

# Parser Combinators

Guide to Chapter 9 of Pilquist/Chiusano/Bjarnason

# What do we learn from Chapter 9?

- How to **use** a parser combinator library?
- Specify a simple language (JSON) **using** a grammar and regexes
- **Design** an internal DSL for expressing grammars in Scala
- Implement a Program Expression Grammar
- Separating **design** from implementations

The yellow skills are more advanced,  
but the blue one are most often useful.

# Key Concepts in Chapter 9

- Algebraic design, algebra (type, operators, and laws)
- Full abstraction of a type
- Type constructor
- Higher-kind, higher-kinded polymorphism
- Structure-preserving map (the structure preservation law)
- Internal DSL

All of these are well hidden in the chapter (some not named explicitly), so make sure you identify them after class.

# Input data in JSON format

(this is an example in concrete syntax of JSON; basically a character string)

```
{  
  "Company name" : "Microsoft",  
  "Ticker" : "MSFT",  
  
  "Active" : true,  
  "Price" : 30.66,  
  "Shares outstanding" : 8.38e9,  
  "Related companies" :  
    [ "HPQ", "IBM", "YHOO", "DELL", "GOOG", ],  
}
```

# The Example in JSON's Abstract Syntax

(no longer a string, but a structured Scala object)

```
val json = JObject(Map(  
  "Shares outstanding" -> JNumber(8.38E9),  
  "Price" -> JNumber(30.66),  
  "Company name" -> JString("Microsoft"),  
  "Related companies" -> JArray(  
    Vector(JString("HPQ"), JString("IBM"),  
      JString("YH00"), JString("DELL"),  
      JString("GOOG"))),  
  "Ticker" -> JString("MSFT"),  
  "Active" -> JBool(true)))
```

# Abstract Syntax for JSON

(the types of what we want to obtain from the input, using a parser)

```
enum JSON
  case JNull
  case JNumber(get: Double)
  case JString(get: String)
  case JBool(get: Boolean)
  case JArray(get: IndexedSeq[JSON])
  case JObject(get: Map[String, JSON])
```

# Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

# Algebraic Design

- **Algebraic design**: *design your interface first, along with associated laws. Use the types and laws to refactor and evolve the interface.*
- We are using types heavily, **designing the API with types**, compiling and trying expressions.
- Since **laws are properties**, they are **tests** (property tests). This is a form of test-driven development (TDD), or test-first development.



# Algebraic Design, Full Abstraction, Higher Kinds

(the API/Interface first; separation of design & Implementation)

These types are **abstracted fully**;  
We work without deciding how  
they are implemented.  
We only typecheck & compile!

```
trait Parsers[ParseError, Parser[+_]]:  
  def char(c: Char): Parser[Char]  
  def string(c: String): Parser[String]  
  
  extension [A](p: Parser[A])  
    def run(input: String): Either[ParseError, A]  
    def or(p2: Parser[A]): Parser[A]
```

This is a higher kind (a type  
that is polymorphic in type  
constructors not in types!)

This is a type (variable)

This is a type constructor (variable).  
This particular variable must be instantiated  
with a covariant type constructor.

# Algebraic Design

(laws, aka tests)

```
forAll { (c: Char) => char(c).run(c.toString) == Right(c) }
```

```
forAll { (s: String) => string(s).run(s) == Right(s) }
```

```
forAll { (s1: String, s2: String) =>  
    val p = string(s1).or(string(s2))  
    p.run(s1) == Right(s1)  
    p.run(s2) == Right(s2) }
```

...

You can make such tests compile, before you have the implementation of parsers!

# Map is structure preserving

Consider two new combinators:

```
extension [A](p: Parser[A])  
  def many: Parser[List[A]]  
  def map[B](f: A => B): Parser[B]
```

Example:

`char('a').many.map { _.size }` ← What does this parser produce (menti) ?

Law:

`p.map(a => a) == p` // for any parser p

This means that map is **structure preserving**  
(it only changes values produced, so with identity there is no change at all).

# Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

# Parsing Combinators: TOKENS for JSON

(We build a parser combinator language in which we can specify the translation)

```
val QUOTED: Parser[String] =  
  regex("\"\"\"([^\"]*)\"\"\".r)  
    .map { _.dropRight(1).substring(1) }
```

```
val DOUBLE: Parser[Double] =  
  regex("\"\"\"(\\+|-)?[0-9]+(\\. [0-9]+((e|E)(-|\\+)?[0-9]+)?)?\"\"\".r)  
    .map { _.toDouble }
```

```
val ws: Parser[Unit] =  
  regex("\"\"\"\\s+\"\"\".r).map { _ => () }
```

# Parsing JSON start symbol

```
lazy val json : Parser[JSON] =  
  ws.? |* { jstring | jobject | jarray |  
    jnull | jnumber | jbool }
```

- | is choice, delegates to 'or'
- ? means optional, also known as 'opt'
- \* | is sequencing & drop the right side when building AST  
( ' x \* | y ' is syntactic sugar for ' (x \*\* y).map { \_.\_1 } '
- Laziness allows recursive rules (like in EBNF)

# Turn terminals into AST leaves

```
val jnull: Parser[JSON] =  
  string("null") |* ws.? |* succeed(JNull)
```

```
val jbool: Parser[JBool] =  
  (string("true") |* ws.? |* succeed(JBool(true))) |  
  (string("false") |* ws.? |* succeed(JBool(false)))
```

```
val jstring: Parser[JString] =  
  { QUOTED *| ws.? }.map { JString(_) }
```

```
val jnumber: Parser[JNumber] =  
  { DOUBLE *| ws.? }.map { JNumber(_) }
```

# Parse complex values

[simplified to fit on a slide]

```
lazy val jarray: Parser[JArray] =  
  { char('[') |* ws.? |* (json *| char(',',') |* ws.? ) .*  
    *| "]" *| ws.? }.map { l => JArray(l.toVector) }
```

```
lazy val field: Parser[(String, JSON)] =  
  QUOTED *| ws.? *| char(':',') *| ws.? ** json *| char(',',') *| ws.?
```

```
lazy val jobject: Parser[JObject] =  
  { char('{') |* ws.? |* field.* *| char('}') *| ws.? }  
    .map { l => JObject(l.toMap) }
```



# Parser Combinators

(AKA PEGs = Program Expression Grammars)

- Good for ad hoc jobs, parsing when regexes does not suffice
- Very lightweight as a dependency, no change to build process
- More expressive than generator-based tools (Turing complete)
- In standard libraries of many modern languages
- Error reporting weaker during parsing (but fpinscala does a good job)
- Usually slower than generated parsers (and use more memory), unless implemented at compile time (but parboiled2!)
- Typically no support for debugging grammars

# Internal Domain Specific Languages

(Parser Combinators are one example)

- Parser Combinators are a language (loosely similar to EBNF)
- Slogan: internal DSL is syntactic sugar of host language
- No external tools, pure Scala (or another host), no magic involved

# Let's analyze an expression

```
QUOTED *| char(':',') ** json *| char(',')
```

*// parser producing a field*

earlier:

```
QUOTED : Parser[String] // parser producing a String
```

but

```
extension (p: Parser[A]) def *|(p2: Parser[Any]): Parser[A]
```

so

```
ext1(p=QUOTED).*|char(':','): Parser[String]
```

and also we have (an alias for product)

```
extension (p: Parser[A]) def **[B](p2: Parser[B]): Parser[(A, B)]
```

so

```
ext2(p=ext1(p=QUOTED).*|char(':',')).product(json): Parser[(String,JSON)]
```

and the extension with \*| already used above gives:

```
ext1(p=ext2(p=ext1(p=QUOTED).*|char(':',')).product(json)).*|(char(','))  
  : Parser[(String,JSON)]
```

# What did we use to build this DSL

- Polymorphic types (that check syntax of our programs), for instance:  
`extension [A] (p: Parser[A]) *| : Parser[B] => Parser[A]`
- Function values: `type Parser[+A] = ParseState => Result[A]`
- We could've used automatic conversions (from string, char, regex)
- Calls to unary methods without period (infix ops are methods of ParserOps)
- `string(":") ** json` is really `string(":").**(json)`  
(which delegates to the right extension)
- Math symbols as names, eg: `?, |, *|, *|, *`, etc  
(btw. Scala allows unicode identifiers, used in scalaz/cats internal DSLs)
- Ability to drop parentheses on calls to nullary methods  
`ws.?` translates to `ws.?( )` (which delegates to `ws.opt` )
- Used Scala's parentheses, braces, etc as elements of our DSL

# Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

# Running the parser

- We need to implement a `Parsers.run` method

```
extension [A] (p: Parser[A])  
  def run(input: String): Either[ParseError, A]
```

- Then we call a parser as follows

```
(string("abra") | string("cadabra")).run("abra")
```

```
(string("abra") | string("cada")).run("abra") == Right ("abra")
```

```
(string("abra") | string("cada")).run("Xbra") == Left (ParseError(...))
```

# Implementing a simple run

```
type Parser[+A] = Location => Result[A]

extension [A](p: Parser[A])
def run(input: String): Either[ParseError, A] =
  p (Location(input, 0)) match
  case Success(a, n) => Right(a)
  case Failure(err, _) => Left(err)
```

# Implementing a concrete parser

(simplified slightly for presentation, exercises use a more advanced representation)

```
def string(s: String): Parser[String] = loc =>
  if loc.curr.startsWith (s) then
    Success(s, s.size)
  else
    val seen = loc.curr
      .substring (0, min(loc.curr.size, s.size))
    Failure(s"expected '$s' but seen '$seen'")
```



# Implementing an operator/combinator

(slightly simplified for presentation, flatMap strikes back)

```
extension [A](p: Parser[A])
def flatMap[B](f: A => Parser[B]): Parser[B] = loc =>
  p(loc) match
    case Success(a, n) => f(a)(loc.advanceBy(n))
    case e @ Failure(_, _) => e
```

# Implementing an operator/combinator

(slightly simplified for presentation, a more complex variant used in the exercises)

```
extension [A](p: Parser[A])  
def or(p2: => Parser[A]): Parser[A] =  
  loc => p(loc) match  
    case Failure(_) => p2(loc)  
    case r => r
```

# Agenda

1. Running Example: parsing JSON
2. Design patterns, concepts, and principles
3. Parsing JSON (review of the combinators)
4. Implementing a concrete parser (somewhat less important)
5. Parsing libraries in programming languages

# Parsing Libraries

## Java

Parser Generators                      ANTLR, JavaCC, Rats!, APG, ...

Parser Combinators                  Parboiled, PetitParser

## Scala

Parser Generators                    ? (parboiled2)

Parser Combinators                  Scala parser combinators (previously Scalalib), parboiled2 (technically also a generator), fastparse

## JavaScript

Parser Generators                    ANTLR, Jison

Parser Combinators                  Bennu, Parjs, and Parsimmon

## C#

Parser Generators                    ANTLR, APG

Parser Combinators                  Pidgin, superpower, parseq

## C++

Parser Generators                    ANTLR, APG, boost meta-parse (?), boost spirit (?)

Parser Combinators                  Cpp-peglib, pcomb, boost meta-parse, boost spirit, Parser-Combinators

# Conclusion

(what you need to get from this week)

- Algebraic design, algebra (type, operators, and laws)
- Full abstraction of a type
- Type constructor
- Higher-kind, higher-kinded polymorphism
- Structure-preserving map (the structure preservation law)
- Internal DSL, fluent interface
- ... and parser combinators 😊