(/)

Python packages

Read: Packages (/rltoken/Vn5hOrJ9IHds7we9udPnNg)

A Python file can be a **module** but when this file is in a folder, we call this folder a **package**.

File organization is really important in a big project. This means for Python: packages everywhere.

Compare with C

(file organization, not prototype vs code etc.)

In C: #include "abs.h"

In Python:

```
import abs
abs.my_abs(89)
```

or

```
from abs import my_abs
my_abs(89)
```

In C: #include "my_math/abs.h"

In Python:

```
from my_math.abs import my_abs
my_abs(89)
```

or

```
import my_math.abs
my_math.abs.my_abs(89)
```

Dotted module names == Path

Let's take this example of file organization:

```
my_script.py
my_math/
    abs.py
```



How can I use my function my_abs(a) from the file abs.py in my_script.py?

- import my_math/abs.py => NO
- import my_math/abs => NO
- import my math.abs.py => NO
- import my_math.abs => YES but you will use your function like that: my_math.abs.my_abs(89) => not friendly
- from my_math.abs import my_abs => YES YES YES! now you can use your function like that: my_abs(89)

Wait, does this really work?

NO! something is missing: the magic file __init__.py

Indeed, each folder **must** contain this file to be considered a package.

This file should be empty except if you want to import all the content of modules by using *.

More complicated?

```
my_script.py
my_math/
   __init__.py
abs.py
functions/
   __init__.py
add.py
```

How can I use my function my_add(a, b) from the file add.py in my_script.py?

from my_math.functions.add import my_add

Easy right?

import * is dangerous

Using import * is still considered bad practice in production code. In that case, __init__.py shouldn't be empty but must contain the list of modules to load:

```
my_script.py
my_math/
    __init__.py
abs.py
functions/
    __init__.py
add.py
sub.py
mul.py
div.py
```

Q

```
$ fpt my_script.py
from my_math.functions import *
print(add.my_add(1, 3))
print(mul.my_mul(4, 2))
print(div.my_div(10, 2))

$ cat my_math/__init__.py # empty file
$ cat my_math/functions/__init__.py
__all__ = ["add", "mul"]

$ python3 my_script.py
3
8
Traceback (most recent call last):
   File "my_script.py", line 4, in <module>
        print(div.my_div(10, 2))
NameError: name 'div' is not defined
$
```

Relative versus Absolute import

In this example:

```
my_script.py
my_math/
   __init__.py
abs.py
positive.py
```

positive.py contains one function def is_positive(n) and this function uses my_abs(n). How it's possible?

By importing: from my_math.abs import my_abs Or from abs import my_abs

What the difference?

- from abs import my_abs is using a relative path between your file who imports and the module to import
- from my_math.abs import my_abs is using an absolute path between the file you execute and the module to import

Q

```
$ pt my_script.py
from my_math.positive import is_positive

print(is_positive(89))
print(is_positive(-89))
print(is_positive(333))

$ python3 my_script.py
True
False
True
$
```

Now, let's execute a file in my_math:

```
$ cd my_math ; cat test_positive.py
from positive import is positive
print(is positive(89))
print(is_positive(-89))
print(is positive(333))
$ cat positive.py
from my_math.abs import my_abs
def is_positive(n):
    return my_abs(n) == n
$ python3 test positive.py
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "test positive.py", line 1, in <module>
    from positive import is_positive
 File "/vagrant/my_math/positive.py", line 1, in <module>
    from my_math.abs import my_abs
ImportError: No module named 'my_math'
$
```

Ahh! If you are using an absolute path, you can't execute this module from another point as the "root" of your project.

Let's change to relative path:

```
$ pm my_math ; cat test_positive.py
from positive import is_positive
print(is_positive(89))
print(is_positive(-89))
print(is_positive(333))

$ cat positive.py
from abs import my_abs

def is_positive(n):
    return my_abs(n) == n

$ python3 test_positive.py
True
False
True
$
```

Copyright © 2023 ALX, All rights reserved.