

a.)
$$f(n) = n^2 + 7n$$
 and $g(n) = n^3 + 7$

Take the limit of $f(n)/g(n)$
 $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{n^2 + 7n}{n^3 + 7} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$

Since $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$, $g(n)$ is upper bound for $f(n)$.

b-)
$$f(n) = 12n + \log_2 n^2$$
 and $g(n) = n^2 + 6n$

((*) Take the limit of $f(n)/g(n)$

(*) $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{12n + 2\log_2 n}{n^2 + 6n} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{h(12 + 2\log_2 n)}{h(12 + 6n)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{(12 + 2\log_2 n)}{1 + 6n}$

As you can see from the graph when n approaches to ∞ $yn^2(yh)$ grows faster than $l_{yy}n^2(f(n))$. Therefore, the result of $l_{yy}n^2 = 0$. So that f(n) = O(g(n))

(-)
$$f(n) = n \cdot \log_2 3n$$
 and $g(n) = n + \log_2 (8 \cdot n^3)$

Take the limit of $\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}$

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{n \log_2 3n}{n + \log_2 (8n^3)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{n! \left(\frac{\log_2 3n}{n^2}\right)}{n! \left(\frac{\log_2 3n}{n^2}\right)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log_2 3n}{\log_2 n^3}$$

Note the limit of $\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}$

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{n \log_2 3n}{n + \log_2 (8n^3)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log_2 3n}{\log_2 n^3} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log_2 3n}{\log_2 n^3}$$

 $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\log_2 3n}{3\log_2 n} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{n \cdot \log_2 3n}{3\log_2 n} = \infty.$ We know that n logn grows foster than approaches to ∞ . Since f(n) grows foster than g(n) the limit will be ∞ . $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$

Take the limit of
$$\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}$$
 of $\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}$ of $\frac{f(n)}{g(n)$

We know that n^n grows faster than 2^n . Therefore, f(n) will grow faster than g(n) so that the $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)}$ will be ∞ $f(n) = \Omega\left(g(n)\right)$. g(n) is a lower bund

(e)
$$f(n) = \sqrt[3]{2n}$$
 and $g(n) = \sqrt{3n}$
Take the limit of $\frac{f(n)}{g(n)}$

 $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \frac{3\sqrt{2n}}{\sqrt{3n}} = \frac{3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{3n}}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{n}}$, lets ignore the constant, the limit becomes

 $\lim_{N \to \omega} \frac{\sqrt[3]{n}}{\sqrt{n}} \lim_{N \to \omega} \frac{n^{1/3}}{n^{1/2}} = \lim_{N \to \omega} \frac{1}{n^{1/6}} = \frac{1}{\infty} = 0$ $\int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \operatorname{grows faster than } \sqrt[3]{n}$ $\int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \operatorname{dim} \frac{n^{1/3}}{n^{1/2}} = \lim_{N \to \omega} \frac{1}{n^{1/6}} = \frac{1}{\infty} = 0$ $\int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \operatorname{grows faster than } \sqrt[3]{n}$ $\int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \operatorname{dim} \frac{n^{1/3}}{n^{1/2}} = \lim_{N \to \omega} \frac{1}{n^{1/6}} = \frac{1}{\infty} = 0$ $\int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{n} \operatorname{grows faster than } \sqrt{n}$

f(n) = O(g(n)), g(n) is upper bound for f(n)

static void method A (string names []) {
for (int i = 0; i < names.length; i+t) O(n)
System.out.println (names [i]); O(1)
}

a me

3

 $T(n) = O(n^2)$

T(n) = O(n)

d-) static void method (int numbers []) {
 int i = 0
 while (numbers [i] < 4) O(n)
 System. out. println (numbers [i++]); O(1)

3

All the numbers in the int numbers array could be smaller than 4 arsince we are trying to find worst case scenario. Newill take into account that scenario. Travelse

$$T(n) = O(n)$$

3-) I assumed the length of my Array is A Static void without Loop (int [] my Array) {

int i=0;

System. out . println (my Arroy [i++]);

Steps/	freq	total
11	1	1
31	1	1 1 1
1	1	mes
\ '	1	
PA		N+1
	T(n) = O(n)	

Static void withtoop (int [] my Army) {

Steps/ freq total

for (int i=0; i < my Army.length; i++) {

System.out.println (my Army [i]); 1 | n | n

2n+1

T(n) = O(n)

* Both statements have the same time complexity which is O(n). Therefore there is no difference between them in terms of time complexity lefficiency. However, the withloop method's readability is better I than without Loop's readability. There fore, even though there is no difference between them in terms of time complexity, It is more advantageous to use withloop in terms of clean code principles.

No, it is not possible to detect whether array contains a specific integer in constant time if we don't have the information about array (sorted or not)

In this question, there is no information is given about a acroy so it hat we have to colculate what case scenario. In the worst case scenario we would check each index of array and detect whether larray contains that specific integer or not. Check the following to see how to detect it in O(n) time.

Public bouleon checkInteger (int [] orr, int taget)
for (int i = 0; i < arr, length; i++) { O(n)

if (torget = = arr [i]) O(1)
return true; O(1)

3

T(n) = O(n)

This is the way to aheak it in O(n) time, it we don't have any information about array. However, it is not possible to solve it in constant time O(1).

```
(6)
5-)
         A = [ a0, a1, ....., an-1]
         B = [ bo, bi, .... , bm-1]
                                            n,m E Z+
    int find Minimum Multiplication ( int [] A, int [] B) {
        int n = A length; I n is the length of oring A
                                                                       0(1)
       int m = B. length; // m is the length of Array B O(1)
       int min A = A CO);
                              () \cup () \circ ()
       int minB = B [O];
       Int mox Positive A = A [0];
       int mox Positive B = BCO];
                                       0(n)
       for (in i=0; i< n; i++){
        if (ACI] & minA)
              min A = A[i];
                                 (9(1)
          If CALIJ > mox Positive A) O(1)
        31 ( Mux Positive A = ACiJ; O(1)
       for (int i= 0; i< m; i++) { O(m)
          if ( BCi) & min B)
                                      0(1)
           To min B = B[[]; ;
                                      0(1)
           if (BCI] > mox Positive B)
                                       OCI
               maxpositive B = B[1];
                                       0(1)
         int min Positive = minA * minB;
         int min Negotive 1 = minA* max Positive B;
        int min Negative 2 = max Positive A * min B;
         if ( (min Positive <= min Negotive 1) & & (min Positive <= min Negotive 2))
              return min Positive;
         else if ((min Negolive 1 <= min Positive) & ( min Negotive 1 <= min Negotive 2))
              return min Ngotive 1;
         else if ( ( min Negotive 2 <= min Positive ) && (min Negotive 2 <= min Negotive 1)
              return min Nyotive 2;
          return 0;
                                T(n) = 0 (m+n)
```

Linear time