

# NOTES ON MODEL THEORIES

XINGZHI HUANG

## 1. STRUCTURES

**Definition 1.1.** A structure  $\mathcal{A} := (A, \{R_i^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{i \in I}, \{f_j^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{j \in J}, \{c_k^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{k \in K})$  consists of:

1. a non-empty set  $A$ , called the universe of  $\mathcal{A}$
2. a relation  $R_i^{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $A$  for each  $i \in I$
3. a function  $f_j^{\mathcal{A}} : A^{n_j} \rightarrow A$  for each  $j \in J$
4. an element  $c_k^{\mathcal{A}} \in A$  for each  $k \in K$ .

The sets  $I, J, K$  are disjoint index sets. The arity of  $R_i^{\mathcal{A}}$  is some natural number  $m_i$ , and the arity of  $f_j^{\mathcal{A}}$  is some natural number  $n_j$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Given a structure  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \{R_i^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{i \in I}, \{f_j^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{j \in J}, \{c_k^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{k \in K})$ , the signature  $L_{\mathcal{A}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the collection of symbols  $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}, \{f_j\}_{j \in J}, \{c_k\}_{k \in K}$ , where  $R_i$  is a relation symbol of arity  $m_i$ ,  $f_j$  is a function symbol of arity  $n_j$ , and  $c_k$  is a constant symbol.

**Definition 1.3.** Fix a signature  $L$ . An  $L$ -structure is a structure whose signature is  $L$ .

**Definition 1.4.** We can define a category of  $L$ -structures, denoted by  $Str(L)$ , as follows.

The objects of  $Str(L)$  are all  $L$ -structures. If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are two  $L$ -structures, then a morphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  is a function  $h : A \rightarrow B$  such that:

1. for each relation symbol  $R_i$  of arity  $m_i$  in  $L$ , and for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m_i} \in A$ , if  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m_i}) \in R_i^{\mathcal{A}}$ , then  $(h(a_1), h(a_2), \dots, h(a_{m_i})) \in R_i^{\mathcal{B}}$ ;
2. for each function symbol  $f_j$  of arity  $n_j$  in  $L$ , and for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n_j} \in A$ , we have  $h(f_j^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n_j})) = f_j^{\mathcal{B}}(h(a_1), h(a_2), \dots, h(a_{n_j}))$ ;
3. for each constant symbol  $c_k$  in  $L$ , we have  $h(c_k^{\mathcal{A}}) = c_k^{\mathcal{B}}$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *The injective morphisms in  $Str(L)$  are precisely the embeddings; the surjective morphisms in  $Str(L)$  are precisely the surjective homomorphisms.*

**Definition 1.6.** Given two  $L$ -structures  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a substructure of  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , if  $A \subseteq B$  and the inclusion map  $i : A \rightarrow B$  is an embedding.

## 2. TERMS AND FORMULAS

### 2.1. Syntax.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $L$  be a signature. The set of  $L$ -terms is defined inductively as follows:

1. Every variable is an  $L$ -term.

2. Every constant symbol in  $L$  is an  $L$ -term.
3. If  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary function symbol in  $L$  and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  are  $L$ -terms, then  $f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  is an  $L$ -term.
4. Nothing else is an  $L$ -term.

*Remark 2.2.* A term is called closed if it contains no variables.

*Remark 2.3.* The complexity of a term  $t$ , denoted by  $c(t)$ , is defined inductively as follows:

1. If  $t$  is a variable or a constant symbol, then  $c(t) = 0$ .
2. If  $t$  is of the form  $f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ , where  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary function symbol and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  are  $L$ -terms, then  $c(t) = 1 + \max(c(t_1), c(t_2), \dots, c(t_n))$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $L$  be a signature. The set of atomic  $L$ -formulas is defined as follows:

1. If  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are  $L$ -terms, then  $(t_1 = t_2)$  is an atomic  $L$ -formula.
2. If  $R$  is an  $n$ -ary relation symbol in  $L$  and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  are  $L$ -terms, then  $R(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  is an atomic  $L$ -formula.
3. Nothing else is an atomic  $L$ -formula.

## 2.2. Semantics.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be an  $L$ -term with variables among  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $L$ -structure and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ . The interpretation of  $t$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  at  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ , denoted by  $t^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ , is defined inductively as follows:

1. If  $t$  is a variable  $x$ , then  $t^{\mathcal{A}}(a) = a$ .
2. If  $t$  is a constant symbol  $c$ , then  $t^{\mathcal{A}}() = c^{\mathcal{A}}$ . (Here we use  $c^{\mathcal{A}}$  to indicate  $c^{\mathcal{A}}$  live in the semantics world.)
3. If  $t$  is of the form  $f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)$ , where  $f$  is an  $m$ -ary function symbol and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m$  are  $L$ -terms, then

$$t^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = f^{\mathcal{A}}(t_1^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), t_2^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), \dots, t_m^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)).$$

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be an atomic  $L$ -formula with variables among  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $L$ -structure and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ . We say that  $\varphi$  is true in  $\mathcal{A}$  at  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ , or  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  satisfies  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ , if one of the following conditions holds:

1. If  $\varphi$  is of the form  $(t_1 = t_2)$ , where  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are  $L$ -terms, then  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  if and only if  $t_1^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = t_2^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ .
2. If  $\varphi$  is of the form  $R(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)$ , where  $R$  is an  $m$ -ary relation symbol and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m$  are  $L$ -terms, then  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  if and only if  $(t_1^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), t_2^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), \dots, t_m^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) \in R^{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $A, B$  be two  $L$ -structures and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism. Let  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be an atomic  $L$ -formula with variables among  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . Then for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,  $f(t^{\mathcal{A}}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) = t^{\mathcal{B}}(f(a_1), f(a_2), \dots, f(a_n))$ .

**Corollary 2.8.** Let  $A, B$  be two  $L$ -structures and let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a morphism of **Set**. Then:

1.  $f$  is a morphism of  $\text{Str}(L)$  if and only if for every atomic  $L$ -formula  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,

$$\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \implies \mathcal{B} \models \varphi(f(a_1), f(a_2), \dots, f(a_n)).$$

2. If  $f$  is an embedding, then for every atomic  $L$ -formula  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,

$$\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \iff \mathcal{B} \models \varphi(f(a_1), f(a_2), \dots, f(a_n)).$$

### 3. CANONICAL MODELS

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be  $L$ -structures, and let  $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ ,  $\bar{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B^n$ . Consider the expanded signatures  $L(\bar{c})$  where  $\bar{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$  are new constant symbols, and the  $L(\bar{c})$ -structures  $(\mathcal{A}, \bar{a})$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, \bar{b})$  interpreting  $c_i$  as  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  respectively.

The following are equivalent:

- (1) For every atomic  $L(\bar{c})$ -sentence  $\varphi$ , if  $(\mathcal{A}, \bar{a}) \models \varphi$  then  $(\mathcal{B}, \bar{b}) \models \varphi$ .
- (2) There exists a homomorphism  $f : \langle \bar{a} \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that  $f(a_i) = b_i$  for all  $i$ .

Moreover, the homomorphism  $f$  in (2) is unique if it exists, and  $f$  is an embedding if and only if

$$\text{for every atomic } L(\bar{c})\text{-sentence } \varphi, (\mathcal{A}, \bar{a}) \models \varphi \iff (\mathcal{B}, \bar{b}) \models \varphi.$$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $L$  be a signature and  $A$  be a  $L$ -structure. A set  $T$  of atomic  $L$ -sentences is called a closed set of atomic  $L$ -sentences if the following conditions hold:

1. For every closed term  $t$ , the sentence  $(t = t)$  is in  $T$ .
2. For every  $n$ -ary function symbol  $f$  and closed terms  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ , if the sentences  $(t_1 = s_1), (t_2 = s_2), \dots, (t_n = s_n)$  and  $(f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = r)$  are in  $T$ , then the sentence  $(f(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) = r)$  is also in  $T$ .

TBC

### 4. FIRST-ORDER LOGIC

#### 4.1. Syntax.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $L$  be a signature. The class of  $L$ -formulas is defined inductively as follows:

1. Every atomic  $L$ -formula is an  $L$ -formula.
2. If  $\varphi$  is an  $L$ -formula, then  $(\neg \varphi)$  is also an  $L$ -formula. If  $\Phi$  is a set of atomic  $L$ -formulas, then  $(\bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi)$  and  $(\bigvee_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi)$  are also  $L$ -formulas.
3. If  $\varphi$  is an  $L$ -formula and  $x$  is a variable, then  $(\forall x \varphi)$  and  $(\exists x \varphi)$  are also  $L$ -formulas.

## 4.2. Semantics.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $A$  be an  $L$ -structure. The satisfaction relation  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  for an  $L$ -formula  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$  is defined inductively as follows:

1. If  $\varphi$  is an atomic  $L$ -formula, then  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is defined as in the previous section.
2. If  $\varphi$  is of the form  $(\neg\psi)$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A} \not\models \psi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ .
3. If  $\varphi$  is of the form  $(\bigwedge_{\psi \in \Phi} \psi)$ , where  $\Phi$  is a set of  $L$ -formulas, then  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  if and only if for every  $\psi \in \Phi$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \models \psi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ .
4. If  $\varphi$  is of the form  $(\bigvee_{\psi \in \Phi} \psi)$ , where  $\Phi$  is a set of  $L$ -formulas, then  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  if and only if for some  $\psi \in \Phi$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \models \psi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ .
5. If  $\varphi$  is of the form  $(\forall x\psi)$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  if and only if for every  $b \in A$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \models \psi(b, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ .
6. If  $\varphi$  is of the form  $(\exists x\psi)$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  if and only if there exists some  $b \in A$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \psi(b, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ .

*Remark 4.3.* We can define the (infinity) language  $L_{\infty\omega}$  to be the collection of all  $L$ -formulas.

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $L$  be a signature, the first-order language over  $L$ , denoted by  $L_{\omega\omega}$ , is the collection of all  $L$ -formulas where the conjunctions and disjunctions are finite.

**Definition 4.5.** Fix a signature  $L$ . A sentence is an  $L$ -formula with no free variables. A class  $T$  of  $L$ -sentences is called a theory.

**Definition 4.6.** Let  $L$  be a signature and  $T$  be a theory of  $L$ . An  $L$ -structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a model of  $T$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{A} \models T$ , if for every sentence  $\varphi \in T$ , we have  $\mathcal{A} \models \varphi$ .

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $T$  be a theory in  $L_{\infty\omega}$  and  $K$  a class of  $L$ -structures. We say that  $T$  axiomatizes  $K$  if for every  $L$ -structure  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \in K$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A} \models T$ .

## 5. COMPACTNESS THEOREM

**Definition 5.1.** A theory  $T$  in  $L_{\infty\omega}$  is called a Hintikka set if it satisfies the following conditions:

1. For every closed term  $t$ , the sentence  $(t = t)$  is in  $T$ .
2. If  $\varphi \in T$ , then  $(\neg\varphi) \in T$ .
3. If  $\varphi$  is an atomic formula in  $T$ ,  $s, t$  are closed terms, and  $(s = t) \in T$ , then the  $\varphi(s)$  is in  $T$  if and only if  $\varphi(t)$  is in  $T$ .
4. If  $(\neg\neg\varphi) \in T$ , then  $\varphi \in T$ .
5. If  $(\bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi) \in T$ , then for every  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , we have  $\varphi \in T$ ; if  $\neg(\bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi) \in T$ , then there exists some  $\varphi \in \Phi$  such that  $(\neg\varphi) \in T$ .
6. If  $(\bigvee_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi) \in T$ , then there exists some  $\varphi \in \Phi$  such that  $\varphi \in T$ ; if  $\neg(\bigvee_{\varphi \in \Phi} \varphi) \in T$ , then for every  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , we have  $(\neg\varphi) \in T$ .
7. If  $(\forall x\varphi) \in T$ , then for every closed term  $t$ , we have  $\varphi(t) \in T$ ; if  $(\neg\forall x\varphi) \in T$ , then there exists some closed term  $t$  such that  $(\neg\varphi(t)) \in T$ .

8. If  $(\exists x\varphi) \in T$ , then there exists some closed term  $t$  such that  $\varphi(t) \in T$ ; if  $(\neg\exists x\varphi) \in T$ , then for every closed term  $t$ , we have  $(\neg\varphi(t)) \in T$ .

**Example 5.2.** Let  $T$  be the class of all  $L$ -sentences that are true in some  $L$ -structure  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $T$  is a Hintikka set.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $T$  be a Hintikka set in  $L_{\omega\omega}$ . Then there exists an  $L$ -structure  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \models T$ .*

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $L$  be a first-order language and  $T$  be a theory in  $L$ .  $T$  is a Hintikka set if it satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *Every finite subset of  $T$  has a model;*
2. *For every sentence  $\varphi \in L$ , either  $\varphi \in T$  or  $(\neg\varphi) \in T$ .*
3. *For every sentence  $\exists x\varphi(x) \in T$ , there exists some closed term  $t \in L$  such that  $\varphi(t) \in T$ .*

**Theorem 5.5** (Compactness Theorem). *Let  $L$  be a first-order language and  $T$  be a theory in  $L$ . If every finite subset of  $T$  has a model, then  $T$  has a model.*

## REFERENCES