

# SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT







## **TOKEN OVERVIEW**

#### Fees

• Buy fees: 0%

• Sell fees: 0%

#### Fees privileges

Can't change fees

#### Ownership

Owned

#### **Minting**

No mint function

### Max Tx Amount / Max Wallet Amount

· Can't change max tx amount and / or max wallet amount

#### **Blacklist**

Blacklist function not detected

#### Other privileges

- There are several improvements that should be implemented in the contract code to enhance safety and security
- The contract owner can block transfers to a specific address for 90 days

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

- 1 DISCLAIMER
- 2 INTRODUCTION
- **3** WEBSITE + SOCIALS
- 4-5 AUDIT OVERVIEW
- 6-10 OWNER PRIVILEGES
- (11) CONCLUSION AND ANALYSIS
- (12) TOKEN DETAILS
- 3NR ANALYTICS & TOP 10 TOKEN HOLDERS
- (14) TECHNICAL DISCLAIMER

## **DISCLAIMER**

The information provided on this analysis document is only for general information and should not be used as a reason to invest.

FreshCoins Team will take no payment for manipulating the results of this audit.

The score and the result will stay on this project page information on our website https://freshcoins.io

FreshCoins Team does not guarantees that a project will not sell off team supply, or any other scam strategy ( RUG or Honeypot etc )



## **INTRODUCTION**

FreshCoins (Consultant) was contracted by

3N Rewards (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Review and
Security Analysis.

0x4a4B4Ff9f2a28aE1d25f642169e3deBBdb1A6605

**Network: Sepolia** 

This report presents the findings of the security assessment of Customer's smart contract and its code review conducted on 26/06/2024



# **WEBSITE DIAGNOSTIC**

https://nnn.cloud



0-49



50-89



90-100



Performance



Accessibility



Best Practices



SEO



Progressive Web App

## **Socials**



**Twitter** 

https://twitter.com/nnn\_onX



Telegram

https://t.me/join\_nnn

# **AUDIT OVERVIEW**



**Audit FAIL** 





- 3 High
- 3 Medium
- 0 Low
- Optimizations
- 0 Informational



No.	Issue description	Checking Status	
1	Compiler Errors / Warnings	Passed	
2	Reentrancy and Cross-function	Medium	
3	Front running	Low	
4	Timestamp dependence	Passed	
5	Integer Overflow and Underflow	Passed	
6	Reverted DoS	Passed	
7	DoS with block gas limit	Low	
8	Methods execution permissions	Passed	
9	Exchange rate impact	Passed	
10	Malicious Event	Passed	
11	Scoping and Declarations	Passed	
12	Uninitialized storage pointers	Passed	
13	Design Logic	Passed	
14	Safe Zeppelin module	Passed	

## **OWNER PRIVILEGES**

- Contract owner can't mint tokens after initial contract deploy
- Contract owner can't exclude addresses from transactions
- Contract owner can update URLs from urls list

Instead of using delete urls followed by a loop to push new URLs, it can be more gas-efficient to simply update the array in place if possible

```
function updateUrls(
    string[] calldata newUrls
) external onlyMetaKeepLambdaOwner {
    require(
        block.timestamp < restrictionEndTime,
        "Cannot update URLs after restriction end time"
    );
    delete urls;
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < newUrls.length; i++) {
        urls.push(newUrls[i]);
    }
}</pre>
```

Contract owner can update PriceFeeds

Emitting an event after updating the price feeds can help in tracking these changes also deleting the array and then reinitializing it might not be the most gas-efficient approach

```
function updatePriceFeeds(
    address[] calldata newPriceFeeds
) external onlyMetaKeepLambdaOwner {
    delete priceFeeds;
    usePriceFeeds = newPriceFeeds.length > 0;
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < newPriceFeeds.length; i++) {
        require(newPriceFeeds[i] != address(0), "Invalid address");
        priceFeeds.push(AggregatorV3Interface(newPriceFeeds[i]));
    }
}</pre>
```

Function commitPriceUpdate appears to work as intended

Emitting an event after a price commit can help track changes

```
function commitPriceUpdate(
    bytes32 priceHash
) external onlyMetaKeepLambdaOwner {
    require(priceCommits[_msgSender()].timestamp == 0, "Already committed");
    priceCommits[_msgSender()] = PriceCommit(priceHash, block.timestamp);
}
```

 The revealPriceUpdate function requires improvements to ensure proper functionality and security

Emitting an event after a successful price update can help track changes

```
function revealPriceUpdate(
   int256 newPrice,
    string calldata secret
  ) external onlyMetaKeepLambdaOwner {
    PriceCommit memory commit = priceCommits[_msgSender()];
    require(commit.timestamp > 0, "No committed price update");
   require(
      block.timestamp >= commit.timestamp + REVEAL_PERIOD,
      "Reveal period has not passed"
   );
   bytes32 hash = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(newPrice, secret));
   require(hash == commit.priceHash, "Invalid price or secret");
   // Update the latest price
   latestPrice = newPrice;
    _updatePriceHistory(newPrice);
   // Clear the commit
   delete priceCommits[_msgSender()];
}
```

The calculateMedianPrice function requires multiple improvements
 Using Insertion Sort for small arrays is fine, but for larger arrays, consider a more efficient sorting

algorithm like QuickSort or MergeSort

At function sort line 2254, the while loop condition ( $j \ge 0$ ) with j as uint256 will always be true since j cannot be negative. This can lead to an infinite loop. Instead, use a different type or logic

```
function calculateMedianPrice(
   int256[] memory prices,
   uint256 count
) internal pure returns (int256) {
   int256[] memory sortedPrices = new int256[](count);
   for (uint256 i = 0; i < count; i++) {
      sortedPrices[i] = prices[i];
    }
   sort(sortedPrices);
   if (count % 2 == 1) {
      return sortedPrices[count / 2];
   } else {
      return
            (sortedPrices[(count / 2) - 1] + sortedPrices[count / 2]) / 2;
   }
}</pre>
```

#### Contract owner can set up the initial distribution of tokens to various addresses

The function ensures that the total supply of tokens is minted before initiating the distribution and calculates the distribution progress for each category

Function doesn't seem to make any external calls, it's generally a good practice to use a reentrancy guard on functions modifying the state extensively

Emitting events for critical actions is a good practice for better tracking and debugging

```
function startInitialDistribution(
    DistributionAddresses calldata_addresses
) external onlyMetaKeepLambdaOwner {
    require(
        totalSupply() == TOTAL_SUPPLY,
        "Total supply must be minted first"
);

    _startInitialDistribution(
        0,
        NEXUS_SPRITE_SUPPLY,
        _addresses.nexusSpriteAddresses
);

...
    _startInitialDistribution(
        8,
        NODE_REWARDS_SUPPLY,
        _addresses.nodeRewardsAddresses
);
}
```

Contract owner can include/exclude wallet in isFoundingTeamAddress array

Adding a wallet to isFoundingTeamAddress can block transfers for 90 days (check customTransfer function)

```
function addFoundingTeamAddress(
    address foundingTeamAddress
) external onlyMetaKeepLambdaOwner {
    require(foundingTeamAddress!= address(0), "Invalid address");
    isFoundingTeamAddress[foundingTeamAddress] = true;
}

function removeFoundingTeamAddress(
    address foundingTeamAddress
) external onlyMetaKeepLambdaOwner {
    require(foundingTeamAddress!= address(0), "Invalid address");
    isFoundingTeamAddress[foundingTeamAddress] = false;
}
```

 The customTransfer function appears to include the necessary logic for enforcing sale limits on founding team addresses and dynamically adjusting the allowed transfer percentage

Emitting events for critical actions can help with tracking and debugging

Ensure that the isFoundingTeamAddress mapping is necessary to update for the recipient in every transfer

#### **Recommendation:**

The team should carefully manage the private keys of the owner's account. We strongly recommend a powerful security mechanism that will prevent a single user from accessing the contract admin functions. The risk can be prevented by temporarily locking the contract or renouncing ownership.



## **CONCLUSION AND ANALYSIS**



Smart Contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static tools.



Audit report overview contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.



Found 3 HIGH issues during the first review.

## **TOKEN DETAILS**

#### **Details**

Buy fees: 0%

Sell fees: 0%

Max TX: N/A

Max Wallet: N/A

### **Honeypot Risk**

Ownership: Owned

Blacklist: Not detected

Modify Max TX: Not detected

Modify Max Sell: Not detected

Disable Trading: Not detected

## Rug Pull Risk

Liquidity: N/A

Holders: 100% unlocked tokens



# **3NR TOKEN ANALYTICS**& TOP 10 TOKEN HOLDERS



Rank	Address	Quantity (Token)	Percentage
1	0x9498b877ddac9a5e12a94e296803228cbd7c0441	1,100,000,000	100.0000%

## **TECHNICAL DISCLAIMER**

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on the blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have its vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. The audit can't guarantee the explicit security of the audited project / smart contract.

