network: **Google Ads**



IMDb

Client side: **JavaScript**

Server side: **Java, JavaScript**

Framework: **jQuery**


Web Server: **Node.js**

Web Hosting Server: **Amazon**

Data center provider: **Amazon**

Network Security: **Amazon CloudFront**

Advertising Network: **Google Ads**



- Rotten Tomatoes and IMDb are two different websites that are dedicated to movies and films.
- IMDb website seemed more interesting than Rotten Tomatoes to me because IMDb contains all information related to films, television programs and web series including information regarding cast, production crew, fictional characters, plot summaries and reviews. Rotten Tomatoes, on the other hand provides only reviews for movies, TV contents and web contents.
- IMDb provides rating of movies and other content based on reviews of regular users whereas on Rotten Tomatoes ratings are provided based on the opinions of top critics only.

3.Educational Websites:

Britannica Vs Wikipedia

Britannica

Client side: **JavaScript**

Server side: **PHP, Ruby, Java**

Framework: **Bootstrap, jQuery**

Content Management: **WordPress, Shopify**


Web Server: **Cloudflare Server, Nginx, Apache**

Web Hosting Server: **Amazon, Shopify, Salesforce**

Data center provider: **Amazon, Salesforce**

Network Security: **Cloudflare**

Advertising Network: **Google Ads, Amazon Associates, AdRoll**



Wikipedia

Client side: **JavaScript**


Server side: **PHP**

Framework: **Bootstrap**

Content Management: **MediaWiki**

Web Server: **Apache**

Web Hosting Server: **Wikimedia**



- Wikipedia and Britannica are free online encyclopedias. Wikipedia is written and maintained by a community of volunteer contributors using a wiki based editing system whereas The articles in Britannica are written by identifiable and credible scholars only.
- I personally thought that Britannica is underrated because its user interface, design and arrangement of the contents is far better than wikipedia.
- Even Though Britannica is better than wikipedia I didn't enjoy reading using Britannica due to so much ad contents whereas wikipedia was simple, well ordered and ad free.