

1 Virtual memory

1.1 The segmentation approach

1.1-1

Convert 0x71 to binary:

```
0x71 = 0111 0001
```

Then we can know that

- The top 2 bits (01) indicate segment is 01 → Heap segment.
- The lower 6 bits (110001) is 0x31 (decimal 49) is the offset.
- Heap segment size is 50 bytes → valid offsets range from 0 to 49.
- Offset 49 (0x31) is within 0-49, so valid.

Thus, the physical address is:

```
Physical Address = Heap Base + Offset = 0x200 + 0x31 = 0x231
```

Ans: 0x231

1.1-2

```
0x11 = 0001 0001
```

- 00 → Code segment
- offset → 010001 is 0x11 (dec 17)
- Code segment size is 32 bytes → offset is valid

Thus, the physical address is: 0x111 (base) + 0x11 (offset) = 0x122.

Ans: 0x122

1.1-3

- Code segment: from 0x111 (dec 273) up to 0x130 (dec 304) , +31 bytes
- Heap segment: from 0x200 (dec 512) up to 0x231 (dec 561) , +49 bytes

Thus, 0x130 + 1 ~ 0x200 is unused → 207 bytes

Ans: 207 bytes

1.2 The paging approach

1.2-1

TLB is just for speed up, without TLB, it would need an additional memory access to read PDE and PTE

Ans: False

1.2-2

- In PTE: Indicates whether the referenced page is legitimately in physical memory (valid = 1) or not present/invalid (valid = 0). If invalid, an access triggers a page fault or similar exception.
- In TLB entry: Specify if the TLB entry itself is usable for translation. If valid=0, the TLB must ignore that entry.
- In PDE: Indicates whether that portion of the address space has a valid second-level page table.

1.2-3

- linear page table
 - We must have one big page table covering all 4GB.
 - Total pages in the virtual space: $4\text{GB} / 4\text{KB} = 2^{20} = 1,048,576$ pages.
 - Each page requires a PTE of 32-bit long \Rightarrow total page-table size is

$$1,048,576 * 4 \text{ bytes} = 4\text{MB}$$

- 2-level paging
 - page directory: 4 KB
 - contain 1024 PDE
 - each PDE point to an second-level page
 - each second-level page contain 1024 PTE ($2^{12} / 2^2$ bytes)
 - 1 page for code, 1 page for heap, 2 pages for stack
 - 4 pages \Rightarrow can put in only one second-level page
 - Thus, only one PDE is needed, which means only one PDE is valid
 - Thus, total cost is 1 page directory + 1 second-level page:

$$4\text{KB} + 4\text{KB} = 8\text{KB}$$

Thus, the space saving is $4\text{MB} - 8\text{KB}$

Ans: $4\text{MB} - 8\text{KB}$

4,194,304 bytes - 8,192 bytes = 4,186,112 bytes

1.2-4

- num of page = 16KB / 4KB = 4 \Rightarrow top 4 bits are for the VPN.
- page size is 4KB \Rightarrow offset = 12 bits \Rightarrow 16 ~ 12 bits are for the VPN.

1.2-4-(a)

0x012a = 0001 0010 1010

- Split the address:
 - offset = lower 12 bits = 0001 0010 1010 (decimal 298).
 - VPN = upper 4 bits = (0x012a >> 12) = 0x0.
- Look for VPN=0x0 and ASID=0 with valid=1
 - We find VPN=0x0, PFN=0x10, valid=1, prot=rw-, ASID=0
- Construct the physical address:
 - PFN=0x10 \Rightarrow PFN = 0x10 = 0001 0000.
 - Physical address = (PFN << 12) + offset.
 - PFN << 12 = 0001 0000 0000 0000 0000 = 0x10000
 - Add offset 0x12a \Rightarrow 0x10000 + 0x12a = 0x1012a.

Answer: 0x1012a

1.2-4-(b)

16 KB virtual-address \Rightarrow 0x0000 ~ 0x3FFF

Thus, 0x5123 is out of range

Ans: Segmentation fault

1.3

ChatGPT:

- Segmentation
 - Pros: Can match logical program units (code, data, stack) naturally; can reduce internal fragmentation if each segment matches exact size.
 - Cons: External fragmentation is likely; each segment must be allocated contiguously in physical memory; can cause segmentation faults if offset is out of range.
- Paging
 - Pros: Eliminates external fragmentation; simpler memory allocation in fixed-size pages; easy to move pages around or swap them out.
 - Cons: Internal fragmentation (the last part of each page may go unused); multi-level page tables can become large and add overhead (extra memory lookups); TLB misses add latency.

ChatGPT typically covers the main conceptual advantages and disadvantage, maybe it can discuss more deeply like hybrid approach and the real-world usage

2 Working with xv6

Modify the `runcmd` function in `sh.c`:

```
case BACK:
    bcmd = (struct backcmd*)cmd;
    if(fork1() == 0) {
        // Double-fork to run the actual background command.
        int bgpid = fork1();
        if(bgpid == 0) {
            // Grandchild: execute the actual background job.
            runcmd(bcmd->cmd);
            exit(0);
        }

        // Reaper child: wait for the grandchild (the real bg job).
        wait(0);

        // Now the background command has finished. Print the done message:
        // We know the PID was 'bgpid'.
        // For the NAME, we just re-print the first argument of bcmd->cmd
        (common approach).
        // If bcmd->cmd is an execcmd, we can cast and read its argv[0].
        int silent = 0;
        char *progrname = 0;
        if(bcmd->cmd->type == EXEC) {
            struct execcmd *ec = (struct execcmd*)bcmd->cmd;
            if(ec->argv[0]) {
                progrname = ec->argv[0];

                if(strcmp(progrname, "osleep") == 0) {
                    // Looking for -s
                    for(int i = 1; ec->argv[i] != 0; i++){
                        if(strcmp(ec->argv[i], "-s") == 0){
                            silent = 1;
                            break;
                        }
                    }
                }
            }
        }

        if(!silent)
            printf("%d Done %s\n", bgpid, progrname);
    }
}
```

Just do one more fork to generate an process to handle the print usage. Generally, it just wait it and get the PID and program name from the `bcmd->cmd`. I think the command should always be `EXEC` type, but not 100% sure though.

There is an flag `silent` to determine if the `printf` should be execute, it would only be unflagged for the command `osleep -s time &`.

For the `osleep` command:

```
#include "kernel/types.h"
#include "kernel/stat.h"
#include "user/user.h"
#include "kernel/fcntl.h"

int
main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    // Expect usage:
    //  osleep -s <seconds> &
    // or
    //  osleep -v <seconds> &
    // or
    //  osleep <seconds> &    (choose a default mode, e.g. silent)
    if(argc < 2){
        fprintf(2, "Usage: osleep [-s | -v] <seconds>\n");
        exit(1);
    }

    int timeIndex = 1;
    if((strcmp(argv[1], "-s") == 0) || (strcmp(argv[1], "-v") == 0)){
        if(argc < 3){
            fprintf(2, "Usage: osleep [-s | -v] <seconds>\n");
            exit(1);
        }
        timeIndex = 2;
    }

    int ticks = atoi(argv[timeIndex]);
    if(ticks < 1){
        fprintf(2, "osleep: invalid sleep time\n");
        exit(1);
    }

    sleep(ticks);
    exit(0);
}
```

The implmentation was simple, just parse the command, find the `-s` and `-v` flag, then call `sleep`.