



 @AndrzejWasowski


Andrzej Wąsowski

Advanced Programming

Partial Computations (Option)

IT UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

S SOFTWARE
Q QUALITY
R RESEARCH

- 
- Primary Constructors
 - Dynamic Virtual Dispatch
 - Variance of Type Parameters
 - Option
 - Programming without pattern matching!
 - For comprehensions
 - In the next episode ...



AGENDA

The Primary Constructor

```
1 class Person(val name: String, val age: Int):  
2     println("Just constructed a person")  
3     def description =  
4         s"$name is $age years old"
```


```
1 class Person {  
2     private String name;  
3     private int age;  
4     public String name() { return name; }  
5     public int age() { return age; }  
6  
7     public Person(String name, int age) {  
8         this.name = name;  
9         this.age = age;  
10        System.out.println("Just constructed a person");  
11    }  
12  
13    public String description ()  
14    { return name + "is " + age + " years old"; }  
15 }
```

- Parameters become fields
- 'val' parameters become values, 'var' become variables
- If no parameter list, primary constructor takes none
- Constructor initializes fields and executes top-level statements of the class
- Like for all functions, parameters can take default values, reducing the need for overloading
- Note: primary constructors are used with case classes
- Known from F# and C# as well

Mentimeter: Dynamic Dispatch in Java

8785 1451

```
1 class Printable          { void hello() { print ("printable "); }}
2 class Triangle extends Printable{ void hello() { print ("triangle ");}}
3 class Square extends Printable { void hello() { print ("square "); }}
4 ...
5 Square x = new Square ()
6 Printable y = new Triangle ()
7 x.hello ();
8 ((Printable)x).hello ();
9 y.hello ();
10 ((Printable)y).hello ();
```



- printable printable printable printable
- square printable triangle printable
- square printable printable printable
- square square triangle triangle
- square square printable printable
- The program will crash, or fail to type check

**In Scala,
like in Python, JavaScript, and Java,
all instance methods are virtual
(dynamically dispatched)
unlike in C# and C++**

Programs as data Higher-order functions, polymorphic types, and type inference

Niels Hallenberg

Thursday 2019-09-17

Originally by Peter Sestoft

Variance in type parameters

- Assume Student subtype of Person

```
void PrintPeople(IEnumerable<Person> ps) { ... }
```

```
IEnumerable<Student> students = ...;  
PrintPeople(students);
```

Java and C# 3 say
NO: Ill-typed!

- C# 3 and Java:
 - A generic type is *invariant* in its parameter
 - I<Student> is *not* subtype of I<Person>
- Co-variance (co=with):
 - I<Student> is subtype of I<Person>
- Contra-variance (contra=against):
 - I<Person> is subtype of I<Student>

Co-/contra-variance is unsafe in general

- Co-variance is unsafe in general

```
List<Student> ss = new List<Student>();  
List<Person> ps = ss;  
ps.Add(new Person(...));  
Student s0 = ss[0];
```

Wrong!

Because would allow
writing Person to
Student list

- Contra-variance is unsafe in general

```
List<Person> ps = ...;  
List<Student> ss = ps;  
Student s0 = ss[0];
```

Wrong!

Because would allow
reading Student from
Person list

- But:
 - co-variance OK if we *only read (output)* from list
 - contra-variance OK if we *only write (input)* to list

Co-variance in interfaces (C# 4)

- When an `I<T>` only produces/*outputs* `T`'s, it is safe to use an `I<Student>` where an `I<Person>` is expected
- This is co-variance
- Co-variance is declared with the `out` modifier

```
interface IEnumerable<out T> {  
    IEnumerator<T> GetEnumerator();  
}  
interface IEnumerator<out T> {  
    T Current { get; }  
}
```

- Type `T` can be used only in *output* position; e.g. not as method argument (input)

Contra-variance in interfaces (C# 4)

- When an $I<T>$ only consumes/*inputs* T' 's, it is safe to use an $I<Person>$ where an $I<Student>$ is expected
- This is contra-variance
- Contra-variance is declared with **in** modifier

```
interface IComparer<in T> {  
    int Compare(T x, T y);  
}
```

OK! – a
Compare method
working on Persons
will also work on
Students.

- Type T can be used only in *input* position;
e.g. not as method return type (output)


Variance of Type Parameters (condensed, in Scala)

- Write `A <: B` to say that A is a **subtype** of B (values of A fit where Bs are expected)
- **Example:** if class A extends a class B then `A <: B`. Same for traits.
- Assume a generic type `T[B]`;
B is a **covariant** parameter of T if for each `A <: B` we have that `T[A] <: T[B]`
So we can use `T[A]` values where `T[B]`s are expected
- In Scala write `T[+B]` to specify that B is a covariant type parameter (so + is out in C#).
- Covariance common in pure programs. Scala lists are covariant (`List[+B]`).
- A is a **contra-variant** parameter of T if whenever `A <: B` we have that `T[B] <: T[A]`
- Contra-variance is needed if A is send in subsequently.
In Scala, write `T[-A]` to specify contra-variance (- is in of C#)
- **Invariance** means that there is no automatic subtypes of generic type T;
Invariance is default in Scala (when you omit the `-/+`), like in C#
- Java and C# generics **also** support variance of type parameters. F# has no variance.
- Java has covariant arrays (problem). Scala has invariant arrays.

Why arrays shouldn't be covariant: <http://stackoverflow.com/questions/6684493/why-are-arrays-invariant-but-lists-covariant>
[Odersky et al. 2014, Chpt. 19] explains variance annotations in Scala in detail

The Problem with Covariance of Java Arrays

```
1 class A {};  
2 class B extends A {};  
3 class C extends A {};  
4  
5 class Variance {  
6  
7     static void problem () {  
8         B[] b = { new B() };  
9         A[] a = b;  
10        a[0] = new C();  
11    }  
12 };
```



- All type checks compile
- Runtime type error in line 11. Why?
- Not always covariance is desired.
- Covariance is good, for **immutable containers** storing elements of the parameter type.

Code in `option/src/main/java/adpro/variance/Variance.java` and the corresponding test in `option/src/test/scala/adpro/variance/VarianceSpec.scala`

Contra-variance


```
1 class Cell[-T](init: T):  
2   private var current = init  
3   def get = current  
4   def set(x: T) { current = x }  
5  
6 object Cell:  
7   val c1: Cell[String] = Cell[String]("abc")  
8   val c2: Cell[Any] = c1  
9   c2.set(1)  
10  val s: String = c1.get
```

- **If Cell covariant:** l. 9 would assign a string to integer (like with our Java example)
- Can do things to Cell[Any] that we cannot do to Cell[String] (assigning a number)
- Scala compiler detects this in l. 4 (T used in a contravariant position, on a value that will be assigned). It detects the **wrong design** of the Cell (if covariant).
- So Cell **contravariant**, note the [-T] annotation.
- Compiler flags the assignment in l. 8 (**wrong use** of the Cell)

Mentimeter: Variance of Type Parameters

8785 1451

```
1 abstract class A
2
3 abstract class B extends A
4
5 // Will the following code type check if T is
6 // (a) invariant,
7 // (b) covariant,
8 // (c) contravariant ?
9
10 val T[A] = new T[B]
```


- 
- A background image of a modern building interior with multiple levels, glass railings, and a bright, open space. The image is slightly faded and serves as a backdrop for the slide content.
- Primary Constructors
 - Dynamic Virtual Dispatch
 - Variance of Type Parameters
 - Option
 - Programming without pattern matching!
 - For comprehensions
 - In the next episode ...

Three squares of different shades of red and pink, arranged horizontally.

AGENDA

Partial Functions

A **function** $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a binary relation on sets A and B such that for every $a \in A$ there exists precisely one such $b \in B$ that $(a, b) \in f$.



- A function f is **total**, if for each $a \in A$ there exists a $b \in B$ such that $f(a) = b$
- A function is **partial** otherwise.

- **Computations are functions**
- If an argument value for a call is **illegal** (crash, exception) then **partial function**
- Object-oriented languages handle partiality with **exceptions**
- Advantage: handle the missing values **separately**, not mixing with the main logics implementation. This is valuable
- Scala has exceptions, but we don't use them in this course. **Why?**
- Need another way to handle partiality, but **keep the main advantage of exceptions**
- Idea: store the result in a special value by **growing the domain**, to contain the failure, and provide an API for handling failures non-locally.


Example (Option)

```
1 def mean(xs: List[Double]): Double = xs match
2   case Nil => throw new ArithmeticException ("empty list")
3   case _   => xs.sum / xs.length
4           // _.sum and _.length are standard library methods on sequences
```

What is the domain and co-domain of the function above?

```
5 enum Option[+A]:
6   case Some(get: A)
7   case None
```

```
8 def mean (xs: List[Double]): Option[Double] =
9   xs match
10    case Nil => None
11    case _   => Some(xs.sum / xs.length)
```



Referentially transparent (!), but we still need to figure out how to **defer** error processing (like with exception handling)

Option in the Standard Library Methods (Examples)

How other types use Option


- Option is defined in the standard library
- In the course we make our own implementation for pedagogical purposes.
- `trait Map[K, +V]`
 - `def get(key: K): Option[V]`
Optionally returns the value associated with a key
 - `def find(p: ((K, V)) => Boolean): Option[(K, V)]`
Finds the first element of the collection satisfying a predicate, if any
- `class List[+A]`
 - `def headOption: Option[A]` — Optionally selects the first element.
 - `def lastOption: Option[A]` — Optionally selects the last element.

Option API

What Option itself offers

```
1 enum Option[+A]:
2   def map[B](f: A => B): Option[B]
3   def flatMap[B](f: A => Option[B]): Option[B]
4   def filter(f: A => Boolean): Option[A]
5   def getOrElse[B >: A](default: => B): B
```

- Implement these functions in **homework** exercises
- Let's try using them (2 × Mentimeter 8785 1451)
 - `List(1,2,3).headOption.map { _ / 10.0 } ?`
 - `List().headOption.map { _ / 10.0 } ?`
- An interesting type parameter on `getOrElse`, with a **constraint** on B
 - Get a value of **any type** B from an `Option[A]`, if B is a **super-type** of A (so implicit upcasting, as needed)
 - Another case of interesting interplay between object-oriented and functional programming type systems



`getOrElse` type constraint

Localized Error Handling in the Option Monad

```
1 list.headOption
2   .map { _ / 10.0 }
3   .map { _ + 2 }
4   .flatMap { something that can fail }
5   .map { something that cannot fail }
6   ...
```

- A **failure** can occur in line 1 (or in line 4)
- The entire code is written **ignoring** a possible failure, like with exceptions
- All the computation steps are **in the Option monad** (informal for now)
- Handling the error is done **arbitrarily far** (maybe in a different function) by deciding what to do, if None is received.
- A default or error value (like -1 in C) can be injected with `getOrElse`:

```
6   ...
7   .getOrElse(-1)
```

What does this compute?

Mentimeter 8785 1451


```
1 List(2,3,4)
2   .headOption
3   .filter { _ % 2 == 0 }
4   .map { _ / 2 }
```

Either: Failures with diagnostic info

Recall that exceptions carry failure data objects

```
1 enum Either[+E,+A]:  
2   case Left(value: E)  
3   case Right(value: A)
```

- Two type parameters: left (error, E) and right (aka correct, value, A)
- Mnemonic: right is synonym for correct, which is a synonym for successful



If you need to **grow** the **failure** info along the call-stack fashion, then E should be a **collection**, for instance a `Either[List[Msg]]`, where `Msg` is the error message type.

For-Yield Comprehensions

```
1 // the original input
2 Some(4)
3 .flatMap { x1 => (if x1%2==0 then Some(x1/2) else None)
4   .flatMap { x2 => Some(x2 + 1)
5     .map { x3 => x3.toString } } }
```

```
1 // break lines differently
2 Some(4).flatMap { x1 =>
3   (if x1%2==0 then Some(x1/2) else None).flatMap { x2 =>
4     Some(x2 + 1).map { x3 =>
5       x3.toString } } }
```

```
1 // flush right
2
3   Some(4).flatMap { x1 =>
4     (if x1%2==0 then Some(x1/2) else None).flatMap { x2 =>
5       Some (x2+1).map { x3 =>
6         x3.toString } } }
```

- For-`yield` comprehensions correspond to Haskell's `do`-notation or F# computation expressions.
- Work for any type with `map` and `flatMap`
- Other functions (like `filter`) also integrated
- Not to be confused with other uses of `for` in Scala (mostly impure loops iterating over collections)

```
1 for
2   x1 <- Some(4)
3   x2 <- if x1%2==0 then Some(x1/2) else None
4   x3 <- Some(x2+1)
5 yield x3.toString
```

List is also a monad

For-comprehensions work on lists, too

```
1 for
2   x <- List(3, 4, 5)
3   incremented = x + 1
4   duplicated <- List(incremented, incremented)
5   if incremented % 2 == 0
6 yield duplicated // map identity
```

- **Mentimeter 8785 1451:** What is the result of the above?
- **Exercise:** Rewrite the above code using map and flatMap.
- '`<-`' translates to flatMap
- '`=`' translates to map
- `if` translates to filter
- '`yield`' translates to map




F# has a limited form of for-yield

```
1 // F#
2 seq {
3   for x in 1..10 do
4     yield x                               // map
5     yield! seq { for i in 1..x -> i } // flatMap
6 }
```

- Exercise: translate this to Scala using for-yield and using just map/flatMap?
- Key difference: F# supports this **only for sequences**,
- Scala for-yield is **general**, for any **user defined type** that supports monadic API (flatMap and map, and ...)
- **Generators** are a similar construct in Python; Also the **with** blocks resemble monadic programming (but are not strictly such)

Source of the example: <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/dotnet/fsharp/language-reference/sequences>, where you will also find more about F# sequences.

- 
- A background image of a modern building interior with multiple levels, glass railings, and a bright, open space. The image is slightly faded and serves as a backdrop for the slide content.
- Primary Constructors
 - Dynamic Virtual Dispatch
 - Variance of Type Parameters
 - Option
 - Programming without pattern matching!
 - For comprehensions
 - In the next episode ...

Three squares of different shades of red and pink, arranged horizontally.

AGENDA

In the next episode ...

- We implement a **lazy list library**
- A very **nice week**, **beautiful** ideas, **simple** but **powerful** API
- We learn **call-by-name** and **laziness**
- **Happy reading!** and **See you next week!**