voltage of 175 V. The instrument state mass range was set to 1700 m/z with 4 GHz digitalization rate, which leads to a mass resolution of 40 000 (measured at m/z 1521). Mass spectra were acquired in profile mode over a m/z range of 100 - 1700 by 1 Hz in scan and 3 Hz in MS/MS mode. The auto-MS/MS experiment was selected using the 20 most abundant precursor ions per cycle with activated precursor abundance-based scan speed. The quadrupole precursor ion isolation width was set to medium (~ 4 m/z) with a dynamic collision energy based as a function of the m/z value (slop = 3, offset = 2). Active exclusion of a precursor mass was one repeat and release after 15 seconds. The system was controlled with MassHunter Data Acquisition B.08.01 service pack 1 (Agilent Technologies, USA).

## Protein identification

The raw data files were processed with Mascot Distiller 2.7 (Matrix Science, UK) to generate a peak list. A database search to identify proteins was conducted using an in-house Mascot Server 2.6 (Matrix Science, UK) against the *Apis mellifera* sequence database (15323 protein sequences of *Apis mellifera*, downloaded in November 2018 from Uniprot.org) and sequences for common protein contaminants (cRAP, downloaded from thegpm.org). The search parameters were: enzyme trypsin, maximum missed cleavages 2, fixed modification carbamidomethylation of cysteine and variable modification oxidation of methionine. Peptide mass tolerance window was set to 7 ppm and fragment ion mass tolerance to 0.70 Da. The received search results were sent to the Scaffold software 4.7.3 (Proteome Software, USA). The loaded results were searched against a second search engine X! Tandem (thegpm.org, version Cyclon 2010.12.01.1) to increase confidence. The Local FDR algorithm with 95 % probability was used for validating peptide identifications. The Protein Prophet algorithm with 99.0 % probability was used for protein identification, and contained at least 2 identified peptides (Nesvizhskii et al. 2003).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved

Vitellogenin identification by Western Blot

Immunoblots were performed according to the Licor protocols (https://www.licor.com/bio/applications/quantitative\_western\_blots/protocol.html) with slight modifications. For immunoblots, size-separated proteins were electrophoretically transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham<sup>TM</sup> Protran® Western blotting membranes, 0.45 μm: GE10600002 or 0.2 μm: GE10600004) using either Bjerrum Schafer-Nielsen Buffer with SDS (48 mM Tris, 39 mM glycine, 20% methanol (pH 9.2), 0.1% SDS) with constant current of 140 mA for 1h 30 min (OWL Device) or Pierce 1-Step Transfer Buffer with constant high current of 1.3-2.5 A for 12 min (Pierce<sup>TM</sup> Power Blotter). To control the transfer efficiency, the membrane was briefly rinsed with water after transfer, and incubated for 5 min in Revert Total Protein Stain, rinsed twice for 30 sec in washing solution (Licor: 926-11015) before acquiring the Revert total stain signal in the 700 nm channel using the Licor Odyssey® CLx Imaging System. The membranes were then incubated in Licor Blocking buffer (927-40100) for 1h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C with agitation. After addition of 0.2% Tween-20, the membranes were incubated with the polyclonal antibody against vitellogenin at a 1:7000 dilution (Davids Biotechnologie Regensburg) for 1 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C with agitation. After 3 washes with PBS-T (0.2% Tween 20) the membranes were incubated for 45 min at room temperature in secondary antibody solution (IRDye® 800CW Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H + L), 1:40.000 in Licor blocking buffer diluted 1:1 with PBS-T). After 3 washes with PBS-T (0.2% Tween 20) the vitellogenin specific signals were acquired in the 800 nm channel. The detected fluorescence signals were quantified using NIH software Image J (Christen et al. 2007). The intensity of vitellogenin bands was normalized against the intensity of the uncharacterized Apis mellifera protein, which is also detected by the antibody. Although not specified, this protein was well suited as a loading control, due to the fact that this protein is stably expressed in all analysed tissues. Moreover, there was a lack of available commercial antibodies, which are specific for honey bees and antibodies against proteins of other species may lack cross-reactivity. This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved